

Caltrain Modernization Program Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project (PCEP)



July 2020 Monthly Progress Report

July 31, 2020





















Funding Partners

Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Core Capacity FTA Section 5307 (Environmental / Pre Development only) FTA Section 5307 (Electric Multiple Unit (EMU) only)

Prop 1B (Public Transportation Modernization & Improvement Account) Caltrain Low Carbon Transit Operations Cap and Trade

Proposition 1A California High Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) Cap and Trade

Carl Moyer Fund

Bridge Tolls (Funds Regional Measure (RM) 1/RM2)

San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA)/San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA)

San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) Contribution SMCTA Measure A

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Measure A VTA Contribution

City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) Contribution

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Table of Contents

1.0	Backg	round
2.0	Execu	tive Summary
	2.1.	Monthly Dashboards 2-2
	2.2.	Funding Partners Participation in PCEP2-5
	2.3.	Schedule
	2.4.	Budget 2-9
	2.5.	Board Actions
	2.6.	Government and Community Affairs
3.0	Electri	fication – Infrastructure
	3.1.	Electrification
	3.2.	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
	3.3.	Tunnel Modification
4.0	Electri	c Multiple Units
	4.1.	Electric Multiple Units
	4.2.	Centralized Equipment Maintenance and Operations Facility Modifications 4-1
5.0	Safety	⁷
6.0	Quality	y Assurance
7.0	Sched	lule
8.0	Budge	et and Expenditures
9.0	Chang	ge Management
10.0	Fundir	ng10-1
11.0	Risk N	Ianagement 11-1
12.0	Enviro	nmental
	12.1.	Permits 12-1
	12.2.	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) 12-1
13.0	Utility	Relocation
14.0	Real E	Estate
15.0	Third I	Party Agreements
16.0	Gover	nment and Community Affairs
17.0	Disad	vantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Participation and Labor Statistics
18.0		rement
19.0	Timeli	ne of Major Project Accomplishments 19-1

List of Tables

Page

Table 2-1 Schedule Status	2-0
Table 2-2 Budget and Expenditure Status	
Table 3-1 Work Progress by Segment	3-1
Table 6-1 Quality Assurance Audit Summary	
Table 7-1 Schedule Status	7-1
Table 7-2 Critical Path Summary	7-1
Table 7-3 Schedule Hold Points	7-2
Table 8-1 Electrification Budget & Expenditure Status	8-1
Table 8-2 EMU Budget & Expenditure Status	8-2
Table 8-3 PCEP Budget & Expenditure Status	8-2
Table 8-4 Third Party Improvements/CNPA Budget & Expenditure Status	8-2
Table 8-5 Budget Transfers of Contingency	8-3
Table 15-1 Third-Party Agreement Status	15-1

List of Figures

Page

Figure 2-1 PCEP Work Segments	2-1
Figure 2-2 Expenditure – Planned vs. Actual	2-2
Figure 2-3 Spending Rate vs Required	2-3
Figure 2-4 Construction Contract Budgets	2-3
Figure 2-5 OCS Foundation Production	2-4
Figure 2-6 Contractor Completion Schedule	2-4
Figure 10-1 Funding Plan	10-1
Figure 11-1 Monthly Status of Risks	11-1
Figure 11-2 Risk Classification	11-3
Figure 17-1 DBE Participation	17-1

List of Appendices

Page

Appendix A – Acronyms	A-1
Appendix B – Funding Partner Meetings	B-1
Appendix C – Schedule	C-1
Appendix D – Standard Cost Codes	D-1
Appendix E – Change Order Logs	E-1
Appendix F – Risk Table	F-1
Appendix G – MMRP Status Log	G-1

1.0 BACKGROUND

Over the last decade, Caltrain has experienced a substantial increase in ridership and anticipates further increases in ridership demand as the San Francisco Bay Area's population grows. The Caltrain Modernization (CalMod) Program, scheduled to be implemented by 2021, will electrify and upgrade the performance, operating efficiency, capacity, safety, and reliability of Caltrain's commuter rail service.

The PCEP is a key component of the CalMod Program and consists of converting Caltrain from diesel-hauled to Electric Multiple Unit (EMU) trains for service between the San Francisco Station (at the intersection of Fourth and King Streets in San Francisco) and the Tamien Station in San Jose. Caltrain will continue Gilroy service and support existing tenants.

An electrified Caltrain will better address Peninsula commuters' vision of environmentally friendly, fast and reliable service. Electrification will modernize Caltrain and make it possible to increase service while offering several advantages in comparison with existing diesel power use, including:

- Improved Train Performance, Increased Ridership Capacity and Increased Service: Electrified trains can accelerate and decelerate more quickly than dieselpowered trains, allowing Caltrain to run more efficiently. In addition, because of their performance advantages, electrified trains will enable more frequent and/or faster train service to more riders.
- Increased Revenue and Reduced Fuel Cost: An electrified Caltrain will increase ridership and fare revenues while decreasing fuel costs.
- **Reduced Engine Noise Emanating from Trains:** Noise from electrified train engines is measurably less than noise from diesel train engines. Train horns will continue to be required at grade crossings, adhering to current safety regulations.
- Improved Regional Air Quality and Reduced Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Electrified trains will produce substantially less corridor air pollution compared with diesel trains even when the indirect emissions from electrical power generation are included. Increased ridership will reduce automobile usage, resulting in additional air quality benefits. In addition, the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions will improve our regional air quality, and will also help meet the state's emission reduction goals.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Monthly Progress Report is intended to provide an overview of the PCEP and provide funding partners, stakeholders, and the public an overall update on the progress of the project. This document provides information on the scope, cost, funding, schedule, and project implementation. Work along the Caltrain Electrification Corridor has been divided into four work segments and respective work areas (WA) as shown in Figure 2-1. PCEP activities are described and summarized by segments and work areas.




Figure 2-1 PCEP Work Segments

Overhead Catenary System (OCS) foundations were installed in July, and two signal houses were installed on the right of way. Installation of OCS poles, cantilevers, and wires progressed in Segment 3 following the foundations. PCEP also received approval from the city of Burlingame for additional space needed to advance the design of Paralleling Station (PS) PS-3. Typical construction activities took place throughout the month, including installation of ductbank and ground grids, site work, utility protection, drainage, cable installation and termination, and the finalization of design packages for Traction Power Substation (TPS) TPS-1 and TPS-2.

EMU manufacturing of cars and shells is still on schedule, however, final assembly and testing of Trainset 1 cannot proceed due to parts shortages caused by manufacturer shut downs resulting from Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) disruptions to the supply chain. This issue is impacting the EMU completion date, and that date is evolving. Stadler has formed a team to specifically address and mitigate this issue.

2.1. Monthly Dashboards

Dashboard progress charts are included below to summarize construction progress.




Figure 2-2 Expenditure – Planned vs. Actual





Figure 2-3 Spending Rate vs. Required

Figure 2-4 Construction Contract Budgets









Figure 2-6 Contractor Completion Schedule



2.2. Funding Partners Participation in PCEP

The PCEP has a series of weekly, biweekly, monthly and quarterly meetings to coordinate all aspects of the program. The meetings are attended by project staff with participation by our funding partners in accordance with the Funding Partners Oversight Protocol. A summary of funding partner meetings and invitees can be found in Appendix B.

This section of the report provides a summary of the discussions and decisions made at the meetings and a list of funding partners who attended the meetings.

Electrification – Weekly Discipline-Specific Meetings

Purpose: To replace the previous weekly Engineering Meeting with three disciplinespecific meetings for the three major categories of work under the Electrification Design Build (DB) contract: Overhead Contact System (OCS) Foundation, Traction Power Facilities (TPF), and Signals. Each meeting will focus on the status, resolution and tracking of Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc. (BBII) and Electrification design- and construction-related issues.

Activity this Month

OCS Foundation Meeting

Funding Partners: None

- Review of upcoming foundation design and installation schedule
- Discussion of open issues impacting foundations design and installation
- Discussion of outstanding Requests for Information (RFI)
- Review of foundation designs that potentially impact Right of Way (ROW)
- Review of outstanding Field Orders or Change Notices required for work to continue

TPF Meeting

Funding Partners: None

- Review of outstanding items as they relate to the design and construction of the PG&E Interconnection
- Review of status of long-lead material procurement
- Review of PG&E Interconnection schedule
- Discuss progress and next steps for the Single-Phase Study
- Discuss outstanding comments on the interconnection agreement
- Review and resolve open issues on the construction and design of the TPFs (paralleling stations, traction power substations, switching station)

Signal Meeting

Funding Partners: None

- Discussion of design, installation and testing of the signal and communication modifications to the Caltrain system
- Discussion of outstanding comments and responses to comments on signal and communication design packages
- Review of schedule for signal and communication cutover plans
- Discuss and resolve RFIs

PCEP Delivery Coordination Meeting – Bi-Weekly

Purpose: To facilitate high-level coordination and information sharing between crossfunctional groups regarding the status of the work for which they are responsible.

Activity this Month

Funding Partners: SFCTA: Luis Zurinaga; MTC: Trish Stoops

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Quarterly Update occurred virtually on July 28. The PCEP update to the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) Board has been postponed to September. Caltrain will be petitioning to be on this November's ballot for a dedicated funding source. In Electric Multiple Unit (EMU) design and manufacturing, type testing for Trainset 1 has been postponed to the week of August 10 and shipment to Pueblo is now scheduled for late November 2020. The next Salt Lake City based design review with the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) is scheduled for July 8 and 9. For the Centralized Equipment Maintenance and Operations Facility (CEMOF), the parts storage warehouse has been delivered in early July and work at the warehouse will be complete by next month. Shoring work has been completed, and work in the pit and equipment room is ongoing. In Design Build activities, sawcutting and potholing is continuing at CEMOF. Off-track foundation installation for Segment 1 is projected to start at the end of July/early August. Request for additional space from the City Corp Yard was approved at the July 6 Council meeting. For the Tunnel Modification Project, substantial completion is now anticipated for September 15 due to the Roadway Worker Protection (RWP) training availability for testing. Closeout is projected for end of September.

Systems Integration Meeting – Bi-Weekly

Purpose: To discuss and resolve issues with inter-system interfaces and to identify and assign Action Item Owners for interface points that have yet to be addressed.

Activity this Month

Funding Partners: None

Bi-weekly PCEP System Integration meetings are held to monitor and determine appropriate resolution for systems integration issues. The Systems Integration Lead also maintains contact with the EMU procurement team. The Traction Power SCADA team also holds bi-weekly status meetings. Coordination with the EMU procurement, PTC and Caltrain Capital Project managers responsible for delivery of the 25th Avenue Grade Separation Project, Marin Napoleon Bridge Rehabilitation Project, and the South San Francisco Station Project is ongoing. There is coordination with the Tunnel Modification Project, PG&E construction of the Interconnection to TPS-2, and the CEMOF upgrades as well. A new future project requiring coordination was discussed this month – Replacement of the Guadalupe River Bridge on the southern end of the alignment. Progress on activities including systems integration testing activities, FRA, FTA and safety certification are being tracked. The Systems Integration meeting has been re-focused to track and coordinate issues between PCEP and the overall agency (JPB). This was done to avoid task overlap with the JPB Rail Activation Committee. A smaller "breakout" group is meeting to determine and track what testing and with which resources will need to be coordinated among the various contracts and suppliers. This "Testing and Commissioning Meeting" is the primary interface to the PCEP Design-Build Team at this time. Work to define dependencies for completion of Segment 4 (Intermediate Milestone #1) is ongoing with the Testing & Commissioning discussion. This group will report back to the System Integration meeting group with their findings.

Master Program Schedule (MPS) Meeting – Monthly

Purpose: To review the status of the MPS and discuss the status of major milestones, critical and near-critical paths, upcoming Board review items, and progress with the contracts, among others.

Activity this Month

Funding Partners: Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC): Trish Stoops; VTA: Manolo Gonzalez-Estay, SFCTA: Luis Zurinaga

The program critical path continues to run through the manufacturing and testing of EMU trainsets. In May 2020, the program schedule was updated to reflect COVID-19 impacts on the Stadler vehicle schedule. The effect of COVID-19 on Stadler is evolving and will continue to be monitored.

As the COVID-19 pandemic is a force majeure event, the JPB is reviewing its Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) RSD obligation.

Risk Assessment Meeting – Monthly

Purpose: To identify risks and corresponding mitigation measures. For each risk on the risk register, mitigation measures have been identified and are being implemented. Progress in mitigating these risks is confirmed at the ongoing risk monitoring and monthly risk assessment meetings.

Activity this Month

Due to the absence of issues requiring review, no meeting was held in July.

Change Management Board (CMB) – Monthly

Purpose: To review, evaluate and authorize proposed changes to PCEP over \$200,000. The CMB discusses major topics including potential changes to PCEP contracts, contingency usage, track access delays and Differing Site Conditions (DSC) field order updates. Potential contract changes will follow the PCEP Change Order Procedure. Once approved changes are executed, they will be reported in the Change Management section (Section 9) of this report.

Activity this Month

The CMB meeting occurred on June 17.

Funding Partners: CHSRA: Boris Lipkin and Simon Whitehorn; VTA: Krishna Davey and Edwin Castillo; SFCTA: Luis Zurinaga and Anna Harvey; SMCTA: Joe Hurley; MTC: Trish Stoops; FTA: Mike Eidlin

BBII Contract

One change was approved.

CEMOF Contract

No changes were identified for consideration.

Stadler Contract

No changes were identified for consideration.

SCADA Contract

No changes were identified for consideration.

SCADA Contract

No changes were identified for consideration

Tunnel Modification Contract

No changes were identified for consideration.

Amtrak Contract

No changes were identified for consideration.

<u>Other</u>

No changes were identified for consideration.

2.3. Schedule

The program critical path continues to run through the manufacturing and testing of EMU trainsets. In May 2020, the program schedule was updated to reflect COVID-19 impacts on the Stadler vehicle schedule. The effect of COVID-19 on Stadler is evolving and will continue to be monitored.

As the COVID-19 pandemic is a force majeure event, the JPB is reviewing its Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) RSD obligation.

BBII continues to report an overall delay to substantial completion. JPB is working with BBII on the issue and is urging BBII to accelerate resolution.

Table 2-1 indicates major milestone dates for the MPS.

Program Plan	Progress Schedule (July 2020) ¹
N/A	11/20/2020 ²
N/A 04/02/2021	
11/21/2019	03/25/2021
N/A	12/10/2020
09/09/2021	09/09/2021
08/10/2020	02/26/2022 ²
N/A	02/27/2022 ²
12/09/2021	07/22/2022 ²
08/22/2022	08/22/2022
	N/A N/A 11/21/2019 N/A 09/09/2021 08/10/2020 N/A 12/09/2021

Table 2-1 Schedule Status

^{1.} Dates may shift slightly as the update of this month's Progress Schedule is still in process.

^{2.} See "Notable Variances" in Section 7 for explanation on date shift.

2.4. Budget

A summary of the overall budget and expenditure status for the PCEP is provided in Table 2-2 below.

Description of Work	Budget (A)	Current Budget (B) ¹	Cost This Month (C)²	Cost To Date (D) ³	Estimate To Complete (E)	Estimate At Completion (F) = (D) + (E)
Electrification Subtotal	\$1,316,125,208	\$1,316,125,208	\$15,306,921	\$764,490,911	\$551,634,297	\$1,316,125,208
EMU Subtotal	\$664,127,325	\$664,127,325	\$5,008,222	\$232,286,335	\$431,840,990	\$664,127,325
PCEP TOTAL	\$1,980,252,533	\$1,980,252,533	\$20,315,144	\$996,777,245	\$983,475,287	\$1,980,252,533

Table 2-2 Budget and Expenditure Status

Notes regarding tables above:

^{1.} Column B "Current Budget" includes executed change orders and awarded contracts.

^{2.} Column C "Cost This Month" represents the cost of work performed this month.

^{3.} Column D "Cost To Date" includes actuals (amount paid) and accruals (amount of work performed) to date.

2.5. Board Actions

• None

Future anticipated board actions include:

• Shunt wire construction

2.6. Government and Community Affairs

There were two outreach event this month.

3.0 ELECTRIFICATION – INFRASTRUCTURE

This section reports on the progress of the Electrification, SCADA, and Tunnel Modification components. A brief description on each of the components is provided below.

3.1. Electrification

The Electrification component of the PCEP includes installation of 138 miles of wire and overhead catenary system (OCS) for the distribution of electrical power to the EMUs. The OCS will be powered from a 25 kilovolt (kV), 60-Hertz, single phase, alternating current supply system consisting of two traction power substations (TPS), one switching station (SWS), and seven paralleling stations (PS). Electrification infrastructure will be constructed using a DB delivery method.

Activity This Month

- Continued to install on-track and off-track foundations in Segments 3 and 4 as conflicts are resolved.
- Continued installation of OCS poles, cantilevers, and wires in Segment 3 following the foundations.
- Began installation of shunt wire in Segment 2.
- Potholed at proposed OCS locations and utility locations in all Segments in advance of foundation installation. BBII and PCEP also continued to resolve conflicts found during the potholing process, such as loose concrete, asphalt, and other debris, and continued designing solutions for those conflicts that cannot be avoided. The conflicts must be resolved before installation of foundations at those locations.
- Relocated signal cables and remove abandoned facilities found in conflict with planned OCS foundations as conflicts were identified.
- Continued site work and began ductbank and ground grid installations, and began preparations of additional staging area required for construction at PS-5.
- Continued site work and began utility protection at PS-2.
- Continued drainage work at PS-4.
- Continued to install signal ductbank, conduits, and cables in Segment 2.
- Continued to install signal ductbank, conduits, and cables in Segment 4.
- Performed cable installation at Control Point (CP) Shark, CP Bird, and CP Alameda.
- Performed cable termination at CP Shark, CP Bird, and CP Delmas.
- Set signal houses at Caltrain (CT) 10.73 and 10.90.
- Performed pre-testing at multiple signal locations.
- Continued drilling of rails for impedance bond connections in Segments 1, 2, 3 and 4 at various control points and crossings.
- Continued installation of insulated joints (IJs) in Segment 3.
- Performed switch isolation in Segment 1 and Segment 2.

- Install overhead bridge attachments at various locations in Segment 3.
- Progressed the OCS design with BBII in all segments, which included submittal and review of Design Change Notices for revised foundation locations.
- Continued to plan for advanced off-track OCS foundation installation in Segment 1 using 95% design. Tentative plan is to perform that work in late August/early September pending availability of right of way acquisition and completion of potholing.
- Received approval of additional Right of Way from the City of Burlingame to advance design of PS-3.
- Coordinated design review with local jurisdictions for the OCS, traction power facilities, and bridge attachments design, including responses to comments from jurisdictions.
- Continued to review and coordinate signal and communication design submittals with BBII.
- Continued discussions with FRA and CPUC on grade crossing design.
- Continued planning for signal cutovers in Segment 4.
- Continued to progress the TPS interconnection design for TPS-1 and TPS-2. IFC design packages are final with Statement of No Objection.
- Continued to work with PG&E on packaging and bidding of interconnection construction. Contractor has been selected by PG&E for TPS-2 interconnection.
- Worked with BBII through Site Specific Work Plans (SSWP) for upcoming field work.
- Continued data conversion and model validation for the single phase study.
- PG&E continued work at East Grand and FMC substations.

A summary of the work progress by segment is provided in Table 3-1 below.

		Foundations			Poles		
Segment	Work Area	Required ^{abc}	Completed this Month	Completed to Date	Required ^{ab}	Completed this Month	Completed to Date ^d
	Tunnels	32	0	32	32	0	32
1	А	309	0	0	259	0	0
	В	237	0	0	177	0	0
	5	228	0	204	209	0	160
	4	314	0	239	254	0	190
2	3	176	0	129	141	0	36
	2	247	0	78	205	0	60
	1	207	0	79	154	0	33
3	2	510	5	487	441	106	303
3	1	391	3	368	310	0	233
	А	241	22	178	177	1	108
4	В	128	10	97	123	0	70
	CEMOF	96	0	0	81	0	0
Total		3,116	40	1,891	2,563	107	1,225

Table 3-1 Work Progress by Segment

Note:

^{a.} Foundations required do not match poles required as guy foundations are needed in some locations for extra support.

^{b.} Reported number of required poles and foundations fluctuate due to Design changes.

^{c.} 30 foundations in S2WA5 will be installed by South San Francisco and 66 foundations in S2WA3 will be installed by 25th Avenue. Update: To-date, 20 foundations have been installed by the South San Francisco and 66 have been installed by the 25th Ave projects.

^{d.} One pole was unreported installed in S3WA2 in June 2020.

- Continue foundation installation in Segments 3 and 4.
- Mobilize off-track foundation crew to Segment 1 at the end of August/early September.
- Continue resolution of DSCs.
- Continue to install protective steel plates for protection of utilities during foundation installation.
- Continue to install OCS poles and assemblies in all Segments where available.
- Continue wire installation in Segments 3 and 4.
- Continue shunt wire installation in Segment 2.
- Continue work with BBII on field investigation activities and designs, which will include the progression of the OCS, traction power, bonding and grounding, signal systems, and other civil infrastructure such as overhead bridge protections.
- Pothole and clear obstructions at proposed OCS locations. Potholing will concentrate in Segments 3 and 4, as well areas of potential ROW needs in Segments 1 and 2.
- Continue construction at TPS-1.
- Continue construction at PS-7, PS-5, PS-4, PS-6, PS-2, and the Switching Station.

- Continue to install conduit and foundations for signal and wayside power cubicle (WPC) units in Segment 4 and Segment 2.
- Continue to install impedance bond connections.
- Continue to install IJs.
- Continue to install bridge attachments.
- Continue to coordinate with stakeholders on the consistent warning time solution and advance location-specific design.
- Continue to progress location-specific design for grade crossing system.
- Continue planning process for signal cutovers.
- Review BBII work plans for upcoming construction activities.
- Coordinate with PG&E on final design and construction for PG&E infrastructure.
- Coordinate with local jurisdictions to review designs.
- Continue tree pruning and removals.

