Revisions 5/29/2025 at 10:30 am include:

- 9.a. Added agenda for the Community Relations Committee / Committee of the Whole; Removed duplicative copy of Draft Minutes of the Community Relations Committee / Committee of the Whole Agenda (Page 68)
- 9.a.7. Corrected agenda item title (Pages 3 and 68)
- 9.b.4. Revised Presentation Slide 6 (Page 167)

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2025



JEFF GEE, CHAIR MARIE CHUANG, VICE CHAIR DAVID J. CANEPA **BROOKS ESSER** MARINA FRASER **RICO E. MEDINA** JOSH POWELL PETER RATTO JACKIE SPEIER

APRIL CHAN GENERAL MANAGER/CEO

# **REVISED** AGENDA

# San Mateo County Transit District

**Board of Directors Meeting** 

June 4, 2025, 2:00 pm

Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

Members of the public may attend in-person or participate remotely via Zoom at: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81001317517?pwd=6LuOhomk1KpISW9X2CbpthZRGreaIA.1 or by entering Webinar ID: 810 0131 7517, Passcode: 792571 in the Zoom app for audio/visual capability or by calling 1-669-900-9128 (enter webinar ID and press # when prompted for participant ID) for audio only.

# Please Note the following COVID-19 Protocols for in-person attendance:

•

- 1. Visitors experiencing the following symptoms of COVID-19 may not enter the building:
  - Cough •

- Chills
- Sore Throat

- Shortness of Breath •
- Muscle Pain
- Loss of Taste or Smell •

- Fever
- 2. Wearing of masks is recommended but not required.

Public Comments: Public comments may be submitted to publiccomment@samtrans.com prior to the meeting's call to order so that they can be sent to the Board as soon as possible, while those received during or after an agenda item is heard will be included into the Board's weekly correspondence and posted online at: https://www.samtrans.com/meetings.

Oral public comments will also be accepted during the meeting in person and through Zoom\* or the teleconference number listed above. Public comments on individual agenda items are limited

Note: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors Meeting June 4, 2025

to one per person PER AGENDA ITEM. Participants using Zoom over the Internet should use the Raise Hand feature to request to speak. For participants calling in, dial \*67 if you do not want your telephone number to appear on the live broadcast. Callers may dial \*9 to use the Raise Hand feature for public comment. Each commenter will be recognized to speak and callers should dial \*6 to unmute themselves when recognized to speak.

Each public comment is limited to two minutes or less. The Board and Committee Chairs have the discretion to manage the Public Comment process in a manner that achieves the purpose of public communication and assures the orderly conduct of the meeting.

The video live stream will be available after the meeting at <u>https://www.samtrans.com/about-samtrans/video-board-directors-cac-and-measure-w-coc</u>.

| Wednesday, June 4, 2025 | 2:00 pm |
|-------------------------|---------|
|                         |         |

- 1. Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Consideration of any requests from Directors to participate remotely due to Emergency Circumstances
- 4. Consideration of Any Requests to Change Order of Business
- 5. Consent Calendar
  - 5.a. Approval of Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting of May 7, Motion 2025
  - 5.b. Accept Statement of Revenues and Expenses for the Period Ending Motion April 30, 2025
  - 5.c. Accept Quarterly Fuel Hedge Update for Quarter 3 of Fiscal Year 2025 Motion
  - 5.d. Authorizing Execution of Contracts and Amendments for Information Resolution Technology Hardware, Software, Licenses, Maintenance Services and Professional Services, and Technology-related Products and Services, Through Piggyback Contracts and Cooperative Purchasing Programs up to an Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Limit of \$8 Million for Fiscal Year 2026
  - 5.e. Supporting and Authorizing Submittal of Four Applications for the San Resolution Mateo County Transportation Authority's 2025 Cycle 1 Measure W Regional Transit Connections Program Call for Projects

San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors Meeting June 4, 2025

- 5.f. Rejecting All Proposals Submitted in Response to the Request for Resolution Proposals for Contracted Shuttle Services, and Authorizing an Amendment to the Current Contracted Shuttle Services Agreement to Extend the Term for Two Years and Increase the Total Not-To-Exceed Amount by \$15,986,584 6. Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda Comments by each individual speaker shall be limited to two (2) minutes. Items raised that require a response will be deferred for staff reply. 7. Report of the Chair 7.a. **Proclamation for Pride Month** Motion 8. Report of the General Manager/CEO Informational 8.a. Report of the General Manager/CEO | May 28, 2025 8.b. Monthly New Headquarters Construction Status Update Informational 9. Recess to Committee Meetings 9.a. Community Relations Committee / Committee of the Whole D. Canepa (Chair), M. Fraser, J. Speier 9.a.1. Call to Order 9.a.2. Approval of Minutes of the Community Relations Committee Motion Meeting of May 7, 2025 9.a.3. Accessible Services Update Informational 9.a.4. **Citizens Advisory Committee Update** Informational 9.a.5. Paratransit Advisory Council Update Informational 9.a.6. State of Service Report | Quarter 3 Fiscal Year 2025 Informational 9.a.7. Monthly State of Service Report | April 2025 and Update for Informational March 2025 9.a.8. Adjourn
  - 9.b. Finance Committee / Committee of the Whole

B. Esser (Chair), D. Canepa, R. Medina

Note: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

|      | 9.b.1. | Call to Order  |               |
|------|--------|--|---------------|
|      | 9.b.2. | Approval of Minutes of the Finance Committee Meeting of May 7, 2025  | Motion        |
|      | 9.b.3. | Authorizing Execution of a Reimbursement Agreement for<br>Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board to Pay its Share of<br>Furnishings, Information, Communications and Technology<br>(IT) Infrastructure, and Other Costs of Relocating to the<br>Millbrae Headquarters | Motion        |
|      | 9.b.4. | Adopting Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Operating Budgets in<br>the Amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367,<br>Respectively, and Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Capital Budgets<br>in the Amounts of \$4,698,405 and \$5,704,151, Respectively                           | Motion        |
|      | 9.b.5. | Adjourn  |               |
| 9.c. | -      | ive Committee / Committee of the Whole<br>II (Chair), P. Ratto, J. Speier  |               |
|      | 9.c.1. | Call to Order  |               |
|      | 9.c.2. | Approval of Minutes of the Legislative Committee Meeting of May 7, 2025  | Motion        |
|      | 9.c.3. | Receive Legislative Update and Approve Legislative Proposal:<br>Senate Bill 239 (Arreguín), Assembly Bill 259 (Rubio), and<br>Assembly Bill 810 (Irwin)  | Motion        |
|      | 9.c.4. | Adjourn  |               |
| 9.d. | Commit | c Planning, Development, and Sustainability Committee /<br>tee of the Whole<br>na (Chair), M. Chuang, P. Ratto   |               |
|      | 9.d.1. | Call to Order  |               |
|      | 9.d.2. | Approval of Minutes of the Strategic Planning, Development,<br>and Sustainability Committee Meeting of April 2, 2025   | Motion        |
|      | 9.d.3. | Draft Fiscal Years 2026-2029 Capital Improvement Program<br>and 10-Year Capital Program for Fiscal Years 2026-2035   | Informational |

Note: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

|           | 9.d.4.  | North Base Sea Level Rise Protection Project Update   | Informational |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|           | 9.d.5.  | Adjourn   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Reco  | nvene Bo  | oard of Directors Meeting   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Matte | ers for B   | oard Consideration: Finance Committee   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 11.a.     | Corrido<br>Informa  | izing Execution of a Reimbursement Agreement for Peninsula<br>or Joint Powers Board to Pay its Share of Furnishings,<br>ation, Communications and Technology (IT) Infrastructure, and<br>Costs of Relocating to the Millbrae Headquarters | Resolution    |  |  |  |  |
| 11.b.     | <ul> <li>Adopting Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Operating Budgets in the Resolutio<br/>Amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367, Respectively, and Fiscal<br/>Years 2026 and 2027 Capital Budgets in the Amounts of \$4,698,405<br/>and \$5,704,151, Respectively</li> </ul> |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Matte | ers for B   | oard Consideration: Legislative Committee   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 12.a.     | <ul> <li>12.a. Receive Legislative Update and Approve Legislative Proposal: Senate Motio</li> <li>Bill 239 (Arreguín), Assembly Bill 259 (Rubio), and Assembly Bill 810 (Irwin)</li> </ul>  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Comr  | nunicatio   | ons to the Board of Directors   |               |  |  |  |  |

- 14. Board Member Requests / Comments
- 15. Date / Time of Next Regular Meeting: Wednesday, July 2, 2025, at 2:00 pm

The meeting will be accessible via Zoom teleconference and/or in person at the San Mateo County Transit District, Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA. Please see the meeting agenda for more information.

- 16. General Counsel Report
  - 16.a. Closed Session: Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1): Paul Ghenis v. San Mateo County Transit District, et al., Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo, Case No. 20-CIV-04138
- 17. Adjourn

San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors Meeting June 4, 2025

# Information for the Public

If you have questions on the agenda, please contact the District Secretary at 650-551-6108. Agendas are available on the SamTrans website at: <u>https://www.samtrans.com/meetings</u>. Communications to the Board of Directors can be emailed to <u>board@samtrans.com</u>.

Free translation is available; Para traducción llama al 1.800.660.4287; 如需翻译 请电1.800.660.4287

## Date and Time of Board and Citizens Advisory Committee Meetings

San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans) Board and Committees: First Wednesday of the month, 2:00 pm; SamTrans Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC): Last Wednesday of the month, 6:30 pm. Date, time and location of meetings may be changed as necessary. Meeting schedules for the Board and CAC are available on the website.

## Location of Meeting

This meeting will be held in-person at: San Mateo County Transit District, Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA. Members of the public may attend in-person or participate remotely via Zoom as per the information provided at the top of the agenda.

\*Should Zoom not be operational, please check online at: <u>https://www.samtrans.com/meetings</u> for any updates or further instruction.

## **Public Comment**

Members of the public may participate remotely or in person. Public comments may be submitted by comment card in person and given to the District Secretary. Prior to the meeting's call to order, public comments may be submitted to <u>publiccomment@samtrans.com</u> prior to the meeting's call to order so that they can be sent to the Board as soon as possible, while those received during or after an agenda item is heard will be included into the Board's weekly correspondence and posted online at: https://www.samtrans.com/meetings.

Public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom or the teleconference number listed above. Public comments on individual agenda items are limited to two minutes and one per person PER AGENDA ITEM. Each online commenter will be automatically notified when they are unmuted to speak. The Board Chair shall have the discretion to manage the Public Comment process in a manner that achieves the purpose of public communication and assures the orderly conduct of the meeting.

### **Accessible Public Meetings/Translation**

Upon request, SamTrans will provide for written agenda materials in appropriate alternative formats, or disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to enable individuals with disabilities to participate in and provide comments at/related to public meetings. Please submit a request, including your name, phone number and/or email address, and a description of the modification, accommodation, auxiliary aid, service or alternative format requested at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting or hearing. Please direct requests for disability-related modification and/or interpreter services to the Title VI Administrator at San Mateo County Transit District, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070; or email <u>titlevi@samtrans.com</u>; or request by phone at 650-622-7864 or TTY 650-508-6448.

### **Availability of Public Records**

All public records relating to an open session item on this agenda that are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act and that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body will be available for public inspection at 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070 at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the legislative body.

# San Mateo County Transit District 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, California Board of Directors

DRAFT Minutes of May 7, 2025

| Members Present:                       | D. Canepa (arrived at 2:03 pm), B. Esser, J. Powell, P. Ratto, J. Speier,<br>M. Chuang (Vice Chair), J. Gee (Chair)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Members Present<br>via Teleconference: | R. Medina  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Members Absent:                        | M. Fraser  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Present:                         | T. Bartholomew, J. Brook, J. Cassman, A. Chan, T. Dubost, J. Epstein,<br>J. Jest, K. Jordan Steiner, J. Mello, L. Millard-Olmeda, D. Olmeda,<br>A. Rivas, D. Santoro, A. To, M. Tseng, S. van Hoften, K. Yin |  |  |  |  |  |

## 1. Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance

Chair Jeff Gee called the meeting to order at 2:00 pm and requested Director Josh Powell to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

## 2. Roll Call

Margaret Tseng, District Secretary, called the roll and confirmed that a Board quorum was present.

- 3. Consideration of requests, if any, of Directors to participate remotely due to Emergency Circumstances There were none.
- 4. Consent Calendar
  - 4.a. Approval of Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting of April 2, 2025 and the Board of Directors Special Meeting of April 9, 2025
  - 4.b. Acceptance of Statement of Revenues and Expenses for the Period Ending March 31, 2025
  - 4.c. Accept Quarterly Investment Report
  - 4.d. Accept Capital Projects Quarterly Status Report for Fiscal Year 2025 Quarter 3
  - 4.e. Accept Contracts and Procurement Quarterly Report of Technology Purchases for Third Quarter of Fiscal Year 2025
  - 4.f. Awarding Contracts to AppleOne, Inc.; SearchPros Staffing, LLC; and Tellus Solutions, Inc. for On-Call Temporary Staffing Services for an Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$2.5 Million for a Five-Year Base Term, with up to Two Additional One-Year Option Terms for an Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$1 Million Approved by Resolution No. 2025-15

- 4.g. Awarding Contracts to Cooperative Personnel Services, gothamCulture LLC, and The Consulting Team LLC for On-Call Coaching and Leadership Development Services for an Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$700,000 for a Five-Year Base Term, with up to Two Additional One-year Option Terms for an Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$280,000 – Approved by Resolution No. 2025-16
- 4.h. Awarding a Contract to TRISTAR Claims Management Services, Inc. to Provide Workers' Compensation Third-Party Administrator Services for a Total Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$1,653,453 for a Five-Year Base Term, with up to Two Additional One-Year Option Terms for a Total Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$547,688 – Approved by Resolution No. 2025-17
- 4.i. Authorizing the Application for and Receipt of Annual Low Carbon Transit Operations Program Funds for the Design and Construction of the Hydrogen Fuel Cell Electric Bus Infrastructure and Fueling Station at North Base – Approved by Resolution No. 2025-18
- **4.j.** Authorize Funding for Regional Measure Participation Polling Activities Approved by Resolution No. 2025-19

Motion/Second: Chuang/Esser Ayes: Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Canepa, Fraser

<u>Public Comment</u> Allison Chang commented on polling for participation in the regional transit measure.

Director David Canepa arrived at 2:03 pm.

# 5. Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda

Sandra Lang, Paratransit Advisory Council, commented on the need for paratransit services for seniors.

Allison Chang commented on SamTrans support in the regional transit measure, preserve funding for free transfers, and agency passes to encourage multimodal transit.

Adina Levin, Seamless Bay Area and Friends of Caltrain, commented on polling for participation in the regional transit measure; coordinated approach to transit funding; and coordination initiatives of traffic reduction to help achieve housing, equity, and climate goals.

Geoff S commented on polling for the regional transit measure and funding to expand service.

Aleta Dupree, Team Folds, commented on ridership, and spoke in support of hydrogen fuel buses and Clipper 2.

Jean Perry, Millbrae, commented on access to frequent, well-coordinated, and affordable public transport in all transit agencies and seamless coordination of fares, schedules, and signs.

# 6. Report of the Chair

**6.a. Proclamation for Asian-American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month** April Chan, General Manager/CEO, congratulated Tasha Bartholomew on her recent promotion to Director of Communications. Ms. Bartholomew provided the staff presentation including reading several Whereas statements from the proclamation.

Motion/Second: Chuang/Speier Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

Chair Gee stated that the ad hoc SamTrans Governance Committee met on May 2.

# 7. Report of the General Manager/CEO

# 7.a. Report of the General Manager/CEO | April 30, 2025

April Chan, General Manager/CEO, stated the report was in the packet and provided the following highlights:

- Attended the International Roadeo with David Olmeda, Chief Operating Officer, Bus, in Austin, Texas in early April; four employees participated in driving and maintenance competitions
- Participated in All Aboard for Bay Area Transit Day with Caltrain Executive Director Michelle Bouchard, which included transit operators from around the Bay Area including BART (Bay Area Rapid Transit), AC Transit (Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District), SFMTA (San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency), WETA (San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority), and Golden Gate Transit
- Continuing to evaluate FCX (Foster City Express) route and may conduct a full rider survey later this year
- Measure W Citizens Oversight Committee met and adopted the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Annual Report, concluding funds used aligned with Measure W requirements
- Clipper 2 customer transition coming later this summer
- Regional measure (Senate Bill 63) decision to opt in has now been pushed out to August 11 from end of July 2025.

The Board Members had a robust discussion on the regional measure, and staff provided further clarification in response to Board comments and questions about MTC's independent auditor that performed audit of the transit agencies facing fiscal cliffs.

# 7.b. Monthly New Headquarters Construction Status Update

Kris McGee, Managing Principal, Urban Hive Development, and Joshuah Mello, Executive Officer of Planning and Development, provided the presentation that included the following:

- Reviewed project scope, schedule, and updates through April
- Building permit obtained from City of Millbrae
- Anticipated Board approval schedule; Significant cost savings due to competitive pricing
- Discussed future office design that included a more homelike atmosphere; smart design
- Value engineering allowed pricing reduction by seven percent

The Board Members had a robust discussion, and staff provided further clarification in response to the following Board comments and questions regarding the following:

- Opportunity for a mural similar to the one at EPACENTER, which is a youth education and training center in East Palo Alto
- Ribbon-cutting ceremonies: January 5, 2026 day one of construction; at construction completion and initial move-in likely spring to summer 2026
- Staff work-from-home days likely to decrease
- Swift Partners actively marketing retail space; District has right of approval of retail tenants

## Public Comment

Aleta Dupree, Team Folds, commented on the new headquarters and spoke in support of gender-neutral restrooms.

Item 7.c was heard immediately following the Community Relations Committee meeting.

## 7.c. Annual Announcement of Art Takes a Bus Ride 2025 Winners

Ms. Bartholomew, thanked Mahmoud Abunie, Public Affairs Specialist, and provided the presentation that included the winning artwork. Contest winners were individually presented their awards.

## 8. Recess to Committee Meetings

The Board meeting recessed to Committee Meetings at 2:53 pm.

The meeting recessed from 3:38 to 3:56 pm for viewing bus artwork.

## 9. Reconvene Board of Directors Meeting

Chair Gee reconvened the Board meeting at 5:21 pm.

## **10.** Matters for Board Consideration: Community Relations Committee

Director Canepa led the Board in voting on the following items:

- **10.a.** Approve Appointment of Allison Chang, Representing the Community, and Reappointments of Mary Adler, Representing Bus Riders, and Dylan Finch, Representing Multimodal Riders, to the Citizens Advisory Committee
- 10.b. Accessible Services Update and Proclamation Declaring May as Older Americans Month

Motion/Second: Canepa/Speier Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

## **11. Matters for Board Consideration: Finance Committee**

Director Esser led the Board in voting on the following items:

- 11.a. Awarding a Contract to K B M Office Equipment, Inc. DBA KBM-Hogue to Provide Furniture, Fixtures, Equipment, and Services for a Two-Year Base Term, with up to Two Additional One-Year Option Terms, for a Total Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$5,107,189.62 – Approved by Resolution No. 2025-20
- 11.b. Awarding a Contract to Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for Dumbarton Busway Feasibility Study Services for a Total Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$1,970,858 for an 18-Month Base Term, with One Additional Option Term of up to 12 Months – Approved by Resolution No. 2025-21
- **11.c.** Authorizing Execution of a Project Labor Agreement for Phase 1 of South Base Battery Electric Bus Charging Infrastructure Project – Approved by Resolution No. 2025-22

Motion/Second: Ratto/Chuang Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

## **12.** Matters for Board Consideration: Legislative Committee

12.a. Receive Legislative Update and Approve Legislative Proposal: Assembly Bill 1372 (Papan)

There was no motion for Board consideration.

- **13. Communications to the Board of Directors** Available online.
- 14. Board Member Requests/Comments There were none.

15. Date / Time of Next Regular Meeting: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 at 2:00 pm at via Zoom and in person at the San Mateo County Transit District, Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA.

## **16. General Counsel Report**

Joan Cassman, General Counsel, stated there was no report.

**17. Adjourn** – The meeting adjourned at 5:23 pm.

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at <u>https://www.samtrans.com/about-samtrans/video-board-directors-cac-and-measure-w-coc</u>. Questions may be referred to the District Secretary's office by phone at 650-551-6108 or by email to <u>board@samtrans.com</u>.

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| То:      | Board of Directors  |
|----------|---|
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO   |
| From:    | Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial Officer                                      |
| Subject: | Accept Statement of Revenues and Expenses for the Period Ending<br>April 30, 2025 |

# <u>Action</u>

Staff proposes that the Board accept and enters into the record the Statement of Revenues and Expenses for the period ending April 30, 2025, including the supplemental information.

This staff report provides a brief discussion of significant items and trends in the attached Statement of Revenues and Expenses through April 30, 2025. The columns have been designed to provide an easy comparison of current year-to-date actuals to year-to-date budget, including dollar and percentage variances.

# **Revenues:**

As of April 30, 2025, revenues were \$288.4 million as compared to \$302.3 million of the adopted budget, resulting in an unfavorable variance of \$13.9 million (4.6 percent).

This unfavorable variance was driven by:

- Operating Grants are \$2.9 million as compared to the adopted budget of \$4.1 million, resulting in an unfavorable variance of \$1.2 million (29.4 percent). This variance is primarily driven by the timing of the City/County Association of Government of San Mateo County (C/CAG) grant, which is anticipated to be received in Quarter 4.
- District Sales Tax was \$93.7 million as compared to the adopted budget of \$97.5 million, resulting in an unfavorable variance of \$3.9 million (4.0 percent).
- Measure W Sales Tax was \$46.8 million as compared to the adopted budget of \$48.8 million, resulting in an unfavorable variance of \$2.0 million (4.0 percent).

Updated sales tax projections show a combined Fiscal Year 2025 (FY25) revenue forecast of \$170.5 million from Proposition A and Measure W, down \$6.2 million (3.5 percent) from budget. This reflects updated sales tax revenue projections of \$113.7 million for Proposition A and half that for Measure W. While the year-over-year decline is modest at 1.6 percent, the forecast has been revised further downward from last quarter's projected 0.9 percent drop. FY26 growth has also been revised down by 1 percent, but FY27 projections remain stable at 3.5 percent growth.

The unfavorable variances were partially offset by the favorable variances in the following:

- Investment Income was \$20.8 million as compared to the adopted budget of \$11.4 million, resulting in a favorable variance of \$9.3 million (81.7 percent). This is driven by a one-time receipt of \$2.7 million in November 2024 associated with a oneyear term investment that reached its maturity date; at which point the accumulated interest was paid out. In addition, interest rates realized on investments were higher than assumed in the budget. This favorability is anticipated to remain through end of fiscal year.
- Other Interest, Rent & Other Income was \$9.3 million compared to the adopted budget of \$7.9 million, resulting in a favorable variance of \$1.4 million (17.3 percent). This was primarily driven by a one-time \$0.4 million referral fee payment from CBRE and \$0.7 million for Reserves for Future Dumbarton Maintenance of Way. We project this category to remain favorable through year-end.

# Expenses:

As of April 30, 2025, expenses were \$307.9 million as compared to \$322.0 million of the adopted budget, resulting in a favorable variance of \$14.1 million (4.4 percent).

This favorable variance was primarily driven by the following:

- Motor Bus was \$171.1 million as compared to \$176.4 million of the adopted budget, resulting in a favorable variance of \$5.3 million (3.0 percent). Interim savings have been driven by lower spending on consultant services, technical services (including security and software), and property maintenance. While many of these savings are due to timing and are expected to substantially resolve by fiscal year-end, we are currently projecting approximately \$1.6 million in material budget savings as of our March forecast.
- American Disabilities Act Programs were \$18.9 million as compared to \$20.4 million of the adopted budget, resulting in a favorable variance of \$1.6 million (7.6 percent). These savings are primarily due to less service than anticipated in American Disabilities Act Coastside and due to greater efficiency in newer fleet (less gasoline) and less needs for parts and maintenance. This variance is expected to align with budget by year-end.
- Other Multi-Modal Programs were \$5.7 million as compared to \$7.0 million of the adopted budget, resulting in a favorable variance of \$1.4 million (19.4 percent). We project this favorable variance to continue through FY25 year-end, mainly driven by savings in SamTrans shuttle service due to reduced demand, lower than anticipated incentive expenses, and fuel surcharge savings.

The savings were partially offset by an increased allocation to reserves.

• The reserve allocation for Measure W Capital Allocation was \$18.2 million, exceeding the \$7.7 million budget by \$10.5 million (137.3 percent). This variance is due to timing, as Measure W receipts have outpaced expenses year-to-date. The higher reserve allocation ensures funds are retained for future eligible expenses and is expected to align with the budget as the year progresses and additional expenses are incurred.

<u>Other Information</u>: The Agency accounts for revenues and expenses on a modified accrual basis (only material revenues and expenses are accrued) on the monthly financial statement. As such, the variance between the current year's actual and the budget may show noticeable variances due to the timing of expenses.

## **Budget Impact**

Acceptance of the April 2025 Statement of Revenues and Expenses has no budget impact.

| Prepared By: | Jessica Wong | Financial Reporting Accountant | 650-508-6499 |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
|              | Annie To     | Director, Accounting           | 650-622-7890 |

| SamTrans<br>San Mateo Count<br>SUMMARY OF REVI<br>FISCAL<br>AS OF AP                                      | ENUE<br>YEAI | CS AND EX<br>R 2025 |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |
|---|--------------|---------------------|--------|------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|
|   | YEAR-TO-DATE |                     |        |                  |                |                  | (In t         | housands) |                  |
|   |              |                     |        | APF              |                | TE               |               | Al        | NNUAL            |
|   | BUDGET       |                     | ACTUAL |                  | \$<br>VARIANCE |                  | %<br>VARIANCE | BU        | UDGET            |
| OPERATING REVENUES  |              |                     |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |
| Operating Revenues  |              |                     |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |
| Passenger Fares   | \$           | 11,138              | \$     | 11,018           | \$             | (120)            | (1.1%)        | \$        | 13,251           |
| Local TDA and STA Funds<br>Operating Grants   |              | 63,012<br>4,055     |        | 62,606<br>2,864  |                | (406)            |               |           | 76,287<br>5,016  |
| SMCTA Measure A   |              | 4,033<br>3,906      |        | 2,804<br>3,906   |                | (1,191)          | (29.4%)       |           | 4,720            |
| Subtotal - Operating Revenues   |              | 82,110              |        | 80,393           |                | (1,717)          | (2.1%)        |           | 99,275           |
| Other Revenue Sources   |              |                     |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |
| District Sales Tax  |              | 97,542              |        | 93,661           |                | (3,881)          |               |           | 117,823          |
| Measure W Sales Tax<br>Investment Income  |              | 48,750<br>11,437    |        | 46,781<br>20,786 |                | (1,969)<br>9,348 |               |           | 58,912<br>14,335 |
| Other Interest, Rent & Other Income   |              | 7,906               |        | 9,274            |                | 1,368            |               |           | 9,274            |
| Due from PCJPB, SMCTA & SAMTR Capital W&B   |              | 54,567              |        | 37,509           |                | (17,059)         | (31.3%)       |           | 65,481           |
| Subtotal - Other Revenues   |              | 220,203             |        | 208,011          |                | (12,192)         | (5.5%)        |           | 265,825          |
| TOTAL REVENUES  | \$           | 302,313             | \$     | 288,404          | \$             | (13,909)         | (4.6%)        | \$        | 365,099          |
| OPERATING EXPENSES  |              |                     |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |
| PCJPB, SMCTA & SAMTR Capital W&B  |              | 54,567              |        | 37,509           |                | 17,059           | 31.3%         |           | 65,481           |
| Motor Bus   |              | 176,385             |        | 171,058          |                | 5,327            |               |           | 216,860          |
| American Disabilities Act Programs<br>Other Multi-Modal Programs  |              | 20,418<br>7,041     |        | 18,859<br>5,678  |                | 1,559<br>1,363   |               |           | 24,944<br>8,646  |
| Total Operating Expenses  |              | 258,411             |        | 233,104          |                | 25,307           | 9.8%          |           | 315,930          |
| Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program  |              |                     |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |
| District Sales Tax Capital  |              | 30,532              |        | 30,532           |                | -                | 0.0%          |           | 36,638           |
| Measure W Sales Tax Capital   |              | 22,043              |        | 22,043           |                | -                | 0.0%          |           | 26,452           |
| Reserves for Future Measure W Capital Allocation  |              | 7,650               |        | 18,157           |                | (10,507)         | (137.3%)      |           | 9,180            |
| Total Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program  |              | 60,225              |        | 70,732           |                | (10,507)         | (17.4%)       |           | 72,270           |
| Total Debt Service  |              | 3,374               |        | 3,400            |                | (26)             | (0.8%)        |           | 19,138           |
| Operating Reserve   |              | -                   |        | -                |                | -                | -             |           | 516              |
| Sales Tax Stabilization Fund<br>Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton Maintenance of Way |              | -                   |        | - 714            |                | -<br>714         | -<br>100.0%   |           | 134              |
| Total Reserves  |              |                     |        | 714              |                | 714              |               |           | 650              |
| - 041 ACOUT 103   |              | -                   |        | /14              |                | /14              | -             |           | 030              |
| TOTAL EXPENSES  | \$           | 322,009             | \$     | 307,949          | \$             | 14,060           | 4.4%          | \$        | 407,988          |
| SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)   |              | (19,696)            |        | (19,545)         |                | 151              | 0.8%          |           | (42,888)         |
| Draw from Prior Years' Surplus  |              | -                   |        | -                |                | -                | -             |           | 42,888           |
|   |              |                     |        |                  |                |                  |               |           |                  |

| Samirans<br>SAN MATEO COUN<br>STATEMEN<br>FISCAI<br>AS OF A   | T OF R<br>. YEAR | EVENUES<br>2025                         | TRI | СТ                                      |          |                                   |  | (In    | thousands                          |
|---|------------------|---|-----|---|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--------|------------------------------------|
|   |                  |   | Y   | EAR-TO-                                 |          | C                                 | [  | ANNUAL |                                    |
| REVENUES  |                  |   |     | APRII                                   | <u>ر</u> | \$                                | %  |        |                                    |
| REVENUES  | BU               | DGET                                    | AC  | CTUAL                                   | VAR      |                                   | VARIANCE                                   | BU     | DGET                               |
| OPERATING REVENUES - MOTOR BUS  |                  |   |     |   |          |                                   |  |        |                                    |
| Passenger Fares<br>Local Transportation Development Act (TDA) Transit Fund<br>State Transit Assistance (STA)<br>Operating Grants  | \$               | 10,636<br>47,802<br>11,578<br>1,027     | \$  | 10,484<br>47,820<br>11,129<br>231       | \$       | (153)<br>18<br>(449)<br>(796)     | (1.4%)<br>0.0%<br>(3.9%)<br>(77.5%)        | \$     | 12,65<br>57,72<br>14,16<br>1,28    |
| Sales Tax Revenue<br>Measure W Sales Tax  |                  | 77,510<br>14,244                        |     | 74,262<br>3,360                         |          | (3,248)<br>(10,884)               | (4.2%)<br>(76.4%)                          |        | 96,36<br>17,99                     |
| Investment Interest Income  |                  | 10,670                                  |     | 19,891                                  |          | 9,221                             | 86.4%                                      |        | 13,40                              |
| TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES  |                  | 173,468                                 |     | 167,177                                 |          | (6,291)                           | (3.6%)                                     |        | 213,57                             |
| OTHER REVENUE SOURCES:  |                  |   |     |   |          |                                   |  |        |                                    |
| Rental Income<br>Advertising Income<br>Other Income   |                  | 1,573<br>841<br>503                     |     | 2,380<br>639<br>862                     |          | 807<br>(202)<br>359               | 51.3%<br>(24.0%)<br>71.3%                  |        | 1,88<br>77<br>63                   |
| FOTAL OTHER REVENUES  |                  | 2,917                                   |     | 3,881                                   |          | 964                               | 33.0%                                      |        | 3,28                               |
| TOTAL REVENUES - MOTOR BUS  | \$               | 176,385                                 | \$  | 171,058                                 | \$       | (5,327)                           | (3.0%)                                     | \$     | 216,86                             |
| AMERICAN DISABILITIES ACT:  |                  |   |     |   |          |                                   |  |        |                                    |
| Passenger Fares Redi-Wheels<br>Local Transportation Development Act (TDA) 4.5 Redi-Wheels<br>Local State Transit Assistance (STA) - Paratransit<br>Operating Grants<br>Sales Tax Revenue - American Disabilities Act<br>Measure W Sales Tax - American Disabilities Act |                  | 502<br>2,536<br>1,096<br>3,028<br>3,681 |     | 535<br>2,539<br>1,118<br>2,633<br>3,221 |          | 33<br>03<br>22<br>(395)<br>(460)  | 6.5%<br>0.1%<br>2.0%<br>(13.0%)<br>(12.5%) |        | 59<br>3,00<br>1,34<br>3,73<br>4,57 |
| Interest Income - Paratransit Fund<br>SMCTA Measure A Redi-Wheels<br>Measure M Paratransit  |                  | 3,903<br>767<br>3,906<br>1,000          |     | 3,221<br>895<br>3,906<br>792            |          | (682)<br>128<br>(208)             | (17.5%)<br>16.7%<br>0.0%<br>(20.8%)        |        | 4,7<br>91<br>4,72<br>1,20          |
| FOTAL ADA PROGRAMS  | \$               | 20,418                                  | \$  | 18,859                                  | \$       | (1,559)                           | (7.6%)                                     | \$     | 24,94                              |
| MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT PROGRAMS:   |                  | ,                                       |     | ,                                       |          |                                   |  |        | ,                                  |
| Employer SamTrans Shuttle Funds   |                  | 3,837                                   |     | 3,740                                   |          | (96)                              | (2.5%)                                     |        | 4,60                               |
| Dumbarton Rental Income<br>Sales Tax Revenue - General Operating Assistance   |                  | 152<br>3,052                            |     | 146<br>1,792                            |          | (6)<br>(1,260)                    | (4.0%)<br>(41.3%)                          |        | 18<br>3,80                         |
| FOTAL MULTI-MODAL PROGRAMS  | \$               | 7,041                                   | \$  | 5,678                                   | \$       | (1,363)                           | (19.4%)                                    | \$     | 8,64                               |
| Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton Maintenance of Way<br>Unapplied District Sales Tax<br>Unapplied Measure W Sales Tax<br>Due from PCJPB, SMCTA & SAMTR Capital W&B   |                  | 13,299<br>30,604<br>54,567              |     | 714<br>14,386<br>40,200<br>37,509       |          | 714<br>1,087<br>9,596<br>(17,059) | 100.0%<br>8.2%<br>31.4%<br>(31.3%)         |        | 13,02<br>36,14<br>65,43            |
| TOTAL REVENUES  | s                | 302,313                                 | \$  | 288,404                                 | ¢        | (13,909)                          | (4.6%)                                     | \$     | 365,09                             |

# samTrans

#### SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT OPERATING EXPENSES FISCAL YEAR 2025 AS OF APRIL 30, 2025

| EXPENSES  |    |         | Y      | EAR-TO<br>APRI |          |         |                   | AN | NUAL           |
|---|----|---------|--------|----------------|----------|---------|-------------------|----|----------------|
| EXPENSES  |    |         |        | 4 0 0 1        | -        |         |                   | AN |                |
| EXPENSES  |    |         |        | APKI           | L        |         |                   |    |                |
|   |    |         |        |                |          | \$      | %                 |    |                |
|   |    | DGET    | ACTUAL |                | VARIANCE |         | VARIANCE          | BU | DGET           |
| DISTRICT OPERATED BUSES                               |    |         |        |                |          |         |                   |    |                |
|   |    |         |        |                |          |         |                   |    |                |
| Services:   | \$ | 02 211  | ¢      | 02 072         | ¢        | (9(1))  | (0,00/)           | ¢  | 112 044        |
| Motor Bus Wages & Benefits                            | 3  | 92,211  | \$     | 93,072         | \$       | (861)   | (0.9%)<br>(26.9%) | \$ | 113,844<br>142 |
| Board of Directors                                    |    | 117     |        | 149            |          | (32)    | (20.9%)<br>48.7%  |    | 1,352          |
| Contracted Vehicle Maintenance                        |    | 1,093   |        | 561            |          | 532     | 48.7%<br>28.8%    |    |                |
| Property Maintenance                                  |    | 2,519   |        | 1,795          |          | 724     | 28.8%             |    | 3,038<br>7,826 |
| Professional Services                                 |    | 6,267   |        | 4,047          |          | 2,220   |                   |    |                |
| Technical Services                                    |    | 11,632  |        | 10,187         |          | 1,445   | 12.4%<br>5.9%     |    | 14,874         |
| Other Services  |    | 4,338   |        | 4,080          |          | 257     | 5.9%              |    | 5,401          |
| Materials & Supplies:                                 |    | 1.500   |        | 1 = (0         |          | (107)   | (4.20/)           |    | E E E E E      |
| Fuel and Electricity*                                 |    | 4,563   |        | 4,760          |          | (197)   | (4.3%)            |    | 5,557          |
| Bus Parts and Materials                               |    | 3,038   |        | 3,629          |          | (590)   | (19.4%)           |    | 3,649          |
| Uniforms and Driver Expense                           |    | 693     |        | 401            |          | 292     | 42.1%<br>38.5%    |    | 851<br>176     |
| Timetables and Tickets                                |    | 146     |        | 90             |          | 56      |                   |    |                |
| Office Supplies / Printing                            |    | 650     |        | 595            |          | 55      | 8.4%              |    | 772            |
| Other Materials and Supplies                          |    | 157     |        | 186            |          | (29)    | (18.6%)           |    | 188            |
| Utilities:  |    | (20)    |        |                |          | (100)   | (20.90/)          |    | 7(7            |
| Telephone   |    | 639     |        | 772            |          | (133)   | (20.8%)           |    | 767            |
| Other Utilities                                       |    | 1,647   |        | 1,795          |          | (148)   | (9.0%)            |    | 1,983          |
| Insurance   |    | 3,231   |        | 3,236          |          | (05)    | (0.2%)            |    | 3,906          |
| Claims Reserves and Payments                          |    | 3,133   |        | 3,399          |          | (265)   | (8.5%)            |    | 3,600          |
| Workers Compensation                                  |    | 3,196   |        | 2,732          |          | 464     | 14.5%             |    | 3,835          |
| Taxes and License Fees                                |    | 472     |        | 618            |          | (146)   | (31.1%)           |    | 581            |
| Leases and Rentals                                    |    | 269     |        | 259            |          | 10      | 3.7%              |    | 442            |
| Promotional and Legal Advertising                     |    | 904     |        | 344            |          | 560     | 62.0%             |    | 1,098          |
| Training and Business Travel                          |    | 1,113   |        | 598            |          | 516     | 46.3%             |    | 1,359          |
| Dues and Membership                                   |    | 255     |        | 147            |          | 108     | 42.3%             |    | 304            |
| Postage and Other                                     |    | 188     |        | 172            |          | 15      | 8.1%              |    | 225            |
| TOTAL DISTRICT OPERATED BUSES                         | \$ | 142,472 | \$     | 137,624        | \$       | 4,848   | 3.4%              | \$ | 175,772        |
| CONTRACTED BUS SERVICES                               |    |         |        |                |          |         |                   |    |                |
| Contracted Urban Bus Service                          |    | 25,928  |        | 23,437         |          | 2,491   | 9.6%              |    | 31,226         |
| Coastside Services                                    |    | 2,336   |        | 2,299          |          | 37      | 1.6%              |    | 2,888          |
| SamCoast  |    | 332     |        | 505            |          | (173)   | (52.2%)           |    | 420            |
| La Honda - Pescadero                                  |    | 44      |        | 40             |          | 4       | 8.4%              |    | 53             |
| Microtransit  |    | 2,767   |        | 2,591          |          | 176     | 6.4%              |    | 3,436          |
| Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Related Wages & Benefits   |    | 687     |        | 662            |          | 25      | 3.6%              |    | 861            |
| Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Related Other Support      |    | 273     |        | 221            |          | 51      | 18.8%             |    | 345            |
| Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance                  |    | 1,546   |        | 1,524          |          | 22      | 1.4%              |    | 1,860          |
| Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Claims Reserves & Payments |    | -       |        | 2,154          |          | (2,154) | (100.0%)          |    | -              |
| TOTAL CONTRACTED BUS SERVICE                          | \$ | 33,913  | \$     | 33,434         | \$       | 479     | 1.4%              | \$ | 41,088         |
| TOTAL EXPENSES - MOTOR BUS                            | \$ | 176,385 | ¢      | 171,058        | \$       | 5,327   | 3.0%              | \$ | 216,860        |

\*Fuel and Electricity costs were increased by a realized loss of \$177,000 from the fuel hedge program.

