



JPB Board of Directors  
Meeting of August 7, 2025

Correspondence as of June 20, 2025

<u>#</u>	<u>Subject</u>
----------	----------------

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1. | Re: Administrative Review Request Confirmation - Cite #52002949- Lic #01LAL129 –<br><i>Staff response</i> |
| 2. | VTA's BART Phase II: July 2025 Construction Update  |
| 3. | Memorandum for the Position of the VTA Board of Directors on Caltrain<br>Governance                       |

**From:** [Caltrain BOD Public Support](#)  
**To:** [lizette12901@gmail.com](mailto:lizette12901@gmail.com)  
**Cc:** [Board \(@caltrain.com\)](mailto:Board (@caltrain.com))  
**Subject:** Re: Administrative Review Request Confirmation - Cite #52002949- Lic #01LAL129  
**Date:** Monday, June 16, 2025 1:03:40 PM

---

Dear Lizette Alvarado Lamig,

Your message to the Caltrain Board of Directors has been directed to me for a response, and a copy of our correspondence will be shared with the Board members as well. Thank you for your detailed message regarding the fare evasion citation you received on Train #518. We are pleased to inform you that the citation has been dismissed and your record has been cleared. No further action is required on your part.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention and for your patience while it was being reviewed.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to reach out.

Sincerely,

Sarah Nabong

Your Caltrain BOD Public Support Team

---

**From:** Lizette Alvarado Lamig <lizette12901@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 8, 2025 12:34:48 AM (UTC+00:00) Monrovia, Reykjavik  
**To:** Board (@caltrain.com) <board@caltrain.com>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Administrative Review Request Confirmation - Cite #52002949- Lic #01LAL129

You don't often get email from lizette12901@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

**ATTENTION:** This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from external sources.

Dear Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board,

I am writing to formally contest a fare evasion citation I received on Train **#518 Express at 4:20 PM from San Francisco Station**. My name is Lizette Alvarado Lamig, and I have been a consistent Caltrain rider, always ensuring proper fare payment.

I obtained my Clipper card during my youth, and it has since transitioned to an adult card as I aged. My mobile Clipper card clearly indicates "Adult," and I have been paying adult fares consistently. This is the first citation I have ever received, and I believe it was issued in error. My clipper card on my phone shows adult on it and I have been paying adult fares the entire time I have been using it.

Upon receiving the citation, I contacted **Clipper Customer Service**. They **confirmed** that the

Clipper system automatically transitions youth cards to adult status upon reaching the age of 18, utilizing the birthdate information associated with the account. Therefore, my card has been correctly categorized, and I have been charged the appropriate adult fares.

It appears that the citation may have been issued without verifying the fare type associated with my Clipper card. I would appreciate it if your agency could review this matter, considering the following:

- My Clipper card reflects adult status.
- I have consistently paid adult fares.
- Clipper's system automatically updated my card status based on my age.

I will provide supporting documentation, including:

- Screenshots of my Clipper card showing adult status.
- A confirmation of my appeal
- Payment history demonstrating consistent adult fare payments.
- Any additional information required to resolve this issue.

I have already requested an appeal and I kindly request that this citation be dismissed and my record cleared, as it does not accurately reflect my adherence to fare policies. I value the integrity of Caltrain's operations and trust that this matter will be addressed promptly. As a company, you have to demonstrate fairness and respect the customer that has been following the rules. I have been doing just that and felt taken advantage of the situation and I hope it is made right.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your response and a resolution to this issue.

Sincerely,

Lizette Alvarado Lamig

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **CALTRAIN-Online Appeals** <[donotreply@pticket.com](mailto:donotreply@pticket.com)>

Date: Fri, Jun 6, 2025 at 5:42 PM

Subject: Administrative Review Request Confirmation - Cite #52002949- Lic #01LAL129

To: <[Lizette12901@gmail.com](mailto:Lizette12901@gmail.com)>

Parking citation administrative review confirmation.

===== PERSONAL INFORMATION =====

Date/Time : 6/6/2025 5:40:26 PM

Last Name : ALVARADO LAMIG  
First Name : LIZETTE  
Home Address : 1122 WHIPPLE AVENUE APT13  
REDWOOD CITY CA 94062  
US  
Phone : 650-739-6851 ext:

E-Mail: [Lizette12901@gmail.com](mailto:Lizette12901@gmail.com)

===== CITATION INFORMATION =====

Citation/Ticket Number: 52002949

License/Vin: 01LAL129 CA

Issue date : 06/06/2025 16:46:00

Reason for contesting:

My clipper card says adult on it and I have been paying Adult fares. They violated me for using a youth card which I dont understand why. I tried explaining and I was sure that it was an Adult card since I have been paying adult fees the whole time. There were kids sitting in front of me so Im not sure if the person got confused with their card information but my clipper card on my wallet does say adult on it. I will attach receipts and clipper card number with the document. I will very much appreciate to clear up any misunderstanding, thank you so much.

If you wish to submit additional evidence to support your claim, please indicate method (U.S. mail or fax). All evidence must be submitted within 3 days of this appeal. For additional evidence to be considered you must submit a copy of the confirmation.