3.2. Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition

SCADA is a system that monitors and controls field devices for electrification, including traction power substations (TPS), wayside power cubicles (WPC), and the OCS. SCADA will be integrated with the base operating system for Caltrain Operations and Control, which is the Rail Operations Center System (ROCS). A separate control console will be established for the Power Director.

Activity This Month

- Submitted formal schedule for review and Monthly Progress Report.
- Completed writing SCADA Operations User Manual.
- Completed Installation and Cutover Plan.
- Completed writing Training Manual.
- Began work on Training Plan.
- Continued progress on Pre FAT including addressing discrepancies.

- Prepare and deliver the Monthly Report and the Monthly Schedule Update.
- Attend project status meetings (virtually).
- Support ongoing discussions concerning RFIs.
- Complete Pre-FAT (including completion of newly identified Pre-FAT defects).
- Address comments received for Operations User Manual.
- Address comments received for Training Manual.
- Complete Training Plan.

3.3. Tunnel Modification

Tunnel modifications will be required on the four tunnels located in San Francisco. This effort is needed to accommodate the required clearance for the OCS to support electrification of the corridor. Outside of the PCEP scope, Caltrain Engineering has requested the PCEP team to manage completion of design and construction for the Tunnel 1 and Tunnel 4 Drainage and Track Rehabilitation Project. The Tunnel Drainage and Track Rehabilitation Project.

Activity This Month

- Letter and Requests for Information closeout.
- Change Order reconciliation.

Activity Next Month

- Review and respond to letters.
- Install signage inside all tunnels.
- OCS Testing (Neta Testing).
- Punch List items.

3.4. Interconnection Construction

The PCEP will require a 115-kV interconnection to supply power from the PG&E substations to the Caltrain substations in San Jose and South San Francisco. Construction of the interconnections will be performed by PG&E under an amendment to Supplemental Agreement No. 2.

Activity This Month

- Continued bi-weekly meeting with PG&E.
- Began finalizing contract with second TPS-2 bidder after the first bidder withdrew their proposal.
- Received approval for staging at TPS-2.
- Completed bid evaluation by PG&E for TPS-1 overhead structures.
- Submitted laydown area design staging at TPS-1 for review.

- Continue bi-weekly meeting with PG&E.
- Coordinate the laydown staging materials with VTA.
- Coordinate ROW acquisition for TPS-1 and TPS-2.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

4.0 ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNITS

This section reports on the progress of the Electric Multiple Units (EMU) procurement and the Centralized Equipment Maintenance and Operations Facility (CEMOF) modifications.

4.1. Electric Multiple Units

The procurement of EMUs, or trainsets, from Stadler consists of a Base Order of 96 railcars, plus an Option Order of an additional 37 railcars, for a total of 133 railcars. The cars from these two orders will be combined and delivered as 19 seven-car Trainsets. The Base Order is funded from PCEP, and Option Order funded by a Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) grant. One more Option for additional cars is available.

Activity This Month

- COVID-19 related actions continued for the fifth month causing mixed disruptions to Stadler's activities:
 - Stadler's three manufacturing facilities (two in Switzerland and one in Salt Lake City) supporting the Caltrain Project have returned to near normal levels of activity.
 - The Switzerland-based manufacturing of car shells and trucks frames is on schedule.
 - Salt Lake City-based manufacturing is delayed due to previously incurred person-power limitations and sub-supplier parts shortages.
 - Stadler has submitted a request for an 'excusable delay' due to COVID-19. The extent of the continuing delay is being evaluated. Currently, shipping the first trainset to Pueblo, Colorado for testing has been delayed 3 months to November 2020, and the first trainset to be delivered to Caltrain delayed 6 weeks to the end of April 2021.
 - Salt Lake City-based 'Type Testing' of Trainset No. 1 continues to be on hold since key Stadler and sub-supplier personnel cannot travel to the United States. The current delay in testing is estimated at one day for each day of COVID-19 travel restrictions.
 - Stadler has material for about three trainsets, but the disrupted supply chain will likely create shortages and production delays.
- Final Design Reviews remain to be completed for three systems. These softwarebased systems include 'Train Control,' 'Monitoring and Diagnostics,' and 'Car Control.' Completion is scheduled for August 2020 and must be performed prior to the commencement of Type Testing.
- First Article Inspections (FAI) continue to have their paperwork formalized and closed out.
- 43 car shells have been shipped from Stadler Switzerland, with 34 onsite in Stadler's Salt Lake City facility.
- Quality Assurance audits of USA-based sub-suppliers were halted in mid-March due to COVID-19 travel restriction. Audits will commence when sub-suppliers reopen.

• PCEP, FRA and Caltrain Management meeting took place in Salt Lake City. The FRA advised changes to the bike car to further contain bikes in the event of an accident.

Activity Next Month

- Continue to close out system level FDRs and FAIs.
- Re-baseline Stadler trainset delivery and testing schedule on Caltrain property.
- Continue to support Caltrain/PCEP system integration and rail startup activation activities

4.2. Centralized Equipment Maintenance and Operations Facility Modifications

The CEMOF Modifications Project will provide work areas to perform maintenance on new EMUs.

Activity This Month

- Continued processing submittals, RFIs, and SSWPs.
- Started shoring for the catch basins at the maintenance pit and installed catch basins at the north pit.
- ProVen continued working on shoring design for the boosted water line and the shallow fire sprinkler line.
- Completed removal of the Class II soil, ballast, and sub-ballast from the Track 5 area.
- Worked on various Change Order work.
- Completed partial excavation of south pit.
- Completed backfill/infill of the slope at the boosted water line.
- Completed installation of the industrial waste line at the north pit.
- Completed compaction of base rock at the south pit.
- Completed waterproofing of the pit footing.
- Worked on Field Instruction 025 at Parts Storage Warehouse (PSW) for the power feed final connection.
- Graded ramps at the PSW and installed rebar for the ramps.

- Start erection of the Parts Storage Warehouse Building.
- Design the shoring for the shallow fire sprinkler line.
- Installation of the trench drain at the south pit.
- Installation of water stops and shutoffs.
- Concrete pour of the footing at the south pit.
- Prepare shop drawings for wall at Component Test Room.

5.0 SAFETY

Safety and Security requirements and plans are necessary to comply with applicable laws and regulations related to safety, security, and emergency response activities. Safety staff coordinates with contractors to review and plan the implementation of contract program safety requirements. Safety project coordination meetings continue to be conducted on a monthly basis to promote a clear understanding of project safety requirements as defined in contract provisions and program safety documents.

Activity This Month

- Project staff provided input and continued its participation in the BBII contractor workforce safety meetings. Project incidents continue to be reviewed with project staff to reinforce the application of recommended safety mitigation measures.
- Conducted 2020 monthly employee injury reviews for BBII and its subcontractors.
- Provided project safety and security updates at the FTA Quarterly Meeting.
- Continued to provide input and oversight of the contractor SSWP safety provisions and ongoing safety construction oversight and inspections.
- Conducted the monthly project Safety and Security Certification and Fire/Life Safety Meetings.
- Performed reviews and provided comments on the BBII Safety and Security Certification Design Criteria Conformance Checklists (DCCC) submittals.
- Participated with internal stakeholders in Rail Activation Committee meetings.
- Investigated project incident occurrences and worked with the contractor representatives to identify incident root causes and develop and implement safety and security mitigation measures.
- Conducted ongoing safety inspections of contractor field activities and performed pre-work site hazards assessment walks with BBII and subcontractor staff.
- Performed hi-rail vehicle safety inspections of contractor on-track equipment.
- Participated in weekly project coordination meetings with the contractor to review open issues and recommended action items.
- Continued to coordinate with JPB Safety and the project contractors with the application of mitigation measures in response to the evolving COVID-19 virus.

- Monthly virtual safety communication meetings continue to be scheduled for the Project Safety and Security Certification Committee, Fire/Life Safety Committee, Rail Activation Committee, and other project-related contractor and JPB safety meetings to discuss safety priorities.
- Continue focus on performing site safety inspections on the OCS foundations, pole installations, potholing, Tunnel, and CEMOF work to assess safety work practices and identify additional opportunities for improvement. Conduct contractor equipment inspections as needed.
- Continue to meet with the PCEP contractors, JPB safety, and TransitAmerica Services, Inc. (TASI) to identify opportunities to further improve project safety performance and continue to reinforce lessons learned safety mitigation recommendations resulting from prior project incidents.

- Reinforce the ongoing application of recommended mitigation measures in response to the evolving COVID-19 virus.
- Investigate project incident occurrences as needed and work with the contractor representatives to identify incident root causes and develop and implement safety and security mitigation measures.

6.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Quality Assurance (QA) staff performs technical reviews for planning, implementing, evaluating, and maintaining an effective program to verify that all equipment, structures, components, systems, and facilities are designed, procured, constructed, installed, and maintained in accordance with established criteria and applicable codes and standards throughout the design, construction, startup and commissioning of the PCEP.

Activity This Month

- Staff meetings with BBII QA/Quality Control (QC) management representatives continue weekly.
- Continued review of BBII-generated Nonconformance Reports (NCR) and Construction Discrepancy Reports for proper discrepancy condition, cause, disposition, corrective and preventive action and verification of closure.
- Continued review and approval of Design Variance Requests for BBII and PGH Wong for QA/QC and inspection issues/concerns.
- Continued review of BBII QC Inspectors Daily Reports, Construction QC Reports and Surveillance Reports for work scope, performance of required duties, adequacy, non-conformances, test/inspection results, follow-up on unresolved issues, and preciseness.
- Continued review of BBII Material Receipt Reports, Certificates of Conformance, Certified Tests Reports, and Certificates of Analysis to ensure delivered project materials conform to specifications, and that contractually required quality and test support documents are adequate and reflect concise conditions per the purchase order requirements.
- Continued regularly scheduled design reviews and surveillances on project design packages.
- Completed a second shift audit of BBII OCS Poles and Wires Field Activities with two Findings. Parts two and three of the further assemblies related to this activity will occur this fall.
- Conduct BBII audit of the repair of OCS foundation at 43.9-04.

Table 6-1 below provides details on the status of audits performed through the reporting period.

Quality Assurance Activity	This Reporting Period	Total to Date				
Audits Conducted	2	121				
Audit Findings						
Audit Findings Issued	2	78				
Audit Findings Open	10	10				
Audit Findings Closed	0	68				
No	Non-Conformances					
Non-Conformances Issued	0	10				
Non-Conformances Open	0	1				
Non-Conformances Closed	0	9				

 Table 6-1 Quality Assurance Audit Summary

- Conduct field surveillances at TPS-1.
- Conduct audit of BBII/MRS first and second shift field activities signal house installation details.

7.0 SCHEDULE

The program critical path continues to run through the manufacturing and testing of EMU trainsets. In May 2020, the program schedule was updated to reflect COVID-19 impacts on the Stadler vehicle schedule. The effect of COVID-19 on Stadler is evolving and will continue to be monitored.

As the COVID-19 pandemic is a force majeure event, the JPB is reviewing its Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) RSD obligation.

Shown below, Table 7-1 indicates major milestone dates for the MPS.

Milestones	Program Plan	Progress Schedule (July 2020) ¹
Arrival of First Vehicle in Pueblo, CO	N/A	11/20/2020 ²
Arrival of First Vehicle at JPB (after Pueblo testing)	N/A	04/02/2021 ²
Segment 4 Completion	11/21/2019	03/25/2021
 Interconnection from PG&E Substation to Traction Power Substation (TPS) 	N/A	12/10/2020
PG&E Provides Permanent Power	09/09/2021	09/09/2021
Electrification Substantial Completion	08/10/2020	02/26/2022 ²
Start Phased Revenue Service	N/A	02/27/2022 ²
RSD (w/o Risk Contingency)	12/09/2021	07/22/2022 ²
FFGA RSD (w/ Risk Contingency)	08/22/2022	08/22/2022

Table 7-1 Schedule Status

Note:

Dates may shift slightly as the update of this month's Progress Schedule is still in process.
 See "Notable Variances" for explanation on date shift.

Notable Variances

BBII continues to report an overall delay to substantial completion. JPB is working with BBII on the issue and is urging BBII to accelerate resolution. Within the month of July, the variances relative to the BBII schedule are due to signal design progressing slower than the progress assumed in the baseline schedule, slow progress on Traction Power Facilities design and construction, and slow progress on OCS foundation design resolution and installation.

Table 7-2 Critical Path Summary

Activity	Start	Finish
Manufacturing, Testing & Acceptance of Trainsets 1 - 14	08/13/2018	07/22/2022
RSD w/out Risk Contingency	05/06/2022	07/22/2022
FFGA RSD w/ Risk Contingency	08/22/2022	08/22/2022

Schedule Hold Points

Schedule Hold Points (SHP) represent key milestones on or near a schedule's critical path that are used as measurement points with respect to contingency drawdown. Delays to these key milestones have the potential to require a program to utilize available contingency. Table 7-3 below reflects the SHPs for the PCEP program schedule. The dates indicated reflect the planned completion dates for each SHP.

Table 7-3 Schedule Hold Points

Schedule Hold Point (SHP)	Date
FTA/PMOC Risk Refresh	08/30/2016 (A)
Begin EMU Manufacturing	12/04/2017 (A)
Arrival of 1 st Trainset in Salt Lake City	02/04/2019 (A)
Arrival of 1 st Trainset in Pueblo, CO	11/20/2020
Arrival of 1 st Trainset at JPB	04/02/2021
Segment 4 Completion	03/25/2021
Conditional Acceptance of 1 st Trainset	12/03/2021
System Electrified	02/26/2022
Begin Phased Revenue Service	02/27/2022
Conditional Acceptance of 14th Trainset	07/22/2022
FFGA RSD w/ Risk Contingency	08/22/2022

Note: "(A)" denotes an actual completion

8.0 BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES

The summary of overall budget and expenditure status for the PCEP and Third-Party Improvements is shown in the following tables. Table 8-1 reflects the Electrification budget, Table 8-2 the EMU budget, Table 8-3 the overall PCEP budget, and Table 8-4 Third Party Improvements budget. Table 8-5 summarizes the budget transfers of contingency completed this month.

Description of Work	Budget	Current Budget	Cost This Month	Cost To Date	Estimate To Complete	Estimate At Completion
	(A)	(B) ¹	(C) ²	(D) ³	(E)	(F) = (D) + (E)
ELECTRIFICATION						
Electrification (4)	\$696,610,558	\$729,611,442	\$9,290,629	\$411,724,304	\$317,887,138	\$729,611,442
SCADA	\$0	\$3,446,917	\$0	\$1,934,371	\$1,512,546	\$3,446,917
Tunnel Modifications	\$11,029,649	\$41,453,871	\$45,261	\$41,069,236	\$384,635	\$41,453,871
Real Estate	\$28,503,369	\$28,503,369	\$805,645	\$22,144,806	\$6,358,563	\$28,503,369
Private Utilities	\$63,515,298	\$117,669,634	\$1,892,368	\$90,819,344	\$26,850,291	\$117,669,634
Management Oversight (5)	\$141,506,257	\$155,617,251	\$1,830,606	\$143,147,312	\$12,469,939	\$155,617,251
Executive Management	\$7,452,866	\$9,214,226	\$120,039	\$8,553,278	\$660,948	\$9,214,226
Planning	\$7,281,997	\$6,281,997	\$8,813	\$5,827,812	\$454,185	\$6,281,997
Community Relations	\$2,789,663	\$1,789,663	\$3,857	\$1,583,457	\$206,206	\$1,789,663
Safety & Security	\$2,421,783	\$4,297,861	\$100,691	\$3,632,560	\$665,300	\$4,297,861
Project Management Services	\$19,807,994	\$17,526,725	\$135,129	\$13,257,358	\$4,269,367	\$17,526,725
Engineering & Construction	\$11,805,793	\$12,851,637	\$217,157	\$11,176,961	\$1,674,676	\$12,851,637
Electrification Eng & Mgmt	\$50,461,707	\$50,461,707	\$607,214	\$49,732,884	\$728,824	\$50,461,707
Construction Management	\$0	\$7,553,100	\$447,247	\$5,133,410	\$2,419,691	\$7,553,100
IT Support	\$312,080	\$407,170	\$0	\$407,170	\$0	\$407,170
Operations Support	\$1,445,867	\$2,758,632	\$19,166	\$2,738,577	\$20,055	\$2,758,632
General Support	\$4,166,577	\$5,566,577	\$81,530	\$5,789,498	(\$222,920)	\$5,566,577
Budget / Grants / Finance	\$1,229,345	\$1,429,345	(\$483)	\$1,354,264	\$75,081	\$1,429,345
Legal	\$2,445,646	\$4,993,672	\$26,058	\$4,667,415	\$326,257	\$4,993,672
Other Direct Costs	\$5,177,060	\$5,777,060	\$64,188	\$4,584,790	\$1,192,270	\$5,777,060
Prior Costs 2002 - 2013	\$24,707,878	\$24,707,878	\$0	\$24,707,878	\$0	\$24,707,878
TASI Support	\$55,275,084	\$57,475,084	\$1,397,267	\$43,398,332	\$14,076,752	\$57,475,084
Insurance	\$3,500,000	\$4,543,588	\$0	\$4,543,588	\$0	\$4,543,588
Environmental Mitigations	\$15,798,320	\$14,754,390	\$0	\$756,777	\$13,997,614	\$14,754,390
Required Projects	\$17,337,378	\$14,253,335	\$25,371	\$942,910	\$13,310,425	\$14,253,335
Maintenance Training	\$1,021,808	\$1,021,808	\$0	\$0	\$1,021,808	\$1,021,808
Finance Charges	\$5,056,838	\$6,137,156	\$19,775	\$4,009,931	\$2,127,225	\$6,137,156
Contingency	\$276,970,649	\$141,637,362	N/A	N/A	\$72,325,206	\$72,325,206
Forecasted Costs and Changes	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$69,312,156	\$69,312,156
ELECTRIFICATION SUBTOTAL	\$1,316,125,208	\$1,316,125,208	\$15,306,921	\$764,490,911	\$551,634,297	\$1,316,125,208

Table 8-1 Electrification Budget & Expenditure Status

Notes regarding tables above:

^{1.} Column B "Current Budget" includes executed change orders and awarded contracts.

^{2.} Column C "Cost This Month" represents the cost of work performed this month.

^{3.} Column D "Cost To Date" includes actuals (amount paid) and accruals (amount of work performed) to date.

^{4.} Cost To Date for "Electrification" includes 5% for Contractor's retention until authorization of retention release.

^{5.} The agency labor is actual through June 2020 and accrued for July 2020.

Description of Work	Budget (A)	Current Budget (B) ¹	Cost This Month (C) ²	Cost To Date (D) ³	Estimate To Complete (E)	Estimate At Completion (F) = (D) + (E)
EMU						
EMU	\$550,899,459	\$555,292,618	\$4,225,280	\$179,683,722	\$375,608,896	\$555,292,618
CEMOF Modifications	\$1,344,000	\$6,780,711	\$60,643	\$3,892,146	\$2,888,565	\$6,780,711
Management Oversight (4)	\$64,139,103	\$61,504,005	\$687,313	\$45,468,026	\$16,035,978	\$61,504,005
Executive Management	\$5,022,302	\$6,263,136	\$58,830	\$5,275,932	\$987,204	\$6,263,136
Community Relations	\$1,685,614	\$985,614	\$2,364	\$657,870	\$327,744	\$985,614
Safety & Security	\$556,067	\$766,796	\$14,949	\$597,877	\$168,919	\$766,796
Project Mgmt Services	\$13,275,280	\$11,275,280	\$84,180	\$8,560,344	\$2,714,937	\$11,275,280
Eng & Construction	\$89,113	\$89,113	\$0	\$23,817	\$65,296	\$89,113
EMU Eng & Mgmt	\$32,082,556	\$29,981,014	\$380,031	\$21,060,867	\$8,920,147	\$29,981,014
Construction Management	\$0	\$1,501,543	\$57,339	\$825,450	\$676,093	\$1,501,543
ITSupport	\$1,027,272	\$952,089	\$10,881	\$647,892	\$304,198	\$952,089
Operations Support	\$1,878,589	\$781,858	\$4,941	\$408,377	\$373,481	\$781,858
General Support	\$2,599,547	\$2,599,547	\$34,952	\$2,494,336	\$105,211	\$2,599,547
Budget / Grants / Finance	\$712,123	\$1,012,123	\$105	\$899,692	\$112,431	\$1,012,123
Legal	\$1,207,500	\$1,292,752	\$0	\$1,236,543	\$56,209	\$1,292,752
Other Direct Costs	\$4,003,139	\$4,003,139	\$38,744	\$2,779,032	\$1,224,107	\$4,003,139
TASI Support	\$2,740,000	\$2,789,493	\$22,866	\$208,198	\$2,581,295	\$2,789,493
Insurance	\$0	\$38,263	\$0	\$38,263	\$0	\$38,263
Required Projects	\$4,500,000	\$3,927,821	\$0	\$538,280	\$3,389,541	\$3,927,821
Finance Charges	\$1,941,800	\$3,761,482	\$12,120	\$2,457,700	\$1,303,782	\$3,761,482
Contingency	\$38,562,962	\$30,032,932	N/A	N/A	\$26,774,234	\$26,774,234
Forecasted Costs and Changes	\$0	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$3,258,698	\$3,258,698
EMU SUBTOTAL	\$664,127,325	\$664,127,325	\$5,008,222	\$232,286,335	\$431,840,990	\$664,127,325

Table 8-2 EMU Budget & Expenditure Status

Notes regarding tables above:

^{1.} Column B "Current Budget" includes executed change orders and awarded contracts.

^{2.} Column C "Cost This Month" represents the cost of work performed this month.