# samTrans

#### SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT OPERATING EXPENSES FISCAL YEAR 2025 AS OF APRIL 30, 2025

| (In | thousands) |  |
|-----|------------|--|

|  |    |          |     | EAR-TO  |           |             |               | (111) | thousands) |
|--|----|----------|-----|---------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------|------------|
|  |    | ANNUAL   |     |         |           |             |               |       |            |
|  |    | <u> </u> |     |         |           |             |               |       |            |
| EXPENSES   | BU | DGET     | 40  | CTUAL   | VAR       | \$<br>IANCE | %<br>VARIANCE | BI    | DGET       |
|  |    | 2021     | 110 | TOME    | • • • • • | Intel       | VIIIIIIICE    | 50    | DOLI       |
| AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT PROGRAMS                         |    |          |     |         |           |             |               |       |            |
| Elderly & Disabled/Redi-Wheels                           | \$ | 8,634    | \$  | 8,422   | \$        | 213         | 2.5%          | \$    | 10,50      |
| American Disabilities Act Sedans / Taxi Service          |    | 2,465    |     | 2,355   |           | 110         | 4.5%          |       | 3,00       |
| American Disabilities Act Coastside                      |    | 2,639    |     | 2,261   |           | 378         | 14.3%         |       | 3,25       |
| American Disabilities Act Related Wages & Benefits       |    | 2,883    |     | 2,665   |           | 218         | 7.5%          |       | 3,58       |
| American Disabilities Act Related Other Support          |    | 2,470    |     | 1,952   |           | 518         | 21.0%         |       | 2,98       |
| American Disabilities Act Insurance                      |    | 1,327    |     | 1,283   |           | 44          | 3.3%          |       | 1,61       |
| American Disabilities Act Claims Reserves & Payments     |    | -        |     | (79)    |           | 79          | 0.0%          |       | -          |
| TOTAL AMERICAN DISABILITIES ACT PROGRAMS                 | \$ | 20,418   | \$  | 18,859  | \$        | 1,559       | 7.6%          | \$    | 24,94      |
| MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT PROGRAMS                             |    |          |     |         |           |             |               |       |            |
| SamTrans Shuttle Service                                 |    | 6,129    |     | 5,011   |           | 1,118       | 18.2%         |       | 7,50       |
| Shuttle Related Wages & Benefits                         |    | 218      |     | 228     |           | (11)        | (4.9%)        |       | 26         |
| Dumbarton Maintenance of Way                             |    | 152      |     | 146     |           | 6           | 4.0%          |       | 18         |
| Maintenance Multimodal Facilities                        |    | 542      |     | 292     |           | 250         | 46.1%         |       | 69         |
| TOTAL MULTI-MODAL PROGRAMS                               | \$ | 7,041    | \$  | 5,678   | \$        | 1,363       | 19.4%         | \$    | 8,64       |
| PCJPB, SMCTA & SAMTR Capital W&B                         |    | 54,567   |     | 37,509  |           | 17,059      | 31.3%         |       | 65,48      |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES                                 | \$ | 258,411  | \$  | 233,104 | \$        | 25,307      | 9.8%          | \$    | 315,93     |
| Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program                   |    |          |     |         |           |             |               |       |            |
| District Sales Tax Capital                               |    | 30,532   |     | 30,532  |           | -           | 0.0%          |       | 36,63      |
| Measure W Sales Tax Capital                              |    | 22,043   |     | 22,043  |           | -           | 0.0%          |       | 26,45      |
| Reserves for Future Measure W Capital Allocation         |    | 7,650    |     | 18,157  |           | (10,507)    | (137.3%)      |       | 9,18       |
| Total Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program             | \$ | 60,225   | \$  | 70,732  | \$        | (10,507)    | (17.4%)       | \$    | 72,27      |
| Total Debt Service                                       |    | 3,374    |     | 3,400   |           | (26)        | (0.8%)        |       | 19,13      |
| Operating Reserve  |    | -        |     | _       |           | -           | -             |       | 51         |
| Sales Tax Stabilization Fund                             |    | -        |     | -       |           | -           | -             |       | 134        |
| Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton M |    | -        |     | 714     |           | 714         | 100.0%        |       |            |
| Total Reserves   |    | -        |     | 714     |           | 714         | -             |       | 65         |
| TOTAL EXPENSES   | \$ | 322,009  | 9   | 307,949 | \$        | 14.060      | 4.4%          | \$    | 407,98     |

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Action   |  |
|----------|--|
| Subject: | Accept Quarterly Fuel Hedge Update for Quarter 3 of Fiscal Year 2025 |
| From:    | Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial Officer                         |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO                                      |
| То:      | Board of Directors   |

# Action

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors (Board) of the San Mateo County Transit District (District) accept the Quarterly Fuel Hedge Update for Quarter 3 of Fiscal Year 2025.

# Background

The Diesel Fuel Hedging Program was implemented in May 2020 for SamTrans and is designed to minimize large budget variances resulting from the volatility of diesel fuel prices. An effective hedging strategy can increase budget certainty and facilitate a more effective utilization of budgetary resources. The purpose of the Program is not to speculate on the price of fuel but to manage risk, particularly as it relates to SamTrans' annual budget.

# Significance

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the implementation and performance status of the Fuel Hedging Program (Program) established for San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans). Staff are exploring options to shift part of the diesel fuel hedge toward hydrogen and electricity as SamTrans transitions to a zero-emission fleet.

Under this Program, staff will continue to work with Linwood Capital, LLC to:

- Secure new diesel fuel hedge contracts as market conditions permit.
- Evaluate timing and feasibility of implementing alternative hedge programs related to zero-emission vehicles.
- Modify the diesel hedge size to safeguard SamTrans' fuel budget from market volatility, while accounting for reduced diesel usage during the transition to a zero-emission fleet.

Diesel fuel consumption is expected to decline steadily in accordance with the diesel bus replacement schedule. As additional zero-emission buses (ZEBs) are delivered and placed into service in the upcoming fiscal years, our reliance on diesel fuel will continue to diminish.

# Quarter 3 Fiscal Year 2025 Fuel Hedge Performance

• In the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter of Fiscal Year 2025 (Q3FY25), the fuel hedging program realized a net loss of \$18,688. This is approximately \$0.05 per gallon of realized loss on 400,246

consumed gallons for the quarter. The approximate cost of fuel before taxes and fees and after the effect of the hedge is \$3.12 (Hedged Rate \$/Gallon).

• For the 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter of Fiscal Year 2025 (Q2FY25) there was a realized net loss of \$94,375 or \$0.21 per gallon on 446,208 gallons of consumption with a cost before taxes and fees and after hedging of \$3.07 (Hedged Rate \$/Gallon).

While the Q3FY25 results reflect realized losses, this is in line with expectations as we anticipate incurring losses approximately half of the time, with gains anticipated in other periods. The primary purpose of the hedge is to manage financial risk, not speculate or make investment gains. Any loss or gain from the hedge offsets fluctuations in the fuel prices and helps SamTrans to smooth the impacts of market volatility.

# Q3FY25 Hedge Program Asset Information

- As of March 31, 2025, the value of the futures account<sup>1</sup> is \$1,790,494 in the form of treasury securities with maturities of 90 days or less.
- As of March 31, 2025, the total futures account value was \$2,158,696. The future account value increased by \$87,029 during Q3FY25, compared to total futures account value of \$2,071,667 as of December 31, 2024. This futures account value as of March 31, 2025 consists of:
  - \$200,000 in net cash contributions to the account by SamTrans since inception in May 2020.
  - Net interest income since inception of \$197,095. Net interest income during Q3FY25 was \$17,827 as of March 31, 2025.
  - \$1,760,774 in realized net gains (decreased fuel cost) since inception. Realized net gains since inception decreased by -\$18,688 during Q3FY25. This realized net loss for the quarter was applied to fuel cost resulting in a higher fuel cost for Q3FY25 due to hedging.
  - \$827 in unrealized gain (contingent future decreased fuel cost). The unrealized loss as of December 31, 2024 was -\$87,062. Unrealized gain increased by \$87,889 during Q3FY25.

# Q4FY25 Prospective

- For the 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter of Fiscal Year 2025 (Q4FY25) there is a projected loss of \$29,887 which is \$0.09/gallon on all projected consumption. This assumes projected consumption of 338,145 gallons for the remainder of FY25.
- For the remainder of FY25, approximately 85 percent of the anticipated fuel usage of 338,145 gallons is hedged. Hedging at 85 percent allows SamTrans to balance budget

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Futures Account Defined: The future value of a hedge for diesel fuel refers to the anticipated financial outcome or value of a hedging strategy designed to protect against price fluctuations in diesel fuel over a specific future period.

certainty with operational flexibility in the case fuel consumption is lower than anticipated (rollout of ZEBs or service adjustments). The projected cost for Q4FY25 excluding taxes and fees and including the hedge is \$2.98 (Hedged Rate \$/Gallon).

## Budget Impact

There is no impact on the budget.

| Prepared By: | Adela Alicic      | Senior Financial Analyst | 650-508-7981 |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|              | Julijana Taskovic | Director, Treasury       | 650-730-0668 |

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| To:      | Board of Directors  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO   |  |  |
| From:    | Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial<br>Officer   | Mehul Kumar, Chief Information and<br>Technology Officer |  |
| Subject: | Authorizing Execution of Contracts and Amendments for Information<br>Technology Hardware, Software, Licenses, Maintenance Services and<br>Professional Services, and Technology-related Products and Services,<br>Through Piggyback Contracts and Cooperative Purchasing Programs up to an<br>Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Limit of \$8 Million for Fiscal Year 2026 |  |  |

# <u>Action</u>

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors (Board) of the San Mateo County Transit District (District):

- Determine that an independent District-initiated solicitation process for each purchase, lease and/or rental of new personal computers, computer and telecommunications equipment and services, digital reprographic equipment, hardware, software, licensing and maintenance agreements, computer peripherals and temporary technology consultants is unlikely to be in the District's best interest.
- Authorize the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute contracts exceeding \$250,000 for:
  - a. Technology systems equipment and related services through District-approved cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback contracts to meet the District's technology equipment and services requirements, pursuant to the terms and conditions of each cooperative purchasing program vendor agreement or piggyback agreement, and to the extent that each cooperative purchasing program, each vendor agreement, and each piggyback contract fully complies with the District's statutory procurement authority and procurement policy;
  - b. New or additional software licenses or license renewals, maintenance, product support, or related professional services through original equipment manufacturers, product licensors, or their authorized distributors or consultants to permit continued effective use and upkeep of District-owned information technology, hardware, and software;
  - c. Professional and staff augmentation services for information technology, pursuant to the District's procurement authority and policy; and
  - d. Expansion or modification of previously competitively procured proprietary software from an original provider when the original provider is the only source of such software and/or related services.

- 3. Authorize the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute contracts that commit the District to multi-year service terms that exceed one fiscal year's allocated budget, with the understanding that each subsequent service year will be accounted for in the following fiscal year's resolution's requested budget.
- 4. Authorize the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute purchase orders, contracts, and other documents and actions to give effect to the proposed resolution, including any agreements with other agencies' cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback purchasing for administrative fees for processing these purchases.
- 5. Establish an aggregate, not-to-exceed limit of \$8 million for Fiscal Year 2026 (FY26) for contracts and amendments authorized pursuant to the proposed resolution.
- 6. Direct staff to provide a report to the Board on a quarterly basis advising of actions taken pursuant to the authority conferred by the proposed resolution.

## **Significance**

Recurring maintenance, support, and license agreements are, by their nature, repetitive and routine, and are required to ensure the continued and effective operation of information technology assets owned by the District. The sole source purchase of additional modules to existing software or professional services to modify existing proprietary software will allow the District's changing business needs to be met in a timely manner.

Approval of this contracting authority will allow the District to pay for information technology hardware, software, licenses, maintenance and support in an amount greater than the District's approved procurement thresholds without bringing individual actions before the Board for approval. This delegation would not eliminate the requirement that all other procurement policies and procedures be followed.

Delegating this authority expedites staff's ability to continue needed operations and services in the management of the District, and reduces the time and resources otherwise required to obtain individual approval of such support and license agreements.

Further, this authority provides the District with a cost-effective means to support its standardization policy and provide the latest technology and related services through cooperative purchasing programs and piggybacks. Contracts issued under this authority address the District's requirements for equipment, hardware, software, services, licensing, maintenance agreements, and programmed replacement of equipment that has reached the end of its useful life or has become unsuited to address the District's future needs.

# **Budget Impact**

Funds for these purchases are included in the proposed FY26 Operating and Capital Budgets.

## **Background**

Pursuant to the Procurement Policy adopted by the Board in 2023 (Resolution No. 2023-36), the Board must approve any purchase of goods and services exceeding \$250,000. Since 2010, the Board has granted the General Manager/CEO authority to execute technology-related contracts and amendments that exceed this standard Board-approved contract authority threshold. Beginning in FY24, the Board approved, via one resolution, both recurring contracts and cooperative agreements.

The District has an ongoing need to refresh technology that supports all business operations. Some of the required equipment include:

- personal computers
- telecommunications equipment
- digital reprographic equipment
- computer peripherals
- server and network hardware
- software
- maintenance and support services
- installation and configuration services
- temporary technology consultants
- other related technology services

Many equipment purchases and service contracts must be renewed on an annual basis. These renewals are usually routine and predictable, and generally were competitively procured previously. Software and hardware are typically sold with licenses and maintenance agreements that require periodic renewal. Failing to renew maintenance support results in loss of software updates, and problems obtaining assistance and repair services typically needed to keep a product in good operating order. In some cases, the product may not be legally used if maintenance and license renewals have not been obtained.

The types of licensing and maintenance agreements contemplated are generally unobtainable under any other method because they are proprietary to the manufacturers of the software. Similarly, many manufacturers do not allow third parties to access source code or to provide services. As a result, professional services to upgrade, modify, or add to existing software must be performed by the original manufacturer.

Procurements relating to District assets requiring payment of recurring annual or multi-year maintenance services, support, and license fees over \$250,000 may need to be accommodated in FY26, outside of cooperative purchase agreements or other pre-existing contracts.

Contracts issued by governmental entities that allow other jurisdictions to use the contract they established are called "piggybacking contracts" because they allow another agency to "piggyback" on that governmental entity's solicitation process, contract terms and pricing.

Contracts will be executed only with vendors whose contracts include piggybacking language on a basis that complies with the District's procurement authority and policy.

Various cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback purchasing are available to provide these products and services. Special districts are given statutory permission to procure competitively priced goods and services arising out of these vendor agreements. The Federal government's General Services Administration (GSA) Schedules (also referred to as Multiple Award Schedules and Federal Supply Schedules) also may be utilized. By utilizing cooperative purchasing and piggyback contracts, the District saves considerable time and expense associated with independent procurements, which would be unlikely to yield more favorable pricing or service.

By approving this action, the Board would eliminate the need to issue contracts for new and recurring maintenance and continued operation of assets like these to be brought individually before the Board for approval.

The General Manager/CEO or designee will provide a quarterly report to the Board with a summary of the contracts awarded under this delegated authority.

| Prepared By: | Linda Tamtum    | Contract Administrator | 650-508-7933 |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|
|              | Michael Salazar | IT Operations Manager  | 650-551-6196 |

## Resolution No. 2025-

# Board of Directors, San Mateo County Transit District State of California

\* \* \*

Authorizing Execution of Contracts and Amendments for Information Technology Hardware, Software, Licenses, Maintenance Services and Professional Services, and Technology-Related Products and Services, Through Piggyback Contracts and Cooperative Purchasing Programs up to an Aggregate Not-to-Exceed Limit of \$8 Million for Fiscal Year 2026

Whereas, the San Mateo County Transit District (District) will require personal

computers, computer peripherals, telecommunications equipment, reprographic equipment,

servers, switches, maintenance agreements, software licensing, continuing product support

and licenses, technology consulting, and other related services throughout Fiscal Year (FY)

2026 in order to replace equipment that has reached the end of its useful life and to meet the

District's current and emerging technology needs; and

Whereas, the District desires to standardize procurements using the most cost-

effective manners; and

Whereas, piggybacking on other agencies' procurements, as well as participating in cooperative purchasing consortia, allows the District to procure competitively-priced technology systems equipment and related services; and

**Whereas**, the District's software license, maintenance, and support agreements for the information technology assets in use are, by their nature, repetitive and routine; and

Whereas, the District requires professional services necessary to expand or modify previously competitively procured proprietary software when an original provider is the only source of such services; and

Whereas, the District also requires Information Technology (IT) staff augmentation services to support IT specific projects; and

Whereas, the General Manager/CEO recommends that the District participate in the above-mentioned programs, as well as additional cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback purchasing, to the extent such programs fully comply with the District's procurement authority and policy; and

Whereas, staff recommends that the General Manager/CEO or designee be authorized to execute contracts that exceed \$250,000 with vendors under District-approved cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback purchasing to meet the District's personal computer/server, telecommunications, and other related technology equipment and professional service requirements for FY26, pursuant to the terms and conditions of each cooperative purchasing program's vendor agreements or piggyback agreement; and

Whereas, staff further recommends that the General Manager/CEO or designee be authorized to execute contracts that exceed \$250,000 with original equipment manufacturers, product licensors, their authorized distributors and consultants, and IT professional services and staff augmentation to meet the District's technology requirements for FY26, pursuant to the District's statutory procurement authority and procurement policy; and

**Whereas**, finally, staff recommends limiting the purchasing authority established by this Resolution to an aggregate, not-to-exceed amount of \$8 million for FY26.

28

**Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved** that the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transit District hereby:

- Determines that an independent District-initiated solicitation process for each purchase, lease and/or rental of new personal computers, computer and telecommunications equipment and services, digital reprographic equipment, hardware, software, licensing and maintenance agreements, computer peripherals, and temporary technology consultants is unlikely to be in the District's best interest; and
- Authorizes the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute contracts exceeding \$250,000 for:
  - a. Technology systems equipment and related services through Districtapproved cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback contracts to meet the District's technology equipment and services requirements, pursuant to the terms and conditions of each cooperative purchasing program vendor agreement or piggyback agreement, and to the extent that each cooperative purchasing program, each vendor agreement, and each piggyback contract fully complies with the District's statutory procurement authority and procurement policy;
  - b. New or additional software licenses or license renewals, maintenance, product support, or related professional services through original equipment manufacturers, product licensors, or their authorized distributors or consultants to permit continued effective use and upkeep of District-owned

information technology, hardware, and software;

- c. Professional and staff augmentation services for information
   technology, pursuant to the District's procurement authority and
   policy; and
- d. Expansion or modification of previously competitively procured proprietary software from an original provider when the original provider is the only source of such software and/or related services; and
- 3. Authorizes the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute contracts that commit the District to multi-year service terms that exceed one fiscal year's allocated budget, with the understanding that each subsequent service year will be accounted for in the following fiscal year's resolution's requested budget; and
- 4. Authorizes the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute purchase orders, contracts, and other documents and actions to give effect to this resolution, including any agreements with other agencies' cooperative purchasing programs and piggyback purchasing for administrative fees for processing these purchases; and
- 5. Establishes an aggregate, not-to-exceed limit of \$8 million for Fiscal Year 2026 for contracts and amendments authorized pursuant to this Resolution; and
- Directs staff to provide a report to the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis advising of actions taken pursuant to the authority conferred by this Resolution.

Regularly passed and adopted this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Chair, San Mateo County Transit District

Attest:

District Secretary

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Supporting and Authorizing Submittal of Four Applications for the San Matec<br>County Transportation Authority's 2025 Cycle 1 Measure W Regional Transit<br>Connections Program Call for Projects |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
| From:    | Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial<br>Officer   | David Santoro, Deputy General<br>Manager |  |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO   |  |  |
| То:      | Board of Directors  |  |  |

## <u>Action</u>

Staff recommends the Board of Directors (Board) of the San Mateo County Transit District (District) adopt a resolution to:

- Support the District's applications to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) Cycle 1 Measure W Regional Transit Connection (RTC) Program for the following projects:
  - \$16,250,000 for the completion of the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and final design phases of the Dumbarton West Connector Project.
  - b. \$4,427,000 for the operational expenses related to SamTrans' East Palo Alto-San Bruno BART and San Francisco (EPX) route and the lease costs for bus bays at the Salesforce Transbay Transit Center.
  - c. \$5,000,000 for the expanded and continued operation of the Ride Plus service to the Palo Alto Transit Center through the end of Fiscal Year (FY) 2028.
  - d. \$1,000,000 for the development of a SamTrans first- and last-mile plan.
- 2. Authorize the Deputy General Manager, or designee, to execute funding agreements or other documents, and take any other actions required, for the District to receive any Measure W RTC Program funds awarded.
- 3. Commit the following matching funds, if awarded the requested Measure W RTC Program funds.
  - a. \$5,574,000 in District and Regional Measure 3 matching funds for the completion of the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and final design phases of the Dumbarton West Connector Project.
  - \$235,000 in District matching funds for the operational expenses related to the SamTrans EPX route and the lease costs for bus bays at the Salesforce Transbay Transit Center.

- c. Up to \$1,800,000 in District matching funds for the expanded and continued operation of the Ride Plus service to Palo Alto Transit Center through FY28.
- 4. Direct the District to commence work on funded projects within one year of the TA's award of Measure W RTC Program grants.

## **Significance**

In April 2025, TA released its first Call for Projects (CFP) for the RTC Program. The purpose of the RTC Program is to fund transit improvements that connect San Mateo County with Alameda County, San Francisco County, and Santa Clara County. The RTC Program includes three funding sub-categories for transit capital, transit operations, and first/last mile projects, and the District is an eligible sponsor in all three sub-categories. The TA anticipates releasing a CFP every 4 years.

Staff are prepared to submit applications to the RTC Program for the four separate projects listed above.

## **Budget Impact**

Adoption of the proposed resolution is a requirement to apply for the RTC Program and has no immediate budget impact. Matching funds are included in the FY26 and FY27 proposed budgets and will be requested in future years' budgets. If funding is awarded, staff will return to the Board to request the necessary budget authority.

## **Background**

Below is a more comprehensive description of the four projects for which staff will be submitting applications. It includes information detailing the scope and objectives of each project.

<u>Dumbarton West Connector Project</u> – Funds are being requested for the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and the final design phases of this project. The project aims to eliminate transportation barriers and address regional disparities by transforming the underutilized 5-mile Dumbarton West Corridor between the Redwood City Caltrain Station and University Avenue in East Palo Alto. The project will develop a dedicated busway with enhanced bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, improving access to key community designations, regional transit systems, and employment centers. Revitalizing this corridor will also lay the groundwork for future Dumbarton initiatives focused on reducing congestion and enhancing transit efficiency. This grant will help close funding gaps in the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and final design phases, advancing the project toward shovel-ready status by 2030.

<u>EPX Route and Salesforce Transbay Transit Center Operations</u> – This project seeks operating funds to sustain the EPX route for four years and to lease associated bus bays at the Salesforce Transbay Transit Center. The EPX route was created to address critical transportation gaps in communities in southern San Mateo County, particularly East Palo Alto and Menlo Park's Belle

21818939.1

Haven neighborhood. Operating on weekdays with limited-stop service every 45 minutes, the route connects riders to key destinations including the SFO AirTrain, San Bruno Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) station, Redwood City Caltrain station, and downtown San Francisco. Select morning and afternoon trips provide direct service to San Francisco, bypassing San Bruno BART, while other trips begin or end at the station. Utilizing US 101 Express Lanes for great reliability and a fleet of zero-emission battery-electric buses, the service promotes sustainability and offers efficient one-seat rides that integrate seamlessly with other modes of the regional transit network.

Expansion and Continuation of Ride Plus Service to Palo Alto Transit Center – Ride Plus is a microtransit service for residents within East Palo Alto and the Belle Haven neighborhood in Menlo Park. Staff proposes to expand the footprint of the service area to include the Palo Alto Transit Center to provide better regional transit connections for residents in these neighborhoods. The District currently contracts with Transdev to operate this service. The service is currently funded through Year 3 of the operating contract. RTC Program support, supplemented by District matching funds, would allow the service to continue through the final two option years of the five-year contract.

Development of a SamTrans First and Last Mile Plan – As part of the RTC program, if an agency does not have a station access/facilities plan or equivalent, the agency may apply for funds to develop one with no required match. This allowance ensures all agencies are equally able to support and identify priority first and last mile projects in San Mateo County. The District proposes to use these funds to develop a comprehensive first and last mile plan to identify and prioritize infrastructure projects that improve access to regional transit services across San Mateo County and increase ridership. The plan will focus on enhancing connections and addressing critical gaps in access for pedestrians, cyclists, and other first and last mile users within key locations served by SamTrans. The plan will identify improvements that will support increased transit ridership, reduce car dependency, and advance regional mobility goals. As the District does not have a station access/facilities plan or equivalent, no matching funds are required for the development of a SamTrans first and last mile plan.

Prepared By: Heather Salem

Acting Director, Grant and Fund 650-730-8099 Management

## Resolution No. 2025-

## Board of Directors, San Mateo County Transit District State of California

\* \* \*

# Supporting and Authorizing Submittal of Four Applications for the San Mateo County Transportation Authority's 2025 Cycle 1 Measure W Regional Transit Connections Program Call for Projects

Whereas, on November 6, 2018, the voters of San Mateo County approved a San Mateo

County Transit District (District) ballot measure known as "Measure W," which increased the

sales tax in San Mateo County by 1/2 percent; and

Whereas, the District tasked the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) with

administering four of the five transportation program categories pursuant to the Congestion

Relief Plan presented to the voters; and

Whereas, the TA issued a Call for Projects for the Measure W Regional Transit

Connections (RTC) Program funds on April 3, 2025; and

Whereas, the TA requires applicants for Measure W RTC Program funds to submit a resolution in support of each application for funds; committing to the completion of the proposed projects' scopes; agreeing to provide matching funds where required; stating the applicants' intention to begin work on funded projects within one year of Measure W RTC grant awards, and certifying that any funds awarded by the TA will be used to supplement existing funds for program activities, and will not replace existing funds or resources; and

**Whereas**, the District desires to apply for specified amounts of Measure W RTC funds for the following four projects:

 \$16,250,000 for the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and final design phases of the Dumbarton West Connector Project;

- \$4,427,000 for the operational expenses related to SamTrans' EPX route and lease costs for bus bays at the Salesforce Transbay Transit Center;
- \$5,000,000 for the expanded and continued operation of the Ride Plus service to the Palo Alto Transit Center through the end of Fiscal Year (FY) 2028; and
- \$1,000,000 for the development of a SamTrans first and last mile plan.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved that the San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors:

- Directs staff to submit applications for San Mateo County Transportation Authority
   2025 Cycle 1 Measure W Regional Transit Connection Program funds for the following projects:
  - a. \$16,250,000 for the completion of the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and final design phases of the Dumbarton West Connector Project;
  - \$4,427,000 for the operational expenses related to the EPX route and the lease costs for bus bays at the Salesforce Transbay Transit Center;
  - c. \$5,000,000 for the expanded and continued operation of the Ride Plus service to the Palo Alto Transit Center through the end of FY 2028; and
  - d. \$1,000,000 for the development of a SamTrans first and last mile planning level study.
- Authorizes the Deputy General Manager or designee to execute funding agreements or other documents, or take any other actions needed, for the District to receive all Measure W RTC Program funds awarded.
- If awarded the requested TA Measure W RTC Program funds, commits to contributing matching funds and completing the Measure W RTC Program funded projects as follows:
  - a. \$5,574,000 in District and Regional Measure 3 matching funds for and completing the feasibility study, preliminary design and environmental clearance, and final design phases of the Dumbarton West Connector Project;
  - \$235,000 in District matching funds for the operational expenses related to SamTrans' EPX route and the lease costs for bus bays at the Salesforce Transbay Transit Center, and completing performance of the same;
  - c. Up to \$1,800,000 in District matching funds for the expanded and continued operation of the Ride Plus service to the Palo Alto Transit Center through FY 2028, and completing performance of the same; and
  - d. Completing a SamTrans first and last mile planning level study.
- Directs the Deputy General Manager or designee to commence work on all Measure
  W RTC Program funded projects within one year of allocation of Measure W RTC
  Program funds by the TA.
- Certifies that any Measure W Program funds awarded by the TA will be used to supplement existing funds for program activities and will not replace existing funds or resources.

Regularly passed and adopted this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 2025 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Chair, San Mateo County Transit District

Attest:

District Secretary

### San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Rejecting All Proposals Submitted in R<br>for Contracted Shuttle Services, and A<br>Current Contracted Shuttle Services A<br>Years and Increase the Total Not-to-Ex | uthorizing an Amendment to the greement to Extend the Term for Two |
|----------|---|--|
| From:    | Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial<br>Officer   | David Olmeda, Chief Operating<br>Officer, Bus                      |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO   |  |
| То:      | Board of Directors  |  |
|          |   |  |

### <u>Action</u>

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors (Board) of the San Mateo County Transit District (District):

- Reject all proposals submitted in response to the District-issued Request for Proposals (RFP) 24-S-S-118 for Contracted Shuttle Services (Services) and authorize staff to reissue the solicitation.
- Authorize a single-source extension of the existing contract with MV Transportation, Inc. of Dallas, Texas (MV) for two years, from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2027, for an estimated total not-to-exceed amount of \$15,986,584, which will increase the total contract amount from \$19,980,269 to \$35,966,853.
- 3. Authorize the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute a Sixth Amendment to the contract with MV as negotiated, and in a form approved by legal counsel.

### **Significance**

In partnership with Commute.org and other funding partners, the District's Shuttle Program (Program) provides Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), Caltrain, and San Francisco Bay Ferry riders, as well as other interested passengers, with free first and last-mile fixed-route commuter, community and on-demand shuttle services. The Program currently offers 26 shuttle routes which are served by 30 wheelchair-accessible vehicles and bicycle rack capacity. The Program does not duplicate SamTrans fixed-route bus service.

The Program is funded primarily through grants from the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA), along with limited funding from the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG). Matching funds for the TA and C/CAG grants are provided by various public sector shuttle sponsors and, in some cases, sponsors' private-sector partners. MV has provided the Program's contracted shuttle service since 2015. During this procurement process, staff learned new information about the significant market rate cost increases to deliver the Services, plus unforeseen budget constraints from the Program's local sponsors. Given this new economic landscape, staff determined the best course of action is for the District to cancel the pending six-year procurement and instead provide additional time on the current MV contract to allow for a comprehensive reevaluation of this Program.

Approval of the above actions will allow the Program to continue in full operation while the TA, in collaboration with the District and other Program partners, complete an in-depth assessment of the Program and associated contracted Services.

The 2021 SamTrans and Caltrain joint Peninsula Shuttle Study focused on the roles and responsibilities for each agency to efficiently manage the program. In contrast, the upcoming proposed San Mateo County Transportation Authority study will focus on rethinking a financially constrained shuttle program to right-size the program to account for future increases in shuttle operations costs and limited available funding.

### **Budget Impact**

The recommended action to reject all proposals will have no budget impact. Funds for the amendment to extend the Services contract are included in the Proposed Fiscal Years (FY) 2026 and 2027 Operating Budgets, which will be presented as a separate item at the June Board meeting. The base contract is fully funded through Employer Shuttle Contributions from Commute.org and various program partners. Additional costs, such as vendor incentives outlined in the contract terms, are not covered by these contributions and will instead be funded by the District Sales Tax, approximately \$300,000 per fiscal year.

### **Background**

### The Pending Procurement for the Services

On October 2, 2024, the District issued RFP 24-S-S-118 to replace the District's current contract with MV, which ends on June 30, 2025. The RFP was posted on the District's e-procurement website. The District also held a virtual pre-proposal meeting on October 23, 2024. Proposals were due on November 12, 2024, at which time the District received proposals from the following firms:

- MV Transportation, Inc. of Dallas, Texas (incumbent)
- TransMetro, Inc. of San Francisco, California
- WeDriveU, Inc. of San Francisco, California

Staff completed an initial review and determined that all three proposals were responsive to the District's solicitation documents and, therefore, could proceed to the next phase of the evaluation and selection process.