=====

Reference Date: 6/6/2025 5:40:26 PM

Thank you for taking the time to fill out a review form. Your appeal is now under review and the fine is placed on hold until a decision is entered. At this time, you will not be required to pay the fine.

You should expect to receive a response within 30 days from the above date. If you do not receive a response within 30 days from the above date, please call 800-525-8553 to request the status of your appeal. Please have the citation number and reference date (above) available when you call. You may also check the status of your appeal at <https://www.pticket.com/CALTRAIN>.

If you change your address during this time frame, please call us so that we can update your records and send our response to the appropriate address. You will be responsible for additional penalties if the address is not current or correct.

PLEASE NOTE CONTESTING THE CITATION DOES NOT CLEAR YOUR RECORD. YOU

ARE  
RESPONSIBLE FOR FOLLOWING UP ON YOUR APPEAL. To avoid penalties please  
check the status of your appeal at <https://www.pticket.com/CALTRAIN>. or by calling  
800-525-8553

For your records, please keep this form attached to the original citation.

---

---

**From:** VTA BART Phase II <vtabart@vtabsv.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:59 AM  
**To:** Board (@caltrain.com)  
**Subject:** VTA's BART Phase II: July 2025 Construction Update

**ATTENTION:** This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.



**BART SILICON VALLEY  
PHASE II EXTENSION PROJECT**



**BART SILICON VALLEY  
PHASE II EXTENSION PROJECT**

## July 2025 Construction Update



[VTA's BART Silicon Valley Phase II Extension Project's July 2025 Construction Update](#) has just been published!

Learn the latest on upcoming construction activities around the project site, including construction progress made in May and June and anticipated work in July.

## Have a question for us about Phase II?

Visit [www.vtabart.org](http://www.vtabart.org) or email us [vtabart@vtabsv.com](mailto:vtabart@vtabsv.com)



## BART SILICON VALLEY PHASE II EXTENSION PROJECT

[vtabart@vtabsv.com](mailto:vtabart@vtabsv.com)

(408) 321-2345 BART Silicon Valley Hotline



*Copyright © 2025 Valley Transportation Authority, All rights reserved.*

You are receiving this email because you opted in via our website.

### **Our mailing address is:**

Valley Transportation Authority  
2830 De La Cruz Blvd  
1st Floor  
Santa Clara, CA 95050

[Add us to your address book](#)


Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).



## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Caltrain Governance Ad Hoc Committee

**FROM:** Sergio Lopez   
Chairperson, Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Board of Directors

**DATE:** June 18, 2025

**SUBJECT:** Position of the VTA Board of Directors on Caltrain Governance

---

At the June 5 VTA Board of Directors meeting, Deputy General Manager Greg Richardson presented an update on Caltrain and the terms of the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Agreement (JPA). As a member agency in Caltrain, VTA has been actively following the Governance Ad Hoc Committee's efforts to address longstanding governance issues.

The JPA was last updated in 1996, and various elements of that agreement have not been consistently followed, including the allocation of Gilroy costs to VTA. While VTA supports a future restatement or amendment of the JPA, there does not currently appear to be a consensus among all Caltrain member agencies to do so. Once the "fair share" funding framework is resolved, VTA strongly contends that it is necessary for all parties to pursue the restatement of the JPA to eliminate existing confusion and ambiguity.

With respect to Gilroy service, it is VTA's position that the entire system should be treated as one single system, with costs allocated appropriately. However, in recognition of current discussions, VTA accepts the allocation of net operating costs (defined as total operating costs less related revenues) for Gilroy service, as defined in the current JPA. This allocation should be time-limited based on the activation of major system changes, such as, a successful state-funded battery electric train south of the Caltrain mainline (San Francisco to San Jose Tamien station) or the state-supported extension of Caltrain south of Gilroy to Salinas. VTA also asserts that operating costs related to future extensions of Caltrain to the north (such as The Portal in San Francisco) should be defined as separate services from the currently defined "Mainline service" in the JPA and allocated in a comparable fashion. Finally, VTA will seek approval rights related to the Caltrain budget and service levels specific to Gilroy operations.

The determination of Caltrain member agency "fair share" contributions is one of the most critical parts of the governance ad hoc committee's discussions. VTA believes that Measure RR and potential new revenue measures should be attributed to the respective county to determine any remaining member agency contribution. The net operating cost methodology currently under consideration should be based on weekday all-day boardings by county, with formula results being updated each biennial cycle based on the latest ridership and relevant tax revenue data.



The methodology, inclusive of any revenue measure attribution as referenced above, should be employed at all times regardless of any deficit position for Caltrain. Any excess contributions by a county in a given year may be applied to future net operating cost member agency contributions or its contribution for capital projects.

While VTA is committed to supporting Caltrain as a critical regional rail service, this support is ultimately contingent on the identification of a sustainable funding source. If an alternative source, such as a successful 2026 regional revenue measure, is not secured, VTA will not have sufficient resources to contribute new funding toward Caltrain operations.

This reflects the position of the VTA Board of Directors, following discussion and action on June 5, 2025. VTA looks forward to concluding the Governance Ad Hoc Committee process at the July 23, 2025, meeting.