^{3.} Column D "Cost To Date" includes actuals (amount paid) and accruals (amount of work performed) to date.

^{4.} The agency labor is actual through June 2020 and accrued for July 2020.

Table 8-3 PCEP Budget & Expenditure Status

Description of Work	Budget (A)	Current Budget (B) ¹	Cost This Month (C)²	Cost To Date (D) ³	Estimate To Complete	Estimate At Completion (F) = (D) + (E)
Electrification Subtotal	\$1,316,125,208	\$1,316,125,208	\$15,306,921	\$764,490,911	(E) \$551,634,297	(F) = (D) + (E) \$1,316,125,208
EMU Subtotal	\$664,127,325	\$664,127,325	\$5,008,222	\$232,286,335	\$431,840,990	\$664,127,325
PCEP TOTAL	\$1,980,252,533	\$1,980,252,533	\$20,315,144	\$996,777,245	\$983,475,287	\$1,980,252,533

Notes regarding tables above:

^{1.} Column B "Current Budget" includes executed change orders and awarded contracts.

^{2.} Column C "Cost This Month" represents the cost of work performed this month.

^{3.} Column D "Cost To Date" includes actuals (amount paid) and accruals (amount of work performed) to date.

Description of Work	Budget	Current Budget	Cost This Month	Cost To Date	Estimate To Complete	Estimate At Completion
	(A)	(B) ¹	(C) ²	(D) ³	(E)	(F) = (D) + (E)
CHSRA Early Pole Relocation	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$941,706	\$0	\$941,706
PS-3 Relocation (Design)	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$350,000	\$500,000
PS-3 Relocation (FEMA, BGSP Design Coord.)	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000
TPSS-2 VTA/PCEP Pole Relocation (Design)	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$16,500	\$110,000	\$0	\$110,000
TPSS-2 VTA/PCEP Pole Height (Redesign)	\$31,000	\$31,000	\$27,900	\$27,900	\$3,100	\$31,000
EMU Option Cars	\$172,800,047	\$172,800,047	\$933,120	\$55,158,731	\$117,641,316	\$172,800,047
Add Flip-Up Seats into Bike Cars	\$1,961,350	\$1,961,350	\$0	\$980,675	\$980,675	\$1,961,350
Update Virtual Reality Experience	\$43,000	\$43,000	\$0	\$0	\$43,000	\$43,000
CNPA TOTAL	\$176,495,397	\$176,495,397	\$977,520	\$57,369,012	\$119,068,091	\$176,437,103

Table 8-4 Third Party Improvements/CNPA Budget & Expenditure Status

Notes regarding tables above:

^{1.} Column B "Current Budget" includes executed change orders and awarded contracts.

^{2.} Column C "Cost This Month" represents the cost of work paid this month.

^{3.} Column D "Cost To Date" includes actuals (amount paid) to date.

Table 8-4 shows improvements outside of the scope of PCEP that are funded with non-PCEP funds. These improvements are implemented through the PCEP contracts. In FTA terminology, these efforts are categorized as Concurrent Non-Project Activities (CNPA).

- CHSRA Early Pole Relocation: Relocation of 196 OCS poles as part of PCEP. Implementing these pole relocations minimizes future cost and construction impacts. This scope is funded by the CHSRA.
- PS-3 Relocation (Design): Relocate PS-3 (Burlingame) as part of PCEP to avoid a future conflict with the Broadway Grade Separation Project (BGSP). This scope is funded by the BGSP.
- PS-3 Relocation (FEMA, BGSP Design Coord.): PS-3 Relocation FEMA Update and Design Coordination: Perform incremental design effort related to the 2019 FEMA requirement update to the flood plain map and design coordination with the BGSP. This scope is funded by the BGSP.
- TPSS-2 VTA/PCEP Pole Relocation and Height (Design): Design changes due to the relocation of VTA/BART Pole at TPSS-2 location and pole height redesign for live line clearances. This scope is funded by the VTA.
- EMU Option Cars: Exercise Stadler Contract Option for 37 additional EMUs. This scope is funded with a combination of TIRCP and matching local funds.
- Add Flip-Up Seats into Bike Cars: Stadler contract change order to add four additional flip-up seats in each of the two unpowered (bike) cars per trainset (eight total per trainset). This scope is funded by Caltrain outside of the PCEP.
- Update Virtual Reality Experience: Stadler contract change order to update the virtual reality experience to reflect the latest configuration of the trainsets. This scope is funded by Caltrain outside of the PCEP.

Transfer	Description	Contingency ¹
ELECTRIFICATION		
BBI-053-CCO-072A	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Task 1) - Voided	(\$80,000)
BBI-053-CCO-072A REV2	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Tasks 0-5)	\$300,000
BBI-053-CCO-078	Re-design CEMOF OCS Poles due to Stair 71 Conflict	\$11,796
BBI-053-CCO-084A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$101,334
BBI-053-CCO-085A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$94,062
BBI-053-CCO-103	Track Access Delays – 2017 Quarter 3	\$145,892
BBI-053-CCO-104	Utility Conflict During PVC Conduit Installation	\$2,657
BBI-053-CCO-025B	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 - Wire Assembly Materials Only - Voided	(\$144,370)
BBI-053-CCO-025C	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 – Pole Assembly Materials Only - Voided	(\$884,500)
PROV-070-CCO-032	Stone Masonry Fabrication at T-4S	\$26,367
PROV-070-CCO-035	Low Overhead Obstruction at T-1N	\$18,894
BT-005C	Budget Allocation for Jacobs CM Services for FY21 H1	\$3,053,324
BT-017B	B&G Safety & Security Support FY21 H1	\$606,474
BT-027B	Legal Support FY21 H1	\$237,941
BT-028B	RSE Utility Locating Support for FY21 H1	\$479,177
	ELECTRIFICATION SUBTOTAL	\$3,969,047
EMU		
PROV-071-CCO-028	Credit for Electrical Feed to Parts Storage Warehouse	(\$18,682)
PROV-071-CCO-029B	Shoring Design for Boosted Water Line Work	\$2,175
PROV-071-CCO-032B	Water Diversion Pump for Catch Basin Work	\$3,621
PROV-071-CCO-035	Settlement Slab Demolition	\$479
PROV-071-CCO-036	Storm Drain Line A	\$2,066
BT-017B	B&G Safety & Security Support FY21 H1	\$1,500
BT-027B	Legal Support FY21 H1	\$41,279
	EMU SUBTOTAL	\$32,438
	PCEP TOTAL	\$4,001,485

Table 8-5 B	udget Transfei	rs of Contingency
-------------	----------------	-------------------

Notes regarding tables above:

^{1.} Budget amount transferred from project contingency. A negative amount represents a credit to contingency.

Table 8-5 shows budget transfers of project contingency implemented during the current monthly reporting period. This table includes contingency transfers for both executed contract change orders as covered under Section 9.0 and uses of contingency for Program budget line items outside the five PCEP contracts.

Appendix D includes costs broken down by Standard Cost Code (SCC) format. This format is required for reporting of costs to the FTA. The overall project total in the SCC format is lower than the project costs in table 8-3. This is due to the exclusion of costs incurred prior to the project entering the Project Development phase.

9.0 CHANGE MANAGEMENT

The change management process establishes a formal administrative work process associated with the initiation, documentation, coordination, review, approval and implementation of changes that occur during the design, construction or manufacturing of the PCEP. The change management process accounts for impacts of the changes and ensures prudent use of contingency.

Currently the PCEP contracts are BBII, CEMOF, Stadler, SCADA, Tunnel Modifications, and Amtrak.

A log of all executed change orders can be found in Appendix E.

Executed Contract Change Orders (CCO) This Month

Electrification Contract

Change Order Authority (5% of BBII Contract)

5% x \$696,610,558 = \$34,830,528

Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount
7/9/2020	BBI-053-CCO-072A	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Task 1) - Voided		(\$80,000)
7/9/2020	BBI-053-CCO-072A REV2	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Tasks 0-5)		\$300,000
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-078	Re-design CEMOF OCS Poles due to Stair 71 Conflict		\$11,796
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-084A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)		\$101,334
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-085A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)		\$94,062
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-104	Utility Conflict During PVC Conduit Installation		\$2,657
7/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-103	Track Access Delays – 2017 Quarter 3		\$145,892
7/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-025B	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 - Wire Assembly Materials Only - Voided		(\$144,370)
7/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-025C	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 – Pole Assembly Materials Only - Voided		(\$884,500)
			Total	(\$453,129)

¹ (When indicated) Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

EMU Contract

Change Order Authority (5% of Stadler Contract)			5% x \$550,899,459	= \$27,544,973
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount
7/13/2020	STA-056-CCO-026	Update VR Experiences (CNPA: \$43K funded by Non-PCEP)		\$43,000
			Total	\$43,000
1 (14/1)		by the Board of Directory and equipted excinct the Evenutive Director's	Oherstein Orales Austhersites	

¹ (When indicated) Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

SCADA Contract

Change Order Authority (15% of ARINC Contract)			15% x \$3,446,917 = \$517,038	
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount
	None		Tatal	\$00\$0\$00\$0\$000\$00_0\$0\$0_0\$0\$0_0\$0\$00_0\$0\$0_0\$00_0\$0\$00_0\$00_0\$0\$00_0\$00_0
			Total	50

¹ (When indicated) Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

Tunnel Modification Contract

Change Order Authority (10% of ProVen Contract) ²			10% x \$38	,477,777 = \$3,847,778
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount
7/31/2020	PROV-070-CCO-032	Stone Masonry Fabrication at T-4S		\$26,367
7/31/2020	PROV-070-CCO-035	Low Overhead Obstruction at T-1N		\$18,894
			Total	\$45,261

¹ (When indicated) Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority. ² Tunnel modification contract (\$38,477,777) includes: Notching (\$25,281,170) and Drainage (\$13,196,607).

^{3.} Third Party Improvements/CNPA Projects that are funded with non-PCEP funds.

CEMOF Contract

Change Order Authority (10% of ProVen Contract)				10% x \$6,550,777 = \$655,078
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount
6/10/2020	PROV-071-CCO-028	Credit for Electrical Feed to Parts Storage Warehouse		(\$18,682)
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO- 029B	Shoring Design for Boosted Water Line Work		\$2,175
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO- 032B	Water Diversion Pump for Catch Basin Work		\$3,621
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO-035	Settlement Slab Demolition		\$479
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO-036	Storm Drain Line A		\$2,066
			Total	(\$10,341)

¹ (When indicated) Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

Amtrak AEM-7 Contract

Change Order Authority (Lump Sum)				Up to \$150,000
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount
	None			\$O
			Total	\$0

9-2

Notes:

^{1.} When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.

10.0 FUNDING

Figure 10-1 depicts a summary of the funding plan for the PCEP. It provides a breakdown of the funding partners as well as the allocated funds. In the last month, FTA awarded \$97 million in Section 5307 funding for the project. Staff are now working with FTA to award the next \$100 million in Core Capacity funding for the project.




Figure 10-1 Funding Plan

Fund Source	Amount	%
FTA Core Capacity	\$647,000,000	32.67%
FTA Section 5307 (EMU only)*	\$315,000,000	15.91%
FTA Section 5307 (Environmental / Pre Development only)	\$15,676,000	0.79%
Prop 1A	\$600,000,000	30.30%
High Speed Rail Cap and Trade	\$113,000,000	5.71%
Transit & Intercity Rail Capital Program	\$20,000,000	1.01%
Prop 1B (Public Transportation Modernization & Improvement Account)	\$8,000,000	0.40%
Bridge Toll Funds (RM1/RM2)	\$39,430,000	1.99%
Carl Moyer	\$20,000,000	1.01%
SFCTA/SFMTA**	\$41,382,178	2.09%
SMCTA Measure A	\$41,382,178	2.09%
VTA Measure A	\$41,382,177	2.09%
Santa Clara (VTA) 7-Party MOU Contribution	\$20,000,000	1.01%
San Francisco 7-Party MOU Contribution	\$20,000,000	1.01%
San Mateo (SMCTA) 7-Party MOU Contribution	\$20,000,000	1.01%
Caltrain Low Carbon Transit Operations Cap and Trade	\$9,000,000	0.45%
Prior Local Contribution	\$9,000,000	0.45%
Total	\$1,980,252,533	

Notes:

*Includes necessary fund transfer with SMCTA

**Includes \$4M CMAQ Transfer considered part of SF local contribution

11.0 RISK MANAGEMENT

The risk management process is conducted in an iterative fashion throughout the life of the project. During this process, new risks are identified, other risks are resolved or managed, and potential impacts and severity modified based on the current situation. The Risk Management team's progress report includes a summary on the effectiveness of the Risk Management Plan, any unanticipated effects, and any correction needed to handle the risk appropriately.

The Risk Management team meets monthly to identify risks and corresponding mitigation measures. Each risk is graded based on the potential cost and schedule impacts they could have on the project. This collection of risks has the greatest potential to affect the outcome of the project and consequently is monitored most closely. For each of the noted risks, as well as for all risks on the risk register, mitigation measures have been identified and are being implemented. Progress in mitigating these risks is confirmed at monthly risk assessment meetings attended by project team management and through continuous monitoring of the Risk Management Lead.

The team has identified the following items as top risks for the project (see Appendix F for the complete Risk Table):

- 1. The contractor may not complete and install signal design including two-speed check (2SC) modifications within budget and schedule.
- 2. Extent of differing site conditions and associated redesign efforts results in delays to the completion of the electrification contract and increases program costs.
- 3. Sub-optimal contractor sequencing, when progressing design and clearing foundation locations may result in construction inefficiencies.
- 4. Property not acquired in time for contractor to do work.
- 5. Additional property acquisition is necessitated by change in design.
- 6. TASI may not have sufficient number of signal maintainers for testing.
- 7. Contractor generates hazardous materials that necessitate proper removal and disposal in excess of contract allowances and expectations.
- 8. Collaboration across multiple disciplines to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet.

Activity This Month

- Updated risk descriptions, effects, and mitigations based upon weekly input from risk owners. Monthly cycle of risk updating was completed based on schedules established in the Risk Identification and Mitigation Plan.
- Updated risk retirement dates based upon revisions to the project schedule and input from risk owners.
- Continued weekly monitoring of risk mitigation actions and publishing of the risk register.

- The Risk Management team attended Project Delivery, Vehicle Design, and Systems Integration meetings to monitor developments associated with risks and to identify new risks.
- Finalized summary of risk refresh.

Figures 11-1 and 11-2 show the risks identified for the program. Risks are categorized as top risk, upcoming risk, and all other risks. The categories are based on a rating scale composed of schedule and cost factors. Top risks are considered to have a significantly higher than average risk grade. Upcoming risks are risks for which mitigating action must be taken within 60 days. All other risks are risks not falling into other categories.




Figure 11-1 Monthly Status of Risks

Total Number of Active Risks = 82




Figure 11-2 Risk Classification

Total Number of Active Risks = 82

Activity Next Month

- Conduct weekly monitoring of risk mitigation actions and continue publishing risk register.
- Update risk descriptions, effects, mitigations and retirement dates based on weekly monitoring and attendance at key project meetings.
- Convene Risk Assessment Committee meeting.

12.0 ENVIRONMENTAL

12.1. Permits

The PCEP has obtained the required environmental permits from the following agencies/federal regulations: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), United States Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (SFWQCB), the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission.

Activity This Month

None

Activity Next Month

None

12.2. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that a Lead Agency establish a program to monitor and report on mitigation measures that it has adopted as part of the environmental review process. The PCEP team has prepared a MMRP to ensure that mitigation measures identified in the PCEP Environmental Impact Report are fully implemented during project implementation. PCEP will implement the mitigation measures through its own actions, those of the DB contractor and actions taken in cooperation with other agencies and entities. The status of each mitigation measure in the MMRP is included in Appendix G.

Activity This Month

- Environmental compliance monitors were present during project activities (OCS pole foundation installation, potholing for utility location, grading, tree trimming/removal, conduit installation, abandoned signal cable removal, etc.) occurring in areas that required environmental compliance monitoring. The monitoring was conducted in accordance with measures in the MMRP in an effort to minimize potential impacts on sensitive environmental resources.
- Noise and vibration monitoring also occurred during project activities, and nonhazardous soil was removed from the right of way (ROW).
- Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) delineation (staking and/or fencing) occurred to delineate jurisdictional waterways and other potentially sensitive areas that should be avoided during upcoming construction activities. Pre-construction nesting bird surveys during the nesting bird season continued (nesting bird season is defined as February 1 through September 15), and pre-construction surveys for sensitive avian species continued at previously identified potential habitat locations. Wildlife exclusion fencing installation and monitoring occurred adjacent to portions of the alignment designated for wildlife exclusion fencing.
- Best management practices (BMPs) installation and maintenance (e.g., silt fencing, straw wattles with no monofilament netting per wildlife agency permit requirements, soil covers, etc.) occurred at equipment staging areas and other

work areas throughout the alignment in accordance with the project-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

Activity Next Month

- Environmental compliance monitors will continue to monitor project activities (OCS pole foundation installation, pot holing for utility location, tree trimming/removal, conduit installation, utility removal, abandoned signal cable removal, permanent fence installation, etc.) occurring in areas that require environmental compliance monitoring in an effort to minimize potential impacts on sensitive environmental resources in accordance with the MMRP.
- Noise and vibration monitoring of project activities will continue to occur and nonhazardous soil will continue to be removed.
- Biological surveyors will continue to conduct pre-construction surveys for sensitive wildlife species ahead of project activities. Pre-construction nesting bird surveys during the nesting bird season will continue (nesting bird season is defined as February 1 through September 15).
- BMPs installation will continue in accordance with the project-specific SWPPP, and ESA staking and fencing will continue to occur, to delineate jurisdictional waterways, and other potentially sensitive areas, that should be avoided during upcoming project activities.
- Wildlife exclusion fencing will continue to be installed and maintained prior to upcoming construction activities adjacent to potentially suitable habitat for sensitive wildlife species.

13.0 UTILITY RELOCATION

Implementation of the PCEP requires relocation or rerouting of both public and private utility lines and/or facilities. Utility relocation will require coordination with many entities, including regulatory agencies, public safety agencies, federal, state, and local government agencies, private and public utilities, and other transportation agencies and companies. This section describes the progress specific to the utility relocation process.

Activity This Month

- Worked with all utilities on review of overhead utility line relocations based on the current design.
- Coordinated with individual utility companies on relocation plans and schedule for incorporation with Master Program Schedule.
- Coordinated work with communications utilities on review of relocation design and prioritization of relocations.
- All relocations required by SVP in Segment 3 are complete.
- Continued to coordinate relocation work for Palo Alto Power facilities. Palo Alto is scheduled to complete their relocations by September 2020. Any temporary shutdowns required by PCEP prior to that date will be coordinated with Palo Alto.
- Continued to coordinate relocation by communication cable owners such as AT&T and Comcast.
- Conducted utility coordination meeting to discuss overall status and areas of potential concern from the utilities.

Activity Next Month

- Coordinate with individual utility owners on the next steps of relocations, including support of any required design information.
- Update the relocation schedule as information becomes available from the utility owners.
- Continue to review relocation design from Palo Alto Power, and communications companies and coordinate relocation field work.
- Continue communication relocations in all Segments.
- Review SSWPs by Palo Alto Power for relocation work in Segment 3.

Continue Palo Alto Power relocations in Segment 3.

14.0 REAL ESTATE

The PCEP requires the acquisition of a limited amount of real estate. In general, Caltrain uses existing Right of Way (ROW) for the PCEP, but in certain locations, will need to acquire small portions of additional real estate to expand the ROW to accommodate installation of OCS supports (fee acquisitions or railroad easements) and associated Electrical Safety Zones (ESZ) (easements). There are two larger full acquisition areas required for wayside facilitates. The PCEP Real Estate team manages the acquisition of all property rights. Caltrain does not need to acquire real estate to complete the EMU procurement portion of the PCEP.

Of the parcels identified at the beginning of the project, there remain only five owners from whom the agency requires possession.

The Real Estate team's current focus is working to identify new parcels and acquire them in conjunction with the project schedule.

- Staff has defined a process to ensure that BBII conveys new property needs (both for poles and for overhead wires) as soon as possible.
 - BBII must justify and JPB must approve all new parcels.
- Design needs to progress to enable BBII to identify exact acquisition areas.
- Staff is conducting pre-acquisition activities as appropriate.
- JPB has approved eight new parcels to date.

Activity This Month

- Staff continues to review potential new pole locations and is engaging in a systemwide review of potential ESZ needs Staff continues to meet with the internal signal team and BBII signal team to determine potential Real Estate interests.
- The project is building a fence and moving light poles on the PG&E Cinnabar property to clear foundation locations. Staff has negotiated access with PGE pending completion of the fence and lighting work and drafted legal documents for possession.
- VTA Adopted a Resolution of Necessity over the PGE site.
- Presented an access agreement to Santa Clara Valley Water District for a vital site in Segment 3.
- Completed appraisal of Google site, a very small acquisition for a foundation.

Activity Next Month

- Continued review of ESZ needs submitted by BBII compared to direction from contract.
- Continue to work with property owner on Phan parcel to close escrow.
- Complete Marchese appraisal.
- File "friendly" condemnation action to get possession of PG&E Cinnabar site
- Continue to meet with internal signal team and BBII signal team to determine potential Real Estate needs.

- Make offers on the parcel for which appraisals have been completed.
- Continue to work with project team to identify and analyze new potential parcels.
- Map newly identified parcels.

15.0 THIRD PARTY AGREEMENTS

Third-party coordination is necessary for work impacting public infrastructure, utilities, ROW acquisitions, and others. Table 15-1 below outlines the status of necessary agreements for the PCEP.