A Selection Committee (Committee), composed of qualified staff from the District's Bus Maintenance, Bus Contracts, and Safety and Security departments, and one representative each from Commute.org and City of Menlo Park, reviewed and scored the three proposals in accordance with the weighted criteria set forth in the RFP. None of the firms received Small Business Enterprise Preference points, but all three firms received 10 points each for the employee retention bonus.

| CRITERIA  | MAX POINTS |
|---|------------|
| Effective and Responsive Approach to Scope of Services        | 25         |
| Qualifications and Experience of Management of Firm           | 15         |
| Qualifications and Experience of Management and Key Personnel | 10         |
| Cost Proposal   | 40         |
| Financial Condition of Firm                                   | 10         |
| Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Preference                    | 5          |
| Employee Retention Bonus                                      | 10         |
| Total Possible Points   | 115        |

The Committee, after review and deliberation, determined all three firms were in the competitive range, and invited them to interview. After interviews, the Committee rescored the proposals and determined only two firms remained in the competitive range: MV and WeDriveU. As allowed by the procurement process, staff elected to commence simultaneous negotiations with both firms.

During negotiations, staff learned that Program partners may be unable to fund their required local match. This uncertainty was exacerbated by a significant increase in the proposed hourly rates for the Services under the pending procurement (greater than 56 percent). As a result, the District was unable to determine the appropriate level of service and vehicle count to include in the contract under negotiation.

In response, staff leadership decided more time is needed to reassess the situation. At this time, staff has determined that it is in the District's best interest to reject all proposals and resolicit the Services in the future (tentatively in Fiscal Year 2027) once the District better understands the service needs and available funding for the Program.

The District has the right to cancel the procurement at its sole discretion pursuant to Section 4.Q. of the RFP.

### Current Agreement with MV Transportation

Pursuant to Resolution No. 2015-19, the Board awarded a contract to MV to provide the Services for a base term of five years and four months, with five one-year option terms. MV has satisfactorily provided the Services for the District and its partners, the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (PCJPB) and Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance (Commute.org), under contract 14-SAMTR-PCJPB-PTCRA-S-054 for the past 10 years, including exercised option terms. Pursuant to Resolution No. 2022-28, the contracts were consolidated and amended to a single entity contract under 14-SAMTR-S-054. The current MV contract expires June 30, 2025.

### Proposed Contract Extension

Staff has successfully negotiated a two-year extension of the current MV contract to allow for continued Services throughout San Mateo County while the District and its partners conduct a strategic review to assess the Program's long-term needs, available service models, and potential cost structures.

Staff conducted a price analysis and determined the proposed revenue service hourly rates of \$161.01 and \$168.13 for the first and second extension years, respectively, are fair and reasonable. Staff estimates MV will operate 48,572 revenue service hours per year.

| Prepared By: | Stacey Routt  | Procurement Administrator II | 650-508-7727 |
|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------|
|              | Kelley Shanks | Manager, Bus Contracts       | 650-508-6324 |

### Resolution No. 2025-

### Board of Directors, San Mateo County Transit District State of California

\* \* \*

### Rejecting All Proposals Submitted in Response to the Request for Proposals for Contracted Shuttle Services, and Authorizing an Amendment to the Current Contracted Shuttle Services Agreement to Extend the Term for Two Years and Increase the Total Not-to-Exceed Amount by \$15,986,584

Whereas, on October 2, 2024, the San Mateo County Transit District (District) issued

Request for Proposals (RFP) 24-S-S-118 to provide Contracted Shuttle Services (Services) for a

six-year term, with up to four one-year option terms; and

Whereas, in response to the RFP, the District received proposals from MV

Transportation, Inc. of Dallas, Texas (the incumbent); TransMetro, Inc. of San Francisco,

California; and WeDriveU, Inc. of San Francisco, California, all of which staff found to be

responsive to the solicitation documents; and

Whereas, a Selection Committee (Committee), composed of qualified District staff, and

subject matter experts from Commute.org and the City of Menlo Park, reviewed, evaluated,

and scored the three proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria set forth in the RFP,

finding all to be in the competitive range; and

**Whereas**, the Committee held interviews with all three firms, rescored the proposals and determined two of the three firms remained in the competitive range; and

Whereas, the Committee then entered simultaneous negotiations with the two firms in the competitive range; and

Whereas, concurrent with negotiations, uncertainty arose around available funding for the shuttle program (Program) from Program partners; and

Whereas, this uncertainty, coupled with significant increases in proposed hourly rates for the Services compared to current rates, raised broader concerns about the Program's overall feasibility; and

Whereas, the Program is currently under strategic review to assess long-term needs, service model options, and associated cost structures; and

Whereas, District leadership has determined it is in the District's best interest to reject all proposals received in response to RFP 24-S-S-118, and to extend the current contract with MV Transportation, Inc of Dallas, Texas (MV) for two years to ensure continued Services throughout San Mateo County while the District and its Program partners re-evaluate the Program and related challenges; and

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 2015-19, the Board awarded MV the current contract (originally identified as contract 14-SAMTR-PCJPB-PTCRA-S-054) and then, pursuant to Resolution Nos. 2015-19 and 2022-28, exercised option terms and amended the contract (now identified as 14-SAMTR-S-054) to extend the term through June 30, 2025; and

Whereas, MV has satisfactorily provided the Services for the past 10 years; and

Whereas, staff successfully negotiated a two-year contract extension with MV on a single-source basis for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$15,986,584, which is based on an anticipated 48,572 annual revenue service hours; and

**Whereas**, staff conducted a price analysis and determined MV's proposed revenue service hourly rates of \$161.01 and \$168.13 for the first and second years of the two-year extension term, respectively, to be fair and reasonable.

**Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved**, that the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transit District hereby rejects all proposals received in response to Request for Proposals 24-S-S-118 to provide Contracted Shuttle Services, and authorizes staff to re-issue the solicitation; and

**Be It Further Resolved** that the Board authorizes a single-source extension of the existing contract with MV Transportation, Inc. for two years from July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2027 for an estimated total not-to-exceed amount of \$15,986,584, which will increase the total contract amount from \$19,980,269 to \$35,966,853; and

**Be It Further Resolved** that the Board authorizes the General Manager/CEO or designee to execute a Sixth Amendment to contract 14-SAMTR-S-054 with MV as negotiated, and in a form approved by legal counsel.

Regularly passed and adopted this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Chair, San Mateo County Transit District

Attest:

**District Secretary** 

# Proclamation

### Recognizing Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer (LGBTQ) Pride Month

**Whereas**, the San Mateo County Transit District (District) cherishes the value and dignity of every person and appreciates the importance of equality, freedom, and acceptance; and

**Whereas**, the District denounces prejudice and unfair discrimination based on age, gender identity, gender expression, race, color, religion, marital status, national origin, sexual orientation, or physical attributes as an affront to our fundamental principles; and

**Whereas**, the District recognizes the long history of inequity in its own transit industry that has excluded, targeted or oppressed people due to their color, race, national origin, gender identity or expression, income, housing status, sexual orientation, disability status, and immigration status; and

Whereas, the first Pride march for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ+) people and communities was held on June 28, 1970, on the one-year anniversary of the Stonewall Uprising in New York City, when LGBTQ+ people and allied friends rose and fought against constant police harassment and discriminatory laws that have since been declared unconstitutional; and

**Whereas**, Pride celebrations have grown and diversified in the decades that followed, including with the first presidential designation of June as Pride Month in 1999; and

Whereas, last year, the District formed its first Rainbow Employee Resource Group (ERG) to provide a supportive network for LGBTQ+ employees, allies, and advocates. The ERG was created to provide a safe space for sharing experiences, discussing challenges, and celebrating the diversity that each member brings to the District. Through educational sessions, networking events and community outreach, the Rainbow ERG will play a crucial role in promoting understanding, respect and equality within our workplaces and the communities we serve; and

**Whereas**, this year, the District will be wrapping a SamTrans bus with the theme, *"Moving Forward Together, Electrified with Pride,"* and entering the bus into the San Mateo County Pride Parade & Celebration in San Mateo on June 14, the Coast Pride Parade & Celebration in Half Moon Bay on June 22, and the San Francisco Pride Parade on June 29 where employees will be walking in the parade and connecting with the various communities; and

Whereas, the District will continue to strive to make our transportation services and programs accessible and open to all individuals, and to protect the most vulnerable among us; and

Whereas, the District believes that ensuring public sector policies, programs, and practices serve the public good is essential to the success of our transportation services and benefits everyone in our communities and our society; and

**Whereas**, in honor of Pride Month, the District Board of Directors recognizes the resilience and determination of LGBTQ+ people who are fighting to live freely and authentically.

**Now, Therefore,** the San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors does hereby recognize June as LGBTQ Pride Month and celebrates the immense contributions that LGBTQ+ people have made in San Mateo County and the United States.

Regularly passed and adopted this 4th day of June, 2025.



Chair, San Mateo County Transit District

Item #8.a. 6/4/2025

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2025

JEFF GEE, CHAIR MARIE CHUANG, VICE CHAIR DAVID J. CANEPA BROOKS ESSER MARINA FRASER RICO E. MEDINA JOSH POWELL PETER RATTO JACKIE SPEIER

April Chan General Manager/CEO

### Memorandum

**Date:** May 28, 2025

To: SamTrans Board of Directors

samTrans

From: April Chan, General Manager/CEO

Subject: Report of the General Manager/CEO

<u>SamTrans fixed-route bus</u> ridership achieved a 96.7 percent recovery rate for the nine months ending March 2025 compared to the nine months prior to COVID, which is above the national bus recovery rate of 83.3 percent. SamTrans ridership for the month of March 2025 was 102.7 percent of the pre-COVID ridership in March 2019, which is the first month to surpass pre-COVID ridership. SamTrans Vehicle Revenue Miles, with the final phase of SamTrans Reimagine implemented in August 2025, is approximately the same as pre-COVID, averaging 100.3 percent of pre-COVID levels for the last 3 months. The local rail ridership recovery continues to be slow.

|                       | 9 Months Prior | 9 Months Ended | Ridership     | Vehicle Revenue |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
|                       | To COVID       | March 2025     | Recovery Rate | Miles Recovery  |
|                       |                |                |               | Rate            |
| SamTrans              | 8,325,230      | 8,046,403      | 96.7%         | 98.1%           |
| AC Transit            | 41,317,506     | 30,617,929     | 74.1%         | 84.8%           |
| SFMTA                 | 157,548,602    | 122,018,666    | 77.4%         | 92.0%           |
| VTA                   | 20,670,713     | 17,015,215     | 82.3%         | 95.9%           |
| Dallas                | 28,204,315     | 21,370,119     | 75.8%         | 86.6%           |
| Seattle - King        | 91,037,604     | 68,834,044     | 75.6%         | 85.9%           |
| Chicago               | 179,180,319    | 135,975,280    | 75.9%         | 97.5%           |
| Atlanta               | 39,168,799     | 25,646,657     | 65.5%         | 84.2%           |
| New York MTA          | 539,933,187    | 514,830,362    | 95.4%         | 96.3%           |
| National Bus          | 3,418,842,454  | 2,847,319,760  | 83.3%         | 92.8%           |
| Caltrain              | 14,022,841     | 7,607,438      | 54.3%         | 138.6%          |
| <b>BART Extension</b> | 9,959,642      | 4,490,891      | 45.1%         | na              |
| BART System           | 94,674,287     | 42,676,582     | 45.1%         | 82.2%           |

|                        | 9 Months Prior<br>To COVID | 9 Months Ended<br>March 2025 | Ridership<br>Recovery Rate | Vehicle Revenue<br>Miles Recovery<br>Rate |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| National Rail          | 3,683,163,135              | 2,704,600,464                | 73.4%                      | 98.8%                                     |
| <b>Total NTD Trips</b> | 7,468,823,507              | 5,681,265,080                | 76.1%                      | 94.4%                                     |

#### **Bus Operator Staffing**

|               | Approved FTEs | Trainees | No. Bus Operators* |
|---------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|
| Bus Operators | 350           | 13       | 335                |

\* This number excludes the 13 Bus Operator Trainees.

#### **Miles Between Preventable Accidents**

• Below is a table illustrating the miles between accidents performance by mode and location during April 2025.

|                   | Total Miles | Preventable<br>Accidents | Miles Between<br>Preventable Accidents |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|
| North Base        | 370,431     | 7                        | 52,919                                 |
| South Base        | 254,577     | 4                        | 63,644                                 |
| CUB               | 233,391     | 2                        | 116,696                                |
| Fixed Route Total | 858,399     | 13                       | 66,031                                 |
|                   |             |                          |  |
| ADA               | 190,251     | 6                        | 31,709                                 |
| Micro Transit     | 14,188      | 0                        | not meaningful                         |

### Safety Updates

Two safety stand downs were conducted this month. The primary focus was to reduce preventable accidents and to enhance operator safety awareness while reinforcing safe driving practices.

The stand downs were conducted at the San Bruno Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Station and at the Palo Alto Train Station to address the rise in preventable accidents.

During these sessions, we engaged directly with Bus Operators in the field, discussing the recent increase in preventable accidents, focusing on driving defensively and to avoid aggressive driving. Bus Operators were encouraged to share their feedback during these interactive sessions.

A new training video highlighting curb strikes on high curbs at bus stops was created and viewed by Bus Operators on a continuous basis. The video illustrates the proper technique to approach bus stops with high curbs and to avoid striking them. Advisory signs were also installed at the bus stops where most curb strikes were occurring, reminding operators to use caution in those areas.

### **Regional/Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) Matters**

Senate Bill (SB) 63 is heading to the Senate Appropriations Committee, where all bills with fiscal impacts are considered. Assuming it is approved it will head next to the Senate floor before continuing on to the Assembly. Senator Wiener has agreed to push out the opt-in deadline for San Mateo County to August 11, which allows for this topic to be discussed and voted on at the August SamTrans Board meeting. MTC is in the process of finalizing a financial audit of those agencies that would be primary beneficiaries of SB 63 funds to provide an objective third party review of the financial status of these transit agencies. The Board will be updated once the full information is available.

### Employee of the Month (EOM) Recognitions, April 2025

Bus Operator EOM for North Base is **Theodore Kirk.** This is Theodore's second EOM Award during his 37 years of service with the District.

Bus Operator EOM for South Base is **Wilfredo Clavijo**. This is Wilfredo's third EOM Award during his nearly 24 years of service with the District.

Bus Maintenance EOM for North Base is **Mechanic A Omar Gonzalez.** This is Omar's third EOM Award during his 7.5 years of service with the District.

Bus Maintenance EOM for South Base **Utility Worker Narend Chand**. This is Narend's sixth EOM Award during his 8 years of service with the District.

### SamTrans Millbrae Headquarters Project



### EXECUTIVE MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT May 2025

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Project Introduction                         | 3 |
|--|---|
| Section 1: Cost and Budget                   | 3 |
| Section 2: Progress and Schedule             | 4 |
| Section 3: Accomplishments and Upcoming Work | 5 |
| Section 4: Risk Register / Critical Issues   | 6 |

### **Project Introduction**

On December 18, 2023, the Board approved the acquisition of a 180,000 square-foot headquarters (HQ), consisting of approximately 157,000 square feet of office space and 23,000 square feet of retail space, through a lease-to-purchase agreement. (The original developer has since sold its interest in the HQ building to a new owner/landlord.) The new HQ building is located at the Gateway at Millbrae Station, right next to the Millbrae BART and Caltrain Station, with SamTrans Routes ECR and 292 conveniently close-by. The first three floors of the building include a lobby, retail space, loading facilities, and parking, while the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 6<sup>th</sup> floors consist of office space. As part of this agreement, the District agreed to lease the entirety of the office space, after the landlord completes all necessary tenant improvements, for at least 8 months, with an option to purchase the entire building after 8 months, and before 30 months, for \$126M. The building is located on a 99-year ground lease from the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) which requires that the prevailing wages be paid for all construction work on site, including tenant improvements.

### Section 1: Cost and Budget

### **Tenant Improvement**

The total budget for the tenant improvement is \$48,320,294. This is comprised of the Lease's Tenant Improvement Allowance of \$36,850,820 (inclusive within the \$126M purchase price) and \$11,469,474 approved by the Board on December 4, 2024. The total tenant improvement budget includes contingency, which amount will be determined after the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) is executed in April 2025.

### **Non-Tenant Improvement**

The total budget for the non – tenant improvement is \$26,116,619 inclusive of \$4,113,696 / 15.75% Contingency. This contingency will be reported monthly when used.

|  | Budget (ir | Expended<br>+           |                |
|--|------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Category/Item  | Approved   | Expended +<br>Committed | Committed<br>% |
| Non-TI, Furniture, Fixtures, & Equipment (FF&E), and Auxiliary Equipment   | 9,380      | 392                     | 4.18%          |
| Non-TI, Information, Communications, & Technology (ICT),<br>Data Servers, and Building Management System         | 6,794      | 392                     | 5.77%          |
| Non-TI, Parking Garage Fencing, EV Charging, Ticket Booth & Fare Storage, and Owner Paid Permit Fees             | 1,735      | 0                       | 0.00%          |
| Non-TI, Moving Services, Move Management, Digitization,<br>Equipment & Furniture Disposal, and Document Disposal | 1,793      | 501                     | 27.94%         |
| Non-TI, Project Management, Construction Management,<br>Change Management, Procurement, and Legal Services       | 3,790      | 1701                    | 44.89%         |

|  | Budget (ir | Expended<br>+           |                |
|--|------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Category/Item                              | Approved   | Expended +<br>Committed | Committed<br>% |
| Non-TI, Architectural & Engineering Design | 2,625      | 2,516                   | 95.84%         |
| HQ Tenant Improvement (TI)                 | 11,469     | 0                       | 0.00%          |
| Owner Allowance for TI                     | 36,851     | 0                       | 0.00%          |
| Total                                      | 74,437     | 3,496                   | 7.39%          |

### Section 2: Progress and Schedule

| Summary Activities  | Baseline<br>Start | Est/ Act.<br>Start | Baseline<br>Finish | Est/Act.<br>Finish | Start<br>Var.<br>(Days) | Finish<br>Var.<br>(Days) |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|   | (A)               | <b>(B)</b>         | (C)                | (D)                | A-B                     | C-D                      |
| TI, 100%CDs + Value Engineering<br>Drawings                     |                   |                    | 01/23/25           | 01/23/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Subcontractor Bidding and Pricing Schedule Review           | 01/24/25          | 01/24/25           | 04/02/25           | 04/02/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Execute GMP   |                   |                    | 04/02/25           | 04/02/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Submit for Permit / Permit<br>Approval (2 rounds)           | 01/24/25          | 01/24/25           | 04/28/25           | 04/28/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Construction Mobilization and<br>Buildout: Level 1, 5, 4, 6 | 04/18/25          | 04/18/25           | 01/02/26           | 01/02/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Final Inspections   | 10/07/25          | 10/07/25           | 01/05/26           | 01/05/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Substantial Completion / Lease<br>Commencement              |                   |                    | 01/05/26           | 01/05/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| TI, Closeout  | 01/05/26          | 01/05/26           | 01/28/26           | 01/28/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI FF&E, Design Approval                                  |                   |                    | 01/21/25           | 01/21/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI FF&E, Contract Procurement                             | 01/21/25          | 01/21/25           | 05/06/25           | 05/06/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI FF&E, Contract for Board<br>Approval                   |                   |                    | 05/07/25           | 05/07/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI FF&E, Procurement                                      | 05/08/25          | 05/08/25           | 01/02/26           | 01/02/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI FF&E, Installation                                     | 01/05/26          | 01/05/26           | 04/24/26           | 04/24/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI GC, Design   | 01/24/25          | 01/24/25           | 03/31/25           | 03/31/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI GC, Contract Procurement                               | 04/01/25          | 04/01/25           | 10/01/25           | 10/01/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI GC, General Contract for<br>Board Approval             |                   |                    | 10/01/25           | 10/01/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI GC, Permit Approval                                    | 10/02/25          | 10/02/25           | 01/05/26           | 01/05/26           | 0                       | 0                        |

| Summary Activities  | Baseline<br>Start | Est/ Act.<br>Start | Baseline<br>Finish | Est/Act.<br>Finish | Start<br>Var.<br>(Days) | Finish<br>Var.<br>(Days) |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|   | (A)               | (B)                | (C)                | (D)                | A-B                     | C-D                      |
| Non - TI GC, GC Mobilization /<br>Buildout                        | 01/06/26          | 01/06/26           | 05/05/26           | 05/05/26           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI Move, Complete<br>Questionnaires and Name<br>Ambassadors | 03/03/25          | 03/03/25           | 04/07/25           | 04/07/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI Move, Finalize RFP and<br>Contract Procurement           | 04/08/25          | 04/08/25           | 10/31/25           | 10/31/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI Move, Move Services<br>Contract for Board Approval       |                   |                    | 11/05/25           | 11/05/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI Move, Move Services<br>Contract Award                    | 11/17/25          | 11/17/25           | 11/21/25           | 11/21/25           | 0                       | 0                        |
| Non - TI Move, Moving Process                                     | 12/01/25          | 12/01/25           | 05/30/26           | 05/30/26           | 0                       | 0                        |

### Section 3: Accomplishments and Upcoming Work

| KEY ACTIVITIES - Current Reporting Month (top 5)                                   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Tenant Improvement   | Move management                                      |  |
| Started active construction on the Tenant<br>Improvement project                   | Distributed Cal-Train Questionnaire                  |  |
| Processed construction submittals for product release                              | Continued Discussions with People and Culture and IT |  |
| Awarded Furniture, Fixture, Equipment and<br>Services contract with Board Approval | Continued Discussions with Procurement               |  |
| Continued procurement activities for the Non-Tenant Improvement Work               | Continued Discussions with Facilities                |  |
| Established a Reimbursement Agreement with Caltrain                                | Continued Move RFP Edits                             |  |

| KEY ACTIVITIES - Next Reporting Month (top 5)                                   |                               |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Tenant Improvement  | Move management               |  |
| In the Field: Framing walls, rough-in mechanical, electrical, and plumbing work | Mover Procurement Process     |  |
| Continue to process construction submittals for product release                 | Change Management Procurement |  |

| Verify furniture, audio/visual, and power dimensions in the field.      | Issuance of Seating Assignment Docs |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Continue procurement activities for the Non-<br>Tenant Improvement Work | Populate Origin Locations           |

### Section 4: Risk Register / Critical Issues

| Risk   | Mitigation   |
|--|--|
| Budget: Tariffs are imposed by the federal government. | SamTrans leadership has proactively secured<br>volatile market pricing items and long-lead<br>materials through early release. This<br>approach includes potential storage<br>solutions to expedite overseas procurement<br>and mitigate cost risks. While tariffs remain a<br>pricing threat, these measures help minimize<br>exposure. |
|  |  |

| Issue | Status |
|-------|--------|
|       |        |

## SamTrans' HQ June Update



2

## June Agenda

**Project Scope** 

Project Budget

Project Schedule & Milestones

Project Updates through May 2025

Upcoming Activities through June 2025

**Risk Register** 

**Anticipated Board Approvals** 

## **Project Scope**

SamTrans is transforming approximately **157,000 square feet** across three floors at the **Gateway at Millbrae Station** into a modern headquarters.

The buildout includes office space, Public Hearing Room, conference and training rooms, specialized areas for Redi-Wheels and Transit Police, and upgraded amenities such as break rooms, wellness spaces, and market ready suites. With integrated security, audio-visual and branding elements, the new facility will support a dynamic work environment while enhancing operational capabilities.

## **Project Budget**

Tenant Improvements Budget: \$48,320,294

- 1. \$36.8M allowance provided by Owner/Landlord as part of the \$126M building purchase price
- 2. \$11.5M by SamTrans approved by the Board in December 2024

Non-Tenant Improvement Budget: \$26.1M

Total Budget Approved: \$74.4M

## **Committed / Percent Complete**

| Category/Item   |        | : (in 1000 of \$)       |                           |
|---|--------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
|   |        | Expended +<br>Committed | Expended +<br>Committed % |
| Tenant Improvement (TI)   | 48,320 | 0                       | 0.00%                     |
| Non-TI, Furniture, Fixtures, & Equipment (FF&E), and Auxiliary Equipment                                      | 9,380  | 392                     | 4.18%                     |
| Non-TI, Information, Communications, & Technology (ICT), Data Servers, and Building Management System         | 6,794  | 392                     | 5.77%                     |
| Non-TI, Parking Garage Fencing, EV Charging, Ticket Booth & Fare Storage, and Owner Paid Permit Fees          | 1,735  | 0                       | 0.00%                     |
| Non-TI, Moving Services, Move Management, Digitization, Equipment & Furniture Disposal, and Document Disposal | 1,793  | 501                     | 27.94%                    |
| Non-TI, Project Management, Construction Management, Change Management, Procurement, and Legal Services       | 3,790  | 1,701                   | 44.89%                    |
| Non-TI, Architectural & Engineering Design  | 2,625  | 2,516                   | 95.84%                    |
| Total   | 74,437 | 5,427                   | 7.39%                     |

## **Project Schedule**



## **Project Updates through May 2025**

- Started active construction on the Tenant Improvement project
- Processed construction submittals for product release
- Awarded Furniture, Fixture, Equipment and Services contract with Board Approval
- Continued procurement activities for the Non-Tenant Improvement Work
- Established a Reimbursement Agreement with Caltrain

8

## **Upcoming Activities in June 2025**

- In the Field: Framing walls, rough-in mechanical, electrical, and plumbing work
- Continue to process construction submittals for product release
- Verify furniture, audio/visual, and power dimensions in the field

Continue procurement activities for the Non-Tenant Improvement Work

## **Risk Register: Key Items**

| Risk   | Mitigation  |
|--|---|
| Budget: Tariffs are imposed by the federal government. | SamTrans leadership has proactively secured volatile market pricing<br>items and long-lead materials through early release. This approach<br>includes potential storage solutions to expedite overseas<br>procurement and mitigate cost risks. While tariffs remain a pricing<br>threat, these measures help minimize exposure. |

## **Anticipated Board Approvals**

June 2025: Caltrain FF&E Reimbursement Agreement

Summer 2025: Caltrain Lease

October 2025: Non-Tenant Improvement General Construction (Day 2)

November 2025: Move Service Contract

TBD: Off-Site Agency Vehicle Parking

**TBD: Leasing of Market Ready Suites** 

TBD: Leasing of Retail Spaces

ltem #8.b. 6/4/2025

## Questions

Item #9.a.1. 6/4/2025

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2025

JEFF GEE, CHAIR MARIE CHUANG, VICE CHAIR DAVID J. CANEPA BROOKS ESSER MARINA FRASER RICO E. MEDINA JOSH POWELL PETER RATTO JACKIE SPEIER

April Chan General Manager/CEO

### AGENDA

### San Mateo County Transit District

Community Relations Committee Meeting Committee of the Whole (Accessibility, Senior Services, and Community Issues)

June 4, 2025 – 2:30 pm

Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

Committee Members: David J. Canepa (Chair), Marina Fraser, Jackie Speier

| 9.a.2. | Approval of Minutes of the Community Relations Committee<br>Meeting of May 7, 2025 | Motion        |
|--------|--|---------------|
| 9.a.3. | Accessible Services Update   | Informational |
| 9.a.4. | Citizens Advisory Committee Update   | Informational |
| 9.a.5. | Paratransit Advisory Council Update  | Informational |
| 9.a.6. | State of Service Report   Quarter 3 Fiscal Year 2025                               | Informational |
| 9.a.7. | Monthly State of Service Report   April 2025 and Update for<br>March 2025          | Informational |

9.a.8. Adjourn

9.a.1.

Call to Order

samTrans

Note:

• This Committee meeting may be attended by Board Members who do not serve on this Committee. In the event that a quorum of the entire Board is present, this Committee shall act as a Committee of the Whole. In either case, any item acted upon by the Committee or the Committee of the Whole will require consideration and action by the full Board of Directors as a prerequisite to its legal enactment.

• All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

### San Mateo County Transit District 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, California

### Community Relations Committee Meeting / Committee of the Whole DRAFT Minutes of May 7, 2025

### Members Present (In Person): J. Speier, D. Canepa (Chair)

Members Absent: M. Fraser

**Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole:** M. Chuang, B. Esser, J. Gee, J. Powell, P. Ratto

**Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole (Via Teleconference):** R. Medina

#### Other Board Members Absent: None

**Staff Present:** J. Brook, J. Cassman, A. Chan, T. Dubost, J. Jest, D. Olmeda, M. Tseng, S. van Hoften

### 8.a.1. Call to Order

Committee Chair David Canepa called the meeting to order at 2:53 pm.

- 8.a.2. Approval of Minutes of the Community Relations Committee Meeting of April 2, 2025 Motion/Second: Esser/Ratto Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser
- 8.a.3. Approve Appointment of Allison Chang, Representing the Community, and Reappointments of Mary Adler, Representing Bus Riders, David Rabinovich, Representing the Community, and Dylan Finch, Representing Multimodal Riders, to the Citizens Advisory Committee

Motion/Second: Speier/Chuang Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

Allison Chang thanked the Board for the opportunity to serve on the Citizens Advisory Committee.

### 8.a.4. Accessible Services Update and Proclamation Declaring May as Older Americans Month

Tina Dubost, Manager, Accessible Services, stated that the latest edition of the Senior Mobility Guide was now available. The Board approved the proclamation which was received by Irene Liana, Vice Chair, Commission on Aging.

The Board members made a motion to approve the proclamation.

Motion/Second: Chuang/Esser Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

Ms. Liana expressed her thanks to Paratransit staff.

### 8.a.5. Citizens Advisory Committee Update - There was none.

#### 8.a.6. Paratransit Advisory Council Update

Ben McMullan, PAC Chair, stated that nominations for Chair and Vice Chair would be accepted at their next meeting.

#### 8.a.7. Monthly State of the Service Report | March 2025

David Olmeda, Chief Operating Officer, Bus, stated the report is available in the packet and noted Ride Plus experienced a 41.5 percent increase in ridership due to new communication campaign and new app for public to schedule rides.

- 8.a.8. Receive Update Regarding 2024 SamTrans Triennial Customer Survey and Key Findings Julian Jest, Manager, Market Research and Development, provided the presentation that included the following information:
  - Vital service to low-income riders; high rider satisfaction following Reimagine SamTrans implementation
  - 94 percent of riders fall under average annual household income of under \$50,000
  - Support low-income riders, communicate route changes, continue broad route coverage
  - Upcoming Title VI report, evaluation of Reimagine SamTrans implementation

The Board Members had a robust discussion, and staff provided further clarification in response to the following comments and questions regarding the following:

- Passes for periods less than a month, such as an eight-ride ticket; Clipper 2 to have fare aggregation
- Homeless shelter residents depend on SamTrans to commute
- Clipper improved connectivity by easing transfers

- New riders primarily from 19-34 age range
- Request having longitudinal view of data for future surveys; demographic comparisons with other counties
- Impacts of Bay Pass

#### Public Comment

Adina Levin, Chair, Network Management Customer Advisory Group, commented on her own behalf on fare affordability, Clipper START use, fare capping, multi-agency fare cap, and open payment options.

Aleta Dupree, Team Folds, commented on daily and weekly fare accumulation, and Clipper 2.

### **8.a.9.** Adjourn – The meeting adjourned at 3:27 pm.

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at <u>https://www.samtrans.com/about-samtrans/video-board-directors-cac-and-measure-w-coc</u>. Questions may be referred to the District Secretary's office by phone at 650-551-6108 or by email to board@samtrans.com.

### San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| То:      | Community Relations Committee                 |  |
|----------|---|--|
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO               |  |
| From:    | David Olmeda, Chief Operating Officer,<br>Bus | Tina Dubost, Manager, Accessible<br>Transit Services |
| Subject: | Accessible Services Update                    |  |

### <u>Action</u>

This item is for information only. No action is required.

### **Significance**

Several groups advise SamTrans on accessible service issues. The Paratransit Advisory Council (PAC) provides a forum for consumer input on paratransit issues. The Policy Advocacy and Legislative Committee (PAL-Committee) is the advocacy arm of the PAC.

The PAC and the PAL meet monthly (except for August).

The minutes from the PAC and PAL meeting in April 2025 are attached.

### **Budget Impact**

There is no impact to the budget.

### **Background**

No additional information.

Prepared By: Lynn Spicer

Accessibility Coordinator

650-508-6475
# SAN MATEO COUNTY PARATRANSIT COORDINATING COUNCIL (PCC)

Minutes of April 8, 2025, Meeting

#### ATTENDANCE:

#### Members in person:

Benjamin McMullan, Chair, CID; Michele Epstein, OSS; Sandra Lang, Community Member; Marie Violet, Dignity Health; Larisa Vaserman, Consumer; Susan Capeloto, Dept. of Rehabilitation; Carmen Santiago, Catholic Charities (Zoom) (Member in-person attendance = 8/10, Quorum = Yes)

#### Guests:

Marvin Ranaldson, Nelson\Nygaard (Zoom); Jane Stahl, PAC Staff; Lynn Spicer, SamTrans (Representing Tina Dubost); Kenneth Richardson, TransDev/Redi-Wheels.

Absent: Tina Dubost, SamTrans; Dao Do, Rosenor House; Kathy Uhl, CoA

### WELCOME/INTRODUCTIONS:

The meeting was held in person and via Zoom conference call. Introductions were made.

### **APPROVAL OF MARCH MINUTES:**

Sandra Lang moved to approve the March meeting minutes; Marie Violet seconded the motion. The minutes were approved.

### **PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

Lynn Spicer reported that Margaret Bagley will give a presentation in May, focused on transportation resources other than paratransit.

Larisa reported that on a recent trip (April 2) to Stanford Hospital, when the GPS couldn't find the address. There had not been a problem in the past. Kenneth Richardson will look into it.

Lynn reported activity on SB239 regarding amending the Brown Act; she is working with Government Affairs on this and will report back to the PAC.

### **PRESENTATION: Bylaws Revision**

Jane Stahl reviewed the updates made to the PAC bylaws by the ad hoc committee. These will be voted on at the May meeting.

### **COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

**Policy/Advocacy/Legislative (PAL) – Ben McMullan, Chair** See page 9.

# **Education - Tina Dubost**

No report. The next meeting is on May 6<sup>th</sup> at 3pm.

#### **Executive – Ben McMullan**

The committee did not meet. Jane sent out a meeting request for retreat planning.

Nominations for PAC Chair and Vice Chair will be taken at the May meeting.

The next Executive Committee meeting will be on May 6, at 2pm.

#### **OPERATIONAL REPORTS**

None.

#### PERFORMANCE REPORT

Total ridership in February decreased by 1.7%; average weekday ridership increased compared to 2024. Ridership has stabilized. Subscription rides remained at 23%; agency trips were 7%; trips on taxis were 6.5%. The percentage of same-day cancels remains similar to past months, no-shows decreased compared to January as well as compared to February 2024. Individual rides decreased compared to 2024.

On-time performance did not meet the 90% standard at 86.5%, probably due to the weather. Productivity increased to 1.35%.

#### COMMENT STATISTICS REPORT

Data is similar to previous years. More reports come through the Customer Service Center than comment cards. The cards are typically compliments, and the number of compliments increased in February.

### SAFETY REPORT

Kenneth reported that there were zero preventable safety events in March – this was warmly received by the Council. There were 3 non-preventable safety events.

### UPDATES AND ITEMS OF INTEREST

### Agencies – Dao Do & Marie Violet

Michele shared that around 80 people attended the dementia-friendly movie. Everyone enjoyed themselves and another movie will be shown next year.

The Ombudsman volunteers have asked for a presentation by Margaret Baggley to help encourage people to get out and about. There was a discussion around the misconception that Redi-Wheels is only for medical appointments. Sandra said that the PAC needs to continually work to dispel myths about the service.

# Commission on Aging – Kathy Uhl

No report.

### Commission on Disabilities (CoD) – Ben McMullan

No report. The Transportation Committee did not meet.

### Center for Independence (CID) – Ben McMullan

CID will hold a listening session in April, in preparation for the MTC Summit in June. They will hold a movie day in July, a discussion around disability issues, and a "state of the county" event as it relates to the disability community.

### **Coastside Transportation Committee (CTC) – Tina Dubost**

No meeting this quarter.

### **Department of Rehabilitation – Susan Capeloto**

They have now joined with the San Jose district. DOR will be changing their name so that more people utilize their job services. Referrals are increasing statewide.

# Citizen's Advisory Committee for the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) – Sandra Lang

The committee met on April 1. The meeting included:

- An informational presentation on the US101/Route 92 direct connector process and its design.
- <u>A report on local streets and road conditions in San Mateo County released by MTC</u>. The report included El Camino Real.

The next meeting is on May 6, 2025, at 4:30pm.

#### **Other Business**

None.

The meeting ended at 2:57pm.

The next PAC meeting is on Tuesday, May 13<sup>th</sup>, 2025, at 1:30pm, in person and remotely via Zoom.