Туре	Agreement	Third-Party	Status
		City & County of San Francisco	Executed
		City of Brisbane	Executed
		City of South San Francisco	Executed
		City of San Bruno	Executed
		City of Millbrae	Executed
		City of Burlingame	Executed
		City of San Mateo	Executed
		City of Belmont	Executed
		City of San Carlos	Executed
	Construction & Maintenance ¹	City of Redwood City	Executed
Governmental	Maintenance	City of Atherton	In Process
Jurisdictions		County of San Mateo	Executed
		City of Menlo Park	Executed
		City of Palo Alto	Executed
		City of Mountain View	Executed
		City of Sunnyvale	Executed
		City of Santa Clara	Executed
		County of Santa Clara	Executed
		City of San Jose	Executed
		San Francisco	In Process
	Condemnation Authority	San Mateo	Executed
		Santa Clara	Executed
Utilities	Infrastructure	PG&E	Executed
Ounnes	Operating Rules	CPUC	Executed
	Construction & Maintenance	Bay Area Rapid Transit	Executed ²
Transportation	Construction & Maintenance	California Dept. of Transportation (Caltrans)	Not needed ³
& Railroad	Trackage Rights	UPRR	Executed ²

Table 15-1 Third-Party Agreement Status

Notes regarding table above:

^{1.} Agreements memorialize the parties' consultation and cooperation, designate respective rights and obligations and ensure cooperation between the JPB and the 17 cities and three counties along the Caltrain ROW and within the PCEP limits in connection with the design and construction of the PCEP.

^{2.} Utilizing existing agreements.

^{3.} Caltrans Peer Process utilized. Formal agreement not needed.

16.0 GOVERNMENT AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

The Community Relations and Outreach team coordinates all issues with all jurisdictions, partner agencies, government organizations, businesses, labor organizations, local agencies, residents, community members, other interested parties, and the media. In addition, the team oversees the BBII's effectiveness in implementing its Public Involvement Program. The following PCEP-related external affairs meetings took place this month:

Presentations/Meetings

- City/County Staff Coordinating Group
- Local Policy Makers Group

Third Party/Stakeholder Actions

• None

17.0 DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PARTICIPATION AND LABOR STATISTICS

BBII proposed that 5.2% (\$36,605,143) of the DB base contract value including DBE contract change orders (\$703,945,061) would be subcontracted to DBEs.

Activity This Month

As expressed in Figure 17-1 below, to date BBII reports:

- \$39,500,863 has been paid to DBE subcontractors.
- \$41,242,326 million of DBE contracts have been awarded (to be verified).
- 5.61% has been achieved.




Figure 17-1 DBE Participation

Activity Next Month

BBII has proposed the following key actions:

"In the month of August, 2020, we continue to anticipate increasing our DBE commitments to firms who we are currently negotiating pricing on proposed work or Professional Services Agreements. We are optimistic about the prospect of making future awards to DBE firms. We also anticipate that the existing project work will increase resulting in expanded work for current DBE subcontractors."

18.0 PROCUREMENT

Invitation for Bids (IFB)/Request for Quotes (RFQ)/ Request for Proposals (RFP) Issued this Month:

• None

Bids, Quotes, Proposals in Response to IFB/RFQ/RFP Received this Month:

None

Contract Awards this Month:

None

Work Directive (WD)/Purchase Order (PO) Awards & Amendments this Month:

• Multiple WDs & POs issued to support the program needs

In Process IFB/RFQ/RFP/Contract Amendments:

None

Upcoming Contract Awards/Contract Amendments:

None

Upcoming IFB/RFQ/RFP to be Issued:

• RFQ – Scissor Lift Work Platform

Existing Contracts Amendments Issued:

None

19.0 TIMELINE OF MAJOR PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Below is a timeline showing major project accomplishments from 2001 to 2017:

Date 2001	Milestone Began federal National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Environmental Assessment (EA) / state EIR clearance process
2002	Conceptual Design completed
2004	Draft NEPA EA/EIR
2008	35% design complete
2009	Final NEPA EA/EIR and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)
2014	RFQ for electrification RFI for EMU
2015	JPB approves final CEQA EIR JPB approves issuance of RFP for electrification JPB approves issuance of RFP for EMU Receipt of proposal for electrification FTA approval of Core Capacity Project Development
2016	JPB approves EIR Addendum #1: PS-7 FTA re-evaluation of 2009 FONSI Receipt of electrification best and final offers Receipt of EMU proposal Application for entry to engineering to FTA Completed the EMU Buy America Pre-Award Audit and Certification Negotiations completed with Stadler for EMU vehicles Negotiations completed with BBII, the apparent best-value electrification firm JPB approves contract award (LNTP) to BBII JPB approves contract award (LNTP) to Stadler FTA approval of entry into engineering for the Core Capacity Program Application for FFGA
2017	FTA finalized the FFGA for \$647 million in Core Capacity funding, met all regulatory requirements including end of Congressional Review Period (February) FTA FFGA executed, committing \$647 million to the project (May) JPB approves \$1.98 billion budget for PCEP (June) Issued NTP for EMUs to Stadler (June 1) Issued NTP for electrification contract to BBII (June 19) Construction began (August) EMU manufacturing began (October) Issued NTP for SCADA to Rockwell Collins (ARINC) (October) Issued NTP for CEMOF Facility Upgrades to HNTB (November)

Date	Milestone
2018	Completed all PG&E agreements
	JPB approves contract award to Mitsui for the purchase of electric locomotives and Amtrak for overhaul services, storage, acceptance testing, training, and shipment of locomotive to CEMOF
	JPB approves authorization for the Executive Director to negotiate final contract award to ProVen for tunnel modifications and track rehabilitation project
	JPB approves contract award (LNTP) to ProVen for tunnel modifications
	Issued NTP to ProVen for tunnel modifications (October)
	Amended contract with ProVen to include OCS in the tunnels (November)
2019	JPB approves contract award to ProVen for CEMOF modifications (February)
	JPB approves LNTP to ProVen for CEMOF modifications (April)
	JPB approves NTP to ProVen for CEMOF modifications (September)
2020	JPB approves agreement amendment to PG&E for interconnection construction JPB executes agreement with PG&E for interconnection construction (May)
	FRA approved the waiver for Alternative Vehicle Technology regarding crashworthiness of EMU cars.

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Acronyms

AIM	Advanced Information Management	EA	Environmental Assessment
ARINC	Aeronautical Radio, Inc.	EAC	Estimate at Completion
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District	EIR	Environmental Impact Report
BBII	Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.	EOR	Engineer of Record
CAISO	California Independent System Operator	EMU ESA	Electric Multiple Unit Endangered Species Act
CalMod	Caltrain Modernization	ESA	Environmental Site
Callviou	Program	20/1	Assessments
Caltrans	California Department of	FAI	First Article Inspection
CDFW	Transportation California Department of	FEIR	Final Environmental Impact Report
	Fish and Wildlife	FNTP	Full Notice to Proceed
CEMOF	Centralized Equipment Maintenance and Operations Facility	FFGA	Full Funding Grant Agreement
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act (State)	FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
CHSRA	California High-Speed Rail Authority	FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
CIP	Capital Improvement Plan	FTA	Federal Transit Administration
CNPA	Concurrent Non-Project Activity	GO	General Order
CPUC	California Public Utilities	HSR	High Speed Rail
СТС	Commission Centralized Traffic Control	ICD	Interface Control Document
DB	Design-Build	IFC	Issued for Construction
DBB	Design-Bid-Build	ITS	Intelligent Transportation System
DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise	JPB	Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board
DEMP	Design, Engineering, and Management Planning	LNTP	Limited Notice to Proceed

MMRP	Mitigation, Monitoring, and	RFI	Request for Information
	Reporting Program	RFP	Request for Proposals
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding	RFQ	Request for Qualifications
MPS	Master Program Schedule	ROCS	Rail Operations Center System
NCR	Non Conformance Report	ROW	Right of Way
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act (Federal)	RRP	Railroad Protective Liability
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act	RSD	Revenue Service Date
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service	RWP	Roadway Worker Protection
NTP	Notice to Proceed	SamTrans	San Mateo County Transit District
OCS PCEP	Overhead Contact System Peninsula Corridor	SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
	Electrification Project	SCC	Standard Cost Code
PCJPB	Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board	SPUR	San Francisco Bay Area Planning and Urban
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric		Research Association
PHA	Preliminary Hazard Analysis	SFBCDC	San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission
PMOC	Project Management Oversight Contractor	SFCTA	San Francisco County Transportation Authority
PS	Paralleling Station	SFMTA	San Francisco Municipal
PTC	Positive Train Control	SENTA	Transportation Authority
QA	Quality Assurance	SFRWQCB	San Francisco Regional
QC	Quality Control		Water Quality Control Board
QMP	Quality Management Plan	SOGR	State of Good Repair
QMS	Quality Management System	SSCP	Safety and Security Certification Plan
RAMP	Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan	SSMP	Safety and Security Management Plan
RE	Real Estate	SSWP	Site Specific Work Plan

SWS	Switching Station
TASI	TransitAmerica Services Inc.
TBD	To Be Determined
TPS	Traction Power Substation
TVA	Threat and Vulnerability Assessment
UPRR	Union Pacific Railroad
USACE	United States Army Corp of Engineers
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
VTA	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority


Appendix B – Funding Partner Meetings

Agency	CHSRA	МТС	SFCTA/SFMTA/CCSF	SMCTA	VTA
FTA Quarterly Meeting	 Boris Lipkin Simon Whitehorn Wai Siu (info only) 	Anne Richman	• Luis Zurinaga	 April Chan Peter Skinner	Jim Lawson
Funding Partners Quarterly Meeting	 Boris Lipkin Simon Whitehorn John Popoff 	Trish Stoops	• Luis Zurinaga	 April Chan Peter Skinner 	 Krishna Davey Edwin Castillo Franklin Wong
Funding Oversight (monthly)	Kelly Doyle	 Anne Richman Kenneth Folan 	 Anna LaForte Maria Lombardo Luis Zurinaga Monique Webster Ariel Espiritu Santo 	 April Chan Peter Skinner 	 Jim Lawson Marcella Rensi Michael Smith
Change Management Board (monthly)	 Boris Lipkin Simon Whitehorn 	 Trish Stoops Kenneth Folan 	 Luis Zurinaga Tilly Chang (info only) 	• Joe Hurley	 Krishna Davey Edwin Castillo Franklin Wong Jim Lawson Nuria Fernandez (info only)
Master Program Schedule Update (monthly)	• Wai Siu	Trish Stoops	Luis Zurinaga	Joe Hurley	Jim Lawson
Risk Assessment Committee (monthly)	• Wai Siu	Trish Stoops	• Luis Zurinaga	Joe Hurley	 Krishna Davey Edwin Castillo Franklin Wong
PCEP Delivery Coordination Meeting (bi-weekly	• Wai Siu	Trish Stoops	 Luis Zurinaga 	Joe Hurley	 Krishna Davey Edwin Castillo Franklin Wong
Systems Integration Meeting (bi-weekly	● Wai Siu	Trish Stoops	• Luis Zurinaga	Joe Hurley	 Krishna Davey Edwin Castillo Franklin Wong


Funding Partner Meeting Representatives Updated July 16, 2020

Appendix C – Schedule

	TER PROGRAM SCHEDULE C20.02				C20.02 Summary
# 4	ctivity Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4
1	MASTER PROGRAM SCHEDULE C20.02	2168d	05-01-14 A	08-22-22	
2	MILESTONES	2168d	05-01-14 A	08-22-22	
3	Start	0d	05-01-14 A		8
4	NEPA Reevaluation Complete	0d		02-11-16 A	
5	LNTP to Electrification Contractor	0d	09-06-16 A		
6	LNTP to Vehicle Manufacturer	0d	09-06-16 A		
7	FTA Issues FFGA	0d		05-23-17 A	
8	Segment 4 (incl. Test Track) Complete	0d		03-25-21	
9	Electrification Substantial Completion	0d		02-26-22	
10	Start Phased Revenue Service	0d	02-27-22		
11	Revenue Service Date (RSD) w/out Risk Contingency	0d		07-22-22	
12	Revenue Service Date (RSD) w/ Risk Contingency (FFGA RSD)	0d		08-22-22	
13	PLANNING / APPROVALS	1230d	05-01-14 A	01-16-19 A	
14	REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION	1204d	11-05-15 A	08-03-20	
15	OVERHEAD UTILITY RELOCATION (Various)	949d	03-10-17 A	12-04-20	
		1182d	03-01-17 A	09-09-21	
16	PG&E INFRASTRUCTURE				
17		1055d	03-01-17 A	03-16-21	
18		322d	08-01-17 A	11-05-18 A	
19		1044d	08-01-17 A	09-09-21	
20	DESIGN & PERMITTING	431d	08-01-17 A	04-12-19 A	
21	CONSTRUCTION	612d	04-15-19 A	09-09-21	
22	ELECTRIFICATION (BBII)	1429d	09-06-16 A	02-26-22	
23	DESIGN	1323d	09-06-16 A	09-30-21	
24	SIGNALS DESIGN	293d	05-01-20 A	06-15-21	
25	CONSTRUCTION	1558d	10-09-17 A	01-13-22	
26	Segment 1	795d	10-02-19 A	12-04-21	
27 28	OCS Traction Power	239d 752d	10-13-20 10-02-19 A	06-08-21 10-22-21	
29	Signals	254d	11-18-20	07-29-21	
30	Segment Testing	43d	10-23-21	12-04-21	
31 32	Segment 2 OCS	1475d 1230d	10-09-17 A 10-09-17 A	10-22-21 02-19-21	
33	Traction Power	1325d	01-19-18 A	09-04-21	
34	Signals	856d	04-26-19 A	08-28-21	
35 36	Segment Testing Segment 3	43d 1011d	09-10-21 04-09-19 A	10-22-21 01-13-22	
37		584d	05-28-19 A	12-31-20	
38	Traction Power	839d	04-09-19 A	07-25-21	
39	Signals Segment Testing	388d 34d	11-18-20 12-11-21	12-10-21 01-13-22	
40 41	Segment 4	1211d	12-01-17 A	03-25-21	
42	OCS	676d	02-25-19 A	12-31-20	
43 44	Traction Power Signals	1186d 810d	12-01-17 A 10-22-18 A	02-28-21 01-08-21	
44 45	Signals Segment Testing	60d	01-25-21	01-08-21	
46	TESTING	84d	12-05-21	02-26-22	
47	DRILL TRACK (TASI)	20d	08-03-20	08-28-20	
	■ Prog Plan (C16.00) ■ Remaining ► ► Start Milestone ♦ ♦ Last Mon	the Lindote		1	Page 1 of 2
	 Prog Plan (C16.00) Remaining Start Milestone Last Months Update Near Critical Finish Milestone Critical M 				Fage 1012
	Progress Critical Prog Plan (C16.00) Risk Con				Filename: _C20.02 081920



	PROGRAM SCHEDULE C20.02	_PCEP C20.02 Summary			•
vity	/ Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4
	SCADA (Arinc)	1699d	03-30-15 A	12-06-21	
	PREPARE SOLE SOURCE & AWARD	649d	03-30-15 A	10-16-17 A	
	DESIGN	157d	10-16-17 A	05-31-18 A	
	IMPLEMENTATION, TEST, INSTALL & CUTOVER	827d	09-04-18 A	12-06-21	
	CEMOF (Various)	951d	11-16-17 A	07-08-21	
	CEMOF MODIFICATIONS (ProVen)	750d	11-16-17 A	09-30-20	
	DESIGN	178d	11-16-17 A	07-31-18 A	
	BID & AWARD	132d	08-01-18 A	02-07-19 A	
		373d	04-29-19 A	09-30-20	
	PANTORGRAPH INSPECTION & MONITORING SYSTEM (Ctr TBD) SCISSOR LIFT WORK PLATFORM (Ctr TBD)	599d 532d	03-01-19 A 03-01-19 A	07-08-21	
		1544d	10-31-14 A	09-30-20	
	TUNNEL MODIFICATION (ProVen)				
		840d	10-31-14 A	02-22-18 A	
	BID & AWARD CONSTRUCTION	66d	02-23-18 A 08-01-18 A	05-25-18 A 09-30-20	
		566d			
	ELECTRIC LOCOMOTIVE (Amtrak / Mitsui)	893d	03-01-17 A	08-03-20	
	EMU (Stadler)	2147d	05-01-14 A	07-22-22	
	DEVELOP RFP, BID & AWARD	612d	05-01-14 A	09-02-16 A	
	DESIGN	1041d	09-06-16 A	09-01-20	
	PROCUREMENT (Material)	1034d	01-16-17 A	12-31-20	
	MANUFACTURING & TESTING	1210d	12-04-17 A	07-22-22	
	TRAINSET 1	1045d	12-04-17 A	12-03-21	
	TRAINSET 2	977d	02-22-18 A	11-19-21	
	TRAINSET 3	795d	08-06-18 A	08-20-21	
	TRAINSET 4	575d	06-03-19 A	08-13-21	
	TRAINSET 5	475d	12-02-19 A	09-24-21	
	TRAINSET 6	466d	01-13-20 A	10-25-21	
	TRAINSET 7	440d	02-10-20 A	10-15-21	
	TRAINSET 8	390d	05-04-20 A	10-29-21	
	TRAINSET 9	390d	06-22-20 A	12-17-21	
	TRAINSET 10	425d	06-22-20 A	02-04-22	
	TRAINSET 11	415d	08-17-20	03-18-22	
	TRAINSET 12	415d	09-28-20	04-29-22	
	TRAINSET 13	410d	11-16-20	06-10-22	
	TRAINSET 14	390d	01-25-21	07-22-22	
	TESTING & STARTUP (JPB)	157d	01-14-22	08-22-22	
	PRE-REVENUE TESTING	44d	01-14-22	02-26-22	
	REVENUE OPERATIONS	126d	02-27-22	08-22-22	
	Phased Revenue Service	146d	02-27-22	07-22-22	
	Revenue Service Date (RSD) w/out Risk Contingency	0d		07-22-22	
	Revenue Service Date (RSD) w/ Risk Contingency (FFGA RSD)	0d		08-22-22	
	RISK CONTINGENCY	31d	07-23-22	08-22-22	
	Prog Plan (C16.00) Remaining Start Milestone Last Milestone	onths Update			Page 2 of 2
		Milestone			
		ontingency			Filename: _C20.02 081920



Appendix D – Standard Cost Codes

	FFGA Baseline	Approved Budget	Cost This Month	Cost To Date	Estimate To	Estimate At
Description of Work	Budget (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	Complete (E)	Completion (F) = (D) + (E)
10 - GUIDEWAY & TRACK ELEMENTS	\$14,256,739	\$27,353,871	\$45,261	\$24,992,207	\$2,760,159	\$27,752,366
10.02 Guideway: At-grade semi-exclusive (allows cross-traffic)	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$0	\$139,054	\$2,360,946	\$2,500,000
10.07 Guideway: Underground tunnel	\$8,110,649	\$24,853,871	\$45,261	\$24,853,153	\$399,213	\$25,252,365
10.07 Allocated Contingency	\$3,646,090	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
30 - SUPPORT FACILITIES: YARDS, SHOPS, ADMIN. BLDGS	\$2,265,200	\$6,780,711	\$60,643	\$3,892,146	\$4,246,065	\$8,138,211
30.03 Heavy Maintenance Facility	\$1,344,000	\$6,780,711	\$60,643	\$3,892,146	\$4,246,065	\$8,138,211
30.03 Allocated Contingency	\$421,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
30.05 Yard and Yard Track	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
40 - SITEWORK & SPECIAL CONDITIONS	\$255,072,402	\$270,549,252	\$3,318,324	\$184,179,767	\$89,592,141	\$273,771,908
40.01 Demolition, Clearing, Earthwork	\$3,077,685	\$3,077,685	\$72,100	\$4,831,000	(\$1,753,315)	\$3,077,685
40.02 Site Utilities, Utility Relocation	\$62,192,517	\$93,414,668	\$1,766,144	\$90,512,035	\$3,902,633	\$94,414,668
40.02 Allocated Contingency	\$25,862,000	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	(\$0)
40.03 Haz. mat'l, contam'd soil removal/mitigation, ground water	40.000.000	A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	(44.075)	46.046.007	(44,004,055)	A
treatments	\$2,200,000	\$4,944,961	(\$4,976)	\$6,246,927	(\$1,301,966)	\$4,944,961
40.04 Environmental mitigation, e.g. wetlands, historic/archeologic,	¢22 570 200	¢22.054.200	¢5 4 000	¢2.002.005	¢20,001,212	¢22.054.200
parks	\$32,579,208	\$32,954,208	\$54,000	\$2,062,995	\$30,891,213	\$32,954,208
40.05 Site structures including retaining walls, sound walls 40.06 Pedestrian / bike access and accommodation, landscaping	\$568,188 \$804,933	\$568,188 \$764,933	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$568,188 \$764,933	\$568,188 \$764,933
40.07 Automobile, bus, van accessways including roads, parking lots	\$284,094	\$764,933 \$284,094	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$764,933 \$284,094	\$764,933 \$284,094
40.08 Temporary Facilities and other indirect costs during	\$204,054	\$204,054	ÛÇ	υÇ	\$204,054	Ş204,034
construction	\$107,343,777	\$113,930,514	\$1,431,056	\$80,526,810	\$36,797,408	\$117,324,218
40.08 Allocated Contingency	\$20,160,000	\$20,610,000	\$0	\$00,520,010	\$19,438,953	\$19,438,953
50 - SYSTEMS	\$504,445,419	\$524,002,043	\$8,008,412	\$182,931,807	\$355,690,973	\$538,622,781
50.01 Train control and signals	\$97,589,149	\$101,030,416	\$4,797,555	\$37,863,887	\$64,423,067	\$102,286,953
50.01 Allocated Contingency	\$1,651,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
50.02 Traffic signals and crossing protection	\$23,879,905	\$23,879,905	\$0	\$0	\$23,879,905	\$23,879,905
50.02 Allocated Contingency	\$1,140,000	\$1,140,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,140,000	\$1,140,000
50.03 Traction power supply: substations	\$69,120,009	\$97,744,787	\$897,112	\$37,243,805	\$61,535,050	\$98,778,855
50.03 Allocated Contingency	\$31,755,013	\$2,990,895	\$0	\$0	\$2,001,915	\$2,001,915
50.04 Traction power distribution: catenary and third rail	\$253,683,045	\$276,326,594	\$2,313,745	\$107,766,127	\$195,035,304	\$302,801,431
50.04 Allocated Contingency	\$18,064,000	\$13,326,148	\$0	\$0	\$170,423	\$170,423
50.05 Communications	\$5,455,000	\$5,455,000	\$0	\$57,989	\$5,397,011	\$5,455,000
50.07 Central Control	\$2,090,298	\$2,090,298	\$0	\$0	\$2,090,298	\$2,090,298
50.07 Allocated Contingency	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$0	\$0	\$18,000	\$18,000
60 - ROW, LAND, EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS	\$35,675,084	\$35,675,084	\$805,645	\$19,985,471	\$15,689,614	\$35,675,084
60.01 Purchase or lease of real estate	\$25,927,074	\$25,927,074	\$805,645	\$19,856,896	\$6,070,178	\$25,927,074
60.01 Allocated Contingency	\$8,748,010	\$8,748,010	\$0	\$0	\$8,748,010	\$8,748,010
60.02 Relocation of existing households and businesses	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$128,574	\$871,426	\$1,000,000
70 - VEHICLES (96)	\$625,544,147	\$623,587,713	\$4,682,646	\$218,304,467	\$403,825,093	\$622,129,560
70.03 Commuter Rail	\$589,167,291	\$590,974,845	\$4,682,646	\$217,766,187	\$375,109,856	\$592,876,043
70.03 Allocated Contingency	\$9,472,924	\$5,781,116	\$0	\$0	\$2,421,765	\$2,421,765
70.06 Non-revenue vehicles	\$8,140,000	\$8,067,821	\$0 \$0	\$538,280 \$0	\$7,529,541	\$8,067,821
70.07 Spare parts 80 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (applies to Cats. 10-50)	\$18,763,931 \$ 323,793,010	\$18,763,931 \$335,703,152	\$0 \$3,362,317	\$0 \$306,442,151	\$18,763,931 \$48,889,231	\$18,763,931 \$355,331,382
80.01 Project Development	\$130,350	\$130,350	\$3,362,317	\$280,180	(\$149,830)	\$130,350
80.02 Engineering (not applicable to Small Starts)	\$180,227,311	\$130,330	\$1,566,260	\$198,370,156	(\$5,903,645)	\$192,466,510
80.02 Allocated Contingency	\$1,866,000	\$202,474	\$1,500,200	\$158,570,150	\$21,942	\$152,400,510 \$21,942
80.03 Project Management for Design and Construction	\$72,029,265	\$76,636,410	\$1,136,787	\$78,877,713	\$20,444,586	\$99,322,299
80.03 Allocated Contingency	\$9,388,080	\$8,000,396	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	(\$0)
80.04 Construction Administration & Management	\$23,677,949	\$30,110,163	\$628,781	\$19,028,506	\$19,027,014	\$38,055,520
80.04 Allocated Contingency	\$19,537,000	\$13,104,785	\$0	\$0	\$5,159,428	\$5,159,428
80.05 Professional Liability and other Non-Construction Insurance	\$3,500,000	\$4,581,851	\$0	\$4,581,851	\$0	\$4,581,851
80.06 Legal; Permits; Review Fees by other agencies, cities, etc.	\$7,167,275	\$8,671,371	\$26,058	\$5,261,973	\$4,516,770	\$9,778,742
80.06 Allocated Contingency	\$556,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
80.07 Surveys, Testing, Investigation, Inspection	\$3,287,824	\$3,388,781	\$4,431	\$41,772	\$3,347,009	\$3,388,781
80.08 Start up	\$1,797,957	\$1,797,957	\$0	\$0	\$1,797,957	\$1,797,957
80.08 Allocated Contingency	\$628,000	\$628,000	\$0	\$0	\$628,000	\$628,000
Subtotal (10 - 80)	\$1,761,052,001	\$1,823,651,826	\$20,283,248	\$940,728,015	\$920,693,276	\$1,861,421,292
90 - UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY	\$162,620,295	\$97,120,470	\$0	\$0	\$59,351,004	\$59,351,004
Subtotal (10 - 90)	\$1,923,672,296	\$1,920,772,296	\$20,283,248	\$940,728,015	\$980,044,280	\$1,920,772,296
100 - FINANCE CHARGES	\$6,998,638	\$9,898,638	\$31,896	\$6,467,631	\$3,431,007	\$9,898,638
Total Project Cost (10 - 100)	\$1,930,670,934	\$1,930,670,934	\$20,315,144	\$947,195,646	\$983,475,288	\$1,930,670,934

Appendix E – Change Order Logs

Change Order Logs

Electrification Contract

Change Orde	er Authority (5% of BBII	Contract)		5% x \$696,610,558	
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
08/31/17	BBI-053-CCO-001	Track Access Delays Q4 2016	\$85,472	0.25%	\$34,745,056
02/28/18	BBI-053-CCO-003	Deletion of Signal Cable Meggering (Testing)	(\$800,000)	(2.30%)	\$35,545,056
02/21/18	BBI-053-CCO-004	Field Order for Differing Site Condition Work Performed on 6/19/17	\$59,965	0.17%	\$35,485,091
03/12/18	BBI-053-CCO-006	Track Access Delays for Calendar Quarter 1 2017	\$288,741	0.83%	\$35,196,350
04/24/18	BBI-053-CCO-002	Time Impact 01 Associated with Delayed NTP	\$9,702,667	0.00% ²	-
04/24/18	BBI-053-CCO-008	2016 Incentives (Safety, Quality, and Public Outreach)	\$750,000	0.00% ²	-
05/31/18	BBI-053-CCO-009	16th St. Grade Crossing Work Removal from BBII Contract	(\$685,198)	(1.97%)	\$35,881,548
05/31/18	BBI-053-CCO-012	2017 Incentives (Safety, Quality, and Public Outreach)	\$1,025,000	0.00% ²	-
06/25/18	BBI-053-CCO-010	Pothole Change Of Shift	\$300,000	0.86%	\$35,581,548
06/25/18	BBI-053-CCO-013	Field Order for Signal Cable Relocation (FO# 31)	\$95,892	0.28%	\$35,485,656
06/25/18	BBI-053-CCO-015	TASI Pilot Transportation 2017	\$67,345	0.19%	\$35,418,311
06/26/18	BBI-053-CCO-005	Field Orders for Signal Cable Relocation (FO#s 26, 30)	\$191,836	0.55%	\$35,226,475
06/28/18	BBI-053-CCO-014	Field Orders for Signal Cable Relocation (FO-36 & FO-38)	\$145,694	0.42%	\$35,080,781
06/29/18	BBI-053-CCO-007	Track Access Delays for Calendar Quarter 2 2017	\$297,512	0.85%	\$34,783,269
06/29/18	BBI-053-CCO-011	Field Orders for Differing Site Condition (FO#s Partial 07A , 08-14)	\$181,013	0.52%	\$34,602,256
06/29/18	BBI-053-CCO-017	Field Order for NorCal Utility Potholing (FO# 27)	\$93,073	0.27%	\$34,509,183
06/29/18	BBI-053-CCO-018	Field Order for NorCal Utility Potholing (FO# 29)	\$76,197	0.22%	\$34,432,986
06/29/18	BBI-053-CCO-020	Field Orders for Differing Site Condition (FO#s 15-19)	\$118,364	0.34%	\$34,314,622
7/19/2018	BBI-053-CCO-019	Field Order for NorCal Utility Potholing (FO-032)	\$88,956	0.26 %	\$34,225,666
7/19/2018	BBI-053-CCO-021	As In-Service (AIS) Drawings for Segment 2 and 4 Signal Design (CN-009)	\$105,000	0.30 %	\$34,120,666
7/25/2018	BBI-053-CCO-022	CEMOF Yard Traction Power Feed (CN-008)	\$332,700	0.96 %	\$33,787,966
7/31/2018	BBI-053-CCO-028	Sonic Echo Impulse Testing	\$4,541	0.01 %	\$33,783,425
7/31/2018	BBI-053-CCO-026	TASI Pilot Transportation 2018 (CNC-0022)	\$50,409	0.14%	\$33,733,016
7/31/2018	BBI-053-CCO-027	Signal Cable Relocation (FOs-040 & 051)	\$196,114	0.56%	\$33,536,902
9/27/2018	BBI-053-CCO-030	Delete Spare 115k Disconnect Switches	(\$19,000)	(0.05)%	\$33,555,902
9/28/2018	BBI-053-CCO-031	Bldg A HVAC and FOB Card Reader Systems	\$76,500	0.22 %	\$33,479,402
9/28/2018	BBI-053-CCO-025A	Addition of Shunt Wire at Transverse Utility Crossing Locations - Design	\$925,000	2.66 %	\$32,554,402
9/28/2018	BBI-053-CCO-016A	UPRR MT-1 Pole Relocation - Design Changes	\$903,000	0.00% ²	-
9/28/2018	BBI-053-CCO-024A	PG&E Utility Feed Connection to TPS#1 and TPS#2 (Design Only)	\$727,000	0.00% ²	-
12/17/2018	BBI-053-CCO-032	PS-2 Site Relocation (Design Only)	\$291,446	0.84%	\$32,262,956
1/17/2019	BBI-053-CCO-023	Insulated Rail Joints	\$2,694,519	0.00% ²	-
1/17/2019	BBI-053-CCO-029	CHSRA Early Pole Relocation (Design Only)	\$625,000	0.00% ^{2,3}	-
2/5/2019	BBI-053-CCO-040A	Increase in Potholing Quantity (unit price contract bid	\$1,662,500	4.77 %	\$30,600,456

onange ora	er Authority (5% of BBII	Contract)		5% x \$696,610,558	
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
3/5/2019	BBI-053-CCO-042A	TPSS-2 VTA/BART Pole Relocation (Design Only) (CNPA funded by VTA)	\$110,000	0.32% ³	\$30,490,456
3/11/2019	BBI-053-CCO-036	Field Order for Signal Cable Relocation (FO-064)	\$86,538	0.25%	\$30,403,918
3/20/2019	BBI-053-CCO-035	Millbrae Avenue Existing Overhead Barrier	(\$40,000)	(0.11)%	\$30,443,918
3/19/2019	BBI-053-CCO-046	Training in Design Software and Potholing	\$136,611	0.39%	\$30,307,307
4/8/2019	BBI-053-CCO-041	Grade Crossing Warning System (CN59) – 5 mph Speed Check	\$446,982	1.28%	\$29,860,325
5/30/2019	BBI-053-CCO-044	Additional Daytime Potholing (Increase Quantity by 500 in Segment 4)	\$150,000	0.43 %	\$29,710,325
6/6/2019	BBI-053-CCO-048	Power Metering Devices	\$101,908	0.29 %	\$29,608,417
6/13/2019	BBI-053-CCO-045	Incentive Payment for 2018	\$1,025,000	0.00% ²	-
6/13/2019	BBI-053-CCO-024B	PG&E Utility Feed Connection to TPS #1 and TPS#2 (Material On Hand)	\$1,600,000	4.59 %	\$28,008,417
6/24/2019	BBI-053-CCO-043	PS-5 Site Relocation (Design Only)	\$348,000	1.00 %	\$27,660,417
6/24/2019	BBI-053-CCO-054	Change Design Sequence for OCS Foundations	\$37,500	0.11%	\$27,622,917
7/1/2019	BBI-053-CCO-040B	Increase Quantity for Utilities Potholing (Bid Item #9)	\$1,867,700	5.36 %	\$25,755,217
7/10/2019	BBI-053-CCO-033A	Relocation of PS3 (Design) (CNPA funded by BGSP)	\$500,000	1.44 % ³	\$25,255,217
8/15/2019	BBI-053-CCO-047	CEMOF Slot Drains (Design Only)	\$69,000	0.20%	\$25,186,217
8/16/2019	BBI-053-CCO-055	Sheriff's Deputy in Segment 4B	\$4,644	0.01%	\$25,181,573
9/3/2019	BBI-053-CCO-037	Field Orders for Signal Cable Relocation (FO-053 & FO- 059)	\$184,576	0.53%	\$24,996,997
9/7/2019	BBI-053-CCO-057	Mediator with Technical Expertise	\$0	0.00%	\$24,996,997
9/27/2019	BBI-053-CCO-061	Interconnect Renaming of Circuit Numbers	\$58,058	0.17%	\$24,938,939
9/27/2019	BBI-053-CCO-063A	Track Access Delays - Quarter 1 2018 (Partial)	\$343,496	0.99%	\$24,595,443
10/21/2019	BBI-053-CCO-064	TPS-2 VTA Pole Height Redesign (CNPA funded by VTA)	\$31,000	0.09% ³	\$24,564,443
11/15/2019	BBI-053-CCO-038	Field Order for Signal Cable Relocation (FO-079 & FO- 085)	\$187,764	0.54 %	\$24,376,680
11/26/2019	BBI-053-CCO-025B	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 - Wire Assembly Materials Only - voided below on 7/31/20	\$144,370	0.41 %	\$24,232,310
12/11/2019	BBI-053-CCO-065A	Foundation Inefficiencies S2WA5	\$401,501	1.15%	\$23,830,809
12/17/2019	BBI-053-CCO-025C	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 – Pole Assembly Materials Only - voided below on 7/31/20	\$884,500	2.54 %	\$22,946,309
1/7/2020	BBI-053-CCO-066A	Increase Quantity for Contaminated Soils (Bid Unit Price Item #1)	\$950,000	2.73 %	\$21,996,309
2/5/2020	BBI-053-CCO-023B	Insulated Rail Joints De-stressing	\$890,600	2.56 %	\$21,105,709
3/18/2020	BBI-053-CCO-072A	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Task 1) - voided below on 7/9/20	\$80,000	0.23 %	\$21,025,709
3/19/2020	BBI-053-CCO-023C	Portec Insulated Rail Joints	\$375,000	1.08 %	\$20,650,709
3/26/2020	BBI-053-CCO-076	Asbestos Pipe Abatement at CP Shark	\$145,872	0.42 %	\$20,504,837
3/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-075	Norcal Utility Potholing (FO#39)	\$98,105	0.28 %	\$20,406,733
4/21/2020	BBI-053-CCO-077A	Contaminated Soil (Class 1) at TPS-1	\$701,780	2.01 %	\$19,704,953
4/27/2020	BBI-053-CCO-066B	Increase Quantity for Contaminated Soils (Bid Item #1)	\$926,273	2.66 %	\$18,778,680
4/27/2020	BBI-053-CCO-090A	Signal Cable Relocation (Field Order No. 340)	\$47,258	0.14 %	\$18,731,423
4/27/2020	BBI-053-CCO-091A	Signal Cable Relocation (Field Order No. 340)	\$131,663	0.38 %	\$18,599,759
4/29/2020	BBI-053-CCO-080A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$135,128	0.39 %	\$18,464,631

Change Ord	er Authority (5% of BBII		5% x \$696,610,558	= \$34,830,528	
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
4/29/2020	BBI-053-CCO-081A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$95,474	0.27 %	\$18,369,157
4/29/2020	BBI-053-CCO-071	Increase Quantity for Tree Pruning (Bid Unit Price Item #4d)	\$375,000	1.08 %	\$17,994,157
5/1/2020	BBI-053-CCO-050	Switch Machine Isolation - Credit	(\$277,430)	(0.80)%	\$18,271,586
5/19/2020	BBI-053-CCO-092A	Signal Cable Relocation (Field Order No. 340)	\$106,773	0.31 %	\$18,164,814
5/19/2020	BBI-053-CCO-093A	Signal Cable Relocation (Field Order No. 340)	\$90,765	0.26 %	\$18,074,049
5/27/2020	BBI-053-CCO-101	Asbestos Pipe Abatement at 46.3-07/08	\$21,037	0.06 %	\$18,053,012
6/15/2020	BBI-053-CCO-049A	Long-reach Foundations Installation - Unit Price	\$46,560	0.13 %	\$18,006,452
6/15/2020	BBI-053-CCO-049B	Long-reach Foundations Installation - Unit Price	\$46,560	0.13 %	\$17,959,892
6/18/2020	BBI-053-CCO-033B	PS-3 Site Relocation FEMA 2019 Update and BGSP Design Coordination - CNPA	\$50,000	0.14 % ³	\$17,909,892
6/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-082A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$90,658	0.26 %	\$17,819,235
6/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-083A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$181,900	0.52 %	\$17,637,335
6/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-094A	Signal Cable Relocation (Field Order No. 340)	\$124,633	0.36 %	\$17,512,702
7/9/2020	BBI-053-CCO-072A	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Task 1) - Voided	(\$80,000)	(0.23)%	\$17,592,702
7/9/2020	BBI-053-CCO-072A REV2	SVP Requirements for Joint SIS & SPS (Tasks 0-5)	\$300,000	0.86 %	\$17,292,702
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-078	Re-design CEMOF OCS Poles due to Stair 71 Conflict	\$11,796	0.03 %	\$17,280,906
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-084A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$101,334	0.29 %	\$17,179,572
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-085A	Steel Plates to Protect Utilities (DTDS)	\$94,062	0.27 %	\$17,085,510
7/30/2020	BBI-053-CCO-104	Utility Conflict During PVC Conduit Installation	\$2,657	0.01 %	\$17,082,853
7/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-103	Track Access Delays – 2017 Quarter 3	\$145,892	0.42 %	\$16,936,962
7/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-025B	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 - Wire Assembly Materials Only - Voided	(\$144,370)	(0.41)%	\$17,081,332
7/31/2020	BBI-053-CCO-025C	Addition of OCS Shunt Wires in Segments 2 & 4 – Pole Assembly Materials Only - Voided	(\$884,500)	(2.54)%	\$17,965,832
		Total	\$34,316,882	48.42 %	\$17,965,832

Notes:

When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.
 Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.
 Third party improvements/CNPA projects that are funded with non-PCEP funds.

EMU Contract

Change Orde	er Authority (5% of Stad	5% x \$550,899,459 = \$27,544,973			
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
09/22/2017	STA-056-CCO-001	Contract General Specification and Special Provision Clean-up	\$0	0.00%	-
10/27/2017	STA-056-CCO-002	Prototype Seats and Special Colors	\$55,000	0.20%	\$27,489,973
11/02/2017	STA-056-CCO-003	Car Level Water Tightness Test	\$0	0.00%	-
12/05/2017	STA-056-CCO-004	Onboard Wheelchair Lift 800 Pound Capacity Provisions	\$848,000	3.08%	\$26,641,973
11/03/2017	STA-056-CCO-005	Design Progression (multiple)	\$0	0.00%	-
12/12/2017	STA-056-CCO-006	Prototype Seats and Special Colors	(\$27,500)	(0.10%)	\$26,669,473
01/17/2018	STA-056-CCO-007	Multi-Color Destination Signs	\$130,760	0.47%	\$26,538,713

Change Ord	er Authority (5% of Stad	ller Contract)		5% x \$550,899,459	= \$27,544,973
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
02/09/2018	STA-056-CCO-008	Adjustment to Delivery and LDs due to delayed FNTP	\$490,000	0.00% ²	-
02/12/2018	STA-056-CCO-009	Ship Cab Mock-up to Caltrain	\$53,400	0.19%	\$26,485,313
04/17/2018	STA-056-CCO-010	Onboard Wheelchair Lift Locations	(\$1,885,050)	(6.84%)	\$28,370,363
04/17/2018	STA-056-CCO-011	Multiple Change Group 3 and Scale Models	\$0	0.00%	-
10/29/2018	STA-056-CCO-012	Multiple Change Group 4	\$0	0.00%	-
10/29/2018	STA-056-CCO-013	Wheelchair Lift Installation Redesign	\$228,400	0.83%	\$28,141,963
12/14/2018	STA-056-CCO-014	PTC System Change	\$0	0.00%	-
12/22/2018	STA-056-CCO-015	EMU Option Cars	\$172,800,047	0.00% ^{2,3}	-
6/26/2019	STA-056-CCO-016	Testing at TTCI (Pueblo Facility) - First Trainset	\$3,106,428	11.28 %	\$25,035,535
8/27/2019	STA-056-CCO-017	Virtual Reality Experience	\$400,000	1.45 %	\$24,635,535
8/21/2019	STA-056-CCO-018	EMI Conducted Emissions Limits	\$0	0.00%	\$24,635,535
8/8/2019	STA-056-CCO-019	Option Car Payment Milestones	\$0	0.00%	\$24,635,535
8/21/2019	STA-056-CCO-020	Multiple No Cost No Schedule Impact Changes Group 5	\$0	0.00%	\$24,635,535
10/28/2019	STA-056-CCO-021	Plugging of High-Level Doorways	\$736,013	2.67%	\$23,899,523
11/13/2019	STA-056-CCO-022	Add Flip-Up Seats into Bike Cars (CNPA: \$1.96M funded by Non-PCEP)	\$1,961,350	7.12% ³	\$21,938,173
4/21/2020	STA-056-CCO-025	Removal of Vandal Film from Windows	(\$374,994)	(1.36)%	\$22,313,167
5/6/2020	STA-056-CCO-023	Deferral of Wheelchair Lifts	\$632,703	2.30 %	\$21,680,464
7/13/2020	STA-056-CCO-026	Update VR Experiences (CNPA: \$43K funded by Non- PCEP)	\$43,000	0.16 % ³	\$21,637,464
		Total	\$179,197,556	21.45 %	\$21,637,464

Notes:

^{1.} When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.

² Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

^{3.} Third party improvements/CNPA projects that are funded with non-PCEP funds.

SCADA Contract

Change C	Order Authority (15% of A	RINC Contract)			15% x \$3,446,9	17 = \$517,038
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
	None to date					· · · ·
			Total	\$0	0.00%	\$517,038

Notes:

^{1.} When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.

² Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

Tunnel Modifications Contract

Change Ord	Change Order Authority (10% of ProVen Contract ¹)			10% x \$55,077,777	= \$5,507,778
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ²	Remaining Authority
3/27/2019	PROV-070-CCO-003	Track Access Delay	\$25,350	0.46 %	\$5,482,428

Change Orde	er Authority (10% of Pro	Ven Contract ¹)		10% x \$55,077,777	′ = \$5,507,778
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ²	Remaining Authority
3/27/2019	PROV-070-CCO-004	Additional OCS Potholing Due to Conflict with Existing Utilities	\$70,935	1.29 %	\$5,411,493
3/27/2019	PROV-070-CCO-005	Install Tie Backs and Piles in Boulders at Tunnel 4	\$29,478	0.54 %	\$5,382,015
3/28/2019	PROV-070-CCO-001	Partnering Meetings (50% PCEP)	\$14,443	0.26 % ⁴	\$5,367,572
4/25/2019	PROV-070-CCO-002	Furnish Galvanized E-clips	\$37,239	0.68 %	\$5,330,333
4/30/2019	PROV-070-CCO-006	Additional Rock Bolts and Testing	\$22,549	0.41 %	\$5,307,784
5/23/2019	PROV-070-CCO-013	Late Removal of Leaky Feeder Tunnel 4 (T-4)	\$21,225	0.39 %	\$5,286,559
5/28/2019	PROV-070-CCO-014	OCS Piles Utility Conflict at Tunnel-1 South (T-1S)	\$16,275	0.30 %	\$5,270,284
5/29/2019	PROV-070-CCO-012	OCS Piles Utility Conflict at T-4S	\$6,871	0.12 %	\$5,263,413
5/31/2019	PROV-070-CCO- 016A	Portal Structure Detailing Changes	\$84,331	1.53 %	\$5,179,082
6/18/2019	PROV-070-CCO-009	Creosote Ties Covering (CNPA - Drainage \$3,116.00)	\$3,116	0.06 %4	\$5,175,966
6/28/2019	PROV-070-CCO-008	Micropiles at South Tunnel-2 South (T-2S)	\$41,322	0.75 %	\$5,134,644
6/28/2019	PROV-070-CCO-010	Salvage Transition Panels (CNPA - Drainage \$6,144.00)	\$6,144	0.11 % ⁴	\$5,128,500
6/28/2019	PROV-070-CCO-011	Demo PVC and Plug Tunnel-1 South (T-1S) (CNPA - Drainage \$4,035.00)	\$4,035	0.07 % ⁴	\$5,124,465
6/28/2019	PROV-070-CCO-020	Unidentified SD Conflict with Junction Inlet (CNPA - Drainage \$1,976.00)	\$1,976	0.04 %4	\$5,122,489
9/26/2019	PROV-070-CCO-007	Canopy Tube Drilling	\$89,787	1.63%	\$5,032,702
9/26/2019	PROV-070-CCO-023	Over-excavate Trapezoidal Ditch at T-1N (CNPA - Drainage \$46,914.00)	\$46,914	0.85% ⁴	\$4,985,788
10/4/2019	PROV-070-CCO-029	Additional DryFix Pins	\$105,000	1.91%	\$4,880,788
10/4/2019	PROV-070-CCO-021	Out of Sequence Piles	\$185,857	3.37 %	\$4,694,931
10/30/2019	PROV-070-CCO-017	Hard Piping in T-4 (CNPA - Drainage \$2,200.00)	\$2,200	0.04 % ⁴	\$4,692,731
1/25/2020	PROV-070-CCO-027	Grout Quantity Underrun	(\$1,216,000)	(22.08)%	\$5,908,731
1/29/2020	PROV-070-CCO-026	HMAC Quantity Overrun (CNPA - Drainage \$160,000.00)	\$160,000	2.9 % ⁴	\$5,748,731
5/11/2020	PROV-070-CCO-025	NOPC #1 CWR (CNPA - Drainage \$660,000.00)	\$660,000	11.98 % ⁴	\$5,088,731
7/31/2020	PROV-070-CCO-032	Stone Masonry Fabrication at T-4S	\$26,367	0.48 %	\$5,062,364
7/31/2020	PROV-070-CCO-035	Low Overhead Obstruction at T-1N	\$18,894	0.34 %	\$5,043,470
		Total	\$464,308	8.43 %	\$5,043,470

Notes:

^{1.} Tunnel modifications contract (\$55,077,777) includes: Notching (\$25,281,170), Drainage (\$13,196,607) and OCS Installation (\$16,600,000).

^{2.} When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.

^{3.} Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

^{4.} Third Party Improvements/CNPA Projects that are funded with non-PCEP funds.

CEMOF Modifications Contract

Change Ord	er Authority (10% of Pro	10% x \$6,550,777 = \$655,078			
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
1/16/2020	PROV-071-CCO-001	Change Casing Size of Siphon Line to Schedule 80 PVC Pipe	\$3,849	0.59 %	\$651,229
1/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-002	Leakage test for IW line	\$1,339	0.20 %	\$649,890

onange ora	ler Authority (10% of ProV			10% x \$6,550,7 Change Order	Remaining
Date	Change Number	Description	CCO Amount	Authority Usage ¹	Authority
1/15/2020	PROV-071-CCO-003	Roughen surface of existing concrete	\$3,159	0.48 %	\$646,731
1/9/2020	PROV-071-CCO-004	Change Catch Basin Size from 24"X24" to 36" Round	\$14,415	2.20 %	\$632,316
1/15/2020	PROV-071-CCO-005	Hand Dig around Communication Lines	\$906	0.14 %	\$631,410
1/17/2020	PROV-071-CCO-008	Change Storm Drain Line A Material from 12-inch RCP Pipe to 12-inch PVC Pipe	\$3,583	0.55 %	\$627,827
1/16/2020	PROV-071-CCO-009	Demolition of Existing Exterior Light	\$1,558	0.24 %	\$626,269
2/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-010	Deletion of Plastic Bollards Around New Inspection Pit	(\$3,324)	(0.51)%	\$629,593
2/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-011	Fixing Broken Conduit in Concrete Slab North of Maintenance Building	\$4,286	0.65 %	\$625,307
2/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-012	Epoxy Dowels at New Stairwells	\$3,526	0.54 %	\$621,781
2/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-013	Deletion of the Removal and Replacement of Pump Disconnect Switches	(\$7,007)	(1.07)%	\$628,788
2/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-014	Recycled Base Rock for Backfill at Pressurized Water Line at Parts Storage Warehouse	\$1,411	0.22 %	\$627,377
2/20/2020	PROV-071-CCO-015	Cut and Cap Oil Line	\$1,002	0.15 %	\$626,375
2/25/2020	PROV-071-CCO-016	Installation of Homerun Conduit	\$27,404	4.18 %	\$598,971
2/25/2020	PROV-071-CCO-017	Potholing for Boosted Water Line	\$18,476	2.82 %	\$580,495
2/28/2020	PROV-071-CCO-018	Cap Compressed Air Line	\$9,519	1.45 %	\$570,976
2/28/2020	PROV-071-CCO-019	Acoustic Ceiling Removal at Component Test Room	\$4,253	0.65 %	\$566,723
3/5/2020	PROV-071-CCO-020	Ground Wire Relocation	\$14,117	2.16 %	\$552,606
3/13/2020	PROV-071-CCO-021	Zurn Drain Assembly in Lieu of Fibrelyte	\$1,104	0.17 %	\$551,502
4/8/2020	PROV-071-CCO-022	Deletion of Concrete Pad and Double Plywood Floor at PSW	(\$1,409)	(0.22)%	\$552,911
4/8/2020	PROV-071-CCO-023	Flashing at Overflow Drain at Component Test Room	\$2,981	0.46 %	\$549,930
4/9/2020	PROV-071-CCO-024	Parts Storage Warehouse Power Feed	\$16,412	2.51 %	\$533,518
4/22/2020	PROV-071-CCO-025	Removal of Hazardous Soil from PSW Subgrade Excavation	\$43,444	6.63 %	\$490,073
4/22/2020	PROV-071-CCO-026A	Removal of Hazardous Soil from PSW Footing Excavation	\$35,808	5.47 %	\$454,266
4/27/2020	PROV-071-CCO-027	480 Volt Duct Bank and Wire Removal	\$5,015	0.77 %	\$449,251
5/28/2020	PROV-071-CCO-031A	Temporary Facilities - Eye Wash Stations	\$656	0.10 %	\$448,595
6/3/2020	PROV-071-CCO-032A	Water Diversion Pump for Catch Basin Work	\$2,745	0.42 %	\$445,850
6/3/2020	PROV-071-CCO-033A	Light Towers for Maintenance Building Yard	\$3,897	0.59 %	\$441,953
6/3/2020	PROV-071-CCO-034	Investigation of Concrete Underneath Ties at Track 5	\$5,060	0.77 %	\$436,893
6/16/2020	PROV-071-CCO-029A	Shoring Design for Boosted Water Line Work	\$14,307	2.18 %	\$422,586
6/16/2020	PROV-071-CCO-030A	Investigation and Re-wiring of Electrical Receptacles at CTR	\$7,783	1.19 %	\$414,803
6/10/2020	PROV-071-CCO-028	Credit for Electrical Feed to Parts Storage Warehouse	(\$18,682)	(2.85)%	\$433,485
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO-029B	Shoring Design for Boosted Water Line Work	\$2,175	0.33 %	\$431,310
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO-032B	Water Diversion Pump for Catch Basin Work	\$3,621	0.55 %	\$427,689
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO-035	Settlement Slab Demolition	\$479	0.07 %	\$427,210

Change Order Authority (10% of ProVen Contract)		10% x \$6,55		0,777 = \$655,078		
Date	Change Number	Description		CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority
7/24/2020	PROV-071-CCO-036	Storm Drain Line A		\$2,066	0.32 %	\$425,144
			Total	\$229,934	35.10 %	\$425,144

Notes:

^{1.} When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.

^{2.} Change approved by the Board of Directors – not counted against the Executive Director's Change Order Authority.

AMTRAK AEM-7 Contract

Change Orde	Change Order Authority (Lump Sum)				Up to \$150,00		
Date	Change Number	Description	C	CCO Amount	Change Order Authority Usage ¹	Remaining Authority	
10/25/2019	AMTK-066-CCO-001	Change to Amtrak Contract for Test Locomotives		(72,179)	(48.12%)	222,179	
		Тс	otal	(72,179)	(48.12%)	\$222,179	

Notes:

^{1.} When the threshold of 75% is reached, staff may return to the Board to request additional authority.

Appendix F – Risk Table

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
314	The contractor may not complete and install signal design including Two- speed check (2SC) modifications within budget and schedule.	Delay and additional cost for rework.
303	Extent of differing site conditions and associated redesign efforts results in delays to the completion of the electrification contract and increases program costs.	Extends construction of design-build contract with associated increase in project costs • DSC design cost • Inefficiencies • Construction costs related to DSCs (i.e., larger foundations) • Additional potholing
313	Sub-optimal contractor sequencing, when progressing design and clearing foundation locations may result in construction inefficiencies	Contractor claims for increase in construction and design costs, and reduced production rates extending construction duration
240	Property not acquired in time for contractor to do work. Property Acquisition not complete per contractor availability date <>Fee <>Easement <>Contract stipulates that if parcels are not available by contract date, there is only a delay if parcels are not available by the time contractor completes the Segment	• Potential delays in construction schedule
267	Additional property acquisition is necessitated by change in design.	New project costs and delays to schedule.
010	Potential for Stadler's sub-suppliers to fall behind schedule or delays in parts supply chain result in late completion of vehicles.	 Delay in obtaining parts / components. Cost increases. (See Owner for allocation of costs) Schedule increase - 3 months (See Owner for allocation of damages associated with this Risk)
209	TASI may not have sufficient number of signal maintainers for testing.	 Delays to construction/testing. Delays to completion of infrastructure may delay acceptance of vehicles
308	Rejection of DVR for ATF and static wires results in cost and schedule impacts to PCEP.	Delay and delay claims

Listing of PCEP Risks and Effects in Order of Severity

273 Contractor generates hazardous materials, that necessitates proper removal and disposal in excess of contract allowances and expectations. Delay to construction while removing and disposing of hazardous materials resulting in schedule delay, increased construction costs, and schedule delay costs. 263 Collaboration across multiple disciplines to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet. Delay in testing of EMUs. Delay in Revenue Service Date. Additional costs for Staller and BBII due to overall schedule delays. 318 Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPB PCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed 011 > Systems integration problems <> electrical system problems <> electrical system problems <> interoperability with diesel equipment Cost increase. 244 Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to delay testing of the delivered EMUs. Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before puting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs 244 PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim power Delay in testing and increased costs 319 Risks in delays to foundation installation Delays in installation of catenary system a	ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
273Contract allowances and expectations. contract allowances and expectations.273and disposal in excess of contract allowances and expectations.263Collaboration across multiple disciplines to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet.263Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPB264Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPB265Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: < > software problems <> software propradition of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs.296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs297Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost to track protection and oversight.318BBII needs to complete traction power substations to b			
273 removal and disposal in excess of contract allowances and expectations. Feature (1997) 263 Collaboration across multiple disciplines to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet. Delay in testing of EMUs. Delay in Revenue Service Date. Additional costs for Statler and BBII due to overall schedule delays. 318 Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPB PCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed 011 Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: <> software problems <> interoperability with diesel equipment Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs. Delay to contractor (Statler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs 296 PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim power Delay in testing and increased costs 319 Failure of BBI to order cages in advance accept interim power Delay in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight. 320 EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers. Delay in testing and increased costs Schedule Increase		-	
contract allowances and expectations.Construction Costs, and schedule delay costs.263Collaboration across multiple disciplines to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet.Delay in testing of EMUs. Delay in Revenue Service Date. Additional costs for Stadler and BBII due to overall schedule delays.263Charge of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed318additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed011<> software problems < > selectrical system problems < > mechanical problems < > interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays the current diesel fleet.244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay in testing and increased costs296Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.319EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs224EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues	273		
Collaboration across multiple disciplines to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet. Delay in testing of EMUs. Delay in Revenue Service Date. Additional costs for Stadler and BBII due to overall schedule delays. 318 additional first article inspections at cost to JPB PCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed 011 <>> software problems <>> interparability with diesel equipment PCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed 011 <>> software problems <>> interparability with diesel equipment Delays vehicle acceptance 011 <>> systems integration problems <>> interpareability with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility. Delays vehicle acceptance 244 Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create EMUs. Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs 296 Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installation Delay in testing and increased costs 319 Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installation Delay in testing and increased costs 320 EMU production delay. Po		•	
263to develop a customized rail activation program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet.Delay in testing of EMUs. Delay in Revenue Service Date. Additional costs for Stadler and BBII due to overall schedule delays.318Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed011Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: >> software problems >> electrical system problems >> electrical system problems >> electrical system problems >> interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays vehicle acceptance Potential spill-over to other program elements011Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs322BBIT needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that<		-	costs.
263program may fail to comprehensively address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet.Delay in testing of EMOS. Delay in Revenue Service Date. Additional costs for Stadler and BBII due to overall schedule delays.318Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed318Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: 			
263 address the full scope of issues required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet. For Stabler and BBII due to overall schedule delays. 318 additional first article inspections at cost to JPB PCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed 011 Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: < > software problems < > electrical problems < > electrical system problems < > interoperability with diesel equipment Cost increase. 011 Cost increase. Cost increase. 011 Cost increase. Delays vehicle acceptance 011 PG&E neutral system integration problems < > interoperability with diesel equipment Delays vehicle acceptance 011 Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs. Delay in testing and increased costs 296 Failure of BBI to order cages in advance installation Delays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight. 319 BBIT needs to complete traction power Delay in testing and increased costs 322 EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers. Delay in testing and increased 327			
required to operate and maintain an electrified railroad and decommission the current diesel fleet.schedule delays.318Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed318Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: < > software problems < > electrical system problems < > systems integration problems < > systems integration problems < > interoperability with diesel equipmentCost increase.011Systems integration problems < > systems integration and compatibility.Delays vehicle acceptance244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create EMUs.Delay to integration of the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322Substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase	263		
Intervention raining and decommission118Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed118Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: <> software problems <> electrical system problems <> systems integration problems <> interoperability with diesel equipmentCost increase.011> systems integration problems <> interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays vehicle acceptance111> systems integration problems <> interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays vehicle acceptance111Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete results in delays to foundation installationDelay in testing and increased costs312EMU production delay. Possible that terse, poor integration / control of suppliers.Delay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			
Change of vehicle suppliers results in additional first article inspections at cost to JPBPCEP incurs additional cost to validate supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed318Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: 			Schedule delays.
318 additional first article inspections at cost to JPB supplier and product, including repeat FAIs as needed Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: software problems seneeded <> software problems c> software problems Cost increase. <> mechanical problems c> interoperability with diesel equipment Delays vehicle acceptance Increased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility. Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs. Delays to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim power 296 Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installation Delays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight. 319 BBII needs to complete traction power Delay in testing and increased costs 322 EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers. Schedule Increase 327 EMU production delay. Possible that there are poor integration / control of suppliers. Schedule Increase			
to JPBas neededRisks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: software problems software problems 	210		
Risks in achieving acceptable vehicle operations performance: <> software problems <> electrical system problems <> mechanical problems <> interoperability with diesel equipment Increased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility. Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs. PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim power Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installation accept interim power 322 BBII needs to complete traction power 325 EMU production delay. Possible	318	•	
operations performance: < > software problems < electrical system problems < mechanical problems <>t> electrical system problems <>t> mechanical problems <>t> mechanical problems <>t> mechanical problems <>t> mechanical problems <>t> mechanical problems Cost increase.011> systems integration problems <>t> interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays vehicle acceptanceIncreased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility.Delays to other program elements244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance accept interim powerDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			
 software problems electrical system problems mechanical problems mechanical problems systems integration problems systems integration problems interoperability with diesel equipment Increased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility. Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs. PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim power Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installation BBII needs to complete traction power BBII needs to complete traction power BBII needs to complete traction power EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers. EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of Schedule Increase 			
011<> mechanical problems <> systems integration problems <>> interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays vehicle acceptance011<> interoperability with diesel equipmentPotential spill-over to other program elementsIncreased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility.Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.319BBII needs to complete traction power accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs322BBII needs to complete traction power accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Delay in testing and increased costs327there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase		<> software problems	
011<> systems integration problems <> interoperability with diesel equipmentDelays vehicle acceptance1Potential spill-over to other program elements1Increased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility.Potential spill-over to other program elements244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Delay in testing and increased costs327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			Cost increase.
011<> interoperability with diesel equipmentPotential spill-over to other program elementsIncreased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility.Potential spill-over to other program elements244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Delay in testing and increased costs327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			Delava vahiela accentance
equipmentPotential spill-over to other program elementsIncreased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility.Potential spill-over to other program elements244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Delay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase	011		Delays venicle acceptance
Increased issues lately with vehicles regarding system integration and compatibility.elements244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction powerDelay in testing and increased costs323EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase324EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			Potential spill-over to other program
regarding system integration and compatibility.Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			
compatibility.244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			
244Delays to completion of Segment 4 and then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			
244then the entire alignment would create storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.Delay claims from the EMU contractor (Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			
244storage issues and impede the ability to exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.(Stadler) and expiration of the EMU 2 year warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			Delay claims from the EMU contractor
To exercise (power up and move) EMUs and delay testing of the delivered EMUs.warranty before putting significant mileage on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase	244		
EMUs.PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs296interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase	244	to exercise (power up and move) EMUs	
296PG&E needs to complete interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			on the EMUs. Inability to exercise EMUs
296interconnection to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelays in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			
complete to accept interim power319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.323BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs324EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase325EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase	206		Delay in testing and increased costs
319Failure of BBI to order cages in advance results in delays to foundation installationDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelays in installation of catenary system and additional cost for track protection and oversight.323BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase	290		Delay in testing and increased costs
319results in delays to foundation installationadditional cost for track protection and oversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			Delays in installation of catenary system and
installationoversight.322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase	319		
322BBII needs to complete traction power substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			•
322substations to be sufficiently complete to accept interim powerDelay in testing and increased costs325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase			
325EMU production delay. Possible that there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control of control ofSchedule Increase	322		Delay in testing and increased costs
325there are quality issues, failed factory tests, poor integration / control of suppliers.Schedule Increase327EMU production delay. Possible that there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase		accept interim power	
325 tests, poor integration / control of suppliers. Schedule Increase EMU production delay. Possible that 327 there is poor integration / control of Schedule Increase			
tests, poor integration / control of suppliers. EMU production delay. Possible that 327 there is poor integration / control of Schedule Increase	325		Schedule Increase
EMU production delay.Possible that327there is poor integration / control ofSchedule Increase			
327 there is poor integration / control of Schedule Increase			
	327		Schedule Increase
Suppliers.		suppliers.	