### Minutes of Policy/Advocacy/Legislative (PAL) Meeting – Ben McMullan & Sandra Lang

The minutes of the March PAL meeting were included in the meeting packet. The minutes were approved by roll call.

#### **Policy Issues**

The VTA strike has ended; paratransit service was not affected by the strike and continued throughout.

#### Advocacy

None.

### Legislative

Sandra Lang encouraged Council members to report back to the PAC on any legislative or other issues of interest, e.g. disability rights. Ben reminded that the focus should be on transportation as much as possible. Sandra encouraged members to read those portions of the ADA applicable to transportation.

Lynn commented on the importance of the PAC as it speaks for those who use paratransit in the community on everything from installing yellow bars on the buses to allowing riders an opportunity to speak at its meetings. She also reported that making drivers disability aware is an ongoing effort. Larisa agreed that the PAC is the group that speaks for the paratransit community.

Ben reported that CID was coordinating a focus group on April 17<sup>th</sup> on the needs of the disability community as it relates to transportation. This is in preparation for the <u>MTC</u> <u>Accessible Futures Summit on June 16 and 17</u>.

The next PAL meeting will be on May 13, 2025.

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| То:      | Community Relations Committee                           |
|----------|---|
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO                         |
| From:    | Josh Mello, Executive Officer, Planning and Development |
| Subject: | State of Service Report   Quarter 3 Fiscal Year 2025    |

# <u>Action</u>

This report is for information only. No action is required.

# **Significance**

The quarterly report provides an overview of key performance indicators, including ridership, on-time performance, fares, workforce composition, safety, fleet, and customer experience for the period of January through March 2025 (Quarter 3, Fiscal Year 2025).

This quarter reflects service plans implemented on November 17, 2024 (Runbook 148) and on February 23, 2025 (Runbook 149). Runbook 148 adjusted some schedules to improve on-time performance. Runbook 149 included an additional trip on routes 110, 121, and 281. Six trips were eliminated on Route 296 Owl due to redundancy with several Route 296 trips on weekend mornings and evenings. There were also adjustments made on various routes to improve on-time performance.

# Fiscal Year 2025 (FY25) Quarter 3 Summary (compared to FY24 Q3):

- 6.7 percent increase in total ridership
- 6.6 percent increase in ridership on equity priority routes
- 0.7 percent increase in on-time performance
- 12.5 percent increase in cost per passenger and 14.1 percent increase in subsidy per passenger on bus service
- 1.1 percent decrease in farebox recovery
- 53.4 percent increase in Clipper START usage
- 52.6 percent increase in preventable accidents per 100,000 miles
- 25.7 percent increase in service calls per 25,000 miles
- 13.9 percent decrease in customer comments per 10,000 boardings

### Ridership

Average weekday ridership (AWR) on SamTrans was 34,691 in FY25 Quarter 3, which is an increase of 7.7 percent compared to FY24 Quarter 3. Total bus ridership was 2,650,303, which is an increase of 6.7 percent compared to FY24 Quarter 3. The SamTrans bus network had recovered 99.2 percent of total ridership compared to pre-pandemic ridership (FY19 Q3). Ridership details are included in **Table 1**.

# TABLE 1. AVERAGE WEEKDAY RIDERSHIP AND TOTAL RIDERSHIP

| Ridership Type            | FY25 Q3   | FY24 Q3   | %Δ   |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| Average Weekday Ridership | 34,691    | 32,197    | 7.7% |
| Total Ridership           | 2,650,303 | 2,483,121 | 6.7% |

Notes: Does not include Route 713 or Dumbarton Express.

By service category, 55.3 percent of total ridership was on Frequent routes<sup>1</sup>, 29.0 percent was on Local routes<sup>2</sup>, 5.1 percent was on Community routes<sup>3</sup>, 8.3 percent was on School-Oriented routes<sup>4</sup>, 1.2 percent was on Express and Limited routes<sup>5</sup>, 0.7 percent was on Owl<sup>6</sup> routes, and 0.4 percent was on Special<sup>7</sup> routes (see **Figure 1**).

# FIGURE 1. TOTAL RIDERSHIP SHARE BY SERVICE CATEGORY



Note: Does not include Route 713 or Dumbarton Express.

In Quarter 3, all service categories except Owl routes saw ridership increases compared to the same quarter last year. Shifting trips from 296 Owl to 296 could have contributed to this as this transferred service into the Local category. Express and Limited Stop routes likely saw a large increase due to the start of two new routes (CSM and SKY) and the reintroduction of Route PCX. Ridership details by service category are included in **Table 2**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Routes with 15 minutes or better frequency, seven days a week. Routes include 120, 130, 296, and ECR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Routes with 30 minutes or better frequency, seven days a week. Routes include 110, 121, 122, 141, 250, 276, 278, 281, and 292.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Routes with 60 minutes or better frequency, five days a week. Routes include 112, 117, 142, 251, 260, 270, 280, 294, and 295.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Routes operating with very few trips a day and are scheduled to align with school schedules and bell times. All two-digit routes are School-Oriented routes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Routes providing limited-stop service to or from major destinations and are typically longer in length. Routes include CSM, EPX, FCX, PCX, and SKY.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Routes operating overnight after regular transit service has ended. Routes include 296 Owl, 397, and ECR Owl.
 <sup>7</sup> Routes serving a unique purpose that do not fit into other categories but should still be monitored for their performance to ensure that they are effectively serving the purpose of the service. Routes include 138 and Ride Plus.

| Service Category         | FY25 Q3   | FY24 Q3   | %Δ     |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Frequent                 | 1,465,799 | 1,404,406 | 4.4%   |
| Local                    | 767,483   | 713,084   | 7.6%   |
| School-Oriented          | 220,458   | 205,761   | 7.1%   |
| Community                | 134,948   | 114,980   | 17.4%  |
| Express and Limited Stop | 32,282    | 12,443    | 159.4% |
| Owl                      | 19,550    | 23,118    | -15.4% |
| Special                  | 9,783     | 9,329     | 4.9%   |

### TABLE 2. TOTAL RIDERSHIP BY SERVICE CATEGORY

Note: Does not include Route 713 or Dumbarton Express.

Standard productivity measures include boardings by vehicle revenue hour<sup>8</sup>, boardings by vehicle revenue mile<sup>9</sup>, and boardings by trip<sup>10</sup>.

The fixed route bus network generated 14.8 boardings per vehicle revenue hour in Quarter 3, a 7.2 percent decrease over the previous year. School-oriented routes had the highest boardings per vehicle revenue hour with 55.7, and Express and Limited Stop routes had the lowest with 2.9.

SamTrans had 1.5 boardings per revenue mile in Quarter 3, a 6.1 percent decrease over the previous year. Again, School-Oriented routes had the highest boardings per vehicle revenue mile with 4.7, and Express and Limited routes had the lowest with 0.2.

Finally, SamTrans generated 18.4 boardings per revenue trip in Quarter 3, a 10.7 percent decrease over the previous year. Frequent routes had the highest with 31.9 boardings per trip. Express and Limited routes had the lowest with 2.8. Ridership productivity measures are included in **Table 3**.

Express and Limited service saw the largest decrease in productivity, likely due to the discontinuation of Route 398 in February 2024 and addition of two routes CSM and SKY and the return of Route PCX (formerly Route 118) in August 2024. Riders that used Route 398 could shift to alternate routes such as routes ECR, EPX, FCX, and 292 or may have shifted to other modes serving similar destinations like Caltrain and Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART). While the three new routes provide express/limited stop service to major destinations, the additional resources outweigh the ridership, resulting in the productivity decrease. It is important to note that new service will take time to generate ridership.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Boardings by vehicle revenue hour normalizes ridership to the amount of service being provided by calculating the number of customers that board the service per the revenue hours operated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Boardings per vehicle revenue mile normalizes ridership to the amount of service being provided by calculating the number of customers that board the service per the revenue miles operated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Boardings per trip normalizes ridership to the amount of service being provided by calculating the number of customers that board the service per trip operated by the service.

# TABLE 3. SYSTEMWIDE RIDERSHIP PRODUCTIVITY MEASURES AND SERVICE CATEGORY BREAKDOWN

| Productivity Measure               | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ     |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Boardings per Vehicle Revenue Hour | 14.8    | 15.9    | -7.2%  |
| Frequent                           | 20.7    | 20.4    | 1.6%   |
| Local                              | 11.0    | 11.9    | -7.9%  |
| School-Oriented                    | 55.7    | 50.3    | 10.8%  |
| Community                          | 7.0     | 7.1     | -2.1%  |
| Express and Limited                | 2.9     | 4.1     | -29.9% |
| Owl                                | 5.5     | 5.8     | -5.9%  |
| Boardings per Vehicle Revenue Mile | 1.5     | 1.6     | -6.1%  |
| Frequent                           | 2.2     | 2.2     | -1.1%  |
| Local                              | 1.2     | 1.3     | -4.2%  |
| School-Oriented                    | 4.7     | 4.2     | 11.8%  |
| Community                          | 0.7     | 0.6     | 8.5%   |
| Express and Limited                | 0.2     | 0.2     | -4.4%  |
| Owl                                | 0.5     | 0.5     | -10.8% |
| Boardings per Trip                 | 18.4    | 20.6    | -10.7% |
| Frequent                           | 31.9    | 33.0    | -3.3%  |
| Local                              | 14.1    | 15.3    | -7.8%  |
| School-Oriented                    | 30.9    | 27.7    | 11.7%  |
| Community                          | 6.3     | 6.1     | 3.8%   |
| Express and Limited                | 2.8     | 7.0     | -60.5% |
| Owl                                | 8.2     | 9.1     | -9.3%  |

Note: Does not include Route 713 or Dumbarton Express.

Compared to the previous year, Ride Plus service in Quarter 3 this year increased boardings per revenue hour by 5.7 percent to 1.7 boardings per revenue hour. Boardings per revenue mile decreased by 1.5 percent to 0.3 boarding per revenue mile (**Table 4**).<sup>11</sup> The percentage of pooled trips increased 253.3 percent and the load factor decreased by 2.2 percent. This is likely reflective of an increase in single rider trips that were pooled together.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For Ride Plus on-demand service, similar productivity measures are provided; however, the definitions used are different from fixed-route bus service. For boardings per revenue hour, revenue hour is defined as any time passengers have an opportunity to use the service (total hours minus time to/from the depot). For boardings per revenue mile, revenue miles are defined as distance traveled in the service area (total miles minus miles to/from the depot). Percent pooled trips and load factor show how many passengers are using the service per trip. Percent pooled trips is the share of total trips where multiple trips were taken simultaneously in the same vehicle. Load factor represents the average number of passengers in a vehicle. It is calculated by dividing the total number of passengers by the number of trips in service.

### TABLE 4. RIDE PLUS PRODUCTIVITY MEASURES

| Productivity Measure               | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ     |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Boardings per Vehicle Revenue Hour | 1.7     | 1.6     | 5.7%   |
| East Palo Alto                     | 1.7     | 1.6     | 4.9%   |
| Half Moon Bay                      | 1.7     | 1.6     | 7.8%   |
| Boardings per Vehicle Revenue Mile | 0.30    | 0.31    | -1.5%  |
| East Palo Alto                     | 0.36    | 0.38    | -5.9%  |
| Half Moon Bay                      | 0.22    | 0.21    | 5.8%   |
| Percent Pooled Trips               | 37.5%   | 10.6%   | 253.3% |
| EPA                                | 35.9%   | 6.1%    | 486.3% |
| НМВ                                | 39.1%   | 15.1%   | 158.9% |
| Load Factor                        | 1.1     | 1.2     | -2.2%  |
| EPA                                | 1.2     | 1.2     | 0.0%   |
| НМВ                                | 1.0     | 1.1     | -4.6%  |

Total ridership on Equity Priority Routes<sup>12</sup> was 1,958,196 in FY25 Quarter 3, accounting for 73.9 percent of total ridership (see **Table 5**). This remained stable year over year. Equity Priority Routes are defined as routes where more than one-third of a route's alignment is operated in an Equity Priority Area. Equity Priority Areas are neighborhoods where residents are more likely to lack access to a car, work low-wage jobs, and/or identify as people of color.

### TABLE 5. RIDERSHIP IN EQUITY PRIORITY AREAS

| Service Category                    | FY25 Q3   | FY24 Q3   | %Δ    |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Boardings on Equity Priority Routes | 1,958,196 | 1,836,156 | 6.6%  |
| % of Total Boardings                | 73.9%     | 73.9%     | -0.1% |

### **On-Time-Performance**

Average systemwide on-time performance (OTP) for FY25 Quarter 3 was below SamTrans' OTP goal of 85.0 percent at 82.6 percent (**Figure 2**); however, this represents a 0.7 percent increase from the same quarter in the previous year. OTP for the average weekday and average Saturday were below goal at 82.3 percent and 81.0 percent, respectively. The average Sunday and average holiday OTP were above goal at 86.3 percent and 89.3 percent, respectively. The average scheduled speed was 12.8 miles per hour, which is a 0.3 percent decrease compared to the previous year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Equity Priority Routes include 24, 25, 29, 30, 35, 37, 41, 46, 49, 62, 68, 79, 81, 120, 121, 122, 130, 138, 141, 142, 260, 270, 276, 280, 281, 294, 296, 296 Owl, ECR, ECR Owl, and SKY.



#### FIGURE 2. ON-TIME PERFORMANCE BY QUARTER

Broken down by fixed-route service category, Local and Community routes, plus Ride Plus<sup>13</sup>, are above the 85 percent OTP goal. Community routes had the highest average OTP at 88.1 percent. Owl routes had the lowest average OTP of 73.0 percent. Details are included in **Table 6** and **Figure 3**.

| Service Category    | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ    |
|---------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| Frequent            | 81.2%   | 82.5%   | -1.6% |
| Local               | 85.3%   | 82.3%   | 3.6%  |
| Community           | 88.1%   | 82.2%   | 7.1%  |
| Owl                 | 73.0%   | 80.3%   | -9.1% |
| Ride Plus           | 95.1%   | 91.1%   | 4.4%  |
| Express and Limited | 87.6%   | 80.6%   | 8.7%  |
| School-Oriented     | 79.6%   | 80.0%   | -0.5% |

#### TABLE 6. ON-TIME PERFORMANCE BY SERVICE CATEGORY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Ride Plus is on time if a vehicle arrives up to five minutes from estimated pick-up time.



#### FIGURE 3. ON-TIME PERFORMANCE BY SERVICE CATEGORY

Note: Express and Limited and School-Oriented routes have a 90 percent OTP goal. The goal for all other categories is 85 percent.

#### Fares

In FY25 Quarter 3, total fixed route fare revenue was \$3,109,279, a 1.1 percent decrease from the previous year. Of the fares collected, 62.2 percent were Adult fares, 20.5 percent were Eligible Discount fares, and 17.4 percent were Youth fares. As for how passengers paid, 10.0 percent used one-way tickets (e.g., cash, free rides), 47.9 percent used Clipper, and 42.2 percent used a pass (e.g., day, month, Youth Unlimited). There is a decline in one-way fares and an increase in Clipper compared to last year due to SamTrans' active promotion of Clipper and Clipper-based programs, like Clipper START. Details are included in **Table 7** and **Table 8**.

#### TABLE 7. TOTAL FARES COLLECTED BY TYPE

| Fare Type         | FY25 Q3   | FY24 Q3   | %Δ   |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| Adult             | 1,642,913 | 1,513,443 | 8.6% |
| Eligible Discount | 540,670   | 527,730   | 2.5% |
| Youth             | 459,328   | 434,782   | 5.6% |

#### TABLE 8. FARES BY PAYMENT METHOD

| Payment Method | FY25 Q3   | FY24 Q3   | %Δ    |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| One-Way Ticket | 264,718   | 280,703   | -5.7% |
| Clipper        | 1,270,092 | 1,158,134 | 9.7%  |
| Clipper START  | 41,671    | 27,171    | 53.4% |
| Pass           | 1,118,949 | 1,037,118 | 7.9%  |

Notes: Free fares on Ride Plus were not integrated into the reporting system and therefore not included in this data.

Total operational cost in FY25 Quarter 3 was \$53,638,620, an 18.6 percent increase from the previous year due to the increased number of full-time operators needed to fully implement *Reimagine SamTrans*, higher wages following from the new Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), and increased fringe benefits rates. The cost per passenger was \$19.07, and the subsidy per passenger was \$17.89. Farebox recovery was 5.8 percent of operating costs, a 16.6 percent decrease compared to the previous year. Details are included in **Table 9**.

| Metric                | FY25         | FY24         | %Δ     |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Farebox Revenue       | \$3,109,279  | \$3,142,660  | -1.1%  |
| Operational Costs     | \$53,638,620 | \$45,224,203 | 18.6%  |
| Cost per Passenger    | \$19.07      | \$16.95      | 12.5%  |
| Subsidy per Passenger | \$17.89      | \$15.68      | 14.1%  |
| Farebox Recovery Rate | 5.8%         | 6.9%         | -16.6% |

# TABLE 9. OPERATING COSTS AND FAREBOX RECOVERY METRICS

Notes: Cost figures use both accrual and cash-based accounting methods depending on expenditure.

# **Operator Count**

During FY25 Quarter 3, the District and Contracted Services<sup>14</sup> employed a combined total of 478 full-time fixed route operators, a 6.9 percent increase compared to the previous year. The number of District operators increased by 3.1 percent. Of the total full-time fixed route operators, 69.9 percent were District operators, 23.4 percent were CUBS operators, and 6.7 percent were Coastside operators. The District's goal, as part of delivery of *Reimagine SamTrans*, is to increase District operator head count to 348. In Quarter 3, the District was at 96.0 percent of its goal. For details, see **Table 10**.

### TABLE 10. AVERAGE TOTAL OPERATORS

| Operators | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ    |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------|
| Total     | 478     | 447     | 6.9%  |
| District  | 334     | 324     | 3.1%  |
| CUBS      | 112     | 98      | 14.3% |
| Coastside | 32      | 25      | 28.0% |

Note: Quarterly employment statistics were provided by the District's People and Culture team and MV Transportation for CUBS and Coastside services from the last week of the quarter.

Of the District and Contracted Services operators, 13.8 percent identified as women and 86.2 percent identified as men. That is a 1.5 percent decrease in the number of women working as full-time operators year over year, and an 8.4 percent increase in the number of men. For race and ethnicity, 87.7 percent of operators identify as persons of color and/or Hispanic/Latino. Broken down, 40.2 percent identify as Asian, 23.8 percent identify as Hispanic/Latino, 18.2 percent identify as Black/African American, 1.7 percent identify as Two or More Races, 3.1 percent identify as Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 0.6 percent identify as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Contracted Urban Bus Service (CUBS) and Coastside

American Indian/Alaska Native. There were 7.9 percent of operators that identified as White, and 4.4 percent preferred not to specify. See **Figure 4** for details on operator race and ethnicity.

The District monitors this data to see how closely the SamTrans operators' demographics reflect the demographics of San Mateo County. Compared to San Mateo County Census demographics, operators identifying as Asian, Black/African American, or Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander are overrepresented, while those identifying as White or Two or More Races are underrepresented. Operators identify as Native American/Alaskan Native or Hispanic/Latino are at similar proportions of San Mateo County demographics.



#### FIGURE 4. OPERATORS BY RACE AND ETHNICITY

Note: Demographic statistics may add up to more than 100 percent because people may identify as one race and one ethnicity (e.g., Black/ African American and Hispanic).

### **Preventable Accidents**

In FY25 Quarter 3, there were 61 preventable accidents, a 64.9 percent increase compared to the previous year. The goal is to have one or fewer preventable accidents per 100,000 miles; this quarter, SamTrans did not meet its goal with 2.4 accidents per 100,000 miles. Preventable accidents per 100,000 miles increased 52.6 percent when compared to the previous year. Details are included in **Table 11**.

#### TABLE 11. PREVENTABLE ACCIDENTS

|  | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ     |
|--|---------|---------|--------|
| Preventable Accidents                        | 61      | 37      | 64.9%  |
| District                                     | 46      | 22      | 109.1% |
| Contracted Services                          | 15      | 15      | 0.0%   |
| Accident Frequency Ratio (per 100,000 miles) | 2.4     | 1.6     | 52.6%  |
| District                                     | 2.5     | 1.4     | 84.0%  |
| Contracted Services                          | 2.2     | 2.1     | 4.7%   |

*Note: Of total revenue miles, the District operates approximately 70 percent and MV Transportation provides 30 percent.* 

#### Service Calls

SamTrans had 110 service calls in FY25 Quarter 3, a 35.8 percent increase from the previous year. The goal is to have one or fewer service calls per every 25,000 miles. SamTrans did not meet its goal with 1.1 service calls per 25,000 miles. For details, see **Table 12**.

#### TABLE 12. SERVICE CALLS

|   | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ    |
|---|---------|---------|-------|
| Service Calls                                   | 110     | 81      | 35.8% |
| District  | 68      | 48      | 41.7% |
| Contracted Services                             | 42      | 33      | 27.3% |
| Service Call Frequency Ratio (per 25,000 miles) | 1.1     | 0.9     | 24.6% |
| District  | 0.9     | 0.7     | 24.7% |
| Contracted Services                             | 1.5     | 1.1     | 33.3% |

### Fleet in Operation

In FY25 Quarter 3, 324 buses were in operation. Approximately 83.0 percent were diesel, 7.7 percent were diesel-hybrid, 6.2 percent were battery electric, and 3.1 percent were hydrogen. For mileage operated by District buses, 86.6 percent were operated by diesel, 7.5 percent were operated by diesel-hybrid, 4.8 percent were operated by battery electric, and 1.0 percent were operated by hydrogen. For details, see **Table 13**.

### TABLE 13. FLEET IN OPERATION

|                    | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ    |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| Total              | 324     | 314     | 3.2%  |
| Diesel             | 269     | 272     | -1.1% |
| Diesel-Hybrid      | 25      | 25      | 0.0%  |
| Battery Electric   | 20      | 17      | 17.6% |
| Hydrogen Fuel Cell | 10      | 0       |       |

#### **Trips That Did Not Operate**

In FY25 Quarter 3 there were 186 trips that did not operate (DNO). The goal is to have 20 or fewer DNOs per every 100,000 trips. This quarter, SamTrans did not meet its goal with 129.6 DNOs per 100,000 trips. During this time, the extraboard was lower than desired, and as a result there were a higher number of DNOs. Staff are readjusting the operator recruitment campaign to ensure SamTrans has the necessary workforce to deliver the service. For details, see **Table 14**.

|  | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ     |
|--|---------|---------|--------|
| DNOs                                     | 186     | 70      | 165.7% |
| District                                 | 181     | 67      | 170.1% |
| Contracted Services                      | 5       | 3       | 66.7%  |
| DNOs Frequency Ratio (per 100,000 trips) | 129.6   | 58.1    | 123.0% |
| District                                 | 155.1   | 71.8    | 116.1% |
| Contracted Services                      | 18.6    | 11.1    | 68.5%  |

#### TABLE 14. TRIPS THAT DID NOT OPERATE

#### **Customer Experience**

In FY25 Quarter 3, SamTrans Customer Service received 462 public comments, which breaks down to 1.7 comments per 10,000 boardings. This is a 13.9 percent decrease from the same period in the previous year. Of the public comments, 61.5 percent were complaints, 6.3 percent were about accessibility, 7.1 percent were compliments, and 25.1 percent were service requests. Details can be seen in **Table 15** and **Figure 5**.

#### TABLE 15. CUSTOMER FEEDBACK DETAILS

|                               | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ     |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Total                         | 462     | 503     | -8.2%  |
| Complaints                    | 284     | 274     | 3.6%   |
| Accessibility                 | 29      | 30      | -3.3%  |
| Compliments                   | 33      | 48      | -31.3% |
| Service Requests              | 116     | 151     | -23.2% |
| Comments per 10,000 boardings | 1.7     | 2.0     | -13.9% |

#### FIGURE 5. CUSTOMER FEEDBACK BY TYPE



Page 11 of 12

Overall, the most common themes of public comments were operator feedback (29.0 percent), pass-up (12.6 percent), and bus stop/shelter (8.7 percent). See **Table 16** for a comparison to the previous year.

|           | FY25 Q3   |            |           | FY24 Q3   |            |  |
|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--|
| Category  | Comment   | % of Total | Category  | Comment   | % of Total |  |
|           | Туре      | Comments   |           | Туре      | Comments   |  |
| Operator  | Complaint | 29.0%      | Operator  | Complaint | 22.5%      |  |
| Pass-Up   | Complaint | 12.6%      | Pass-Up   | Complaint | 13.5%      |  |
| Bus Stop/ | Service   | 0 70/      | Bus Stop/ | Service   | 11.5%      |  |
| Shelter   | Request   | 8.7%       | Shelter   | Request   |            |  |
| Schedule  | Service   | Q 40/      | Late      | Complaint | 10.1%      |  |
| Request   | Request   | 8.4%       |           |           |            |  |
| No Show   | Complaint | 7 40/      | Schedule  | Service   | 8.5%       |  |
| No Show   | Complaint | 7.4%       | Request   | Request   |            |  |

# TABLE 16. MOST COMMON PUBLIC COMMENT THEMES

Most people continue to use phone and email to leave comments. 42.0 percent of comments were received by phone, 56.9 percent by email, 0.4 percent by comment card, 0.4 percent by letter, and 0.2 percent by walk-in. Details are included in **Table 17**.

#### TABLE 17. REPORTING METHOD

| Method       | FY25 Q3 | FY24 Q3 | %Δ     |
|--------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Phone        | 194     | 238     | -18.5% |
| Email        | 263     | 260     | 1.2%   |
| Comment Card | 2       | 3       | -33.3% |
| Letter       | 2       | 1       | 100.0% |
| Walk-In      | 1       | 1       | 0.0%   |

Data shown in this report is current as of May 12, 2025.

Prepared By: Emily Chen

Senior Planner, Operations Planning 650-551-6127

Page 12 of 12

# FY25 Quarter 3 State of Service (January – March 2025)



<u>samTrans</u>

# Operations Planning | June 4, 2025

# **QUARTERLY DASHBOARD**



#### RIDERSHIP, SERVICE & ON-TIME PERFORMANCE



90







# RIDER EXPERIENCE

129.6 1.7 Trips Not Operated Per Comments Per 10,000 100,000 Trips (+123%<sup>1,3</sup>) Boardings (-13.9%<sup>1</sup>) **Comment Type** Trending Themes 25% 29% Operators 6% Service Accessibility Request 12% Pass-Up 9% Bus Stop/ Shelter 7% 62% Compliment Complaint

Top 3 in FY24 Q3

Operators, Pass-Up and

Bus Stop/Shelter

<sup>1</sup> Percent change from the previous year (FY24 Q3).

<sup>2</sup> Buses are on-time if they depart a timepoint within 59 seconds before schedule or 4 minutes and 59 seconds after schedule

<sup>3</sup> There were multiple days of unscheduled operator shift drops and lack of workforce availability.

Item #9.a.6.

6/4/2025

<u>samTrans</u>

# Quarter 3 Dashboard (Jan-Mar) Highlights

#### **RIDERSHIP, SERVICE & ON-TIME PERFORMANCE**



<sup>2</sup> Buses are on-time if they depart a timepoints within 59 seconds before schedule or 4 minutes and 59 seconds after schedule

samTrans

# 6/4/2025 **Quarter 3 Dashboard (Jan-Mar) Highlights Continued**



<sup>2</sup> Buses are on-time if they depart a timepoints within 59 seconds before schedule or 4 minutes and 59 seconds after schedule 92

<sup>3</sup> There were multiple days of unscheduled operator shift drops and lack of workforce availability.

Item #9.a.6.

<u>samTrans</u>



Item #9.a.6.

6/4/2025

Connecting the Peninsula samTrans 

# **Thank You!**

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Monthly State of Service Report   Apri                     | il 2025                                       |
|----------|--|---|
| From:    | Josh Mello, Executive Officer,<br>Planning and Development | David Olmeda, Chief Operating<br>Officer, Bus |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO                            |   |
| То:      | Community Relations Committee                              |   |

# Action

This report is for information only. No action is required.

# **Significance**

**SamTrans:** Average weekday ridership across all four modes (Bus, Paratransit, Shuttles, and Ride Plus) increased by 7.4 percent in April 2025 compared to April 2024. The total monthly ridership increased by 7.2 percent in April 2025 compared to April 2024.

Post-pandemic SamTrans total fixed-route bus ridership recovery in April 2025 reached **105.4 percent** of pre-pandemic total ridership in April 2019.

**Ride Plus:** Average weekday ridership was 132 trips, and total ridership was 3,543 trips. The average weekday ridership increased 33.1 percent compared to April 2024, and the total ridership increased 29.7 percent compared to April 2024. Staff attributes growth in Ride Plus usage to recent expanded marketing efforts and the introduction of a new and improved app for booking the service.

Of the total ridership, 73.3 percent of trips were taken in East Palo Alto/Belle Haven and 26.7 percent were taken in Half Moon Bay/El Granada.

**Youth Unlimited Pass:** For April 2025, Youth Unlimited Pass usage increased 16.1 percent compared to April 2024.

### Other SamTrans Key Performance Indicators (includes Contracted Urban Bus Service [CUBS]):

- **Preventable Accidents** There were 13 preventable accidents in April 2025 (11 from District and 2 from contracted services). The goal is to have one or fewer preventable accidents per 100,000 miles; SamTrans did not meet its goal with 1.5 accidents per 100,000 miles.
- Miles Between Service Calls (MBSC) There were 39 service calls in April 2025 (17 from District and 22 from contracted services). The goal is to have one or fewer service calls

per every 25,000 miles. Fixed-route service did not meet its goal with 1.1 service calls per 25,000 miles.

- On-Time-Performance (OTP) April 2025 systemwide OTP was 83.0 percent, which is below the goal of 85.0 percent.
- Did Not Operate (DNOs) In April 2025, there were 19 DNOs.

#### RIDERSHIP (ALL MODES)

| SAMTRANS   Average Weekday Ridership |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Mode                                 | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Bus                                  | 29,597  | 32,949  | 35,225  | 6.9%            | 26,808    | 31,518    | 34,298    | 8.8%            |
| Paratransit                          | 689     | 747     | 759     | 1.6%            | 649       | 723       | 754       | 4.2%            |
| Shuttles                             | 1,888   | 1,587   | 1,874   | 18.1%           | 1,756     | 1,684     | 1,820     | 8.1%            |
| Ride Plus                            |         | 99      | 132     | 33.1%           |           | 109       | 114       | 4.4%            |
| Total                                | 32,174  | 35,382  | 37,990  | 7.4%            | 29,213    | 34,034    | 36,986    | 8.7%            |
| SAMTRANS   Total Ridership           |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
| Mode                                 | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Bus                                  | 756,744 | 868,826 | 928,042 | 6.8%            | 6,967,305 | 8,261,391 | 8,979,618 | 8.7%            |
| Paratransit                          | 16,981  | 19,291  | 19,707  | 2.2%            | 165,665   | 185,281   | 192,551   | 3.9%            |
| Shuttles                             | 37,834  | 34,961  | 40,835  | 16.8%           | 364,620   | 349,552   | 378,271   | 8.2%            |
| Ride Plus                            |         | 2,731   | 3,543   | 29.7%           |           | 30,653    | 30,743    | 0.3%            |
| Total                                | 811,559 | 925,809 | 992,127 | 7.2%            | 7,497,590 | 8,826,877 | 9,581,183 | 8.5%            |

| CALTRAIN   Average Weekday Ridership |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Mode                                 | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Caltrain                             | 18,620  | 23,122  | 35,374  | 53.0%           | 16,860    | 20,609    | 27,848    | 35.1%           |
| CALTRAIN   Total Ridership           |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
| Mode                                 | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Caltrain                             | 417,760 | 577,786 | 925,001 | 60.1%           | 4,146,622 | 4,981,728 | 7,085,813 | 42.2%           |

| OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Average Weekday Ridership |   |        |        |                 |          |          |          |                 |  |
|---|---|--------|--------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|--|
| Mode  | Apr-23  | Apr-24 | Apr-25 | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23 | YTD FY24 | YTD FY25 | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |  |
| Dumbarton   | 89  | 101    | 94     | -6.9%           | 80       | 98       | 93       | -5.2%           |  |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 17,432  | 17,713 | 19,729 | 11.4%           | 16,520   | 17,661   | 18,917   | 7.1%            |  |
| OTHER MODES in San Mateo Coun                               | OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Total Ridership |        |        |                 |          |          |          |                 |  |
| Mode  | Apr-23  | Apr-24 | Apr-25 | %∆ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23 | YTD FY24 | YTD FY25 | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |  |
|   | 7.01 20   |        |        | /04             | 1101120  | 1101121  | 1101125  | 704             |  |
| Dumbarton   | 1,781   | 2,211  | · ·    | -6.9%           |          | 20,627   | 19,599   | -5.0%           |  |

#### **IMPORTANT NOTES**:

Total row may not add up due to rounding.

SamTrans (Bus) ridership includes Fixed-Route service, Coastside 5311, and SamCoast.

Shuttle ridership includes SamTrans shuttles, JPB Caltrain shuttles, and other Transportation Authority funded shuttles.

BART ridership in San Mateo County does not include Daly City BART Station.

 $\%\Delta^1$  indicates the percentage change for the month, current year to previous year.

 $\%\Delta^2$  indicates the percentage change current year to previous, Year to Date.



#### FARES

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Fare Usage |         |                  |         |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Fare Type                   | Apr-23  | Apr-24           | Apr-25  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult                       | 463,056 | 537 <i>,</i> 053 | 581,407 |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible Discount           | 167,853 | 184,462          | 193,242 |  |  |  |  |
| Youth                       | 125,564 | 146,956          | 152,805 |  |  |  |  |
| Youth Unlimited Pass        | 60,037  | 79,666           | 92,531  |  |  |  |  |
| Total                       | 756,473 | 868,471          | 927,454 |  |  |  |  |

This table illustrates the number of riders by fare category (Dumbarton Express and rural demand-response service excluded).

The **Youth Unlimited Pass** number is a subset of the Youth Fare Type. The program started in January 2022.

#### KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Operations Key Performance Indicators |        |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| КРІ  | Apr-23 | Apr-24 | Apr-25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Time Performance                                    | 78.8%  | 82.6%  | 83.0%  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preventable Accidents                                  | 12     | 15     | 13     |  |  |  |  |  |
| District   | 10     | 6      | 11     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contracted Services                                    | 2      | 9      | 2      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Calls  | 24     | 32     | 39     |  |  |  |  |  |
| District   | 18     | 21     | 17     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contracted Services                                    | 6      | 11     | 22     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips Scheduled  | 36,447 | 41,188 | 48,880 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did Not Operate DNOs                                   | 5      | 6      | 19     |  |  |  |  |  |

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Ride Plus Key Performance Indicators |        |        |        |  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--|
| КРІ   | Apr-23 | Apr-24 | Apr-25 |  |
| Total Ridership                                       |        | 2731   | 3,543  |  |
| East Palo Alto Trips                                  |        | 1925   | 2,596  |  |
| Half Moon Bay Trips                                   |        | 806    | 947    |  |
| Active Users  |        | 330    | 315    |  |
| New Registrations                                     |        | 148    | 69     |  |
| Total Downloads                                       |        | 287    | 226    |  |
| iOS Downloads   |        | 250    | 174    |  |
| Android Downloads                                     |        | 37     | 52     |  |
| Load Factor   |        | 1.3    | 1.2    |  |

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Fleet Key Performance Indicators |         |         |         |  |  |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| КРІ   | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  |  |  |
| Revenue Hours (Sched.)                            | 45,020  | 53,519  | 60,716  |  |  |
| Revenue Miles (Sched.)                            | 475,443 | 526,353 | 589,695 |  |  |
| Total Fleet Miles (Actual)                        | 699,639 | 782,126 | 858,398 |  |  |

| PARATRANSIT   Operations Key Performance Indicators |        |        |        |  |  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| КРІ   | Apr-23 | Apr-24 | Apr-25 |  |  |
| On-Time Performance (RW)                            | 90.7%  | 91.4%  | 87.1%  |  |  |
| On-Time Performance (RC)                            | 93.0%  | 93.0%  | 69.8%  |  |  |
| Preventable Accidents (RW)                          | 0      | 0      | 6      |  |  |
| Preventable Accidents (RC)                          | 1      | 0      | 0      |  |  |
| Service Calls (RW)                                  | 4      | 3      | 6      |  |  |
| Service Calls (RC)                                  | 0      | 0      | 0      |  |  |

| PARATRANSIT   Fleet Key Performance Indicators |         |         |         |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| КРІ  | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  |  |
| Revenue Miles (RW)                             | 144,700 | 156,471 | 165,461 |  |
| Revenue Miles (RC)                             | 19,101  | 21,637  | 14,729  |  |
| Fleet Miles (RW)                               | 161,422 | 173,961 | 185,182 |  |
| Fleet Miles (RC)                               | 24,205  | 26,632  | 28,293  |  |

SamTrans' OTP goal is 85.0 percent. On-Time Performance (OTP) is calculated by evaluating time points within the route's schedules across the system for late, early, and on-time arrival and departure. A route is considered late if it exceeds 5 minutes. A route is considered early if it departs 59 seconds ahead of schedule.

SamTrans' Miles between Preventable Accidents goal is 100,000 miles. There were <u>66,031 miles</u> between Preventable Accidents this month.

SamTrans' Miles between Service Calls goal is 25,000 miles. There were 22,010 miles between Service Calls this month.

Ride Plus started in June 2023.

**Note:** All KPIs include all SamTrans service operated directly and by contract.

The **load factor** represents the average number of passengers in a vehicle. It is calculated by dividing the total number of passengers by the number of trips in service.

Sched. = Scheduled, which includes in-service and layover.

RW = Redi-Wheels RC = RediCoast

#### PRE-PANDEMIC RIDERSHIP COMPARISON

| SAMTRANS   Average Weekday Ridership |           |         |         |         |                |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Mode                                 | Apr-19    | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Bus                                  | 33,332    | 29,597  | 32,949  | 35,225  | 105.7%         |
| Paratransit                          | 1,151     | 689     | 747     | 759     | 65.9%          |
| Shuttles                             | 11,613    | 1,888   | 1,587   | 1,874   | 16.1%          |
| Ride Plus                            | -         | -       | 99      | 132     | -              |
| Total                                | 46,096    | 32,174  | 35,382  | 37,990  | 82.4%          |
| SAMTRANS   Total Ridership           |           |         |         |         |                |
| Mode                                 | Apr-19    | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Bus                                  | 880,464   | 756,744 | 868,826 | 928,042 | 105.4%         |
| Paratransit                          | 28,896    | 16,981  | 19,291  | 19,707  | 68.2%          |
| Shuttles                             | 254,372   | 37,834  | 34,961  | 40,835  | 16.1%          |
| Ride Plus                            | -         | -       | 2,731   | 3,543   | -              |
| Total                                | 1,163,732 | 811,559 | 925,809 | 992,127 | 85.3%          |

The following tables show the change in ridership over the last four years to encompass changes due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

%<sup>3</sup> indicates the rate of ridership recovery, current year (FY2024) to pre-pandemic year (FY2019). For example,
SamTrans Bus Average
Weekday Ridership reached <u>105.7 percent</u> of pre-pandemic levels (2019) for this month of April 2025.

| CALTRAIN   Average Weekday Ridership |           |         |         |         |                |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Mode                                 | Apr-19    | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Caltrain                             | 67,728    | 18,620  | 23,122  | 35,374  | 52.2%          |
| CALTRAIN   Total Ridership           |           |         |         |         |                |
| Mode                                 | Apr-19    | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Caltrain                             | 1,593,266 | 417,760 | 577,786 | 925,001 | 58.1%          |

| OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Average Weekday Ridership |                 |         |         |         |                |
|---|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Mode  | Apr-19          | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Dumbarton   | 131             | 89      | 101     | 94      | 71.8%          |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 45,641          | 17,432  | 17,713  | 19,729  | 43.2%          |
| OTHER MODES in San Mateo Count                              | ty   Total Ride | ership  |         |         |                |
| Mode  | Apr-19          | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Dumbarton   | 2,885           | 1,781   | 2,211   | 2,059   | 71.4%          |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 1,141,040       | 467,092 | 473,877 | 530,427 | 46.5%          |

#### PRE-PANDEMIC FARES COMPARISON

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Fare Usage |         |         |         |         |                |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Fare Type                   | Apr-19  | Apr-23  | Apr-24  | Apr-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |
| Adult                       | 448,611 | 463,056 | 537,053 | 581,407 | 129.6%         |
| Youth                       | 194,645 | 125,564 | 146,956 | 152,805 | 78.5%          |
| Eligible Discount           | 236,947 | 167,853 | 184,462 | 193,242 | 81.6%          |
| Total                       | 880,203 | 756,473 | 868,471 | 927,454 | 105.4%         |

%<sup>3</sup> indicates the rate of ridership recovery, current year (FY2025) to pre-pandemic year

Dumbarton and demandresponse service are excluded.

#### **IMPORTANT NOTES:**

Total row may not add up due to rounding.

SamTrans (Bus) ridership includes Fixed-Route service, Coastside 5311, and SamCoast.

Shuttle ridership includes SamTrans shuttles, JPB Caltrain shuttles, and other Transportation Authority funded shuttles.

BART ridership in San Mateo County does not include Daly City BART Station.



#### CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Customer Experience |        |        |        |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| КРІ                                  | Apr-23 | Apr-24 | Apr-25 |  |
| Complaints                           | 82     | 99     | 110    |  |
| Accessibility                        | 10     | 10     | 11     |  |
| Compliments                          | 23     | 13     | 14     |  |
| Service Requests                     | 48     | 47     | 30     |  |
| Reports Total                        | 163    | 169    | 165    |  |

The table is a detailed summary of SamTrans Consumer Reports received by the Customer Experience Department.

The total number of reports for SamTrans decreased 2.4% from 169 reports in April 2024 to 165 reports in April 2025..

#### **COMMUNICATIONS & MARKETING**

The following is a list of the Communications Division's marketing and promotional efforts in April 2025.

#### SamTrans Monthly Press Releases and Earned Media

### **Article Mentions:**

High-Speed Rail reaches "momentous milestone" - references transit agencies on the corridor.

- MSN
- Newsweek
- SFGATE

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Strike

- Mercury News
- East Bay Times

SamTrans budget

• San Mateo Daily Journal

All Aboard Bay Area Transit Day

- Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)
- Hoodline

Role of San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) in San Mateo County

• San Mateo Daily Journal

### **Digital Communications Activities**

### **Digital Marketing Report**

- SamTrans honored the 2025 Operator of the Year & Bus Maintenance Employee of the Year at the April Board Meeting.
  - Posts went out showcasing the recipients receiving their award and a short bio on each of them.
- The Effortless Travel, Endless Possibilities campaign continued throughout April highlighting some of the new, improved service while still directing traffic to samtrans.com/effortless.
  - With April traditionally being the month for spring break, messaging for airport service, coastside service, and route EPX was pushed to encourage people to ride SamTrans for vacation activities.
- Recruitment messaging started for the SamTrans Citizens Advisory Committee.
- A teaser was posted at the end of April to notify followers of the All Aboard Bay Area Transit Day on May 6, 2025.

### **Other Digital Marketing Highlights**

- Drive With Us Bus Operator Recruitment Campaign
- Gear Up Mechanic Utility Worker Recruitment Campaign
- Ride Plus Microtransit Campaign

#### Social Metrics: (Year to Year)

An impression is anytime our content (post, webpage, Instagram (IG) photo) is seen in a user's feed or browser. Engagement is any action taken, such as a click, like, retweet or comment.

| APRIL 2024              | APRIL 2025              | % Change |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Impressions: 503,492    | Impressions: 391,626    | -22.2%   |
| Engagements: 9,186      | Engagements: 5,192      | -43.5%   |
| Post Link Clicks: 2,089 | Post Link Clicks: 1,920 | -8.1%    |

\*Please note this does not include any web metrics

### **Ride Plus Campaign**

April Ride Plus Marketing Metrics

- Ride Plus web content views: 1,251 (695 in March)
  - 55 percent increase in web traffic
- Ride Plus Organic Social Impressions: 18,201 (22,505 in March)
- Paid Social Clicks: 1,840 (638 HMB; 1,202 EPA)
- YouTube Video Views: 157 (17 in March)
- Outreach Contacts (Charlsie Chang): 105
- Facebook Budget: \$800

### **Ride Plus Campaign**

Bay Area Newsgroups Ad Network Running digital ads on Bay Area new websites, social media, and other ad partners.

- SamTrans Effortless Travel. Endless Possibilities. (ETEP) Ads
- Impressions: 536,720
- Ad Clicks: 4,932
- April Spend: \$4,765.23

| Prepared By: | Emily Chen        | Senior Planner, Operations Planning | 650-551-6127 |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
|              | Tasha Bartholomew | Manager, Media Relations            | 650-508-7927 |

# San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Monthly State of Service Report   Upd                      | ate for March 2025                            |
|----------|--|---|
| From:    | Josh Mello, Executive Officer,<br>Planning and Development | David Olmeda, Chief Operating<br>Officer, Bus |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO                            |   |
| То:      | Community Relations Committee                              |   |

### <u>Action</u>

This report is for information only. No action is required.

# <u>Note</u>

Due to unexpected turnover of contracted staff, data for Coastside fixed route, SamCoast, and RediCoast were not available in the initial report submission for the March 2025 Board of Directors meeting. This is an updated report that includes all data.

# **Significance**

**SamTrans:** Average weekday ridership across all four modes (Bus, Paratransit, Shuttles, and Ride Plus) increased by 5.2 percent in March 2025 compared to March 2024. The total monthly ridership increased by 5.7 percent in March 2025 compared to March 2024.

Post-pandemic SamTrans total fixed-route bus ridership recovery in March 2025 reached 102.7 percent of pre-pandemic total bus ridership in March 2019. This is the first month in which SamTrans bus ridership exceeded pre-pandemic ridership levels.

**Ride Plus:** Average weekday ridership was 138 trips, and total ridership was 3,721 trips. The average weekday ridership increased 41.5 percent compared to March 2024, and the total ridership increased 38.0 percent compared to March 2024. Staff attributes growth in Ride Plus usage to recent expanded marketing efforts and the introduction of a new and improved app for booking the service.

Of the total ridership, 74.1 percent of trips were taken in East Palo Alto/Belle Haven and 25.9 percent were taken in Half Moon Bay/El Granada.

**Youth Unlimited Pass:** For March 2025, Youth Unlimited Pass usage increased 15.3 percent compared to March 2024.

#### Other SamTrans Key Performance Indicators (includes Contracted Urban Bus Service [CUBS]):

- Preventable Accidents There were 25 preventable accidents in March 2025 (17 from District and 8 from contracted services). The goal is to have one or fewer preventable accidents per 100,000 miles; SamTrans did not meet its goal with 2.9 accidents per 100,000 miles.
- Miles Between Service Calls (MBSC) There were 31 service calls in March 2025 (22 from District and 9 from contracted services). The goal is to have one or fewer service calls per every 25,000 miles. Fixed-route service met its goal with 0.9 service calls per 25,000 miles.
- **On-Time-Performance (OTP)** March 2025 systemwide OTP was 82.2 percent, which is below the goal of 85.0 percent.
- Did Not Operate (DNOs) In March 2025, there were 30 DNOs.

| ALL MODES |
|-----------|
|           |
|           |
|           |

| SAMTRANS   Average Weekday Ridership |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Mode                                 | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %∆²             |
| Bus                                  | 27,392  | 33,672  | 35,522  | 5.5%            | 26,498    | 31,359    | 34,195    | 9.0%            |
| Paratransit                          | 667     | 766     | 772     | 0.8%            | 645       | 720       | 753       | 4.6%            |
| Shuttles                             | 1,877   | 1,837   | 1,845   | 0.4%            | 1,741     | 1,694     | 1,814     | 7.1%            |
| Ride Plus                            |         | 98      | 138     | 41.5%           |           | 110       | 112       | 1.6%            |
| Total                                | 29,936  | 36,373  | 38,277  | 5.2%            | 28,884    | 33,884    | 36,874    | 8.8%            |
| SAMTRANS   Total Rider               | ship    |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
| Mode                                 | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | % <b>∆</b> ¹    | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %∆ <sup>2</sup> |
| Bus                                  | 747,908 | 884,107 | 936,604 | 5.9%            | 6,210,561 | 7,392,565 | 8,051,576 | 8.9%            |
| Paratransit                          | 17,795  | 19,612  | 19,727  | 0.6%            | 148,684   | 165,990   | 172,844   | 4.1%            |
| Shuttles                             | 42,717  | 38,049  | 38,701  | 1.7%            | 326,786   | 314,591   | 337,436   | 7.3%            |
| Ride Plus                            |         | 2,697   | 3,721   | 38.0%           |           | 27,922    | 27,200    | -2.6%           |
| Total                                | 808,420 | 944,465 | 998,753 | 5.7%            | 6,686,031 | 7,901,068 | 8,589,056 | 8.7%            |

| CALTRAIN   Average Weekday Ridership |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Mode                                 | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Caltrain                             | 16,632  | 21,773  | 32,418  | 48.9%           | 16,664    | 20,329    | 27,012    | 32.9%           |
| CALTRAIN   Total Ridership           |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
| Mode                                 | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Caltrain                             | 418,926 | 529,753 | 831,814 | 57.0%           | 3,728,862 | 4,403,942 | 6,160,812 | 39.9%           |

| OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Average Weekday Ridership |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Mode  | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Dumbarton   | 89      | 97      | 94      | -3.1%           | 79        | 97        | 92        | -5.0%           |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 16,146  | 17,123  | 20,298  | 18.5%           | 16,419    | 17,655    | 18,827    | 6.6%            |
| OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Total Ridership           |         |         |         |                 |           |           |           |                 |
| Mode  | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | %Δ <sup>1</sup> | YTD FY23  | YTD FY24  | YTD FY25  | %Δ <sup>2</sup> |
| Dumbarton   | 2,038   | 2,047   | 1,965   | -4.0%           | 15,229    | 18,416    | 17,540    | -4.8%           |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 449,192 | 461,104 | 509,822 | 10.6%           | 4,007,984 | 4,280,397 | 4,490,891 | 4.9%            |

#### IMPORTANT NOTES:

Total row may not add up due to rounding.

SamTrans (Bus) ridership includes Fixed-Route service, Coastside 5311, and SamCoast.

Shuttle ridership includes SamTrans shuttles, JPB Caltrain shuttles, and other Transportation Authority funded shuttles.

BART ridership in San Mateo County does not include Daly City BART Station.  $\%\Delta^1$  indicates the percentage change for the month, current year to previous year.  $\%\Delta^2$  indicates the percentage change current year to previous, Year to Date.



#### FARES

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Fare Usage |         |         |         |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Fare Type                   | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  |  |  |  |
| Adult                       | 447,848 | 537,860 | 579,525 |  |  |  |
| Eligible Discount           | 159,859 | 182,763 | 186,771 |  |  |  |
| Youth                       | 140,016 | 163,212 | 167,746 |  |  |  |
| Youth Unlimited Pass        | 66,329  | 86,917  | 97,968  |  |  |  |
| Total                       | 747,723 | 883,835 | 934,042 |  |  |  |

This table illustrates the number of riders by fare category (Dumbarton Express and rural demand-response service excluded).

The **Youth Unlimited Pass** number is a subset of the Youth Fare Type. The program started in January 2022.

#### **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Operations Key Performance Indicators |                  |        |        |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| КРІ  | Mar-23 Mar-24 Ma |        | Mar-25 |  |  |  |
| On-Time Performance                                    | 78.0%            | 82.6%  | 82.2%  |  |  |  |
| Preventable Accidents                                  | 26               | 19     | 25     |  |  |  |
| District   | 13               | 12     | 17     |  |  |  |
| Contracted Services                                    | 13               | 7      | 8      |  |  |  |
| Service Calls  | 27               | 25     | 31     |  |  |  |
| District   | 17               | 18     | 22     |  |  |  |
| Contracted Services                                    | 10               | 7      | 9      |  |  |  |
| Trips Scheduled  | 38,876           | 41,528 | 49,509 |  |  |  |
| Did Not Operate DNOs                                   | 1                | 7      | 30     |  |  |  |

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Ride Plus Key Performance Indicators |        |        |        |  |  |  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| КРІ   | Mar-23 | Mar-24 | Mar-25 |  |  |  |
| Total Ridership                                       |        | 2,697  | 3,721  |  |  |  |
| East Palo Alto Trips                                  |        | 1,898  | 2,754  |  |  |  |
| Half Moon Bay Trips                                   |        | 799    | 967    |  |  |  |
| Active Users  |        | 313    | 316    |  |  |  |
| New Registrations                                     |        | 130    | 80     |  |  |  |
| Total Downloads                                       |        | 292    | 227    |  |  |  |
| iOS Downloads   |        | 263    | 165    |  |  |  |
| Android Downloads                                     |        | 29     | 62     |  |  |  |
| Load Factor   |        | 1.2    | 1.2    |  |  |  |

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Fleet Key Performance Indicators |                 |         |         |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| КРІ   | Mar-23 Mar-24 M |         |         |  |  |  |
| Revenue Hours (Sched.)                            | 47,495          | 54,477  | 61,913  |  |  |  |
| Revenue Miles (Sched.)                            | 504,641         | 533,153 | 598,666 |  |  |  |
| Total Fleet Miles (Actual)                        | 737,210         | 793,641 | 855,934 |  |  |  |

| PARATRANSIT   Operations Key Performance Indicators |        |        |        |  |  |  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| КРІ   | Mar-23 | Mar-24 | Mar-25 |  |  |  |
| On-Time Performance (RW)                            | 90.3%  | 88.4%  | 87.2%  |  |  |  |
| On-Time Performance (RC)                            | 93.9%  | 93.1%  | 85.1%  |  |  |  |
| Preventable Accidents (RW)                          | 3      | 6      | 0      |  |  |  |
| Preventable Accidents (RC)                          | 0      | 0      | 0      |  |  |  |
| Service Calls (RW)                                  | 3      | 1      | 5      |  |  |  |
| Service Calls (RC)                                  | 0      | 3      | 4      |  |  |  |

| PARATRANSIT   Fleet Key Performance Indicators |               |         |         |  |  |
|--|---------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| КРІ  | Mar-23 Mar-24 |         |         |  |  |
| Revenue Miles (RW)                             | 150,221       | 162,727 | 166,988 |  |  |
| Revenue Miles (RC)                             | 18,106        | 21,768  | 19,239  |  |  |
| Fleet Miles (RW)                               | 167,505       | 179,672 | 186,565 |  |  |
| Fleet Miles (RC)                               | 22,888        | 27,666  | 19,857  |  |  |

#### SamTrans' OTP goal is 85.0 percent. On-Time Performance (OTP) is calculated by evaluating time points within the route's schedules across the system for late, early, and on-time arrival and departure. A route is considered late if it exceeds 5 minutes. A route is considered early if it departs 59 seconds

SamTrans' Miles between Preventable Accidents goal is 100,000 miles. There were <u>34,237 miles</u> between Preventable Accidents this month.

SamTrans' Miles between Service Calls goal is 25,000 miles. There were <u>27,611 miles</u> between Service Calls this month.

Ride Plus started in June 2023.

**Note:** All KPIs include all SamTrans service operated directly and by contract.

The **load factor** represents the average number of passengers in a vehicle. It is calculated by dividing the total number of passengers by the number of trips in service.

Sched. = Scheduled, which includes in-service and layover.

RW = Redi-Wheels RC = RediCoast

#### PRE-PANDEMIC RIDERSHIP COMPARISON

| SAMTRANS   Average Weekday Ridership |                       |         |         |         |                |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Mode                                 | Mar-19                | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |
| Bus                                  | 35 <mark>,</mark> 930 | 27,392  | 33,672  | 35,522  | 98.9%          |  |  |  |
| Paratransit                          | 1,140                 | 667     | 766     | 772     | 67.7%          |  |  |  |
| Shuttles                             | 12,370                | 1,877   | 1,837   | 1,845   | 14.9%          |  |  |  |
| Ride Plus                            | -                     | -       | 98      | 138     | -              |  |  |  |
| Total                                | 49,440                | 29,936  | 36,373  | 38,277  | 77.4%          |  |  |  |
| SAMTRANS   Total Ridership           |                       |         |         |         |                |  |  |  |
| Mode                                 | Mar-19                | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |
| Bus                                  | 912,340               | 747,908 | 884,107 | 936,604 | 102.7%         |  |  |  |
| Paratransit                          | 28,360                | 17,795  | 19,612  | 19,727  | 69.6%          |  |  |  |
| Shuttles                             | 259,410               | 42,717  | 38,049  | 38,701  | 14.9%          |  |  |  |
| Ride Plus                            | -                     | 0       | 2,697   | 3,721   | -              |  |  |  |
| Total                                | 1,200,110             | 808,420 | 944,465 | 998,753 | 83.2%          |  |  |  |

The following tables show the change in ridership over the last four years to encompass changes due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

%<sup>3</sup> indicates the rate of ridership recovery, current year (FY2025) to pre-pandemic year (FY2019). For example, SamTrans Bus Average Weekday Ridership reached <u>98.9</u> <u>percent</u> of pre-pandemic levels (2019) for this month of March 2025.

| CALTRAIN   Average Weekday Ridership |                            |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Mar-19                               | Mar-23                     | Mar-24  | Mar-25   | % <sup>3</sup>  |  |  |  |  |
| 65,060                               | 16,632                     | 21,773  | 32,418   | 49.8%   |  |  |  |  |
| CALTRAIN   Total Ridership           |                            |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-19                               | Mar-23                     | Mar-24  | Mar-25   | % <sup>3</sup>  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,487,890                            | 418,926                    | 529,753   | 831,814  | 55.9%   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Mar-19<br>65,060<br>Mar-19 | Mar-19 Mar-23<br>65,060 16,632<br>Mar-19 Mar-23 | Mar-19         Mar-23         Mar-24           65,060         16,632         21,773           Mar-19         Mar-23         Mar-24 | Mar-19         Mar-23         Mar-24         Mar-25           65,060         16,632         21,773         32,418           War-19         Mar-23         Mar-24         Mar-25 |  |  |  |  |

| OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Average Weekday Ridership |           |         |         |         |                |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Mode  | Mar-19    | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Dumbarton   | 125       | 89      | 97      | 94      | 75.2%          |  |  |  |  |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 45,010    | 16,146  | 17,123  | 20,298  | 45.1%          |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER MODES in San Mateo County   Total Ridership           |           |         |         |         |                |  |  |  |  |
| Mode  | Mar-19    | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25  | % <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Dumbarton   | 2,615     | 2,038   | 2,047   | 1,965   | 75.1%          |  |  |  |  |
| BART (San Mateo County)                                     | 1,113,350 | 449,192 | 461,104 | 509,822 | 45.8%          |  |  |  |  |

#### PRE-PANDEMIC FARES COMPARISON

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Fare Usage |         |         |         |                        |                |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Fare Type                   | Mar-19  | Mar-23  | Mar-24  | Mar-25                 | % <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |
| Adult                       | 453,135 | 447,848 | 537,860 | 579 <mark>,</mark> 525 | 127.9%         |  |  |  |
| Youth                       | 219,391 | 140,016 | 163,212 | 167,746                | 76.5%          |  |  |  |
| Eligible Discount           | 236,786 | 159,859 | 182,763 | 186,771                | 78.9%          |  |  |  |
| Total                       | 909,312 | 747,723 | 883,835 | 934,042                | 102.7%         |  |  |  |

%<sup>3</sup> indicates the rate of ridership recovery, current year (FY2025) to pre-pandemic year (FY2019).

Dumbarton and demand-response service are excluded.

#### **IMPORTANT NOTES**:

Total row may not add up due to rounding.

SamTrans (Bus) ridership includes Fixed-Route service, Coastside 5311, and SamCoast.

Shuttle ridership includes SamTrans shuttles, JPB Caltrain shuttles, and other Transportation Authority funded shuttles.

BART ridership in San Mateo County does not include Daly City BART Station.



#### CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE

| SAMTRANS (BUS)   Customer Experience |        |        |        |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| КРІ                                  | Mar-23 | Mar-24 | Mar-25 |  |  |  |
| Complaints                           | 96     | 93     | 95     |  |  |  |
| Accessibility                        | 9      | 3      | 13     |  |  |  |
| Compliments                          | 29     | 11     | 9      |  |  |  |
| Service Requests                     | 51     | 38     | 61     |  |  |  |
| Reports Total                        | 185    | 145    | 178    |  |  |  |

The table is a detailed summary of SamTrans Consumer Reports received by the Customer Experience Department.

The total number of reports for SamTrans increased 22.8% from 145 reports in March 2024 to 178 reports in March 2025.

#### COMMUNICATIONS & MARKETING

The following is a list of the Communications Division's marketing and promotional efforts in March 2025.

#### SamTrans Monthly Press Releases & Earned Media

**Press Releases and Blogs:** 

• The winning formula at SamTrans: How a bold refresh is driving growth

#### **Article Mentions:**

- Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) fare gate funding
  - o KRON
  - o KCBS
  - o Hoodline

- San Mateo Daily Journal
- Coastside News
- Millbrae Headquarters
  - The Business Journals "Real Estate Deal of the Year"
- Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Strike
  - San Jose Mercury News
- Positive spotlight
  - California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) former employee Julie Taylor
- Regional transit measure
  - San Mateo Daily Journal
- Giants season begins
  - Mighty Travels How to navigate Oracle Park

### **Digital Communications Activities**

#### **Digital Marketing Report**

- In honor of Women's History Month in March, SamTrans recognized the occasion by sharing content that highlighted our operators and staff through photos and video interviews.
- The post-Reimagine Effortless Travel, Endless Possibilities campaign continued with posts showcasing various aspects of our improved service, with a call to action directing traffic to samtrans.com/effortless.
  - Focused on promotion of college routes, Coastside services, and express routes
  - As part of this campaign, a new bus shelter ad was created and redesigned for use on our social platforms to summarize the changes
- Transit Employee Appreciation Day featured live coverage on our social channels, along with a public call to submit compliments for their favorite operators via our website. A follow-up gallery post was shared afterward.
- VTA experienced service disruptions due to labor negotiations—SamTrans shared messaging to notify riders if any of our services were affected.

### **Other Digital Marketing Highlights**

- Drive With Us Bus Operator Recruitment Campaign
- Gear Up Mechanic Utility Worker Recruitment Campaign
- Ride Plus Microtransit Campaign
#### Social Metrics: (Year to Year)

An impression is anytime our content (post, webpage, Instagram (IG) photo) is seen in a user's feed or browser. Engagement is any action taken, such as a click, like, retweet or comment.

| MARCH 2024              | 24 MARCH 2025 % Change  |        |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Impressions: 558,360    | Impressions: 182,827    | -67.3% |
| Engagements: 5,502      | Engagements: 11,621     | 111.2% |
| Post Link Clicks: 1,937 | Post Link Clicks: 2,644 | 36.5%  |

\*Please note this does not include any web metrics

#### **Marketing Activity Highlights**

- We are finalizing both printed and digital assets for the new Effortless Travel, Endless Possibilities campaign highlighting post-Reimagine improvements; once complete we will begin the media flights.
- Filming multilingual stories is wrapping up. We are currently in the process of editing multilingual micro-stories to prepare for social media push.
- We will have all bus shelter ads fully installed with new route ads by the end of April with the majority already installed.

| Prepared By: | Emily Chen        | Senior Planner, Operations<br>Planning | 650-551-6127 |
|--------------|-------------------|--|--------------|
|              | Tasha Bartholomew | Manager, Media Relations               | 650-508-7927 |

Item #9.b.1. 6/4/2025

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2025

JEFF GEE, CHAIR MARIE CHUANG, VICE CHAIR DAVID J. CANEPA BROOKS ESSER MARINA FRASER RICO E. MEDINA JOSH POWELL PETER RATTO JACKIE SPEIER

April Chan General Manager/CEO

## AGENDA

### San Mateo County Transit District

### Finance Committee Meeting Committee of the Whole

June 4, 2025 – 2:45 pm

or immediately following the Community Relations Committee meeting

Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

Committee Members: Brooks Esser (Chair), David J. Canepa, Rico E. Medina

| 9.b.2. | Approval of Minutes of the Finance Committee Meeting of May 7, 2025  | Motion |
|--------|--|--------|
| 9.b.3. | Authorizing Execution of a Reimbursement Agreement for<br>Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board to Pay its Share of<br>Furnishings, Information, Communications and Technology<br>(IT) Infrastructure, and Other Costs of Relocating to the<br>Millbrae Headquarters | Motion |
| 9.b.4. | Adopting Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Operating Budgets in<br>the Amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367,<br>Respectively, and Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Capital Budgets<br>in the Amounts of \$4,698,405 and \$5,704,151, Respectively                           | Motion |

9.b.5. Adjourn

9.b.1.

Call to Order

samTrans

Note:

• This Committee meeting may be attended by Board Members who do not serve on this Committee. In the event that a quorum of the entire Board is present, this Committee shall act as a Committee of the Whole. In either case, any item acted upon by the Committee or the Committee of the Whole will require consideration and action by the full Board of Directors as a prerequisite to its legal enactment.

• All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

Item #9.b.1. 6/4/2025

Note:

<sup>•</sup> This Committee meeting may be attended by Board Members who do not serve on this Committee. In the event that a quorum of the entire Board is present, this Committee shall act as a Committee of the Whole. In either case, any item acted upon by the Committee or the Committee of the Whole will require consideration and action by the full Board of Directors as a prerequisite to its legal enactment.

<sup>•</sup> All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

### San Mateo County Transit District 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, California

### Finance Committee Meeting / Committee of the Whole DRAFT Minutes of May 7, 2025

Members Present (In Person): D. Canepa, B. Esser (Chair)

Members Present (Via Teleconference): R. Medina

Members Absent: None

**Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole:** M. Chuang, J. Gee, J. Powell, P. Ratto, J. Speier

Other Board Members Absent: M. Fraser

**Staff Present:** J. Brook, J. Cassman, A. Chan, C. Halls, K. Jordan Steiner, M. Tseng, S. van Hoften, K. Yin

8.b.1. Call to Order

Committee Chair Brooks Esser called the meeting to order at 3:57 pm.

- 8.b.2. Approval of Minutes of the Finance Committee Meeting of April 2, 2025 Motion/Second: Canepa/Powell Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser
- 8.b.3. Awarding a Contract to K B M Office Equipment, Inc. DBA KBM-Hogue to Provide Furniture, Fixtures, Equipment, and Services for a Two-Year Base Term, with up to Two Additional One-Year Option Terms, for a Total Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$5,107,189.62

Kevin Yin, Director, Contracts and Procurement, provided the presentation, which included the following:

- Substantial completion at new facility
- Using cooperative purchasing contracts
- Reimbursement agreement with Joint Powers Board (JPB)/Caltrain regarding furniture, fixtures, equipment

Motion/Second: Ratto/Powell Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

### 8.b.4. Awarding a Contract to Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for Dumbarton Busway Feasibility Study Services for a Total Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$1,970,858 for an 18-Month Base Term, with One Additional Option Term of up to 12 Months

Cassie Halls, Major Corridors Manager, Planning, and Kevin Yin, Director, Contracts and Procurement, provided the presentation, which included the following:

- Background on options to revive the Dumbarton Rail Corridor
- Regional rail not currently viable
- Busway from East Palo Alto to North Fair Oaks/Redwood City used by regional public bus service design allows for future rail if desired
- Busway responsive to post-pandemic travel patterns
- 18-month study develop vision for mobility on Dumbarton Corridor; emphasis on design for near and medium term
- Solicitation process received two proposals with only Kimley-Horn responsive

The Board Members had a robust discussion and staff provided further clarification in response to the comments and questions, which included the following:

- Complex project including preliminary design work, protecting the future rail corridor, and quick-strike improvements; design phase projected to end 2028 with construction start after that
- Equity project and connectivity from East Palo Alto
- Concerns over project timeline, and asked staff to look at possible ways to shave some time off of the schedule
- Want to ensure residential awareness and understanding of all alternatives impacting communities, Union Pacific has trackage rights but not currently serving corridor

### Public Comment

Roland commented on the project scope including a potential future station with an overpass for Caltrain tracks.

Adina Levin, Menlo Park, commented on SamTrans' community outreach in North Fair Oaks and East Palo Alto, and weekend service on the Dumbarton corridor and San Mateo-Hayward Bridge.

Motion/Second: Ratto/Chuang Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

### 8.b.5. Authorizing Execution of a Project Labor Agreement for Phase 1 of South Base Battery Electric Bus Charging Infrastructure Project

Joan Cassman, General Counsel, provided the presentation, which included the following:

- District moving ahead with zero-emission program
- Establishing a relationship with the District labor council and the trade unions
- Used to resolve disputes within union and between union and contractor

The Board Members had a robust discussion, and staff provided further clarification in response to the following e comments and questions regarding the following:

- Requested copy of the agreement
- Nor Cal Carpenters Union included

Motion contingent upon Board receiving copy of agreement/Second: Canepa/Ratto Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

### 8.b.6. Receive Quarterly Financial Report Fiscal Year 2025 Quarter 3 Results and Annual Outlook

Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial Officer, provided the presentation, which included the following:

- Year-to-date deficit favorable to budget; sources unfavorable; uses favorable; increased financial risk due to economic uncertainty
- Fiscal Year (FY) 2025 year-end forecast net position still in deficit; operating expenses projected to be two percent favorable to budget
- Fiscal discipline in future budgets needed due to sales tax volatility and uncertain status of federal and state funding

# 8.b.7. Receive Preliminary Fiscal Year 2026 and Fiscal Year 2027 Operating and Capital Budgets

Ms. Jordan Steiner provided the presentation, which included the following:

- Structural deficit starting FY27
- Preparation in FY26: no new positions, minimize discretionary expenses, fare analysis, revenue generation options
- Preparation in FY27 and beyond: evaluation staffing, fare adjustment, budget amendment base on FY26 fare analysis; new grant applications
- 74 percent of sources come from sales tax vulnerable to economic downturn; project one percent increase over FY25
- Operating expenses increasing by three percent in FY27; labor is largest category followed by purchased transportation and contracted services
- Millbrae Gateway preliminary budget costs expected to stabilize after FY26
- No contribution from operating funds for capital sources in FY26
- Federal, state, regional/local funding potentially at risk
- Phase II capital budget amendment for August Board meeting

The Board Members had a discussion, and staff to provide further clarification in response to the comments and questions regarding defining two scenarios: no use of reserves and no deficit to understand impact to operations.

**8.b.8.** Adjourn – The meeting adjourned at 5:07 pm.

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at <u>https://www.samtrans.com/about-samtrans/video-board-directors-cac-and-measure-w-coc</u>. Questions may be referred to the District Secretary's office by phone at 650-551-6108 or by email to board@samtrans.com.

### San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Authorizing Execution of a Reimbursem<br>Joint Powers Board to Pay its Share of F<br>Communications and Technology (IT) In<br>Relocating to the Millbrae Headquarter | urnishings, Information,<br>frastructure, and Other Costs of |
|----------|--|--|
| From:    | Joshuah Mello, Executive Officer,<br>Planning & Development  | Joan Cassman, Legal Counsel                                  |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO  |  |
| То:      | Finance Committee  |  |

### <u>Action</u>

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors (Board) of the San Mateo County Transit District (District) authorize the General Manager/CEO, or designee, to execute a Reimbursement Agreement with the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB) under which the JPB would cover its share of costs for the furniture, fixtures and equipment and related services (FF&E); information, communications and technology (IT) infrastructure; art work, and moving of the District's and JPB's headquarters to 166 N. Rollins Road, in the City of Millbrae, California (Millbrae HQ).

### **Significance**

At the Board's May 2025 meeting, staff reported on plans to seek Board approval of a reimbursement agreement with the JPB for FF&E expenses and other costs associated with moving the JPB to the District's new Millbrae HQ concurrent with the District's relocation. Staff and Legal Counsel have successfully completed negotiation of the Reimbursement Agreement, and now recommend the Board authorize its execution.

In addition to the FF&E costs, which will be allocated based on actual purchases and services required by the JPB, the proposed agreement would provide for JPB contributions towards IT infrastructure costs based on square footage, art work for the JPB's office space based on actual cost, and moving costs based on employee headcount.

The JPB Board of Directors Finance Committee voted unanimously on May 19 to recommend authorizing execution of the reimbursement agreement. This recommendation is agendized for consideration by the full JPB Board of Directors on June 5, 2025.

### **Budget Impact**

The proposed action is expected to be cost-neutral for the District. taff estimates that the JPB will reimburse the District a total of approximately \$3.6 million under the agreement. Without the agreement, the District would de-scope anticipated or awarded contracts for purchases and work on the Millbrae HQ, or pursue cost-recovery through other means.