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
		Prolonged delay to resolve issues (up to 12 months)
013	Vehicle manufacturer could default.	Increase in legal expenses
		Potential price increase to resolve contract issue
067	Relocation of overhead utilities must precede installation of catenary wire and connections to TPSs. Relocation work will be performed by others and may not be completed to meet BBII's construction schedule.	Delay in progress of catenary installation resulting in claims and schedule delay
223	Major program elements may not be successfully integrated with existing operations and infrastructure in advance of revenue service.	Proposed changes resulting from electrification may not be fully and properly integrated into existing system. Rework resulting in cost increases and
242	Track access does not comply with contract-stipulated work windows.	schedule delays Contractor claims for delays, schedule delays and associated costs to owner's representative staff.
253	Permits for bridges may not be issued in a timely manner.	Delays to issuance of permit for construction while negotiating and executing an operation and maintenance agreement for equipment installed on bridges; existing bridge deficiencies could result in additional costs to PCEP.
261	Although EMUs meets their electromagnetic emissions limits and wayside signal system track circuits meet their susceptibility requirements there are still compatibility issues leading to improper signal system operation	Changes on the EMU and/or signal system require additional design and installation time and expense.
285	Potential for inflation, (except with respect to Maintenance Option) to increase contractor costs.	Higher cost
286	Potential for wage escalation, (except for Maintenance Option) to increase contractor costs.	Higher cost
056	Lack of operations personnel for testing.	 Testing delayed. Change order for extended vehicle acceptance.
115	Other capital improvement program projects compete with PCEP for track access allocation and requires design coordination (design, coordination, integration).	Schedule delay as resources are allocated elsewhere, won't get track time, sequencing requirements may delay PCEP construction, track access requirements must be coordinated.

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
10	Single Phase Study and interconnection	211201(0)
	agreement may be delayed	
321	preventing energization of Segment 4 for	
	milestone 1	
	Unexpected restrictions could affect	
	construction progress:	
082	<> night work	Reduced production rates.
	<> noise <> local roads	• Delay
	<> local ordinances	
	OCS poles or structures as designed by	Additional ROW Take, additional cost
270	Contractor fall outside of JPB row	and time
	Potential for electromagnetic	· · · · · · · ·
012	interference (EMI) to private facilities	Increased cost due to mitigationPotential delay due to public protests
012	with sensitive electronic equipment	or environmental challenge.
	caused by vehicles.	or environmental enalerige.
	Contractor's proposal on stakeholder	
	requested changes to the vehicles (e.g., High Level Doors in lieu of	Schedule delay.
014	windows as emergency exits) may	
	significantly exceed JPB authorized	Cost increase.
	amount.	
078	Need for unanticipated, additional ROW	Delay while procuring ROW and
	for new signal enclosures.	additional ROW costs.
	Unanticipated HazMat or contaminated hot spots encountered during	Increased cost for clean-up and
087	foundation excavations for poles, TPSS,	handling of materials and delay to
	work at the yards.	schedule due to HazMat procedures.
	Construction safety program fails to	Work stoppages due to safety incidents
088	sufficiently maintain safe performance.	resulting in schedule delay and
		additional labor costs.
171	Electrification facilities could be damaged during testing.	Delay in commencing electrified operations.
	Timely resolution of 3rd party design	•
247	review comments to achieve timely	Delay to completion of design and
	approvals	associated additional labor costs.
	Subcontractor and supplier	
254	performance to meet aggressive	Delay to production schedule resulting in
251	schedule	increased soft costs and overall project
	<>Potential issue meeting Buy America requirements	schedule delay.
	Final design based upon actual Geotech	
272	conditions	Could require changes
	Design changes may necessitate	
207	additional implementation of	Increased cost for environmental
287	environmental mitigations not previously	measures and delays to construct and overall delay in construction schedule
	budgeted.	overall delay in construction schedule

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
289	Coordination and delivery of permanent power for power drops for everything except traction power substations along alignment	Can't test resulting in delays to schedule and associated additional project costs.
291	Order/manufacture of long lead items prior to 100% IFC design document that proves to be incorrect	Design change and/or delays
292	Potential that UPS will not fit in the spaces allotted to communications work within the buildings.	Requisite backup capacity units under design criteria could result in the need for larger unit than originally planned resulting in design and fabrication changes and associated schedule delays and costs.
304	Solution to FRA concerns over bike storage impeding path to emergency exit windows path results in increased costs and potential rework.	Protracted negotiations with FRA to achieve original design
317	JPB may not make timely acquisition of resources to staff rail activation plan with key personnel.	Delay in operating electrified railroad - delay of RSD.
323	FRA concerns require re-design	
326	EMU production delay. Possible that there are failed factory tests	Schedule Increase
027	Vehicle power consumption may not meet requirements. <>System impact study and load flow show no issues	Issue with PG&E. Can't run full acceleration.
031	New cars possibly not reliable enough to be put into service as scheduled	Operating plan negatively impacted
042	Full complement of EMUs not available upon initiation of electrified revenue service	Late delivery impacts revenue service date.
101	PG&E may not be able to deliver permanent power for the project within the existing budget and in accordance with the project schedule	Additional project costs; potential delay to revenue service date
150	Number of OCS pole installation is significant. Any breakdown in sequencing of operations or coordination of multiple crews will have a substantial effect on the project.	Delay.
245	 Failure of BBI to submit quality design and technical submittals in accordance with contract requirements \$3-\$5M/month burn rate for Owner's team during peak 	Delays to project schedule and additional costs for preparation and review of submittals.

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
252	Failure of BBI to order/manufacture long lead items prior to 100% IFC design document approval by JPB	Delays to project schedule and additional cost for contractor and JPB staff time.
271	Need for additional construction easements beyond that which has been provided for Contractor proposed access and staging	Additional cost and time
306	Possible legal challenge and injunction to any changes in PCEP requiring subsequent CEQA or NEPA environmental clearance documentation/actions.	Worst case: a judge issues an injunction, which would prohibit any work ONLY on the project scope of the environmental document. Impact to the project from cost and schedule impact depends on if work is on the critical or becomes on the critical path.
008	Requests for change orders after vehicles are in production	Delays to manufacturing of vehicles and additional design and manufacturing costs.
023	Manufacturer cannot control vehicle weight to meet specifications.	Increased operating cost.
025	Potential that vehicles cannot meet requirements for "Mean Time to Repair" (MTTR).	Increased maintenance cost.
032	Failure to come up to speed on stakeholder safety requirements: <> FTA <> FRA <> CPUC	Takes longer than expected to gain FRA/FTA concurrence on waiver and/or level boarding requirements.
053	Failure to meet Buy America requirements. (Contractor definition of component v. sub-component may not be accepted by Caltrain / FTA.)	Potential need for negotiations that might lead to delay of project award. (BA is not negotiable)
054	Infrastructure not ready for vehicles (OCS, TPS, Commissioning site / facility).	Increases cost if done off property
069	Potential need for additional construction easements. Especially for access and laydown areas. Contractor could claim project is not constructible and needs more easements after award.	Increased cost Delay

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
	Potential that DB contractor will have insufficient field resources (personnel or equipment) to maintain aggressive schedule.	
100	Multiple segments will need to be under design simultaneously.	
106	Labor pool issue. 32 qualified linemen will be needed. Potential there is not enough available. Big storm damage anywhere in US will draw from the pool to make line repairs.	Delay.
	Possible shortages with other specialty crafts as well.	
151	Public could raise negative concerns regarding wheel/rail noise.	Increased cost to mitigate: <> grind rails <> reprofile wheels <> sound walls
161	Unanticipated costs to provide alternate service (bus bridges, etc.) during rail service disruptions.	Cost increase.
192	Environmental compliance during construction. - Potential impact to advancing construction within the vicinity of any cultural finds that are excavated. - Failure to meet the commitments contained within the PCEP EA, FEIR and permit conditions	• Delay • Cost increase
195	Introduction of electrified train service will require training of first responders in working in and around the rail corridor. The new vehicles will be considerably quieter than the existing fleet and the presence of high voltage power lines will require new procedures for emergency response. A new training program will need to be developed and disseminated for: • Fire, police, and first responders • Local communities • Schools	Safety hazards resulting in incidents that delay construction and increase labor cost. Delays in RSD until training is completed as requirement of safety certification process.

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
237	JPB needs an agreement with each city in which catenary will be strung over an existing grade crossing (17 in all) under GO 88 (grade crossings). These agreements must be executed subsequent to installing overhead catenary. JPB is preparing a response to CPUC while working with the cities. Delays in reaching agreement could have impacts on schedule and budget.	Not completing the grade crossing diagnostics and getting agreement from the cities on the results can result in delays to necessary approvals for the project and revenue service.
248	3rd party coordination <>Jurisdictions, Utilities, UP, Contractors <>D/B needs to provide timely information to facilitate 3rd party coordination <>Risk is for construction	Delays in approvals resulting in project schedule delays and associated costs.
250	Potential for municipalities and other agencies to request betterments as part of the electrification project	Delay to project schedule in negotiating betterments as part of the construction within municipalities and associated increased cost to the project as no betterments were included in the project budget.
254	Potential that bridge clearance data are inaccurate and that clearances are not sufficient for installation of catenary.	Results in additional design and construction to create sufficient clearance.
266	Verizon poles in conflict with OCS may not be removed in advance of OCS installation.	Delay in progress of catenary installation resulting in claims and schedule delay
274	JPB as-built drawings and existing infrastructure to be used as basis of final design and construction is not correct	Additional cleanup of as-builts after PCEP construction
275	DB fails to verify as-built drawings and existing infrastructure	Additional cleanup of as-builts after PCEP construction
278	Failure of D/B contractor and subcontractors and suppliers to meet Buy America requirements	Delays while acceptable materials are procured and additional costs for delays and purchase of duplicative equipment.
282	Failure to maintain dynamic envelope and existing track clearances consistent with requirements.	Redesign entailing cost and schedule impacts.
284	Compliance with project labor agreement could result in inefficiencies in staffing of construction.	Increase in labor costs and less efficient construction resulting in schedule delays.
290	Delays in agreement and acceptance of initial VVSC requirements database.	Delay to design acceptance
293	Readiness of 115kV interconnect for temporary power to support testing	Delay in testing

ID	RISK DESCRIPTION	EFFECT(S)
311	Although project recordable injuries remain below the industry average, there have been numerous small impact incidents occurring that could potentially lead to a more serious event occurring.	The occurrence of a high impact safety event could result in project rework, construction delays, and increased project costs.

Appendix G – MMRP Status Log

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
AES-2a: Minimize OCS construction activity on residential and park areas outside the Caltrain ROW.	x	x			Ongoing	The OCS proposed construction schedule has been provided to the JPB. OCS construction began the week of October 2, 2017. The D-B has utilized the potholing process to assist in locating conflicts in the 35% design and attempting to relocate OCS pole locations within the ROW.
AES-2b: Aesthetic treatments for OCS poles, TPFs in sensitive visual locations, and Overbridge Protection Barriers.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure have been implemented as described, and coordination with the specific jurisdictions regarding pole colors and design is ongoing. Coordination with the JPB & local jurisdiction regarding Overbridge Protection Barriers and TPFs is ongoing.
AES-4a: Minimize spillover light during nighttime construction. AES-4b: Minimize light	X	x			Ongoing Upcoming	OCS construction began the week of October 2, 2017; and the BBI community relations lead has notified nearby residents of upcoming construction. During construction, lighting is faced inward, towards the railroad tracks, and any complaints will be documented and addressed by the BBI community relations lead.
AES-4b: Minimize light spillover at TPFs.	•				opcoming	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being utilized in the design and construction process.
AQ-2a: Implement BAAQMD basic and additional construction mitigation measures to reduce construction- related dust.	X	x			Ongoing	The Dust Mitigation Plan was submitted to the JPB and approved. The requirements in the Dust Mitigation Plan will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.

Reporting	_			_		1
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction <u>ii</u>		Post- Construction	_	Status	Status Notes
AQ-2b: Implement BAAQMD basic and additional construction mitigation measures to control construction- related ROG and NOX emissions.	x	x			Ongoing	The Equipment Emissions Control Plan was submitted to the JPB and approved. The requirements in the Equipment Emissions Control Plan will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
AQ-2c: Utilize clean diesel- powered equipment during construction to control construction-related ROG and NOX emissions.	X	X			Ongoing	The Equipment Emissions Control Plan was submitted to the JPB and approved. The requirements in the Equipment Emissions Control Plan will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
BIO-1a: Implement general biological impact avoidance measures.	X	X			Ongoing	Worker Environmental Awareness Training is provided to all project- related personnel before they work on the project. All measures as described will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
BIO-1b: Implement special- status plant species avoidance and revegetation measures.	X	X	x		Complete	Not applicable. Subsequent habitat assessment and avoidance of Communication Hill eliminated any potential to affect special-status plant species. The measure is not needed.

	Miti	gatio	n Tim			
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
BIO-1c: Implement California red-legged frog and San Francisco garter snake avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Pre-construction surveys are occurring no more than 7 days prior to the initiation of construction activities nearby/adjacent to potential habitat for CRLF and SFGS. The Wildlife Exclusion Fencing Plans for Segments 1 and 4 were submitted and approved by the wildlife agencies, and installation and monitoring of wildlife exclusion fencing is ongoing. No CRLF / SFGS or sign of each species has been observed to date on the Project.
BIO-1d: Implement western pond turtle avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Pre-construction surveys are occurring no more than 7 days prior to the initiation of construction activities nearby/adjacent to potential habitat for WPT. No WPT or WPT sign have been observed to date on the Project.
BIO-1e: Implement Townsend's big-eared bat, pallid bat, hoary bat, and fringed myotis avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Pre-construction surveys are occurring no more than 7 days prior to the initiation of construction activities with the potential to disturb bats or their habitat. No special- status bats or sign have been observed to date on the Project.
BIO-1f: Implement western burrowing owl avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Protocol surveys for Western Burrowing Owl have been conducted from April–July, in 2017, 2018, and 2019, at previously identified potentially suitable habitat locations. Note that all of these locations are in Construction Segment 4 (southern Santa Clara and San Jose). No Burrowing Owls have been observed during the 2017-2019 surveys. Survey reports for the 2017, 2018, and 2019 surveys have been submitted to the JPB for the project

Reporting	Mitigatio	on Timing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction Construction	Post- Construction Operation	Status	Status Notes
				record. In addition, pre-construction surveys of the potential BUOW habitat areas in Segment 4 are ongoing, as needed, and if required, they occur no more than 7 days prior to the onset of new ground-disturbing construction activities. Surveys for the 2020 breeding season will commenced in March 2020. On March 24, 2020, two burrowing owls were observed adjacent to the Caltrain ROW, near MP 44.6. The owls were located approximately 150 feet away from the Caltrain ROW. A 200-meter no-disturbance buffer continued to be implemented during the reporting period. During this reporting period, Balfour was granted approval by the CDFW to drive vehicles and equipment through the buffer in order to access foundation installation locations to the North and South of the BUOW. During the first week of mobilization through the buffer, a Qualified Biological Monitor provided full-time biological monitoring to determine if the presence of vehicle travel had any impact on the BUOW. No impacts to the BUOW were observed, and the BUOW was consistently observed at the northern most potential BUOW burrow location during the monitoring effort. Due to the lack of observed impacts to the BUOW during the monitoring effort, the CDFW subsequently approved weekly spot- checks through the end of the breeding season, which are currently ongoing, and will continue through August 31, 2020. Any other work

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
						scheduled to occur within the 200- meter buffer prior to the end of the breeding season (August 31, 2020) will be coordinated with the Qualified Biologist, in consultation with the JPB and the CDFW, as needed.
BIO-1g: Implement northern harrier, white- tailed kite, American peregrine falcon, saltmarsh common yellowthroat, purple martin, and other nesting bird avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Nesting Bird and raptor surveys were conducted from February 1 through September 15, in 2017, 2018 and 2019, prior to project-related activities with the potential to impact nesting birds. Nesting Bird Surveys recommenced on February 1, 2020 for the 2020 nesting season (February 1, 2020) and continued through this reporting period. During this reporting period, no nesting activity was observed.
BIO-1h: Conduct biological resource survey of future contractor-determined staging areas.	x	x			Ongoing	The agency-approved Qualified Biologist has conducted surveys of the staging areas currently being used for construction activities. No special-status species or other potentially sensitive biological resources were observed. The agency-approved Qualified Biologist will continue to survey ahead of the initiation of activities at planned staging areas as the Project moves into new construction areas.
BIO-1i: Minimize impacts on Monarch butterfly overwintering sites.	x	x			Ongoing	The agency-approved Qualified Biologist has periodically monitored the project limits to evaluate the presence of Monarch butterfly overwintering sites. No Monarch butterfly overwintering sites have been observed on the Project to date.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
BIO-1j: Avoid nesting birds and bats during vegetation maintenance.				x	Upcoming	To be completed during Project operation.
BIO-2: Implement serpentine bunchgrass avoidance and revegetation measures.	x	x	x		Complete	Not applicable. Subsequent habitat assessment and avoidance of Communication Hill eliminated any potential to affect serpentine bunchgrass. This measure is no longer needed.
BIO-3: Avoid or compensate for impacts on wetlands and waters.	x	x	x		Complete	The JPB has compensated for unavoidable wetland impacts by purchasing adequate credits from a wetlands mitigation bank approved by USACE and SFRWQCB.
BIO-5: Implement Tree Avoidance, Minimization, and Replacement Plan.	x	x	x		Ongoing	Tree removal and pruning activities were initiated in August 2017, and are ongoing, under the guidance of the BBI Arborist, and in accordance with the Tree Avoidance, Minimization, and Replacement Plan. Tree Removal and Pruning status is provided to the JPB on a regular basis.
BIO-6: Pay <i>Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan</i> land cover fee (if necessary).	x				Complete	Not applicable. The SCVHP does not apply to the Project because TPS2, Option 1 was not selected and OCS does not extend to Communication Hill. This measure is not needed.
CUL-1a: Evaluate and minimize impacts on structural integrity of historic tunnels.	X				Upcoming	To be implemented prior to construction in tunnels.

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-1b: Minimize impacts on historic decorative tunnel material.	x				Upcoming	To be implemented prior to construction in tunnels. Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) documentation was completed in October 2018, pursuant to this measure.
CUL-1c: Install project facilities in a way that minimizes impacts on historic tunnel interiors.	x				Upcoming	To be implemented prior to construction in tunnels.
CUL-1d: Implement design commitments at historic railroad stations	x				Complete	The Qualified Architectural Historian completed and submitted the HABS Level III documents to the JPB for all seven of the historic stations. Pole placement has been designed to minimize the visual impact to historic stations and all design changes are reviewed by the Environmental Compliance Lead to ensure the mitigation measure is being implemented as the design of the project progresses.
CUL-1e: Implement specific tree mitigation considerations at two potentially historic properties and landscape recordation, as necessary.	x	x			Complete	It was determined that the project is not acquiring any ROW at either of the subject properties so all tree effects would be within the JPB ROW. Therefore, the APE does not include these two historic properties. This measure is no longer needed.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-1f: Implement historic bridge and underpass design requirements.	x				Ongoing	This measure is being implemented as described during the design process and will be incorporated into the final design. The four bridges that are included in the MMRP are rail bridges crossing over another feature. Design of the OCS system is taking into account that there are requirements that restrict the design. Thus far, the designs for Construction Segments 2 & 4 are in process and designs are not yet complete. The D-B will forward to the Architectural Historian once complete.
CUL-2a: Conduct an archaeological resource survey and/or monitoring of the removal of pavement or other obstructions to determine if historical resources under CEQA or unique archaeological resources under PRC 21083.2 are present.	x				Ongoing	Periodic inspections of ground surface areas along the alignment, in conjunction with cultural monitoring as-needed of project activities in culturally sensitive areas are ongoing. The Archaeological Final Report will be provided at the conclusion of construction activities.
CUL-2b: Conduct exploratory trenching or coring of areas where subsurface project disturbance is planned in those areas with "high" or "very high" potential for buried site.	x				Ongoing	Exploratory trenching and subsurface testing of all potentially culturally sensitive areas occurred prior to the initiation of construction activities in those areas. The results will be included in the Archaeological Final Report. No cultural resources requiring the development of a treatment plan were observed. A Native American monitor has been present for all exploratory trenching and subsurface testing work.

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-2c: Conduct limited subsurface testing before performing ground- disturbing work within 50 meters of a known archaeological site.	x				Ongoing	Exploratory trenching and subsurface testing of all potentially culturally sensitive areas occurred prior to the initiation of construction activities in those areas. The results will be included in the Archaeological Final Report. No cultural resources requiring the development of a treatment plan were observed. A Native American monitor has been present for all exploratory trenching and subsurface testing work.
CUL-2d: Conduct exploratory trenching or coring of areas within the three zones of special sensitivity where subsurface project disturbance is planned.	x				Ongoing	Exploratory trenching and subsurface testing of all potentially culturally sensitive areas occurred prior to the initiation of construction activities in those areas. The results will be included in the Archaeological Final Report. No cultural resources requiring the development of a treatment plan were observed. A Native American monitor has been present for all exploratory trenching and subsurface testing work.
CUL-2e: Stop work if cultural resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities.	x	X			Ongoing	No prehistoric or historic-period cultural materials have been observed during cultural monitoring.
CUL-2f: Conduct archaeological monitoring of ground-disturbing activities in areas as determined by JPB and SHPO.		X			Ongoing	Cultural monitoring as-needed of project activities in culturally sensitive areas is ongoing. The Archaeological Final Report will be provided at the conclusion of construction activities.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction		Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-3: Comply with state and county procedures for the treatment of human remains discoveries.		X			Ongoing	No human remains have been observed to date on the Project.
EMF-2: Minimize EMI effects during final design, Monitor EMI effects during testing, commission and operations, and Remediate Substantial Disruption of Sensitive Electrical Equipment.	x	x	x		Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Designs are submitted and reviewed/commented on by JPB. Monitoring EMI effects will occur post construction.
GEO-1: Perform a site- specific geotechnical study for traction power facilities.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Geotechnical studies are being conducted by Parikh under subcontract with PGH Wong. Studies and results are submitted to JPB as completed.
GEO-4a: Identification of expansive soils.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design by the D-B as described. Geotechnical studies are being conducted by Parikh under subcontract with PGH Wong. Studies and results are submitted to JPB as completed.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
GEO-4b: Mitigation of expansive soils.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design by the D-B as described. Geotechnical studies are being conducted by Parikh under subcontract with PGH Wong. Studies and results are submitted to JPB as completed.
HAZ-2a: Conduct a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment prior to construction.	x				Complete	A Phase II Environmental Assessment was completed prior to construction by the JPB consultant, and the results were provided to BBI, and the required mitigation is being implemented prior to the initiation of construction activities.
HAZ-2b: Implement engineering controls and best management practices during construction.	x	x			Ongoing	D-B field activities are being monitored daily for significant color changes or odors which may indicate contamination. In addition, assessments of existing subsurface pipes by a certified Asbestos Consultant are occurring as needed throughout the project as they are observed. Following the assessments, a specification describing the methods for removal and disposal are provided to the certified asbestos contractor. The removal and disposal work performed by the certified asbestos contractor is monitored by the certified asbestos consultant.
HYD-1: Implement construction dewatering treatment, if necessary.	x	x			Ongoing	Facilities & BMPs are in place to deal with this requirement should it arise in the OCS foundations.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
HYD-4: Minimize floodplain impacts by minimizing new impervious areas for TPFs or relocating these facilities.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. The TPFs in Construction Segments 2 & 4 are currently in final design and design for TPFs in Construction Segments 1 & 3 has begun. The design minimizes hardscape only to required structure foundations; yard areas are to receive a pervious material.
HYD-5: Provide for electrical safety at TPFs subject to periodic or potential flooding.	x			X	Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. The TPFs in Construction Segments 2 & 4 are currently in final design and design for TPFs in Construction Segments 1 & 3 has begun. The design plan currently raises the TPFs above the floodplain.
HYD-7: Implement sea level rise vulnerability assessment and adaptation plan.				x	Ongoing	The JPB has initiated this measure and preparation of the sea level rise vulnerability assessment and adaptation plan is underway.
NOI-1a: Implement Construction Noise Control Plan.	x	x			Ongoing	The Noise and Vibration Control Plan has been submitted and is being implemented. Field activity is monitored per the Plan. If allowable noise levels are near or exceed allowable noise levels, mitigation such as blankets are used from that point forward.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
NOI-1b: Conduct site- specific acoustical analysis of ancillary facilities based on the final mechanical equipment and site design and implement noise control treatments where required.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. PGH Wong has completed analysis and design and issued for JPB review.
NOI-2a: Implement Construction Vibration Control Plan.	x	x			Ongoing	The Noise and Vibration Control Plan has been submitted and is being implemented. Field activity is monitored per the Plan.
PSU-8a: Provide continuous coordination with all utility providers.	x	x			Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure will be implemented through the final design as described. Coordination with utility providers is ongoing and there have not been any service interruptions thus far.
PSU-8b: Adjust OCS pole foundation locations.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described.
PSU-8c: Schedule and notify users about potential service interruptions.	x	x			Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. There have not been any service interruptions thus far.
PSU-9: Require application of relevant construction mitigation measures to utility relocation and transmission line construction by others.	x	x			Ongoing	JPB has initiated coordination with PG&E regarding transmission line construction. PG&E is currently raising overcrossing lines in Segment 2.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction		Post- Construction		Status	Status Notes
TRA-1a: Implement Construction Road Traffic Control Plan.	x	x			Ongoing	The D-B has begun traffic control design and permit applications with the City of Millbrae, Burlingame and San Mateo. Other communities will follow. Designs have been completed for all cross-over bridges in Segments 2 & 4 and submitted.
TRA-1c: Implement signal optimization and roadway geometry improvements at impacted intersections for the 2020 Project Condition.	x	x			Upcoming	This measure has not started
TRA-2a: Implement construction railway disruption control plan.	x	x			Ongoing	Minimization of railway disruption is being coordinated by the Site Specific Work Plan. A Construction Railway Disruption Control Plan was prepared to document the measures that are being implemented.
TRA-3b: In cooperation with the City and County of San Francisco, implement surface pedestrian facility improvements to address the Proposed Project's additional pedestrian movements at and immediately adjacent to the San Francisco 4th and King Station.	x	x	x		Upcoming	This measure has not started.
TRA-4b: Continue to improve bicycle facilities at Caltrain stations and partner with bike share programs where available following guidance in				x	Ongoing	The JPB adopted the Caltrain Bicycle Parking Management Plan in November 2017, and staff have been working to implement the Plan's recommendations to improve wayside bike parking facilities along

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
Caltrain's Bicycle Access and Parking Plan.						the corridor. Staff have also been coordinating with local jurisdictions that have launched bikeshare pilot programs to safely site bicycles near Caltrain stations.
NOI-CUMUL-1: Implement a phased program to reduce cumulative train noise along the Caltrain corridor as necessary to address future cumulative noise increases over FTA thresholds				X	Upcoming	This measure will be implemented during project operation.
NOI-CUMUL-2: Conduct project-level vibration analysis for Blended System operations and implement vibration reduction measures as necessary and appropriate for the Caltrain corridor				x	In Progress	CHSRA is conducting this analysis as part of the EIR/EIS for the San Francisco to San Jose section.
TRA-CUMUL-1: Implement a phased program to provide traffic improvements to reduce traffic delays near at-grade crossings and Caltrain stations				x	Upcoming	This measure will be implemented during project operation.
TRA-CUMUL-2: Implement technical solution to allow electric trolley bus transit across 16 th Street without OCS conflicts in cooperation with SFMTA.	x				Complete	Not applicable. SFMTA has elected to not electrify the 16 th Street crossing. This measure no longer applies.
Mitigation Measure TRA- CUMUL-3: As warranted, Caltrain and freight operators will partner to provide Plate H clearance				X	Upcoming	This measure will be implemented during project operation.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
as feasible between San Jose and Bayshore.						
AES-2a: Minimize OCS construction activity on residential and park areas outside the Caltrain ROW.	x	x			Ongoing	The OCS proposed construction schedule has been provided to the JPB. OCS construction began the week of October 2, 2017. The D-B has used the potholing process to assist in locating conflicts in the 35% design and attempting to relocate OCS pole locations within the ROW, thereby avoiding parks and residential areas.
AES-2b: Aesthetic treatments for OCS poles, TPFs in sensitive visual locations, and Overbridge Protection Barriers.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure have been implemented as described, and coordination with the specific jurisdictions regarding pole colors and design, TPFs, and Overbridge Protection Barriers, is ongoing.
AES-4a: Minimize spillover light during nighttime construction.		x			Ongoing	OCS construction began the week of October 2, 2017. The BBI community relations lead has notified nearby residents of upcoming construction. During construction, lighting is faced inward, towards the railroad tracks, and any complaints will be documented and addressed by the BBI community relations lead.
AES-4b: Minimize light spillover at TPFs.	x				Upcoming	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being used in the design process of the TPFs.

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
AQ-2a: Implement BAAQMD basic and additional construction mitigation measures to reduce construction- related dust.	x	x			Ongoing	The Dust Mitigation Plan was submitted to the JPB. The requirements in the Dust Mitigation Plan will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
AQ-2b: Implement BAAQMD basic and additional construction mitigation measures to control construction- related ROG and NOX emissions.	x	x			Ongoing	The Equipment Emissions Control Plan was submitted to the JPB. The requirements in the Equipment Emissions Control Plan will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
AQ-2c: Utilize clean diesel- powered equipment during construction to control construction-related ROG and NOX emissions.	x	×			Ongoing	The Equipment Emissions Control Plan was submitted to the JPB. The requirements in the Equipment Emissions Control Plan will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
BIO-1a: Implement general biological impact avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Worker Environmental Awareness Training is provided to all project- related personnel before they work on the project. All measures as described will be implemented throughout the construction period and documented in daily reports.
BIO-1b: Implement special- status plant species avoidance and revegetation measures.	x	X	x		Complete	Not applicable. Subsequent habitat assessment and avoidance of Communication Hill eliminated any potential to affect special-status plant species. The measure is not needed.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Timi	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
BIO-1c: Implement California red-legged frog and San Francisco garter snake avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Pre-construction surveys are occurring no more than 7 days prior to the initiation of construction activities nearby/adjacent to potential habitat for CRLF and SFGS. The Wildlife Exclusion Fencing Plan for Segments 2 and 4 was submitted and approved by the wildlife agencies, and installation and monitoring of wildlife exclusion fencing is ongoing. No CRLF / SFGS or sign of each species has been observed to date on the Project. A separate Wildlife Exclusion Fencing Plan will be submitted for Segments 1 and 3, prior to initiation of construction activities in those segments.
BIO-1d: Implement western pond turtle avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Pre-construction surveys are occurring no more than 7 days prior to the initiation of construction activities nearby/adjacent to potential habitat for WPT. No WPT or WPT sign have been observed to date on the Project.
BIO-1e: Implement Townsend's big-eared bat, pallid bat, hoary bat, and fringed myotis avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Pre-construction surveys are occurring no more than 7 days prior to the initiation of construction activities with the potential to disturb bats or their habitat. No special- status bats or sign have been observed to date on the Project.

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
BIO-1f: Implement western burrowing owl avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Protocol surveys for Western Burrowing Owl were conducted from April 2017 through July 2017 at previously identified potentially suitable habitat locations. Note that all of these locations are in Construction Segment 4 (southern Santa Clara and San Jose). No Burrowing Owls were observed during the surveys. Construction in Segment 4 is anticipated to occur in 2018. Prior to construction activities in Segment 4, pre-construction surveys of the potential habitat areas will occur no more than 7 days prior to the onset of construction activities. In addition, protocol surveys were initiated in March 2018, and were completed in June 2018, at the previously identified potentially suitable habitat locations, which will allow work to occur during the 2019 breeding season, if necessary. No Burrowing Owls were observed during the 2018 surveys.
BIO-1g: Implement northern harrier, white- tailed kite, American peregrine falcon, saltmarsh common yellowthroat, purple martin, and other nesting bird avoidance measures.	x	x			Ongoing	Nesting Bird surveys were conducted from February 1 through September 15, 2017 prior to project-related activities with the potential to impact nesting birds. No active nests were observed during this reporting period. Nesting Bird surveys were initiated on February 1, 2018 and continued throughout the reporting period. Active nests were observed during this reporting period, and no- disturbance buffers were implemented to avoid any impacts to active nests, and all project activities which occurred nearby active nests

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction Construction		Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
						were monitored by agency-approved biological monitors.
BIO-1h: Conduct biological resource survey of future contractor-determined staging areas.	x	x			Ongoing	The agency-approved Qualified Biologist has conducted surveys of the staging areas currently being used for construction activities. No special-status species or other potentially sensitive biological resources were observed. The agency-approved Qualified Biologist will continue to survey ahead of the initiation of activities at planned staging areas as the Project moves into new construction areas.
BIO-1i: Minimize impacts on Monarch butterfly overwintering sites.	x	x			Ongoing	The agency-approved Qualified Biologist has periodically monitored the project limits to evaluate the presence of Monarch butterfly overwintering sites. No Monarch butterfly overwintering sites have been observed on the Project to date.
BIO-1j: Avoid nesting birds and bats during vegetation maintenance.				x	Upcoming	To be completed during Project operation.
BIO-2: Implement serpentine bunchgrass avoidance and revegetation measures.	x	x	x		Complete	Not applicable. Subsequent habitat assessment and avoidance of Communication Hill eliminated any potential to affect serpentine bunchgrass. This measure is no longer needed.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
BIO-3: Avoid or compensate for impacts on wetlands and waters.	x	x	x		Complete	The JPB has compensated for unavoidable wetland impacts by purchasing adequate credits from a wetlands mitigation bank approved by USACE and SFRWQCB.
BIO-5: Implement Tree Avoidance, Minimization, and Replacement Plan.	x	x	x		Ongoing	Tree removal and pruning activities were initiated in August 2017, and are ongoing, under the guidance of the BBI Arborist, and in accordance with the Tree Avoidance, Minimization, and Replacement Plan. Tree Removal and Pruning status is provided to the JPB on a weekly basis.
BIO-6: Pay Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan land cover fee (if necessary).	x				Complete	Not applicable. The SCVHP does not apply to the Project because TPS2, Option 1 was not selected and OCS does not extend to Communication Hill. This measure is not needed.
CUL-1a: Evaluate and minimize impacts on structural integrity of historic tunnels.	x				Upcoming	To be implemented prior to construction in tunnels.
CUL-1b: Minimize impacts on historic decorative tunnel material.	x				Upcoming	To be implemented prior to construction in tunnels.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	I	Post- Construction	-	Status	Status Notes
CUL-1c: Install project facilities in a way that minimizes impacts on historic tunnel interiors.	x				Upcoming	To be implemented prior to construction in tunnels.
CUL-1d: Implement design commitments at historic railroad stations	x				Complete	The Qualified Architectural Historian completed and submitted the HABS Level III documents to the JPB for all seven of the historic stations. Pole placement has been designed to minimize the visual impact to historic stations and all design changes are reviewed by the Environmental Compliance Lead to ensure the mitigation measure is being implemented as the design of the project progresses.
CUL-1e: Implement specific tree mitigation considerations at two potentially historic properties and landscape recordation, as necessary.	x	x			Complete	It was determined that the project is not acquiring any ROW at either of the subject properties so all tree effects would be within the JPB ROW. Therefore, the APE does not include these two historic properties. This measure is no longer needed.

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-1f: Implement historic bridge and underpass design requirements.	x				Ongoing	This measure is being implemented as described during the design process and will be incorporated into the final design. The four bridges that are included in the MMRP are rail bridges crossing over another feature. Design of the OCS system is taking into account that there are requirements that restrict the design. Thus far, the designs for Construction Segments 2 & 4 are in process and designs are not yet complete. The D-B will forward to the Architectural Historian once complete.
CUL-2a: Conduct an archaeological resource survey and/or monitoring of the removal of pavement or other obstructions to determine if historical resources under CEQA or unique archaeological resources under PRC 21083.2 are present.	x				Ongoing	Periodic inspections of ground surface areas along the alignment, in conjunction with cultural monitoring as-needed of project activities in culturally sensitive areas are ongoing. The Archaeological Final Report will be provided at the conclusion of construction activities.
CUL-2b: Conduct exploratory trenching or coring of areas where subsurface project disturbance is planned in those areas with "high" or "very high" potential for buried site.	x				Ongoing	Exploratory trenching and subsurface testing of all potentially culturally sensitive areas occurred prior to the initiation of construction activities in those areas. The results will be included in the Archaeological Final Report. No cultural resources requiring the development of a treatment plan were observed. A Native American monitor has been present for all exploratory trenching and subsurface testing work.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-2c: Conduct limited subsurface testing before performing ground- disturbing work within 50 meters of a known archaeological site.	x				Ongoing	Exploratory trenching and subsurface testing of all potentially culturally sensitive areas occurred prior to the initiation of construction activities in those areas. The results will be included in the Archaeological Final Report. No cultural resources requiring the development of a treatment plan were observed. A Native American monitor has been present for all exploratory trenching and subsurface testing work.
CUL-2d: Conduct exploratory trenching or coring of areas within the three zones of special sensitivity where subsurface project disturbance is planned.	x				Ongoing	Exploratory trenching and subsurface testing of all potentially culturally sensitive areas occurred prior to the initiation of construction activities in those areas. The results will be included in the Archaeological Final Report. No cultural resources requiring the development of a treatment plan were observed. A Native American monitor has been present for all exploratory trenching and subsurface testing work.
CUL-2e: Stop work if cultural resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities.	x	x			Ongoing	No prehistoric or historic-period cultural materials have been observed during cultural monitoring.
CUL-2f: Conduct archaeological monitoring of ground-disturbing activities in areas as determined by JPB and SHPO.		x			Ongoing	Cultural monitoring as-needed of project activities in culturally sensitive areas is ongoing. The Archaeological Final Report will be provided at the conclusion of construction activities.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
CUL-3: Comply with state and county procedures for the treatment of human remains discoveries.		x			Ongoing	No human remains have been observed to date on the Project.
EMF-2: Minimize EMI effects during final design, Monitor EMI effects during testing, commission and operations, and Remediate Substantial Disruption of Sensitive Electrical Equipment.	x	x	x		Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Designs are submitted and reviewed/commented on by JPB. Monitoring EMI effects will occur post construction.
GEO-1: Perform a site- specific geotechnical study for traction power facilities.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Geotechnical studies and results are submitted to JPB as completed.
GEO-4a: Identification of expansive soils.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Geotechnical studies and results are submitted to JPB as completed.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
GEO-4b: Mitigation of expansive soils.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Geotechnical studies and results are submitted to JPB as completed.
HAZ-2a: Conduct a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment prior to construction.	x				Complete	A Phase II Environmental Assessment was completed prior to construction by the JPB consultant, and the results were provided to BBI, and the required mitigation is being implemented prior to the initiation of construction activities.
HAZ-2b: Implement engineering controls and best management practices during construction.	x	x			Ongoing	Field activities are being monitored daily for significant color changes or odors which may indicate contamination. In addition, an assessment of two existing subsurface pipes by a certified Asbestos Consultant occurred during this reporting period, and a specification describing the methods for removal and disposal is currently in progress.
HYD-1: Implement construction dewatering treatment, if necessary.	x	x			Ongoing	Facilities & BMPs are in place to deal with this requirement should it arise in the OCS foundations.
HYD-4: Minimize floodplain impacts by minimizing new impervious areas for TPFs or relocating these facilities.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. The TPFs in Construction Segments 2 & 4 are currently in final design and design for TPFs in Construction Segments 1 & 3 has begun. The design minimizes

	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
						hardscape only to required structure foundations; yard areas are to receive a pervious material.
HYD-5: Provide for electrical safety at TPFs subject to periodic or potential flooding.	x			x	Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. The TPFs in Construction Segments 2 & 4 are currently in final design and design for TPFs in Construction Segments 1 & 3 has begun. The design plan currently raises the TPFs above the floodplain.
HYD-7: Implement sea level rise vulnerability assessment and adaptation plan.				x	Ongoing	The JPB has initiated this measure and preparation of the sea level rise vulnerability assessment and adaptation plan is underway.
NOI-1a: Implement Construction Noise Control Plan.	x	X			Ongoing	The Noise and Vibration Control Plan has been submitted and is being implemented. Field activity is monitored per the Plan. If allowable noise levels are near or exceed allowable noise levels, mitigation such as blankets are used from that point forward.
NOI-1b: Conduct site- specific acoustical analysis of ancillary facilities based on the final mechanical equipment and site design and implement noise control treatments where required.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. Design is still in process and a noise study is currently being performed.

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Timir	ng		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	<u> </u>	g	Operation	Status	Status Notes
NOI-2a: Implement Construction Vibration Control Plan.	x	x			Ongoing	The Noise and Vibration Control Plan has been submitted and is being implemented. Field activity is monitored per the Plan.
PSU-8a: Provide continuous coordination with all utility providers.	x	x			Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure will be implemented through the final design as described. Coordination with utility providers is ongoing and there have not been any service interruptions thus far.
PSU-8b: Adjust OCS pole foundation locations.	x				Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described.
PSU-8c: Schedule and notify users about potential service interruptions.	x	x			Ongoing	The design requirements indicated in the measure are being implemented through the final design as described. There have not been any service interruptions thus far.
PSU-9: Require application of relevant construction mitigation measures to utility relocation and transmission line construction by others.	x	x			Ongoing	JPB has initiated coordination with PG&E regarding transmission line construction. PG&E is currently raising overcrossing lines in Segment 2.

Reporting	Mitigation Timing					
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
TRA-1a: Implement Construction Road Traffic Control Plan.	x	x			Ongoing	The D-B has begun traffic control design and permit applications with cities in Segments 2 and 4. Designs have been completed and approved for all cross-over bridges in Segments 2 and 4.
TRA-1c: Implement signal optimization and roadway geometry improvements at impacted intersections for the 2020 Project Condition.	X	x			Upcoming	This measure has not started
TRA-2a: Implement construction railway disruption control plan.	x	x			Ongoing	Minimization of railway disruption is being coordinated by the Site Specific Work Plan. A Construction Railway Disruption Control Plan was prepared to document the measures that are being implemented.
TRA-3b: In cooperation with the City and County of San Francisco, implement surface pedestrian facility improvements to address the Proposed Project's additional pedestrian movements at and immediately adjacent to the San Francisco 4th and King Station.	x	x	x		Upcoming	This measure has not started.
TRA-4b: Continue to improve bicycle facilities at Caltrain stations and partner with bike share programs where available following guidance in				x	Ongoing	The JPB adopted the Caltrain Bicycle Parking Management Plan in November 2017, and staff have been working to implement the Plan's recommendations to improve wayside bike parking facilities along

Reporting	Miti	gatio	n Tim	ing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction	Construction	Post- Construction	Operation	Status	Status Notes
Caltrain's Bicycle Access and Parking Plan.						the corridor. Staff have also been coordinating with local jurisdictions that have launched bikeshare pilot programs to safely site bicycles near Caltrain stations.
NOI-CUMUL-1: Implement a phased program to reduce cumulative train noise along the Caltrain corridor as necessary to address future cumulative noise increases over FTA thresholds				X	Upcoming	This measure will be implemented during project operation.
NOI-CUMUL-2: Conduct project-level vibration analysis for Blended System operations and implement vibration reduction measures as necessary and appropriate for the Caltrain corridor				x	In Progress	CHSRA is conducting this analysis as part of the EIR/EIS for the San Francisco to San Jose section.
TRA-CUMUL-1: Implement a phased program to provide traffic improvements to reduce traffic delays near at-grade crossings and Caltrain stations				x	Upcoming	This measure will be implemented during project operation.
TRA-CUMUL-2: Implement technical solution to allow electric trolley bus transit across 16 th Street without OCS conflicts in cooperation with SFMTA.	x				Complete	Not applicable. SFMTA has elected to not electrify the 16 th Street crossing. This measure no longer applies.
Mitigation Measure TRA- CUMUL-3: As warranted, Caltrain and freight operators will partner to provide Plate H clearance				x	Upcoming	This measure will be implemented during project operation.

	Mitigatio	on Timing		
Mitigation Measure	Pre- Construction Construction	Post- Construction Operation	Status	Status Notes
as feasible between San Jose and Bayshore.				