### **Background**

In December 2024, the JPB Board of Directors voted to sublease a portion of the Millbrae HQ from the District. In the approved Term Sheet for the sublease, the JPB agreed to cover its share of costs for FF&E, moving services, and IT improvements and purchases associated with furnishing and moving into the JPB's subleased space.

| Prepared By: | Shayna van Hoften | Legal Counsel              | 415-995-5580 |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|              | Kris McGee        | Project Manager            | 408-590-6184 |
|              | Zhiming Fan       | Director of Infrastructure | 650-730-4696 |

### Resolution No. 2025 -

### Board of Directors, San Mateo County Transit District State of California

\* \* \*

### Authorizing Execution of a Reimbursement Agreement for Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board to Pay its Share of Furnishings, Information, Communications and Technology (IT) Infrastructure, and Other Costs of Relocating to the Millbrae Headquarters

Whereas, the San Mateo County Transit District (District) has executed a lease-topurchase agreement for the "Gateway at Millbrae Station" office building, located at 166 N. Rollins Road in Millbrae, California (Millbrae HQ); and

**Whereas**, the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB) has agreed to sublease a portion of the Millbrae HQ from the District; and

Whereas, the District and JPB each will experience costs above and beyond those of building occupancy and tenant improvements, including costs to purchase furniture, fixtures and equipment and related services (FF&E); install information technology infrastructure and furnish IT equipment; purchase and install art work, and prepare for and move into the Millbrae HQ; and

**Whereas**, the parties have agreed that joint procurements for these goods and services is the most cost-effective way to proceed with a joint move; and

**Whereas**, in May 2025, the District Board of Directors awarded a contract to provide the FF&E for the Millbrae HQ, which includes the purchase and installation of FF&E for the JPB's sublease premises; and

**Whereas**, staff and legal counsel from the District and JPB have successfully negotiated a Reimbursement Agreement, pursuant to which the JPB will reimburse the District for JPB's share of the costs of FF&E, IT, art and moving costs; and

**Whereas,** staff recommends that the Board authorize the General Manager/CEO, or designee, to execute the Reimbursement Agreement as describe above.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transit District authorizes the General Manager/CEO, or designee, to execute a Reimbursement Agreement for the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board to pay its share of costs for furniture, fixtures and equipment and related services; information, communications and technology infrastructure; art work; and relocation to 166 N. Rollins Road in Millbrae, California

Regularly passed and adopted this 4th day of June, 2025, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Chair, San Mateo County Transit District

Attest:

**District Secretary** 

### San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Adopting Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Operating Budgets in the Amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367, Respectively, and Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Capital Budgets in the Amounts of \$4,698,405 and \$5,704,151, Respectively |
|----------|---|
| From:    | Kate Jordan Steiner, Chief Financial Officer  |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO   |
| То:      | Finance Committee   |

### <u>Action</u>

Staff proposes the Finance Committee recommend the Board of Directors (Board) for the San Mateo County Transit District (District) adopt the Fiscal Year 2026 (FY26) and Fiscal Year 2027 (FY27) Operating Budgets in the amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367, respectively, and the FY26 and FY27 Capital Budgets in the amounts of \$4,698,405 and \$5,704,151, respectively.

Staff will present the proposed budgets, further detailed in the following:

- Appendix: Operating Budget Line-Item and Capital Project Descriptions
- Attachment A: Proposed FY26 and FY27 Operating Budgets
- Attachment B: Proposed FY26 and FY27 Capital Budgets

Adoption of the proposed budgets also includes authorization for staff to take all actions necessary to apply for and receive funds included therein.

### **Significance**

At the May 7, 2025 Board Meeting, staff presented the biennial Preliminary Budgets for FY26 and FY27. The Proposed Budgets reflects changes made since that time.

In response to the Board's comments in May, staff (a) conducted a comprehensive review of expenses to reduce reliance on diminishing prior years' surpluses; and (b) assessed tradeoffs for potential loss of federal American Disability Act (ADA) funds.

### Review of Cost Reductions

Staff's effort to review operating uses resulted in a reduction amounting to approximately \$2.2 million in FY26 and \$2.7 million in FY27. These cost reductions were achieved primarily by:

- Deferring the hiring of specific vacant positions
- Targeting discretionary expenditures such as training, business travel, and professional services.

### Paratransit Scenarios

The District currently receives approximately \$4.0 million American Disability Act (ADA) Operating Subsidy from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to support the Redi-Wheels paratransit service. While there has been no indication that this funding will be withheld in the next two fiscal years, the Board has requested contingency planning to address potential funding disruptions. In response, staff proposes the following options should the funding be reduced or revoked:

- a) assess service reductions, limiting coverage to within the required half-mile radius of fixed-route transit
- b) utilize prior years' surpluses as a short-term bridge, in the event funding is restored under a future federal administration
- c) further reduce non-paratransit operating budgets to preserve current countywide paratransit service
- d) evaluate other high-cost ancillary services (e.g., shuttles, Ride Plus) to identify potential savings through efficiency improvements that could help offset paratransit costs

### FY26 and FY27 Operating Budgets (Changes from May Board):

Following the cost reduction review and the finalization of the shuttle program, total uses were reduced by \$0.9 million in FY26 and \$0.7 million in FY27. Revenue sources increased by \$3.5 million in FY26 and \$4.3 million in FY27, primarily due to the additional external funding from Commute.org and participating partners through the shuttle program. As a result, the FY26 projected surplus increased from \$0.5 million to \$4.9 million, and the FY27 projected deficit is reduced from \$9.4 million to \$4.4 million.

| \$ in Millions                      | May<br>Prelim<br>FY26 | June<br>Proposed<br>FY26 | \$ Change | May<br>Prelim<br>FY27 | June<br>Proposed<br>FY27 | \$ Change |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Total Sources                       | \$330.6               | \$334.1                  | \$3.5     | \$334.6               | \$338.9                  | \$4.3     |
| Total Uses                          | 330.1                 | 329.2                    | (0.9)     | 344.0                 | 343.3                    | (0.7)     |
| Projected Surplus / (Deficit)       | 0.5                   | 4.9                      | 4.4       | (9.4)                 | (4.4)                    | 5.0       |
| Draw from Prior Years'<br>Measure W | -                     | -                        | -         | 2.0                   | 2.0                      | -         |
| Draw from Prior Years'<br>Surpluses | -                     | -                        | -         | 7.4                   | 2.4                      | (5.0)     |
| Adjusted Surplus / (Deficit)        | \$0.5                 | \$4.9                    | \$4.4     | \$0.0                 | \$0.0                    | \$0.0     |

*Operating Budget: Changes from the FY26 and FY27 Preliminary Operating Budgets Presented in May to the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets Being Considered in June:* 

### Changes of Sources

Further refinement of projected sources increased estimates by \$3.5 million in FY26 and \$4.3 million in FY27 compared to the Preliminary Budget, driven by updated projections, refined assumptions, and finalization of plans for the District's shuttle program. Key changes include:

- Rental Income: a decrease of \$0.4 million in FY26, as Caltrain rent from the San Carlos Central Headquarters 1<sup>st</sup> floor will be recovered through the Indirect Cost Allocation Plan (ICAP).
- Employer Shuttle Funds: an increase of \$3.9 million in FY26 and \$4.3 million in FY27, reflecting participating partners' contributions for the extension of the shuttle program operations contract. The May Preliminary Budgets included a placeholder pending finalization of the associated contract extension. With the contract extension now finalized and included as a separate item for Board consideration at this June Board meeting, the proposed budget has been updated to reflect all sources and uses for the shuttle program accordingly.

| \$ in Millions       | May<br>Prelim<br>FY26 | June<br>Proposed<br>FY26 | \$ Change | May<br>Prelim<br>FY27 | June<br>Proposed<br>FY27 | \$ Change |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Passenger Fares      | \$13.3                | \$13.3                   | -         | \$13.6                | \$13.6                   | -         |
| AIA Recovery         | 39.0                  | 39.0                     | -         | 39.0                  | 39.0                     | -         |
| Investment Income    | 18.0                  | 18.0                     | -         | 17.2                  | 17.2                     | -         |
| Others and Pass-Thru | 17.4                  | 20.9                     | 3.5       | 15.7                  | 20.0                     | 4.3       |
| All Sales Tax        | 242.9                 | 242.9                    | -         | 249.1                 | 249.1                    | -         |
| Total Sources        | \$330.6               | \$334.1                  | \$3.5     | \$334.6               | \$338.9                  | \$4.3     |

*Sources: Changes from the FY26 and FY27 Preliminary Operating Budgets Presented in May to the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets Being Considered in June:* 

### Changes of Uses

Net reductions in use of funds total \$0.9 million in FY26 and \$0.7 million in FY27, driven by strengthening controls over discretionary spending and strategic labor savings. These reductions were partially offset by cost increases related to the shuttle contract extension.

Gross reductions amounted to approximately \$2.2 million in FY26 and \$2.7 million in FY27, primarily in discretionary spending, while preserving core operational service levels and reinforcing responsible financial stewardship. Key reductions include:

• Labor: a decrease of \$0.6 million in FY26 and \$1.0 million in FY27, resulting from detailed assessments of staffing resources and the decision to defer the hiring of specific vacant positions throughout all divisions, thereby reducing the budget for full time equivalents (FTEs) by 3.5 and 6.3 in FY26 and FY27, respectively.

- Various Non-labor: a reduction of \$1.2 million in FY26 and \$1.3 million in FY27, reflecting outcomes from the cost-reduction exercise focused on discretionary expenditures.
- Allocations & Contributions: updated the operating reserve requirement because of the decrease in total projected uses. Per the adopted Board policy, the reserve level must maintain a minimum of three months (25 percent) of the annual budgeted operating expenses.

These reductions were offset by increases to:

- Purchased Transportation: a net increase of \$1.1 million in FY26 and \$1.4 million in FY27
  - an increase of \$1.4 million in FY26 and \$1.7 million in FY27 to reflect the cost of the shuttle contract extension, replacing placeholder amounts from the May Preliminary Budget;
  - offset by \$0.3 million in reductions each year to the Contracted Urban Bus Service and Contracted American Disability Act Programs, in an effort to refine the budget estimates as part of the cost reduction effort, with no impact on service.
- Debt Service: an increase of \$0.2 million in FY27, resulting from updated bond structure assumptions for the purchase of the Millbrae Gateway headquarters.

|   | May<br>Prelim | June<br>Proposed |           | May<br>Prelim | June<br>Proposed |           |
|---|---------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| \$ in Millions                          | FY26          | FY26             | \$ Change | FY27          | FY27             | \$ Change |
| <b>Operating Expenses</b>               | \$305.8       | \$305.1          | \$(0.7)   | \$314.1       | \$313.2          | \$(0.9)   |
| Labor                                   | 142.8         | 142.2            | (0.6)     | 149.2         | 148.2            | (1.0)     |
| Purchased<br>Transportation             | 65.1          | 66.2             | 1.1       | 67.7          | 69.1             | 1.4       |
| Contracted Services                     | 38.5          | 38.1             | (0.4)     | 37.7          | 36.8             | (0.9)     |
| Materials and Supplies                  | 15.2          | 15.0             | (0.2)     | 15.7          | 15.5             | (0.2)     |
| Utilities                               | 3.1           | 3.1              | -         | 3.2           | 3.2              | -         |
| Insurance and Claims                    | 17.7          | 17.7             | -         | 18.4          | 18.4             | -         |
| Others, Managing<br>Costs, Pass-Through | 23.4          | 22.8             | (0.6)     | 22.2          | 22.0             | (0.2)     |
| Non-Operating Expenses                  | 24.3          | 24.1             | (0.2)     | 29.9          | 30.1             | 0.2       |
| Debt Service                            | 18.6          | 18.6             | -         | 25.8          | 26.0             | 0.2       |
| Allocation & Contribution               | 5.7           | 5.5              | (0.2)     | 4.1           | 4.1              | -         |
| Total Uses                              | \$330.1       | \$329.2          | \$(0.9)   | \$344.0       | \$343.3          | \$(0.7)   |

*Uses: Changes from the FY26 and FY27 Preliminary Operating Budgets Presented in May to the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets Being Considered in June:* 

### FY26 and FY27 Proposed Operating Budgets

Total sources for the FY26 Proposed Budget are \$334.1 million, with total uses of \$329.2 million, resulting in a surplus of \$4.9 million. This reflects an increase of \$4.4 million from the FY26 Preliminary Surplus of \$0.5 million.

Total sources for the FY27 Proposed Budget are \$338.9 million, with total uses of \$343.3 million, resulting in a deficit of \$4.4 million. This represents an improvement of \$5.0 million from the FY27 Preliminary Deficit of \$9.4 million. Staff propose to draw from prior years' surpluses and unallocated Measure W funds to balance the FY27 Proposed Budget. While the FY27 Proposed Budget still relies on prior years' funding, the draw has been reduced by half compared to the May Preliminary Budget.

| \$ in Millions                   | FY26<br>Proposed Budget | FY27<br>Proposed Budget |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Total Sources                    | \$334.1                 | \$338.9                 |
| Total Uses                       | \$329.2                 | \$343.3                 |
| Projected Surplus / (Deficit)    | \$4.9                   | (4.4)                   |
| Draw from Prior Years' Measure W | -                       | 2.0                     |
| Draw from Prior Years' Surpluses | -                       | 2.4                     |
| Adjusted Surplus / (Deficit)     | \$4.9                   | \$0.0                   |

### Proposed Operating Budgets

Staff will continue refining the FY27 budget throughout FY26 to eliminate the need to draw from prior year savings. Efforts will focus on identifying potential new revenue sources and implementing additional cost reduction strategies. Several cost-saving and revenue enhancement initiatives are already in the pipeline:

Planned Strategies for FY26

- Conduct fare analysis
- Assess a variety of revenue generation options
- Assess service efficiency as part of the Reimagine SamTrans evaluation

Planned Strategies for FY27

- Continue to seek non-labor cost reductions
- Implement fare adjustment options based on the FY26 fare analysis
- Consider service refinements, including purchased transportation, based on the outcomes from the FY26 Reimagine SamTrans evaluation

Detailed descriptions of the operating line items are available for reference in the Appendix.

### FY26 and FY27 Proposed Capital Budgets

The Proposed Budgets for Capital Projects are \$4.7 million in FY26 and \$5.7 million in FY27, developed from the prioritized list of projects identified in the draft Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

|                             | FY26<br>Proposed | FY27<br>Proposed |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Capital Categories          | Budget           | Budget           |
| Revenue Vehicle Support     | \$0.6            | \$0.9            |
| Non-Revenue Vehicle Support | 1.2              | 0.7              |
| Facilities / Construction   | 1.5              | 3.3              |
| Safety and Security         | 0.6              | -                |
| Planning / Development      | 0.8              | 0.8              |
| Total                       | \$4.7            | \$5.7            |

FY26 and FY27 represent the first years in which the capital budgets do not include any allocation from FY26 or FY7 operating funds. Instead, capital investments will rely solely on external funding sources and use existing unallocated funds and prior years' surplus fund balances.

|  | FY26     | FY27     |
|--|----------|----------|
|  | Proposed | Proposed |
| Capital Sources of Funds                       | Budget   | Budget   |
| STA – State of Good Repair                     | \$ -     | \$1.1    |
| District Sales Tax (Prior Years' Surpluses)    | \$1.3    | 1.6      |
| Measure W Sales Tax (Prior Years' Unallocated) | 3.4      | 3.0      |
| Total  | \$4.7    | \$5.7    |

The two-year capital budget is structured in two phases to align with the concurrent development of the budget and CIP. Phase I, reflected in the Proposed Budgets, focuses on core District priorities, maintaining District assets in a state of good repair, enhancing safety and security, and addressing critical facility improvements.

Staff will return in September with a budget amendment for Phase II of the capital budget process, following the anticipated CIP adoption in July. Phase II will incorporate additional capital investments, including the zero-emission bus transition, climate resilience initiatives such as sea level rise and shoreline erosion mitigation, and other major capital needs such as replacement of Building 200, modernization of information technology infrastructure and cybersecurity, and improvements to bus stops and operator restrooms.

This two-step process ensures the capital budget reflects the most current CIP priorities and funding allocations. As the CIP process matures and better synchronizes with future biennial budget cycles, this phased approach is not expected to be necessary.

Detailed descriptions of the capital projects are available for reference in Attachment B and the Appendix.

| Prepared By: | Cleo Liao        | Manager, Budgets   | 650-508-7756 |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|              | Emily Wei        | Budget Analyst III | 650-508-6230 |
|              | Melanie Hartanto | Budget Analyst III | 650-508-6478 |

The following section provides detailed descriptions of Sources of Funds broken down by line items as referenced in Attachment A.

### **Operating Revenue**

### Passenger Fares (line 2)

Passenger Fares include farebox receipts for both Motor Bus fixed route and Paratransit programs (also referred to as "Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Programs"). The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets do not include any fare changes, as a fare analysis is scheduled for FY26. While recent years have shown higher percentage increases in fare revenue, this growth has largely been driven by ridership recovery following the COVID-19 pandemic and the implementation of Reimagine SamTrans. As the ridership approaches pre-pandemic levels, future growth is expected to normalize. The FY26 Proposed Budget assumes a 2.0 percent (%) increase from the FY25 Forecast, and the same 2.0% growth projected for FY27. Passenger Fares for the FY26 Proposed Budget are estimated at \$13.3 million (M) and the FY27 Proposed Budget is \$13.6M.

| \$ In Millions    | FY24<br>CTUAL | FY2<br>FOREC | -    | FY2<br>PROPO | -    | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY2<br>PROPC |      | FY27 vs<br>\$ CHA |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------|--------------|------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|--------------|------|-------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
|                   |               |              |      | BUDG         | iΕT  |                       |     |                                 | BUDO         | SET  |                   |     |                                 |
| 2 Passenger Fares | \$<br>12.7    | \$           | 13.0 | \$           | 13.3 | Ś                     | 0.3 | 2.0%                            | \$           | 13.6 | \$                | 0.3 | 2.0%                            |

### Rental Income (line 3)

The District owns its headquarters building (also known as "Central") and parcels on the El Camino Real Corridor. Rental income reflects rents from tenants using available property space. The FY26 Proposed Budget assumes a 3% Consumer Price Index (CPI) increase on existing contracts. A formal lease agreement with Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB) is expected to begin generating revenue in FY27and includes nine months of rent from JPB (first three months of FY27 are rent free), following the District's planned relocation to the new headquarters at Millbrae Gateway by the end of FY26. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.9M, an increase of \$0.2M or 9.9% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$3.0M, which is higher by \$1.1M or 55.5% than FY26.

| \$ In Millions  | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY25 | -   | FY20<br>PROPO | -   | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------|------------|-----|------|-----|---------------|-----|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                 |            |     |      |     | BUDG          |     |                        |     |                                 | BUDGET           | <br>                             | FF 544                          |
| 3 Rental Income | \$         | 2.5 | \$   | 1.8 | \$            | 1.9 | Ş (                    | ).2 | 9.9%                            | \$ 3.0           | <br>\$ 1.1                       | 55.5%                           |

### **Dumbarton Rental Income (line 4)**

This revenue reflects the rental income from retailers and office tenants located along the Dumbarton Right-of-Way, which is owned by the District. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.0M, which is not significantly different than the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$1.1M, also is not significantly different than the FY26 Proposed Budget, reflecting stable lease activity and modest growth assumptions.

| \$ In Millions            | <br>(24<br>[ <u>UAL</u> | FY2 |     | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |   | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 4 Dumbarton Rental Income | \$<br>1.0               | \$  | 1.0 | \$                    | 1.0 | \$                       | 0.0 | 2.8%                            | \$ 1.                      | 1 | \$ 0.0                    | 3.0%                            |

### Investment Interest Income (line 5)

The District's investable funds are managed per its approved government investment policy, under the Chief Financial Officer's oversight. Investment interest income for the FY26 Proposed Budget is \$18.0M, which is \$2.0M or 10.1% lower than the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$17.2M, which is \$0.7M or 4.2% lower than FY26. These reductions reflect a conservative outlook on interest rates as well as an anticipated decline in available fund balances, as the District draws down reserves to support key capital project delivery in the coming years.

| \$ In Millions               | FY<br>ACT |      | FY2<br>FORE |      | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUDO | SED  | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |       | FY26 vs FY25<br>% CHANGE | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | 7 vs FY26<br>CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-------------|------|----------------------|------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 5 Investment Interest Income | \$        | 20.4 | \$          | 20.0 | \$                   | 18.0 | \$                          | (2.0) | -10.1%                   | \$ 17.2                    | 2\$ | (0.7)               | -4.2%                           |

### Advertising Income (line 6)

Advertising income is derived from advertisements placed on bus exteriors. The current advertising contract is set to expire in December 2026. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$0.8M, assuming the terms of the existing agreement. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$0.7M, reflects a conservative estimate under the assumptions on a new contract with potential different terms and market conditions.

| \$ In Millions       | FY2<br>ACTU | -   | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY20<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGET | D  | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------------|-------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 6 Advertising Income | \$          | 1.0 | \$            | 0.8 | \$                    | 0.8 | \$ (                   | 0.0 | 0.7%                            | \$ 0                      | .7 | \$ (0.1)                  | -11.0%                          |

### Other Income (line 7)

Other Income includes a variety of revenue sources that support District operations that consists of the following:

- 1. Financial support from the San Francisco Airport for Owl late-night airport bus service;
- 2. Reimbursement from MV Transportation for the use of the Brewster Building located in Redwood City;
- 3. Parking Revenues from Colma Park and Ride;
- 4. Proceeds from the sale of District assets such as revenue vehicles, non-revenue vehicles, and paratransit vans;
- 5. Online store; and
- 6. ATU reimbursement for the mentorship program

Other Income for the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets is \$0.4M which is \$0.4M or 49.8% lower than the FY25 Forecast. The FY25 Forecast includes a one-time refund from broker service related to the Millbrae Gateway headquarters. The FY24 Actual included a one-time \$22.4M JPB Right-of-Way repayment from City and County of San Francisco (CCSF), Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA), and Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), pursuant to the 2008 Real Property Ownership Agreement (RPOA) and a Memorandum of Understanding assigning certain rights from the District, as managing agency to JPB.

| \$ In Millions | '24<br>'UAL | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY26<br>PROPO | -   | FY26 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |       | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED |   | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                |             |               |     | BUDG          | ET  |                          |       |                                 | BUDGET           |   |                                  |                                 |
| 7 Other Income | \$<br>23.8  | \$            | 0.9 | \$            | 0.4 | \$                       | (0.4) | -49.8%                          | \$ 0.            | 4 | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |

### Agency Indirect Administration Recovery (line 8)

Agency Indirect Administration (AIA) recovery for shared services provided by the District. In addition to providing policy direction and administration of SamTrans, the District is also the managing agency for JPB, San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA), and San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority (SMCEL-JPA or JPA).

| \$ In Millions                   | <br>'24<br>'UAL | FY:<br>FORE |      | FY2<br>PROP<br>BUD | OSED | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHAN |     | FY26 vs FY25<br>% CHANGE | FY27<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGET | D  | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|--------------------|------|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 8 Agency Indirect Administration | \$<br>39.7      | \$          | 38.7 | -                  | 39.0 | \$                   | 0.3 | 0.9%                     |                           | .0 | \$-                       | 0.0%                            |

### **Operating Assistance**

### Prop A Sales Tax (line 11)

The District's original ½ cent sales tax was authorized by San Mateo County voters at the November 1974 election when the Measure to form the San Mateo County Transit District was approved. Pursuant to this authority, the District's Board of Directors acted to impose the sales tax in 1981. These funds have been collected since July 1, 1982, and provide operating revenues to cover the annual shortfall in operating revenues, local match for federal operating grants, capital programs and debt service.

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$114.9M, an increase of \$1.2M or 1.0% over the FY25 Forecast. This reflects a slower economic environment with lower inflation expectations and improving consumer confidence. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$118.9M, an increase of \$4.0M or 3.5% compared to FY26, anticipating continued recovery and moderate growth. Projections are developed conservatively considering ongoing economic uncertainty.

| \$ In Millions      | <br>y24<br>Tual | <br>(25<br>ECAST | PROF | 26<br>POSED<br>DGET | FY26 vs FY<br><u>\$ CHANG</u> |    | Y26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY2<br>PROPC | DSED  | FY27 vs F<br>\$ CHAN |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 11 Prop A Sales Tax | \$<br>115.6     | \$<br>113.7      |      | 114.9               | \$ 1                          | .2 | 1.0%                           |              | 118.9 | \$                   | 4.0 | 3.5%                            |

### Measure W Sales Tax (line 12)

The District's Measure W, approved by county voters in November 2018, authorized a ½ cent sales tax for a 30-year term, to be collected starting July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2049. Though the District Prop A and Measure W each are ½-cent sales taxes, one-half of the Measure W revenues flow directly to the TA for administration in accordance with the Measure W Congestion Relief Plan, without appearing in District revenues or expenses. The District's share of Measure W funding is designated for eligible operating expenses in accordance with the Measure SamTrans initiatives, Paratransit services, and other eligible operating projects that support mobility, equity and service enhancement across the county.

The assumption for the Measure W Sales Tax Proposed Budgets for FY26 and FY27 are aligned with the Prop A Sales Tax mentioned above. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$57.4M, an increase of \$0.6M or 1.0% over the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$59.4M, an increase of \$2.0M or 3.5% compared to FY26.

| \$ In Millions         | <br>'24<br>'UAL | FY<br>FORE |      | FY<br>PROP<br>BUD | OSED | FY26 vs FY<br><u>\$ CHANG</u> |    | Y26 vs FY25<br>% CHANGE | FY27<br>PROPOS<br>BUDG | SED  | FY27 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------------------------|----|-------------------------|------------------------|------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 12 Measure W Sales Tax | \$<br>57.7      | \$         | 56.8 | \$                | 57.4 | \$ 0                          | .6 | 1.0%                    | \$                     | 59.4 | \$                       | 2.0 | 3.5%                            |

### Transportation Development Act (TDA) Transit Fund (line 13)

Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds are derived from a ¼ cent general sales tax collected statewide for the improvement of public transportation services. Allocations made by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) are based on a population-based formula.

The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are based on MTC's February 2025 estimates. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$51.8M, a decrease of \$4.0M or 7.2% from the FY25 Forecast, reflecting a 5% reduction in MTC's estimated allocation relative to FY25 levels and exclusion of the one-time prior year carryforward included in the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget remains flat at the FY26 level. Any material changes will be incorporated into a budget amendment dependent on further MTC estimates.

| \$ In Millions  | <br>(24<br>TUAL | <br>25<br>CAST | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUD | OSED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | PROF | 727<br>POSED<br>DGET | <br>7 vs FY26<br>CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|------|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 13 Transportation Development<br>Act (TDA) Transit Fund | \$<br>53.6      | \$<br>55.8     | \$                  | 51.8 | \$ (4                  | .0) | -7.2%                           | \$   | 51.8                 | \$<br>-                 | 0.0%                            |

### State Transit Assistance (STA) (line 14)

State Transit Assistance (STA) funds are derived from a tax on diesel fuel and are allocated based on the availability of funds for eligible transit operators. The MTC apportions these funds based on two formulas: a Revenue Based Formula and a Population Based Formula.

The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are based on MTC's February 2025 estimates. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$9.3M, a decrease of \$3.4M or 26.8% from the FY25 Forecast, reflecting a 13% reduction in MTC's estimated allocation relative to FY25 levels and exclusion of the one-time prior year carryforward included in the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget remains flat at the FY26 level. Any material changes will be incorporated into a budget amendment dependent on further MTC estimates.

| \$ In Millions                    | FY.<br>ACT |      | FY2<br>FORE |      | FY20<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |       | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGET | D   | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------|-------------|------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 14 State Transit Assistance (STA) | \$         | 11.4 | \$          | 12.7 | \$                    | 9.3 | \$                          | (3.4) | -26.8%                          | \$ 9                      | 9.3 | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |

### **Operating Grants (line 15)**

Operating grants include federal, state and regional/local funding sources that support the District's operating activities. These revenues vary from year-to-year based on funding availability, project eligibility and policy priorities. Typical sources include Regional Measure 2 bridge-toll revenue, STA lifeline funds and other discretionary grants. The FY26 Proposed Budget includes Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding for two key planning initiatives: the Grand Boulevard Initiative Action Plan, and the El Camino Real Mid-County Transit and Multimodal Plan. It is important to note that certain federal funding sources may be at risk due to potential changes in federal policies and appropriations.

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$3.0M, an increase of \$1.9M or 182.1% from the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$2.0M, a decrease of \$1.0M or 33.9% compared to FY26.

| \$ In Millions      | FY24<br>ACTU |     | FY25<br>FOREC | ·   | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHAN |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGET | D  | FY27 vs FY2<br>\$ CHANGE | 6 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 15 Operating Grants | \$           | 0.8 | \$            | 1.1 | \$                    | 3.0 | \$                   | 1.9 | 182.1%                          | \$ 2                      | .0 | \$ (1.0                  | ) -33.9%                          |

#### American Disabilities Act (ADA) Operating Assistance

### Transportation Development Act (TDA) 4.5 Redi-Wheels (line 18)

TDA revenues have traditionally funded a substantial portion of the motor bus operations, and a portion is allocated to community and paratransit agencies.

The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are based on MTC's February 2025 estimates. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$2.7M, a decrease of \$0.2M or 8.0% from the FY25 Forecast, reflecting a 5% reduction in MTC's estimated allocation relative to FY25 levels and exclusion of the one-time prior year carryforward included in the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget remains flat at the FY26 level. Any material changes will be incorporated into a budget amendment dependent on further MTC estimates.

| \$ In Millions   | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FORE |     | FY26<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGE | ED  | FY26 vs FY2<br>\$ CHANGE | 5 FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |      | Y27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 18 Transportation Development<br>Act (TDA) 4.5 Redi-Wheels | \$         | 2.8 | \$          | 3.0 | \$ 3                     | 2.7 | \$ (0.                   | 2) -8.0%                          | \$ 2.3                     | 7 \$ | \$-                      | 0.0%                            |

### State Transit Assistance (STA) - Paratransit (line 19)

The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are based on MTC's February 2025 estimates. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.0M, a decrease of \$0.2M or 13.7% from the FY25 Forecast, reflecting a 13% reduction in MTC's estimated allocation relative to FY25 levels and exclusion of the one-time prior year carryforward included in the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget remains flat at the FY26 level. Any material changes will be incorporated into a budget amendment dependent on further MTC estimates.

| \$ In Millions                                     | FY24<br>ACTU | -   | FY25<br>FORECA | <u>st</u> | FY26<br>PROPOSE |    | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY2<br>\$ CHANGE | 6 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|--------------|-----|----------------|-----------|-----------------|----|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 19 State Transit Assistance (STA) -<br>Paratransit | \$           | 1.1 | \$             | 1.2       | \$ 1            | .0 | \$ (0.2)                         | -13.7%                          | \$ 1.0                     | \$-                      | 0.0%                              |

### San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) Measure A Redi-Wheels (line 20)

The voters of San Mateo County approved a ballot measure known as Measure A and approved a ½ cent sales tax to fund local transportation projects. In accordance with the voters approved Measure A Transportation Expenditure Plan, the TA contributes 4% of its Measure A sales tax revenues to projects assisting people with special mobility needs. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$4.6M and the FY27 Proposed Budget is \$4.8M, consistent with the sales tax projections associated with both Prop A and Measure W mentioned above.

| \$ In Millions                 | FY24<br>ACTU |     | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY20<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |    | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | PROF | /27<br>POSED<br>DGET | FY27 vs<br><u>\$ CHAI</u> |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|----|---------------------------------|------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 20 SMCTA Measure A Redi-Wheels | \$           | 4.7 | \$            | 4.5 | \$                    | 4.6 | \$ 0                   | .0 | 1.0%                            | \$   | 4.8                  | \$                        | 0.2 | 3.5%                            |

### Measure M – Paratransit (line 21)

Measure M funding is from a San Mateo County \$10 motor vehicle registration fee for congestion and pollution mitigation. These funds are used for expenditures relating to paratransit operations, services for seniors and veteran mobility programs. The District is expected to receive an annual amount of \$1.2M in FY26, consistent with the current five-year agreement with the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG). The FY27 Proposed Budget assumes continued funding at the same level, pending a new five-year agreement with C/CAG.

| \$ In Millions           | FY.<br>ACT |     | FY2 | -   | FY20<br>PROPO |     | FY26 vs FY25<br>\$ CHANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
|                          |            |     |     |     | BUDG          | ET  |                           |                                 | BUDGET           |     |                                 |
| 21 Measure M Paratransit | \$         | 1.2 | \$  | 1.2 | \$            | 1.2 | \$-                       | 0.0%                            | \$ 1.2           | \$- | 0.0%                            |

### **Operating Grants – American Disabilities Act (line 22)**

This line includes operating grant for the FTA ADA Operating Subsidy, which supports the Redi-Wheels services. The subsidy is requested annually through the MTC Transit Capital Priorities (TCP) process. While there has been no indication that this funding will be withheld, it may be at risk due to current federal administration priorities. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$3.8M and the FY27 Proposed Budget is \$3.9M.

| \$ In Millions                                     | FY<br><u>ACT</u> |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOS<br>BUDGE | ED  | FY27 vs<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|------------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 22 Operating Grants - American<br>Disabilities Act | \$               | 2.7 | \$           | 3.7 | \$                    | 3.8 | \$                     | 0.1 | 2.0%                            | \$                      | 3.9 | \$                        | 0.1 | 2.5%                            |

### Multi-Modal Transit Program Operating Assistance

### Employer SamTrans Shuttle Funds (line 25)

The shuttle program provides free commute-hour rides to employees traveling from Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) and Caltrain stations to local employer facilities. The cost of operating the shuttles is shared with Commute.org and participating partners. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$8.6M, which is \$4.0M or 86.7% higher than the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$8.9M, which is not significantly different than FY26. These proposed budgets account for a two-year extension of the Shuttle contract, maintaining the current service level and cost-sharing arraignments. While Commute.org and participating partners cover the base contract, certain expenses such as vendor incentives specified in the contract are not covered and will instead be funded by District Sales Tax, approximately \$0.3M per fiscal year.

| \$ In Millions                        | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG | 5 FY26 vs FY<br>% CHANG | -    | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-------------------------|------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 25 Employer SamTrans Shuttle<br>Funds | \$         | 4.5 | \$           | 4.6 | \$                    | 8.6 | \$ 4                   | 0 86.7                  | % \$ | \$ 8.9                     | \$ 0.3                    | 4.0%                            |

### Pass-Through to Other Agencies (line 28)

Pass-Through funding reflects funding for local jurisdictions in support of city-operated shuttle and transportation programs. The FY25 Forecast represents the first year of a two-year agreement under Lifeline Transportation Programs Cycle 7, administered in partnership with C/CAG. Funded projects include:

- City of Daly City's Bayshore Shuttle
- City of East Palo Alto's East Bayshore Pedestrian and Cyclist Improvements
- City of Menlo Park's Crosstown Shuttle
- City of Menlo Park's Shopper Shuttle
- City of San Mateo's Get Around Senior Program
- City of South San Francisco's Free South San Francisco Outreach Enhancement Project
- County of San Mateo's North Fair Oaks Community Connections Projects

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.4M, representing the second year of Cycle 7. The FY27 Proposed Budget assumes no new funding, pending the announcement of Cycle 8 or subsequent funding rounds. There are corresponding expenses in Line 81.

| \$ In Millions                    | FY24<br>ACTUA | L | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUDO | SED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|-----|----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 28 Pass-Through to Other Agencies | \$            | • | \$            | 1.4 |                      | 1.4 | \$                          | 0.0 | 0.0%                            |                            | \$ (1.4)                  | -100.0%                         |

The following section provides detailed descriptions of Uses of Funds broken down by line items as referenced in Attachment A.

### <u>Labor</u>

### Wages and Benefits (line 33)

Wages and benefits are calculated based on the approved Salary Ordinance positions, current salary levels and assumes a vacancy rate savings. The key assumptions for the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are as follows:

- FY26 includes a 3.5% universal wage increase for non-represented employees and a 3.5% universal wage increase with equity adjustment for represented employees based on the District's current collective bargaining agreement which expires June 30, 2028.
- FY27 includes a 3.5% universal wage increase for all non-represented and represented employees.
- The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets include 350 Bus Operator positions based on the approved Salary Ordinance 110 to deliver the Reimagine SamTrans service plan.
- Fringe benefit costs are projected to increase over the two years due to contractual increases.
- Fringe benefit costs are applied to actual staff wages as a rate.
- The District aggregates all estimated annual fringe benefit costs (payroll taxes; pension contributions; medical, dental and vision premiums; life insurance, long-term disability unemployment contributions and paid time off) and then divides that amount by the total projected wages for the upcoming year to arrive at a fringe benefit rate. These costs are then shared with JPB, TA and JPA.

Below is a summary of Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) for FY26 and FY27. There are no new positions assumed for FY26 and FY27.

|   |       |      |      | F       | Y26 FTEs |      |          |        |       |       |      |      | F       | Y27 FTEs |      |          |        |       |
|---|-------|------|------|---------|----------|------|----------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---------|----------|------|----------|--------|-------|
|   | Bus   | Comm | Exec | Finance | IT       | PCG  | Planning | Safety | Total | Bus   | Comm | Exec | Finance | IT       | PCG  | Planning | Safety | Total |
|   |       |      |      |         |          |      |          |        |       |       |      |      |         |          |      |          |        |       |
| Represented                             |       |      |      |         |          |      |          |        |       |       |      |      |         |          |      |          |        |       |
| Bus Operators (Full & Part-time) *      | 350.0 |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 350.0 | 350.0 |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 350.0 |
| Bus Operator Mentor Coordinator         | 0.8   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 0.8   | 1.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 1.0   |
| Mechanics                               | 63.4  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 63.4  | 63.4  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 63.4  |
| Storekeepers                            | 7.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 7.0   | 7.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 7.0   |
| Utility Workers                         | 31.0  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 31.0  | 31.0  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 31.0  |
| Customer Service Reps                   |       | 6.7  |      |         |          |      |          |        | 6.7   |       | 6.7  |      |         |          |      |          |        | 6.7   |
| Receptionist                            |       | 0.6  |      |         |          |      |          |        | 0.6   |       | 0.6  |      |         |          |      |          |        | 0.6   |
| Bus Transportation Supervisors          | 16.0  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 16.0  | 16.0  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 16.0  |
| Dispatch                                | 8.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 8.0   | 8.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 8.0   |
| Radio Controller                        | 3.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 3.0   | 3.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 3.0   |
| Bus Contract Inspector                  | 3.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 3.0   | 3.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 3.0   |
| Transit Instructors                     | 10.0  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 10.0  | 10.0  |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 10.0  |
| Maintenance Instructors                 | 2.9   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 2.9   | 2.9   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 2.9   |
| Maintenance Supervisors                 | 6.8   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 6.8   | 6.8   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 6.8   |
| Utility Maintenance Supervisors         | 2.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 2.0   | 2.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 2.0   |
| Facilities Technician                   | 6.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 6.0   | 6.0   |      |      |         |          |      |          |        | 6.0   |
| Existing Represented Operating FTEs     | 509.8 | 7.3  | -    | -       | -        | -    | -        | -      | 517.0 | 510.0 | 7.3  | -    | -       | -        | -    | -        | -      | 517.3 |
|   |       |      |      |         |          |      |          |        |       |       |      |      |         |          |      |          |        |       |
| Existing Non-Represented Operating FTEs |       | 21.4 | 4.6  | 47.0    | 46.9     | 30.5 | 19.4     | 7.4    | 236.9 | 59.8  | 21.6 | 4.6  |         | 48.6     | 30.8 |          | 7.4    |       |
| Total Operating FTEs                    | 569.5 | 28.7 | 4.6  | 47.0    | 46.9     | 30.5 | 19.4     | 7.4    | 753.9 | 569.8 | 28.9 | 4.6  | 48.6    | 48.6     | 30.8 | 19.6     | 7.4    | 758.2 |
| Existing Capital FTEs                   | 11.6  | 0.9  |      | 8.4     |          | 0.8  | 1.8      |        | 23.5  | 12.3  | 1.0  |      | 8.8     |          | 0.8  | 1.8      |        | 24.5  |
| Total Capital FTEs                      | 11.6  | 0.9  | -    | 8.4     | -        | 0.8  | 1.8      | -      | 23.5  | 12.3  | 1.0  | -    | 8.8     | -        | 0.8  | 1.8      | -      | 24.5  |
| Total FTEs                              | 581.1 | 29.7 | 4.6  | 55.4    | 46.9     | 31.3 | 21.2     | 7.4    | 777.4 | 582.0 | 29.8 | 4.6  | 57.4    | 48.6     | 31.5 | 21.4     | 7.4    | 782.7 |

\* For budgeting purposes, the wages and benefits budget account for Bus Operator leave of absences and vacancies, resulting in a total of 322 budgeted FTEs.

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$130.4M, an increase of \$3.9M or 3.1% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$136.4M, an increase of \$6.0M or 4.6% than FY26.

| A                   |    | Y24   | -   | Y25   | -   |       |                 |     | FY26 vs FY25    |    |       |                  | 6 FY27 vs FY26  |
|---------------------|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|----|-------|------------------|-----------------|
| \$ In Millions      | AC | TUAL  | FOR | ECAST |     | POSED | <u>\$ CHANG</u> | E   | <u>% CHANGE</u> |    | POSED | <u>\$ CHANGE</u> | <u>% CHANGE</u> |
|                     |    |       |     |       | BUI | DGET  |                 |     |                 | BU | IDGET |                  |                 |
| 33 Wages & Benefits | \$ | 111.0 | \$  | 126.6 | \$  | 130.4 | \$              | 3.9 | 3.1%            | \$ | 136.4 | \$ 6.            | 4.6%            |

### Board of Directors (line 34)

The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$0.3M annually for Board of Directors expenses, which covers Board member compensation and benefits, travel, and related expenses. This represents an increase of \$0.2M or 186.3% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The increase is primarily due to the new District policy allocating \$10,000 per Board member for conference, training and travel. Additionally, costs associated with the Hyland/OnBase board agenda platform and Pen Media's services have been transferred to the Board of Directors budget from Professional Services (Line 46).

| \$ In Millions        | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC      |                   | <br>      | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY2<br>PROP      |                   |           | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| 34 Board of Directors | \$         | 0.2 | \$           | 0.1 | <u>BUD0</u><br>\$ | <u>SET</u><br>0.3 | \$<br>0.2 | 186.3%                          | <u>BUD</u><br>\$ | <u>GET</u><br>0.3 | \$<br>0.0 | 0.4%                            |

### Indirect Administrative and Overhead Costs – Labor (line 35)

The Indirect Administrative and Overhead Costs represent a pool of costs that cannot be directly attributed to a specific agency but are necessary to support shared services across all agencies managed or supported by the District. To ensure equitable distribution, the District engaged an external consulting firm with expertise in government cost allocation to develop the Indirect Cost Allocation Plan (ICAP) methodology.

The ICAP is prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines set forth in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments" and ASMB C-10 "Cost Principles and Procedures for Developing Cost Allocation Plans and Indirect Cost Rates for Agreements with the Federal Government." This consists of labor and non-labor support functions that benefit the District, JPB, TA, and JPA.

Examples of AIA costs include shared administrative support, such as the time charged by the Payroll Department to process the biweekly payroll or the time charged by the Human Resource Department to handle recruitments and hiring process. Based on specific statistics, these costs are distributed to each department. The District initially incurs all the AIA costs and then recovers appropriate portions from each supported agency (Line 8).

This line item represents the labor portion of the AIA costs allocated to the District with a placeholder of \$11.5M included in both the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets, pending finalization of the ICAP plan. A budget amendment may be brought forward once the FY27 ICAP has been completed which is estimated to be in spring of 2026.

| \$ In Millions                                  | FY2<br><u>ACTL</u> |      | FY2<br>FOREC | -    | FY2<br>PROPC<br>BUDC | SED  | FY26 vs FY2<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | 5 FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br>% CHANGE |
|---|--------------------|------|--------------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 35 Indirect Admin and Overhead<br>Costs - Labor | \$                 | 11.2 | \$           | 13.3 | \$                   | 11.5 | \$ (1.                          | 8) -13.3%                         | \$ 11.5                    | \$ -                             | 0.0%                     |

### **Purchased Transportation**

### Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) (line 38)

This line covers costs associated with the CUB service, Microtransit on-demand service, Coastside services and SamCoast services. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$37.8M, an increase of \$1.7M or 4.6% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$39.5M, an increase of \$1.8M or 4.7% than FY26. The overall increase is driven by contractual increases and modest ridership growth.

| \$ In Millions                  |    | FY24<br>CTUAL | FO  | FY25<br>RECAST |     | FY26<br>OPOSED<br>UDGET |     | 6 vs FY25<br>CHANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | _  | FY27<br>ROPOSED<br>BUDGET |    | 27 vs FY26<br>CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------|----|---------------|-----|----------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Contracted Urban Bus Service    | Ś  | 25.1          | Ś   | 29.3           |     | 30.4                    | Ś   | 1.1                 | 3.7%                            |    | 31.8                      | Ś  | 1.4                  | 4.7%                            |
| Coastside Services              | Ś  | 2.0           | 1.1 | 2.8            | 1.1 | 3.2                     | 1.1 | 0.4                 | 15.5%                           | 1. | 3.4                       | 1  | 0.2                  | 6.0%                            |
| Microtransit                    | \$ | 3.1           | \$  | 3.2            | \$  | 3.3                     | \$  | 0.1                 | 3.6%                            | \$ | 3.4                       | \$ | 0.1                  | 2.8%                            |
| SamCoast - Pescadero            | \$ | 0.6           | \$  | 0.8            | \$  | 0.8                     | \$  | 0.0                 | 5.9%                            | \$ | 0.9                       | \$ | 0.1                  | 9.1%                            |
| La Honda - Pescadero            | \$ | 0.0           | \$  | 0.1            | \$  | 0.1                     | \$  | (0.0)               | -0.8%                           | \$ | 0.1                       | \$ | -                    | 0.0%                            |
| 38 Contracted Urban Bus Service | \$ | 30.8          | \$  | 36.1           | \$  | 37.8                    | \$  | 1.7                 | 4.6%                            | \$ | 39.5                      | \$ | 1.8                  | 4.7%                            |

### **Contracted American Disability Act Programs (line 39)**

This line item covers costs associated with the Elderly and Disabled (Redi-Wheels) service, ADA Sedan / Taxi Services and Coastside ADA service. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$19.6M, an increase of \$2.3M or 13.4% compared to the FY25 Forecast, primarily driven by 1) higher costs related to Redi-Wheels and ADA Sedan/Taxi services resulting from the recent contract extension and improved ridership recovery, and 2) lower than expected Coastside ADA services in the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget of \$20.4M, an increase of \$0.8M or 4.3% over FY26, attributed to 1) projected cost escalations associated with a new Redi-Wheels and ADA Sedan/Taxi contract, and 2) contractual increase for the Coastside ADA service.

|                                   |          | FY24  |    | FY25    |    | FY26   | FY2 | 6 vs FY25 | FY26 vs FY25 |    | FY27   | FY2 | 27 vs FY26 | FY27 vs FY26    |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------|----|---------|----|--------|-----|-----------|--------------|----|--------|-----|------------|-----------------|
| \$ In Millions                    | <u>A</u> | CTUAL | FC | DRECAST | PR | OPOSED | \$  | CHANGE    | % CHANGE     | PR | OPOSED | \$  | CHANGE     | <u>% CHANGE</u> |
|                                   |          |       |    |         | B  | UDGET  |     |           |              | B  | UDGET  |     |            |                 |
| Elderly & Disabled/Redi-Wheels    | \$       | 8.8   | \$ | 11.8    | \$ | 11.7   | \$  | (0.1)     | -0.7%        | \$ | 12.2   | \$  | 0.5        | 4.0%            |
| ADA Sedan/Taxi Service            | \$       | 3.2   | \$ | 2.9     | \$ | 4.3    | \$  | 1.3       | 45.6%        | \$ | 4.4    | \$  | 0.2        | 4.0%            |
| Coastside ADA                     | \$       | 2.7   | \$ | 2.5     | \$ | 3.6    | \$  | 1.1       | 41.8%        | \$ | 3.8    | \$  | 0.2        | 5.5%            |
| 39 American Disability Act Progra | ı\$      | 14.7  | \$ | 17.2    | \$ | 19.6   | \$  | 2.3       | 13.4%        | \$ | 20.4   | \$  | 0.8        | 4.3%            |

### Contracted Multi-Modal Transit Programs – Shuttles (line 40)

This line item reflects expenses for contracted shuttle services that offer free commute-hour rides to employees traveling from BART and Caltrain stations to local employer facilities. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$8.9M, which is \$2.9M or 47.7% higher than the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$9.2M, not significantly different than FY26. Both budgets account for a two-year extension of the Shuttle contract, maintaining the current service level. This extension is necessary due to significant increases in market rates for service delivery and unforeseen budget constraints from the program partners. It will allow the District and its partners additional time to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the program and associated contracted services.

| \$ In Millions                                | FY24<br>ACTUAL |   | FY25<br>FORECA | <u>st</u> | FY26<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGE | D   | FY26 vs FY25<br>\$CHANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUDO | DSED | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|----------------|---|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 40 Contracted Multi-Modal Transit<br>Programs | \$ 4.          | 5 | \$             | 6.0       | \$ 1                     | 8.9 | \$ 2.9                   | 47.7%                           | \$                   | 9.2  | \$ 0.3                           | 3.9%                            |

### **Contracted Services**

### Vehicle Maintenance (Contracted) (line 43)

This category includes contracted expenses for bus operations and vehicle maintenance, such as towing, welding, seat repairs, oil analysis, rolling stock repairs, mandated bus services, and other related services. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.9M, an increase of \$0.9M or 104.1% compared to the FY25 Forecast due to a one-time request for bus repainting and decommissioning. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$0.9M, a decrease of \$1.0M or 53.2% reflecting the removal of the FY26 one-time request.

| \$ In Millions                         | FY.<br><u>ACT</u> |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|-------------------|-----|--------------|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 43 Vehicle Maintenance<br>(Contracted) | \$                | 0.8 | \$           | 0.9 | \$ 1.                      | 9\$ | 0.9 | 104.1%                          | \$ 0.9                     | \$ (1.0)                         | -53.2%                          |

### **Dumbarton Maintenance of Way (line 44)**

This line item reflects costs associated with maintaining the Dumbarton Right-of-Way, including landscaping, electrical maintenance and general upkeep. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$0.4M each, a decrease of \$0.2M or 33.1% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY25 forecast reflects increased cleanup for the unhoused and enhanced weed abatement.

| \$ In Millions                     | FY2<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGET | D   | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 44 Dumbarton Maintenance of<br>Way | \$         | 0.1 | \$           | 0.5 | \$ 0                      | ).4 | \$ (0.2)                         | -33.1%                          | \$ 0.4                     | 4 : | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |

### Property Maintenance (line 45)

This line item includes costs associated with maintaining North Base and South Base facilities, and Central. North and South Base maintenance expenses include repair of shop equipment, preventive maintenance, repairs to mobile wheel lifts and removal of hazardous materials (i.e., bus washer sludge, waste oil, steam cleaner sludge, used oil filters, etc.). The budget also includes pest control services and other general repairs for the three facilities.

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$4.3M, an increase of \$0.8M or 23.3% over the FY25 Forecast, reflecting anticipated maintenance needs and inflationary cost pressures. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$4.5M, an increase of \$0.2M or 4.5% than FY26, including ongoing maintenance at Central following the District's relocation to the Millbrae Gateway headquarters.

| \$ In Millions          | FY.<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO | -         | FY26 vs I<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | FY27 vs FY<br><u>\$ CHANG</u> | 26 FY27 vs FY26<br>E <u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                         |            |     |              |     | BUDG         | <b>ET</b> |                             |     |                                 | BUDGET           |                               |                                      |
| 45 Property Maintenance | \$         | 3.0 | \$           | 3.5 | \$           | 4.3       | \$                          | 0.8 | 23.3%                           | \$ 4.5           | \$ 0.                         | 2 4.5%                               |

### **Professional Services (line 46)**

This line item includes a wide range of legal, consulting, and specialized professional services that support the District's operations, planning efforts, and compliance with regulatory requirements. Key components include:

- Executive Legal Services, including the contract with Hanson Bridgett
- Legislative Advocacy Services for Federal and State legislative efforts
- Planning initiatives such as Grand Boulevard Initiative Action Plan, El Camino Real Mid-County Transit and Multimodal Plan, Fare Study, On-Demand and Paratransit Integration Study, Sustainability Strategic Plan
- Financial Services, including the annual audit, actuarial reporting required for Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB), systems contract services and on-call support
- Administrative issues related to mandated grievance and arbitration hearings, substance abuse professionals and court reporter services
- Temporary Staffing to backfill vacant positions
- Recruitment costs for open positions
- Safety Culture Study Implementation and Threat Vulnerability Assessment

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$11.5M, an increase of \$3.4M or 41.2% over the FY25 Forecast, primarily due to contractual cost escalations, new requests for the Grand Boulevard Initiative Action Plan, Safety Culture Study Implementation, Thread Vulnerability Assessment, and fare analysis. Additionally, the FY25 forecast reflects updated timing and refined spending plans for certain operating projects and professional services to FY26. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$10.0M, a decrease of \$1.6M or 13.7% compared to the FY26 Proposed Budget, reflecting alignment with projected operating project schedules.

| \$ In Millions           | FY.<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   |     | 26<br>OSED | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | <br>        | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----|------------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
|                          |            |     |              |     | BUD | GET        |                       |     |                                 | BUDGET           |             |                                 |
| 46 Professional Services | \$         | 8.4 | \$           | 8.2 | \$  | 11.5       | \$                    | 3.4 | 41.2%                           | \$ 10.0          | \$<br>(1.6) | -13.7%                          |

### Technical Services (line 47)

Technical Services include costs associated with the maintenance, support, and licensing of critical technology systems across the District. Key components include:

- Bus Operators' radio system maintenance and support
- Licensing and service agreements for District-wide software applications
- Office related equipment, such as desktop computers, printers, and associated repairs

The Proposed FY26 Budget is \$7.8M, an increase of \$1.2M or 18.8% compared to the FY25 Forecast, primarily driven by cost escalations and new software requests. The FY25 Forecast was lower due to planned IT software subscriptions that did not materialize. The FY27 Proposed Budget of \$7.8M, is not significantly different from FY26.

| \$ In Millions        | FY24<br>ACTU | -   | FY25<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPOS<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |    | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |      | Y27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 47 Technical Services | \$           | 5.3 | \$            | 6.6 | \$                     | 7.8 | \$ 1                   | .2 | 18.8%                           | \$ 7.8                     | B \$ | 6 (0.0)                  | -0.5%                           |

### Security and Law Enforcement (line 48)

This line item includes costs associated with the District's security and law enforcement efforts, which are essential to maintaining safety across facilities and operations. Expenses include:

- Security Guard contract
- San Mateo County Sheriff Law Enforcement contract
- Closed-circuit television (CCTV) (mobile and stationary) maintenance
- Security access control and keys system maintenance

The Proposed FY26 Budget is \$6.8M, an increase of \$1.6M or 31.8% compared to the FY25 Forecast. This increase reflects the extension of the current security contract through June 2026 to support expanded services at both Central and the new Millbrae Gateway headquarters. It also includes increased CCTV maintenance costs tied to the need for safeguarding and monitoring during major capital projects. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$8.0M, an increase of \$1.1M or 16.5% over FY26, reflecting an anticipated cost increase under a new security guard contract following the District's relocation to Millbrae Gateway headquarters.

| \$ In Millions                  | FY2<br>ACTU |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO | -   |      |    | FY26 vs FY25<br>% CHANGE | FY27<br>PROPOSE |     | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|------|----|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                 |             |     |              |     | BUDG         | iΕT |      |    |                          | BUDGET          |     |                           |                                 |
| 48 Security and Law Enforcement | \$          | 4.1 | \$           | 5.2 | \$           | 6.8 | \$ 1 | .6 | 31.8%                    | \$ 8            | 8.0 | \$ 1.1                    | 16.5%                           |

### Ticketing and Fare Collection (line 49)

This line item includes costs associated with the District's fare collection systems and transitrelated materials. Expenses include:

- Clipper operator charges
- Cash collection services
- Printing tickets and passes
- Printing timetables, schedules and maps

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.3M, an increase of \$0.1M or 10.2% compared to the FY25 Forecast. While MTC used its interest income to subsidize the Clipper 1 operational costs, resulting in reduced Clipper operator charges, this reduction is offset by the contractual increase in cash collection service costs. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$1.4M, an increase of \$0.1M or 5.0% over FY26.

| \$ In Millions                   | FY<br>ACT |     | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY26<br>PROPOS |     | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |    | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE |    | FY27 vs FY2<br>\$ CHANGE | 6 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----|---------------|-----|----------------|-----|------------------------|----|---------------------------------|-----------------|----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                  |           |     |               |     | BUDG           | ET  |                        |    |                                 | BUDGET          |    |                          |                                   |
| 49 Ticketing and Fare Collection | \$        | 1.2 | \$            | 1.2 | \$             | 1.3 | \$ (                   | .1 | 10.2%                           | \$ 1            | .4 | \$ 0.1                   | 5.0%                              |

### **Other Services (line 50)**

This line item captures a variety of support services that contribute to the District's business operations, customer experience and community engagement that include:

- WIPRO hosting and support contract for PeopleSoft
- Sequoia Station maintenance
- Customer communication improvements
- Service alerts and customer communications
- Surveys on customer satisfaction and market studies

- On-call public relations and media monitoring services
- Interpretation and translation services to support language access

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$4.1M, an increase of \$0.3M or 7.1% compared to the FY25 Forecast, primarily driven by the new customer communication improvements and service alerts upgrades initiatives. The FY27 Proposed Budget of \$4.0M is not significantly different from FY26.

| \$ In Millions    | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC |     | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | 27 vs FY26<br>CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
|                   |            |     |              |     | BUDO         | SET |                       |     |                                 | BUDGET           |                      |                                 |
| 50 Other Services | \$         | 4.3 | \$           | 3.8 | \$           | 4.1 | \$                    | 0.3 | 7.1%                            | \$ 4.0           | \$<br>(0.1)          | -1.9%                           |

#### **Materials And Supplies**

### Bus Fuel and Energy (line 53)

This line item covers expenses for diesel, hydrogen, and electricity used by District-owned and operated buses, as well as gasoline for non-revenue support vehicles such as shop trucks and relief cars for bus operators. Additionally, expenses for oil and lubricants are included, based on the estimated quantities required for the fiscal year.

|  |          | FY25      |     | FY26     |     | FY27      |
|--|----------|-----------|-----|----------|-----|-----------|
|  |          | Forecast  |     | Budget   |     | Budget    |
|  |          |           |     |          |     |           |
| Projected Miles                            | 6        | 5,842,367 | - 4 | ,987,578 | 5   | 5,137,206 |
| Price / Gallon                             | \$       | 3.05      | \$  | 3.21     | \$  | 3.21      |
| Projected Fuel Consumption - Total Gallons | 1        | l,531,480 | 1   | ,268,996 | 1   | L,307,066 |
| Diesel Fuel Cost                           | \$4      | ,671,916  | \$4 | ,073,477 | \$4 | ,195,681  |
| Hedging                                    | \$       | 10,000    | \$  | 10,000   | \$  | 10,000    |
| Total Diesel Cost                          | \$4      | ,681,916  | \$4 | ,083,480 | \$4 | ,205,690  |
|  |          |           |     |          |     |           |
| Projected Miles                            |          | 92,640    | 1   | ,602,640 | 1   | 1,602,640 |
| Projected Miles/kg                         |          | 8.80      |     | 8.80     |     | 8.80      |
| Projected Price/kg                         | \$       | 8.75      | \$  | 8.75     | \$  | 9.01      |
| FCEB Fuel Loss                             | \$<br>\$ | 6,766     | \$  | 95,813   | \$  | 98,687    |
| Total Hydrogen Cost                        | \$       | 98,916    | \$1 | ,689,350 | \$1 | ,740,030  |
|  |          |           |     |          |     |           |
| Projected Miles                            |          | 364,654   | 1   | ,116,100 | 1   | 1,116,100 |
| Projected kWh/miles                        |          | 2.10      |     | 2.10     |     | 2.10      |
| Projected Price/kWh                        | \$       | 0.30      | \$  | 0.35     | \$  | 0.36      |
| Total Electricity Cost                     | \$       | 229,750   | \$  | 820,810  | \$  | 845,430   |
|  |          |           |     |          |     |           |
| Gasoline                                   | \$1      | ,512,132  | \$1 | ,435,250 | \$1 | ,602,200  |
| Oil and Lubricants                         | \$       | 385,014   | \$  | 293,402  | \$  | 298,537   |
| Taxes                                      | \$       | 578,661   | \$  | 499,985  | \$  | 514,674   |
|  |          |           |     |          |     |           |
| Total Fuel and Electricity Cost            | \$7      | ,486,389  | \$8 | ,822,277 | \$9 | ,206,561  |
| Total Projected Miles                      | 7        | ,299,661  | 7   | ,706,318 | 7   | ,855,946  |
|  |          |           |     |          |     |           |

The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$8.8M, an increase of \$1.3M or 17.8% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The increase is driven by 1) a projected increase in overall service mileage due to the implementation of Reimagine SamTrans, 2) a shift in mileage towards Fuel Cell Electric Buses (FCEBs) and Battery Electric Buses (BEBs) while still maintaining significant mileage on diesel-powered buses, and 3) anticipated higher costs for both diesel fuel and electricity. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$9.2M, an increase of \$0.4M or 4.4% over FY26, reflecting anticipated increases in hydrogen and electricity costs.

| \$ In Millions         | FY2<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC |           | FY26 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br>% CHANGE |
|------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                        |            |     |              |     | BUDG         | <b>ET</b> |                          |     |                                 | BUDGET          | ſ   |                                  |                          |
| 53 Bus Fuel and Energy | \$         | 7.3 | \$           | 7.5 | \$           | 8.8       | \$                       | 1.3 | 17.8%                           | \$ 9            | 9.2 | \$ 0.4                           | 4.4%                     |

### **Bus Parts and Materials (line 54)**

This expense line covers costs associated with bus repair and replacement parts, bus maintenance tires, disposition of obsolete/surplus inventory, service and repairs for non-revenue vehicles, extending fleet longevity, small tools, and freight. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$4.7M, an increase of \$0.1M or 1.8% over the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget of \$4.8M, which is not significantly different from FY26.

| \$ In Millions             | FY24<br><u>ACTU</u> |     | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |   | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br>% CHANGE |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 54 Bus Parts and Materials | \$                  | 4.0 | \$            | 4.6 | \$                    | 4.7 | \$                          | 0.1 | 1.8%                            | \$ 4.                      | 8 | \$ 0.1                           | 2.5%                     |

### Uniforms and Driver Expense (line 55)

This line item reflects the District's contractual obligation to provide uniforms and personal protective equipment to represented employees. This includes items such as boots or safety shoes, insulated coveralls, rain gear, and other necessary protective gear for bus operators, mechanics, storekeepers, utility workers, instructors and supervisory staff. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$0.7M, an increase of \$0.2M or 44.2% compared to the FY25 Forecast, primarily due to the lower utilization in FY25, driven by vacant positions. The FY27 Proposed Budget is not significantly different than FY26.

| \$ In Millions                          | FY<br>ACT | '24<br>'UAL | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOS<br>BUDGE | <b>ED</b> | FY27 v:<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 55 Uniforms and Bus Operator<br>Expense | \$        | 0.5         | \$           | 0.5 | \$                    | 0.7 | \$ (                   | 0.2 | 44.2%                           | \$                      | 0.7       | \$                       | 0.0 | 1.2%                            |

### **Office Supplies / Printing (line 56)**

This line item includes expenses for office furniture, computer equipment (such as laptops, monitors and tablets), and printing materials to support training programs. It also covers books and reference materials, paycheck stock and envelopes, and other office supplies such as paper, letterhead and business cards. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$0.8M, an increase of \$0.1M or 7.8% compared to the FY25 Forecast, mainly due to the increased demand for computer equipment. The FY27 Proposed Budget is not significantly different than FY26.

| \$ In Millions                | FY.<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC |            |      |    | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE |    | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|------------|------|----|---------------------------------|-----------------|----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                               |            |     |              |     | BUDG         | <u>SET</u> |      |    |                                 | BUDGET          |    |                           |                                 |
| 56 Office Supplies / Printing | \$         | 0.8 | \$           | 0.8 | \$           | 0.8        | \$ 0 | .1 | 7.8%                            | \$ 0            | .8 | \$ (0.0)                  | -1.6%                           |

### Utilities

### **Telecommunications (line 59)**

This expense covers cell phones, data circuits and telephone services. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$0.9M, which is not significantly different than the FY25 Forecast.

| \$ In Millions       | FY24<br><u>ACTU</u> |     | FY2 |     | FY20<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |    | Y27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br>% CHANGE |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 59 Telecommunication | \$                  | 0.9 | \$  | 0.9 | \$                    | 0.9 | \$                          | 0.1 | 6.8%                            | \$ 0.                      | .9 | \$-                             | 0.0%                     |

### Other Utilities (line 60)

This line includes costs for gas and electricity, water and sewer, and trash/refuse services. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$2.1M, which is not significantly different from the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$2.3M, an increase of \$0.1M or 6.0% compared to FY26, to maintain minimal operations at Central following the relocation to the Millbrae Gateway headquarters.

| \$ In Millions     | FY2<br>ACTU | -   | FY25<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |       | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | Y27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--------------------|-------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 60 Other Utilities | \$          | 2.4 | \$            | 2.2 | \$                    | 2.1 | \$                          | (0.1) | -2.7%                           | \$ 2.3                     | \$<br>0.1                | 6.0%                            |

### <u>Other</u>

### Worker's Compensation (line 63)

The District is subject to a state-mandated insurance program that covers lost wages and medical treatment resulting from an employee's work-related injury. This includes insurance premiums, deductibles and legal fees. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$5.2M per year based on the FY24 actuarial report, an increase of \$0.2M or 3.1% from the FY25 Forecast.

| \$ In Millions          | FY2<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC      | -   | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHAN |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED       |    | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------------|----|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 63 Workers Compensation | \$         | 4.4 | \$           | 5.0 | <u>BUD0</u><br>\$ | 5.2 | \$                   | 0.2 | 3.1%                            | <u>BUDGET</u><br>\$ 5. | .2 | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |
#### Appendix

#### Insurance (line 64)

This line covers general insurance costs, including premiums, deductibles, adjustor fees, broker fees and other insurance related costs. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$4.2M, an increase of \$0.2M or 5.9% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$4.7M, an increase of \$0.5M or 11.2% compared to FY26. Both budgets assume potential increases in premiums and include coverage for property insurance for both the Central and Gateway Millbrae headquarters.

| \$ In Millions | FY2<br>ACTU |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO |     | FY26 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED |        | 5 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|
|                |             |     |              |     | BUDG         |     |                          |     |                                 | BUDGET           |        |                                   |
| 64 Insurance   | \$          | 3.4 | Ş            | 4.0 | Ş            | 4.2 | \$                       | 0.2 | 5.9%                            | \$ 4.7           | \$ 0.5 | 11.2%                             |

#### Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance (line 65)

Insurance costs include premiums, deductibles, adjustor fees, broker fees and other insurance costs specific to the Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) services. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$2.0M, an increase of \$0.1M or 5.1% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$2.1M, an increase of 0.1M or 6.3% from FY26. Both budgets assume potential increases in premiums.

| \$ In Millions                             | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY26<br>PROPOS<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY<br><u>\$ CHANG</u> |    | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOS<br>BUDGE | ED  | <br>s FY26<br>ANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|------------|-----|---------------|-----|------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 65 Contracted Urban Bus (CUB)<br>Insurance | \$         | 1.6 | \$            | 1.9 | \$                     | 2.0 | \$ 0                          | .1 | 5.1%                            | \$                      | 2.1 | \$<br>0.1          | 6.3%                            |

#### American Disabilities Act Insurance (line 66)

Insurance costs include premiums, deductibles, adjustor fees, broker fees and other insurance costs specific to the ADA related services. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.7M, an increase of \$0.1M or 9.0% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$1.9M, an increase of \$0.1M or 7.2% from FY26. Both budgets assume potential increases in premiums.

| \$ In Millions                            | FY2<br>ACTU |     | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY26<br>PROPO:<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | Y27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|-------------|-----|---------------|-----|------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 66 American Disabilities Act<br>Insurance | \$          | 1.3 | \$            | 1.6 | \$                     | 1.7 | \$                          | 0.1 | 9.0%                            | \$ 1.9                     | \$<br>0.1                | 7.2%                            |

#### **Claims Reserves and Payments (line 67)**

This line includes claim reserves and associated legal fees. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$4.6M per year based on the actuarial report, which represents a decrease of \$1.4M or 23.6% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The decrease is primarily due to the one-time construction delay lawsuit for the San Carlos Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) that was included in the FY25 Forecast.

| \$ In Millions                  | FY24<br>ACTU |     | FY25 |     | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 v<br><u>\$ CH/</u> |       | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | 27 vs FY26<br>CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----|------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 67 Claims Reserves and Payments | \$           | 1.5 | \$   | 6.1 | \$                    | 4.6 | \$                      | (1.4) | -23.6%                          | \$ 4.6                     | 5\$ | -                    | 0.0%                            |

#### Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Claims Reserves and Payments (line 68)

This includes claim reserves and payments specific to CUB Services. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets assume zero as the contractor carries the liability for the first \$5.0M. The FY25 Forecast included potential settlement for past cases.

| \$ In Millions  | FY<br><u>ACT</u> | 24<br>'UAL | FY25<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | <br>FY26 vs FY25<br>\$ CHANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|------------------|------------|---------------|-----|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 68 Contracted Urban Bus (CUB)<br>Claims Reserves & Payments | \$               | 5.1        | \$            | 1.0 | \$.                        | \$ (1.0)                      | -100.0%                         | \$-                        | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |

#### American Disabilities Act Claims Reserves and Payments (line 69)

This includes claims reserves and payments specific to ADA related services. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets assume zero as the contractor carries the liability for the first \$5.0M.

| \$ In Millions   | FY24<br>ACTUA | Ŀ | FY25<br>FORECAS | T | FY26<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |      | 5 FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | <br>7 vs FY26<br>CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|---------------|---|-----------------|---|----------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 69 American Disabilities Act Claims<br>Reserves & Payments | \$            | • | \$              | - | \$-                        | \$ - | 0.0%                              | \$-                        | \$<br>-                 | 0.0%                            |

#### Promotional Advertising and Events (line 70)

This line item includes promotional advertising, promotional events and promotional merchandise for District services, as well as social media campaigns. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$0.8M per year, an increase of \$0.2M or 37.6% compared to the FY25 Forecast. This increase is driven by the bus and Ride Plus marketing campaign, which are supported by additional staffing capacity in FY26, as well as the District's 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary celebrations and the customer ambassador program.

| \$ In Millions                           | FY.<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY26<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE<br>BUDGET | <u>ED</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 70 Promotional Advertising and<br>Events | \$         | 0.5 | \$           | 0.6 | \$                    | 0.8 | \$                       | 0.2 | 37.6%                           | \$ (                      | 0.8       | \$ -                             | 0.0%                            |

#### Banking and Transaction Fees (line 71)

This line item covers costs associated with bank fees, custody fees, debt service fees, fiscal agent fees, investment management fees and transaction fees. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$0.5M, an increase of \$0.1M or 15.2% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget of \$0.5M, which is not significantly different from FY26.

| \$ In Millions                  | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO | SED | <br>      | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |       | ED  | FY27 vs FY2<br>\$ CHANGE | 6 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------------|-------|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                 |            |     |              |     | BUDG         | iΕT |           |                                 | BUDGE | Т   |                          |                                   |
| 71 Banking and Transaction Fees | \$         | 0.4 | \$           | 0.4 | \$           | 0.5 | \$<br>0.1 | 15.2%                           | \$    | 0.5 | \$ 0.0                   | 6.1%                              |

#### Appendix

#### Leases and Rentals (line 72)

This expense is primarily for the rental of the Pico Boulevard portable restrooms for layover areas at Daly City BART, Linda Mar Park N Ride in Pacifica, and in San Carlos and Palo Alto. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$4.2M, an increase of \$3.7M or 891.9% compared to the FY25 Forecast. The increase includes \$0.3M for enhanced restroom access for bus operators at the Salesforce Transit Center and Stanford facility, and \$6.8M in total rent costs for the new Millbrae Gateway headquarters – split evenly with \$3.4M in FY26 (covering March to June 2026) and \$3.4M in FY27 (covering July to October 2026), pending acquisition in November 2026. FY27 Proposed Budget is \$4.7M, an increase of \$0.6M or 13.6% from FY26, including an estimated rental cost for off-site parking and six months of the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) ground lease rent associated with the Millbrae Gateway headquarters.

| \$ In Millions        | FY2<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC | -          | FY26 vs<br>\$ CHAI |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | <br>      | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|------------|--------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
|                       |            |     |              |     | BUDG         | <b>SET</b> |                    |     |                                 | BUDGET           |           |                                 |
| 72 Leases and Rentals | \$         | 0.5 | \$           | 0.4 | \$           | 4.2        | \$                 | 3.7 | 891.9%                          | \$ 4.7           | \$<br>0.6 | 13.6%                           |

#### **Employee Programs (line 73)**

This line item includes expenses for employee programs and meals for events such as Townhalls, Transit Employee Appreciation Day, Ride the Line, employee retirement and recognition events, Caltrain GoPass and Carpool Stipend and Tuition reimbursement. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.5M, an increase of \$0.2M or 16.0% from the FY25 Forecast. This increase is mainly driven by ergonomic evaluation to accommodate the Millbrae Gateway headquarters relocation, one-time upgrades for Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs) and cabinets, and underutilization of certain employee benefits in FY25. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$1.4M, a decrease of \$0.1M or 5.7% than FY26, reflecting the removal of the one-time AEDs and cabinets upgrade.

| \$ In Millions       | FY:<br>ACT |     | FY25<br>FOREC |     | FY2  | -   | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED |   | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------------|------------|-----|---------------|-----|------|-----|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                      |            |     |               |     | BUDG | ET  |                       |     |                                 | BUDGET           |   |                                  |                                 |
| 73 Employee Programs | \$         | 0.5 | \$            | 1.3 | \$   | 1.5 | \$                    | 0.2 | 16.0%                           | \$ 1.4           | 1 | \$ (0.1)                         | -5.7%                           |

#### Training and Business Travel (line 74)

This line item includes expenses for seminars and training, business travel and employee development classes. It also covers professional development expenses for American Public Transportation Association (APTA), Leadership Programs, and 360-degree employee assessments. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$1.0M, which is not significantly different compared to the FY25 Forecast. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$0.9M, a slight decrease from FY26. Departments have worked to reduce requests for non-essential seminars, training and business travel, aligning with the goal of cost efficiency, but operational requirements for continued professional growth have resulted in maintaining a similar overall level as the FY25 Forecast.

| \$ In Millions                  | FY2<br><u>ACTU</u> |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs I<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |   | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|--------------|-----|----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 74 Training and Business Travel | \$                 | 0.5 | \$           | 0.9 | \$                   | 1.0 | \$                          | 0.0 | 0.9%                            | \$ 0.                      | 9 | \$ (0.0)                         | -0.4%                           |

#### Dues and Membership (line 75)

Dues and subscriptions include membership in American Planning Association (APA), American Public Transportation Association (APTA), San Francisco Bay Area Planning and Urban Research (SPUR), Women's Transportation Seminar (WTS), International Right of Way Association (IRWA) and National Safety Council (NSC). The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$0.3M each, which are not significantly different from the FY25 Forecast.

| \$ In Millions         | FY<br><u>ACT</u> | 24<br><u>UAL</u> | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUDO | SED | FY26 vs<br><u>\$ CHAI</u> |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----|----------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 75 Dues and Membership | \$               | 0.2              | \$           | 0.3 | \$                   | 0.3 | \$                        | 0.0 | 4.3%                            | \$ 0.                      | 3 5 | \$ 0.0                    | 3.3%                            |

#### Other Expenses (line 76)

The line item covers postages and miscellaneous expenses that do not fall under the other categories listed above. The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are \$0.2M each, which are not significantly different from the FY25 forecast.

| \$ In Millions    | FY.<br>ACT |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO | -         | FY26 vs I<br>\$ CHAN |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | <br>27 vs FY26 | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-------------------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----------|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
|                   |            |     |              |     | BUDG         | <b>ET</b> |                      |     |                                 | BUDGET           |                |                                 |
| 76 Other Expenses | \$         | 0.2 | \$           | 0.1 | \$           | 0.2       | \$                   | 0.0 | 20.9%                           | \$ 0.2           | \$<br>0.0      | 1.0%                            |

#### Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs – Non-Labor (line 77)

This line item represents the non-labor portion of the AIA costs allocated to the District with a placeholder of \$13.1M included in both the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets, pending finalization of the ICAP plan. A budget amendment may be brought forward once the FY27 ICAP Plan is completed, estimated in spring of 2026.

| \$ In Millions                                     | <br>(24<br>TUAL | FY2<br>FORE | -    | PRO | 726<br>POSED<br>DGET | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHAN |       | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | Y27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|-----------------|-------------|------|-----|----------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 77 Indirect Admin and Overhead<br>Costs - Nonlabor | \$<br>16.3      | \$          | 15.1 | \$  | 13.1                 | \$                   | (2.0) | -13.3%                          | \$ 13.3                    | 1\$ | ; -                      | 0.0%                            |

#### Appendix

#### **Debt Service (line 80)**

This line item reflects debt scheduled payments due, principal and interest under the District's current debt agreements. The FY26 Proposed Budget is \$18.6M, a decrease of \$2.0M or 9.9% compared to the FY25 Forecast, primarily due to \$0.5M in cost savings from the 2025 bond refunding and the removal of a one-time \$1.4M unplanned interest payment included in the FY25 Forecast for the 2025 Refunding Bond. The FY27 Proposed Budget is \$26.0M, an increase of \$7.4M or 39.9% from FY26, which includes a placeholder for debt service on the anticipated bond issuance related to the Millbrae Gateway headquarters purchase.

| \$ In Millions  | '24<br>'UAL | FY2<br>FORE |      | FY:<br>PROP<br>BUD | OSED | FY26 vs F<br><u>\$ CHAN</u> |       | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY2<br>PROPO<br>BUD | OSED | FY27 vs F<br><u>\$ CHANG</u> |    | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------|--------------------|------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------|------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|
| 80 Debt Service | \$<br>19.1  | \$          | 20.6 | \$                 | 18.6 | \$                          | (2.0) | -9.9%                           | \$                  | 26.0 | \$ 7                         | .4 | 39.9%                           |

#### Pass-Through to Other Agencies (line 81)

These are the expenses corresponding to the sources in Line 28. The FY25 Forecast represents the first year of a two-year agreement under Lifeline Transportation Programs Cycle 7, administered in partnership with C/CAG. The FY26 Proposed Budget assumes \$1.4M in Pass-Through funding, representing the second year of Cycle 7. The FY27 Proposed Budget assumes no new funding, pending the announcement of Cycle 8 or subsequent funding rounds.

| \$ In Millions                    | FY24<br>ACTUA | L | FY25<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPO | -   | <br>      | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOS | ED | FY27 vs<br><u>\$ CHA</u> |       | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------|----|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|
|                                   |               |   |               |     | BUDG         | ET  |           |                                 | BUDGE          | г  |                          |       |                                 |
| 81 Pass-Through to Other Agencies | \$            | - | \$            | 1.4 | \$           | 1.4 | \$<br>0.0 | 0.0%                            | \$             | -  | \$                       | (1.4) | -100.0%                         |

#### **Allocations And Contributions**

#### Prop A Sales Tax Allocation – Capital Programs (line 85)

FY26 and FY27 represent the first years in which no Prop A Sales Tax is allocated to support capital programs. Instead, capital investments will rely solely on external funding sources and the use of existing unallocated and prior years' surplus balances. This shift reflects a more sustainable capital funding strategy aligned with the District's long-term financial outlook and the anticipated adoption of the FY26–FY35 CIP.

| \$ In Millions                                      | FY<br><u>ACT</u> | 24<br><u>UAL</u> | FY2<br>FOREC |      | FY26<br>PROPOS<br>BUDGI | SED | FY26 vs FY2<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | 5 FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br><u>BUDGET</u> |         | 27 vs FY26<br>CHANGE |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------|------|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|
| 85 Prop A Sales Tax Allocation -<br>Capital Program | \$               | 13.7             | \$           | 25.9 | \$                      | -   | \$ (25.                         | 9) -100.0%                        | \$-                               | \$<br>- | 0.0%                 |

#### Measure W Sales Tax Allocation – Capital Programs (line 86)

Similar to Prop A, Measure W Sales Tax will not be used for capital support in FY26 and FY27. Instead, capital investments will rely solely on external funding sources and the use of existing unallocated and prior years' surplus balances. This shift reflects a more sustainable capital funding strategy aligned with the District's long-term financial outlook and the anticipated adoption of the FY26–FY35 CIP.

| \$ In Millions   | FY2<br>ACTL |      | FY2<br>FOREC | -    | FY26<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |      | 26 vs FY25<br>CHANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|-------------|------|--------------|------|----------------------------|------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 86 Measure W Sales Tax Allocation<br>- Capital Program | \$          | 28.7 | \$           | 26.5 | \$.                        | . \$ | (26.5)               | -100.0%                         | \$-                        | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |

#### **Operating Reserves (line 87)**

The purpose of the Operating Reserve is to accommodate normal fluctuations in the timing of revenues and unforeseen operating expenses, and the District's Policy requires that the agency maintains a minimum of three months' (25% of annual) budgeted operating expenses. If the Operating Reserve balance falls below the established level, replenishment will be budgeted for in the following fiscal year. The projected contribution to the Operating Reserve in FY26 is \$4.8M to maintain compliance with the Board-approved reserve policy, bringing the projected FY26 ending balance to \$66.2M. In FY27, the projected contribution is \$2.4M, resulting in a projected FY27 ending balance of \$68.6M.

| \$ In Millions       | FY2<br><u>ACTU</u> |     | FY2<br>FOREC | -   | FY2<br>PROPC | -         | FY26 vs F<br>\$ CHANG |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSE |     |       |      | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-------|------|---------------------------------|
|                      |                    |     |              |     | BUDG         | <b>ET</b> |                       |     |                                 | BUDGET          | Γ   |       |      |                                 |
| 87 Operating Reserve | \$                 | 5.2 | \$           | 1.1 | \$           | 4.8       | \$                    | 3.7 | 320.6%                          | \$ 2            | 2.4 | \$ (2 | 2.4) | -50.7%                          |

#### Sales Tax Stabilization Fund (line 88)

The Sales Tax Stabilization Fund was established in FY21 to build reserves that buffer against fluctuations in sales tax revenues, recognizing the District's heavy reliance on these funds to support service levels and both Operating and Capital Budgets. Per policy, contributions to the fund were to maintain a minimum of 10% and gradually increase until reaching the greater of 35% of annual actual sales tax revenue or the largest two-year decline from a prior revenue peak. No contributions are included in the FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets, as the fund balance is compliant with board policy.

| \$ In Millions                  | FY2<br>ACTU |   | FY25<br>FORECA | <u>st</u> | FY26<br>PROPOS<br>BUDG | SED | FY26 vs FY2<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | 5 FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | 6 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| 88 Sales Tax Stabilization Fund | \$          | - | \$             | -         | \$                     | -   | \$-                             | 0.0%                              |                            | \$- | 0.0%                              |

#### Appendix

#### **Capital Maintenance Reserve (line 89)**

This is a new line item to establish a reserve to fund major repairs, replacement, and rehabilitation of the Millbrae Gateway headquarters, to maintain service reliability, ensure safety, and comply with best practices. FY27 Proposed Budget assumes \$1M, which is half of the recommended annual contribution to the reserve, reflecting the estimated timing of the building acquisition in FY27. In future years the recommended contribution is \$2M per year.

| \$ In Millions                 | FY24<br><u>ACTU</u> |   | FY25<br>FORECA | <u>st</u> | FY26<br>PROPOSI<br>BUDGE | ED |     | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |       | 6 FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|----|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 89 Capital Maintenance Reserve | \$                  | - | \$             | -         | \$                       | -  | \$- | 0.0%                            | \$ 1.0                     | \$ 1. | 0 0.0%                            |

#### **Reserves for Future Capital Allocation – Headquarters (line 90)**

FY24 reflected a one-time allocation to set aside funds for the Millbrae Gateway headquarters.

| \$ In Millions  | '24<br>'UAL | FY25<br>FORECAS | T | FY26<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |      | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |     | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|-------------|-----------------|---|----------------------------|------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 90 Reserves for Future Capital<br>Allocation - Headquarters | \$<br>23.3  | \$              | - | \$-                        | \$ - | 0.0%                            | \$-                        | \$- | 0.0%                            |

#### **Reserves for Future Measure W Allocation (line 91)**

The FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets are projected to utilize all the Measure W Sales Tax revenues to support eligible operating expenses. As a result, no remaining funds are expected to be set aside in reserve for future allocation.

| \$ In Millions                                  | FY24<br>ACTUAL | FY25<br>FORECAST | FY26<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |          | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 91 Reserves for Future Measure W<br>Allocations | \$ 15.1        | \$ 4.            | 4\$-                       | \$ (4.4) | -100.0%                         | \$ -                       | \$-                              | 0.0%                            |

#### Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton Maintenance of Way (line 92)

This line reflects the balance of Dumbarton annual rental income (line 4) after deducting Maintenance of Way expenses (line 44). These funds are restricted and may only be used for future Dumbarton Maintenance of Way activities.

| \$ In Millions   | <br>24<br>TUAL | (25<br>ECAST | PRO | Y26<br>POSED<br>DGET | <br>vs FY25<br>HANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED<br>BUDGET |   | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|--|----------------|--------------|-----|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 92 Unapplied Dumbarton Rental<br>Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way | \$<br>-        | \$<br>0.5    | \$  | 0.7                  | \$<br>0.2            | 40.7%                           | \$ 0.                      | 7 | \$ 0.0                           | 4.5%                            |

#### Appendix

The following section provides detailed descriptions of the proposed capital projects as referenced in Attachment B.

#### Revenue Vehicle Replacement / Support: \$606,520 (FY26) and \$864,846 (FY27)

#### Major Bus Components

This project consists of purchases of new and rebuilt parts. Major Bus Components include parts that have a unit price of \$5,000 or more that are not included in the operating budget.

|                      | FY26   |                 |        | FY27                   |
|----------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title        | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Major Bus Components | 1.1    | 606,520         | 1.1    | 864,846                |

#### Non-Revenue Vehicle Replacement / Support: \$1,238,585 (FY26) and \$726,305 (FY27) Maintenance Equipment

This project is to procure emergency dirt bikes, electric carts, medium duty floor jacks, electric pallet jacks, Electric Vehicle (EV) tool storage, advance diagnostic reader, generators and hot pressure washer skid for maintenance shop equipment that have exceeded useful life or are needed to support maintenance of new buses and equipment.

|                       | FY26   |                 |        | FY27                   |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title         | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Maintenance Equipment | 2.2    | 759,353         | 2.2    | 194,256                |

#### Replacement Non-Revenue Service Support Vehicles

In FY26, this project will fund the replacements for (10) 2016 Toyota Sienna and (3) 2016 Ford Fusions; and In FY27, (4) 2017 Ford F250 Facilities Maintenance Trucks, (2) 2017 Ford F350 Maintenance shop trucks, (1) 2017 Ford F350 Flat Bed and (1) 2019 Ford Transit 150 van will be replaced. The new clean emission vehicles will help the District to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Due to pandemic-related shortages and limited availability, the specific make and model for the replacements have not yet been determined.

|  |        | FY26            |        | FY27                   |
|--|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                            | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Replacement Non-Rev Svc Support Vehicles | 2.3    | 479,232         | 2.3    | 532,049                |

#### Facilities / Construction: \$1,533,300 (FY26) and \$3,363,000 (FY27)

#### Brewster Office Trailers and Furnishings

This project will relocate two existing office trailers and furnish two new trailers with office furniture to accommodate District staff at Brewster to support CUB/Paratransit operations over a period of 7-10 years.

|  |        | FY26                   |        | FY27                   |
|--|--------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                            | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Brewster Office Trailers and Furnishings | 3.4    | 136,800                | -      | -                      |

#### Concrete Replacement at North Base, South Base, and Sequoia Station

This project is to fund the annual replacement of deteriorating concrete at District facilities.

|   | FY26   |                 |        | FY27                   |
|---|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                               | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Concrete Replacement at NB, SB, and Sequoia | 3.5    | 342,000         | 3.4    | 342,000                |
| Station                                     |        |                 |        |                        |

#### North Base and South Base Steam Bay Hydraulic Lifts

This project will assess approximately eight hydraulic lifts located at the North and South Bases . The scope includes evaluating equipment functionality, environment conditions, and developing a prioritized repair or replacement strategy. Based on findings, staff may recommend decommissioning existing in-ground hydraulic lifts in favor of surface-mounted scissor lifts, if deemed more efficient or cost effective.

|                                     |        | FY26            |        | FY27                   |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                       | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| NB and SB Steam Bay Hydraulic Lifts | 3.6    | 256,500         | 3.5    | 256,500                |

#### Replace North Base Underground Utilities

This project will fund the replacement of utility infrastructure, such as electrical and related systems, at North Base that has been damaged due to prolonged saltwater intrusion. The corrosion has led to increasing safety risks and environmental concerns, prompting the need for proactive upgrades to ensure continued safe and compliant operations.

|                                  |        | FY26            |        | FY27                   |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                    | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Replace NB Underground Utilities | 3.7    | 342,000         | 3.6    | 2,280,000              |

#### North Base Sewer Line: Assess, Repair, and/or Replace

This project budgets for site assessment, environmental remediation, and the repair and/or replacement of the sewer line at North Base. The existing connection to the city sewer, in service since 1984, shows signs of corrosion, likely caused by shallow, salty groundwater, posing potential health and safety risks. A thorough assessment is required, with replacement of the line expected to be a likely outcome.

|   | FY26   |                 |        | FY27                   |
|---|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                                 | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| North Base Sewer Line: Assess, Repair, and/or | 3.8    | 114,000         | 3.7    | 256,500                |
| Replace                                       |        |                 |        |                        |

#### Appendix

#### North Base and South Base In-shop Hydraulic Lifts

This project will assess 56 in-shop hydraulic lifts at the North and South Bases. Scope includes evaluating equipment functionality, environmental conditions, and developing a prioritized repair/replacement strategy, followed by construction implementation.

|                                   | FY26   |                        |        | FY27                   |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                     | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| NB and SB In-shop Hydraulic Lifts | 3.9    | 342,000                | 3.8    | 228,000                |

#### Safety and Security: \$570,000 (FY26)

#### Base Security

This project will implement engineering controls to enhance base security, including electronic gate access for vehicles and pedestrians, key card access for all building entry points and off-site restrooms, as well as improvements to lighting, perimeter fencing, and installation of new CCTV systems.

|               | FY26   |               |        | FY27          |
|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Title | ltem # | Prelim Budget | ltem # | Prelim Budget |
| Base Security | 6.10   | 285,000       | -      | -             |

#### Security Assessment at SamTrans Bases

This project is to fund a consultant to conduct a comprehensive threat and vulnerability assessment at the bases and facilities to develop a security plan that includes upgrades and/or installation of additional CCTV cameras, lighting, and physical security structures such as gates and locks. The assessment will also evaluate potential cyber threats.

|                                       | FY26   |                 |        | FY27                   |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title                         | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Security Assessment at SamTrans Bases | 6.11   | 285,000         | -      | -                      |

#### PLANNING / DEVELOPMENT: \$750,000 (FY26) and \$750,000 (FY27)

#### **Capital Contingency Fund**

This project provides funding for unforeseen capital expenditures such as urgent requests/emergencies, and/or seed funds for studies that need to be done immediately.

|                          | FY26   |                 |        | FY27                   |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title            | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Capital Contingency Fund | 7.12   | 250,000         | 7.9    | 250,000                |

#### Appendix

#### Capital Program Management

This project provides funding for programs and project controls support, including monitoring project performance and delivery.

|                            |        | FY26          | FY27   |               |
|----------------------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Title              | ltem # | Prelim Budget | ltem # | Prelim Budget |
| Capital Program Management | 7.13   | 250,000       | 7.10   | 250,000       |

#### Capital Project Development

This project provides funding for activities that include but are not limited to capital budget and programming process, grant development and development of capital program management systems.

|                             |        | FY26            | FY27   |                        |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
| Project Title               | ltem # | Proposed Budget | ltem # | <b>Proposed Budget</b> |
| Capital Project Development | 7.14   | 250,000         | 7.11   | 250,000                |

#### Resolution No. 2025 -

#### Board of Directors, San Mateo County Transit District State of California

\* \* \*

#### Adopting Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Operating Budgets in the Amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367, Respectively, and Fiscal Years 2026 and 2027 Capital Budgets in the Amounts of \$4,698,405 and \$5,704,151, Respectively

Whereas, Section 103141(b) of the California Public Utilities Code requires the Board of

Directors (Board) to adopt an annual budget for the San Mateo County Transit District (District); and

Whereas, on December 7, 2022, pursuant to Resolution No. 2022-83, the Board adopted a Biennial Budget Policy for the District, under which staff is proposing the Board adopt biennial operating and capital budgets for Fiscal Years 2026-27 (FY26) and 2026-27 (FY27); and

Whereas, the adoption of an operating budget is necessary for obtaining both Federal and State funds to support the District's transportation improvement program; and

**Whereas**, staff has prepared and presented to the Board Proposed Operating Budgets for FY26 and FY27 in the amounts of \$323,717,266 and \$339,249,367, respectively, that set forth projected revenues and expenses associated with the District's operating and maintenance programs; and

**Whereas**, staff has prepared proposed Capital Budgets for FY26 and FY27 in the amounts of \$4,698,405 and \$5,704,151, respectively.

**Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved** that the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transit District adopts its biennial Operating Budgets in the amounts of \$323,717,266 for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and \$339,249,367 for Fiscal Year 2026-27, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Attachment A; and **Be It Further Resolved** the Board also adopts its biennial Capital Budgets in the amounts of \$4,698,405 for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and \$5,704,151 for Fiscal Year 2025-26, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Attachment B; and

**Be It Further Resolved** that the General Manager/CEO, or designee, is directed to submit these budgets to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, together with a copy of this resolution, at the earliest practical date; and

**Be It Further Resolved** that the Board authorizes the General Manager/CEO, or designee, to take such additional actions as may be necessary to give effect to this resolution, including executing funding agreements and amendments, and submitting required documents to granting agencies to receive the funding identified in the Operating and Capital Budgets.

Regularly passed and adopted this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Chair, San Mateo County Transit District

Attest:

District Secretary

#### SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND 2027 PROPOSED BUDGETS

|          |   | FY24<br><u>ACTUAL</u>    | FY25<br><u>FORECAST</u>  | FY26<br><u>PROPOSED</u><br><u>BUDGET</u> | \$ CHANGE                  | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br><u>PROPOSED</u><br><u>BUDGET</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>\$ CHANGE</u> | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|----------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|          |   | Α                        | В                        | с  | D = C - B                  | E = D / B                       | F  | G = F - C                        | H = G / C                       |
| 1        | SOURCES   |                          |                          |  |                            |                                 |  |                                  |                                 |
| 2_       | Passenger Fares   | 12,719,405               | 13,043,024               | 13,303,885                               | 260,861                    | 2.0%                            | 13,569,962                               | 266,077                          | 2.0%                            |
| 3_       | Rental Income   | 2,461,188                | 1,754,084                | 1,927,456                                | 173,372                    | 9.9%                            | 2,997,782                                | 1,070,326                        | 55.5%                           |
| 4-       | Dumbarton Rental Income   | 974,196<br>20,361,290    | 1,019,477<br>19,975,223  | 1,048,333<br>17,959,903                  | 28,856 (2,015,320)         | 2.8%                            | 1,079,783<br>17,210,620                  | 31,450<br>(749,283)              | <u>3.0%</u><br>-4.2%            |
| 5<br>6   | Investment Interest Income<br>Advertising Income                                    | 1,041,751                | 767,500                  | 772,500                                  | (2,015,320)<br>5,000       | -10.1%                          | 687,500                                  | (749,283)<br>(85,000)            | -4.2%                           |
| 7        | Other Income  | 23,818,431               | 884,735                  | 444,503                                  | (440,232)                  | -49.8%                          | 444,503                                  | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 8        | Agency Indirect Administration Recovery   | 39,673,381               | 38,670,000               | 38,998,887                               | 328,887                    | 0.9%                            | 38,998,887                               | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 9        | Subtotal: Operating Revenue   | 101,049,642              | 76,114,043               | 74,455,467                               | (1,658,576)                | -2.2%                           | 74,989,037                               | 533,570                          | 0.7%                            |
| 10       |   |                          |                          |  |                            |                                 |  |                                  |                                 |
| 11_      | Prop A Sales Tax  | 115,574,416              | 113,681,341              | 114,856,000                              | 1,174,659                  | 1.0%                            | 118,889,000                              | 4,033,000                        | 3.5%                            |
| 12       | Measure W Sales Tax   | 57,683,704               | 56,840,671               | 57,428,000                               | 587,330                    | 1.0%                            | 59,444,500                               | 2,016,500                        | 3.5%                            |
| 13<br>14 | Transportation Development Act (TDA) Transit Fund<br>State Transit Assistance (STA) | 53,593,748<br>11,386,584 | 55,801,968<br>12,748,878 | 51,792,728<br>9,330,152                  | (4,009,240)<br>(3,418,726) | -7.2%<br>-26.8%                 | 51,792,728<br>9,330,152                  |                                  | 0.0%                            |
| 14-      | Operating Grants  | 752,409                  | 1,069,968                | 3,018,750                                | 1,948,782                  | 182.1%                          | 1,996,750                                | (1,022,000)                      | -33.9%                          |
| 16       | Subtotal: Operating Assistance  | 238,990,861              | 240,142,826              | 236,425,630                              | (3,717,196)                | -1.5%                           | 241,453,130                              | 5,027,500                        | 2.1%                            |
| 17       |   |                          |                          |  |                            |                                 |  |                                  |                                 |
| 18       | Transportation Development Act (TDA) 4.5 Redi-Wheels                                | 2,820,723                | 2,964,268                | 2,725,933                                | (238,335)                  | -8.0%                           | 2,725,933                                | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 19       | State Transit Assistance (STA) - Paratransit  | 1,066,735                | 1,172,462                | 1,011,412                                | (161,050)                  | -13.7%                          | 1,011,412                                | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 20       | SMCTA Measure A Redi-Wheels   | 4,650,560                | 4,547,254                | 4,594,240                                | 46,986                     | 1.0%                            | 4,755,560                                | 161,320                          | 3.5%                            |
| 21       | Measure M Paratransit   | 1,200,000                | 1,200,000                | 1,200,000                                | -                          | 0.0%                            | 1,200,000                                | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 22       | Operating Grants - American Disabilities Act  | 2,670,773                | 3,736,750                | 3,812,197                                | 75,447                     | 2.0%                            | 3,909,111                                | 96,914                           | 2.5%                            |
| 23<br>24 | Subtotal: American Disabilities Act Operating Assistance                            | 12,408,791               | 13,620,734               | 13,343,782                               | (276,952)                  | -2.0%                           | 13,602,016                               | 258,234                          | 1.9%                            |
| 24<br>25 | Employer SamTrans Shuttle Funds   | 4,481,205                | 4,591,640                | 8,570,336                                | 3,978,696                  | 86.7%                           | 8,916,248                                | 345,912                          | 4.0%                            |
| 26       | Subtotal: Multi-Modal Transit Program Operating Assistance                          | 4,481,205                | 4.591.640                | 8,570,336                                | 3,978,696                  | 86.7%                           | 8,916,248                                | 345,912                          | 4.0%                            |
| 27       |   | .,,                      | .,,                      | -,,                                      | -,                         |                                 | -,,                                      |                                  |                                 |
| 28<br>29 | Pass-Through to Other Agencies  | -                        | 1,355,787                | 1,355,788                                | 1                          | 0.0%                            | -  | (1,355,788)                      | -100.0%                         |
| -        | TOTAL - SOURCES   | 356,930,499              | 335,825,030              | 334,151,003                              | (1,674,027)                | -0.5%                           | 338,960,431                              | 4,809,428                        | 1.4%                            |
| 31       | 1950  |                          |                          |  |                            |                                 |  |                                  |                                 |
| 32<br>33 | USES<br>Wages & Benefits  | 110,999,783              | 126,566,654              | 130,441,510                              | 3,874,856                  | 3.1%                            | 136,397,753                              | 5,956,243                        | 4.6%                            |
| 34       | Board of Directors  | 155,283                  | 92,987                   | 266,247                                  | 173,260                    | 186.3%                          | 267,247                                  | 1,000                            | 0.4%                            |
| 35       | Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Labor   | 11,174,818               | 13,250,000               | 11,487,856                               | (1,762,144)                | -13.3%                          | 11,487,856                               | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 36       | Subtotal: Labor   | 122,329,884              | 139,909,641              | 142,195,613                              | 2,285,972                  | 1.6%                            | 148,152,856                              | 5,957,243                        | 4.2%                            |
| 37       |   |                          |                          |  |                            |                                 |  |                                  |                                 |
| 38_      | Contracted Urban Bus Service  | 30,816,422               | 36,088,024               | 37,763,600                               | 1,675,576                  | 4.6%                            | 39,539,303                               | 1,775,703                        | 4.7%                            |
| 39       | Contracted American Disability Act Programs   | 14,718,044               | 17,247,597               | 19,561,000                               | 2,313,403                  | 13.4%                           | 20,396,727                               | 835,727                          | 4.3%                            |
| 40_      | Contracted Multi-Modal Transit Programs   | 4,535,159                | 6,002,500                | 8,864,736                                | 2,862,236                  | 47.7%                           | 9,210,648                                | 345,912                          | 3.9%                            |
| 41       | Non-Labor Subtotal - Purchased Transportation                                       | 50,069,624               | 59,338,121               | 66,189,336                               | 6,851,215                  | 11.5%                           | 69,146,678                               | 2,957,342                        | 4.5%                            |
| 42<br>43 | Vehicle Maintenance (Contracted)  | 795,821                  | 912,263                  | 1,862,156                                | 949,893                    | 104.1%                          | 871,019                                  | (991,137)                        | -53.2%                          |
| 44       | Dumbarton Maintenance of Way  | 118,138                  | 523,000                  | 350,000                                  | (173,000)                  | -33.1%                          | 350,000                                  | - (551,157)                      | 0.0%                            |
| 45       | Property Maintenance  | 2,956,926                | 3,467,770                | 4,274,201                                | 806,431                    | 23.3%                           | 4,466,434                                | 192,233                          | 4.5%                            |
| 46       | Professional Services   | 8,427,170                | 8,166,258                | 11,532,650                               | 3,366,392                  | 41.2%                           | 9,957,200                                | (1,575,450)                      | -13.7%                          |
| 47       | Technical Services  | 5,316,018                | 6,592,166                | 7,828,374                                | 1,236,208                  | 18.8%                           | 7,786,464                                | (41,910)                         | -0.5%                           |
| 48       | Security and Law Enforcement  | 4,062,068                | 5,187,540                | 6,836,444                                | 1,648,904                  | 31.8%                           | 7,962,078                                | 1,125,634                        | 16.5%                           |
| 49       | Ticketing and Fare Collection   | 1,228,345                | 1,204,871                | 1,328,092                                | 123,221                    | 10.2%                           | 1,393,840                                | 65,748                           | 5.0%                            |
| 50       | Other Services  | 4,287,926                | 3,819,002                | 4,088,949                                | 269,947                    | 7.1%                            | 4,012,490                                | (76,459)                         | -1.9%                           |
| 51       | Non-Labor Subtotal: Contracted Services   | 27,192,413               | 29,872,870               | 38,100,866                               | 8,227,996                  | 27.5%                           | 36,799,525                               | (1,301,341)                      | -3.4%                           |
| 52       | Due Fuel and Energy   | 7 250 812                | 7 496 290                | 0 0 <b>22 27</b> 7                       | 1 225 000                  | 17.00/                          | 0 206 561                                | 204 204                          | 4.40/                           |
| 53<br>54 | Bus Fuel and Energy Bus Parts and Materials   | 7,250,812<br>4,040,217   | 7,486,389<br>4,595,810   | 8,822,277<br>4,679,039                   | 1,335,888<br>83,229        | <u>17.8%</u><br>1.8%            | 9,206,561<br>4,797,885                   | 384,284<br>118,846               | <u>4.4%</u><br>2.5%             |
| 54<br>55 | Uniforms and Bus Operator Expense   | 482,653                  | 4,595,810<br>479,615     | 4,679,039                                | 211,863                    | 44.2%                           | 699,743                                  | 8,265                            | 1.2%                            |
| 56       | Office Supplies / Printing  | 804,143                  | 779,787                  | 840,579                                  | 60,792                     | 7.8%                            | 826,934                                  | (13,645)                         | -1.6%                           |
| 57       | Non-Labor Subtotal: Materials & Supplies  | 12,577,825               | 13,341,601               | 15,033,373                               | 1,691,772                  | 12.7%                           | 15,531,123                               | 497,750                          | 3.3%                            |
| 58       |   |                          |                          |  |                            |                                 |  |                                  |                                 |
| 59       | Telecommunication   | 937,233                  | 862,524                  | 920,900                                  | 58,376                     | 6.8%                            | 920,900                                  | -                                | 0.0%                            |
| 60       | Other Utilities   | 2,398,898                | 2,202,500                | 2,142,237                                | (60,263)                   | -2.7%                           | 2,271,782                                | 129,545                          | 6.0%                            |
| 61<br>62 | Non-Labor Subtotal: Utilities   | 3,336,131                | 3,065,024                | 3,063,137                                | (1,887)                    | -0.1%                           | 3,192,682                                | 129,545                          | 4.2%                            |

62

#### SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT FISCAL YEAR 2026 AND 2027 PROPOSED BUDGETS

| Burder of the server is a properties of the serveris properties of the server is a properties of the s  |                      |   | FY24<br>ACTUAL | FY25<br>FORECAST | FY26<br>PROPOSED | FY26 vs FY25<br>\$ CHANGE | FY26 vs FY25<br><u>% CHANGE</u> | FY27<br>PROPOSED | FY27 vs FY26<br>\$ CHANGE | FY27 vs FY26<br><u>% CHANGE</u> |
|---|----------------------|---|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 4         Spit2476         Spit2476         Spit2476         Spit2476         Spit2476         Option           64         Insurance         3.382,2541         3.966,533         4.199,896         232,983         5.9%         4,668,452         468,555         11.2%           65         Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance         1.532,744         1.512,774         1.233,000         1.422,500         9.7,184         5.1%         4,668,452         468,555         11.2%           66         Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance         1.532,012         6.050,000         14.000,001         10.000,00         10.000,00         -         0.0%           67         Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance         1.532,012         6.050,000         1.422,000         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           66         Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance         1.532,012         6.000,000         10.000,00         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           67         American Disabilities Act Claims Reserves & Payments         -         -         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0   |                      |   |                |                  | BUDGET           |                           |                                 | BUDGET           |                           |                                 |
| 64       insurance       3.382,554       3.366,9131       4.193,806       232,383       5.9%       4.668,452       465,556       11.2%         65       contracted u/ban bis (UB) insurance       1.574,418       1.887,816       1.989,000       232,033       5.9%       4,668,452       465,556       11.2%         64       american Disabilities Act loarance       1.323,744       1.252,000       1.425,000       1.425,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.25,000       1.000,60       0.00%       0.  |                      |   | Α              | В                | с                | D = C - B                 | E = D / B                       | F                | G = F - C                 | H = G / C                       |
| 65       Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Insurance       1.574.418       1.287.316       1.393.284       1.591.774       1.735.000       143.225       9.0%       1.860.000       125.000       7.284         6       American Disabilities Act Insurance       1.233.284       1.591.774       1.735.000       143.225       9.0%       1.860.000       125.000       7.284         6       Catria steerves & Payments       5.082.280       1.000.000       -       0.00%       -       0.00%         6       Contracted Urban Bus (CUB) Claims Reserves & Payments       5.082.280       1.000.000       -       0.00%       -       0.00%         7       Promotional Advertising and Events       491.563       552.061       815.233       7.221.070       30.000       6.1%         7       Banking and Transaction Fees       354.423       427.000       44.700       152.276       555.000       13.6%         7       Imployme Programs       531.663       1.307.726       1.517.380       1.400.989       168.4001       -5.7%         7       Intrastee Programs       502.464       944.638       933.444       8.006       0.9%       94.684       1.076.01       3.07.1       3.07.1       4.3%       30.948.0       0.5%       3.4%  | 63 Workers Compe     | nsation                                   | 4,352,891      | 5,017,476        | 5,174,476        | 157,000                   | 3.1%                            | 5,174,476        | -                         | 0.0%                            |
| 66       American Disabilities Act Insurance       1.322,784       1.591,774       1.735,000       1.432,26       9.0%       1.860,000       1.25,000       7.25,00         7       Claims Reserves and Payments       1.523,012       6.050,000       4.625,000       -       0.0%         6       American Disabilities Act Claims Reserves & Payments       -       -       0.0%       -       0.0%         6       American Disabilities Act Claims Reserves & Payments       -       -       0.0%       -       0.0%         7       Promotional Advertising and Transaction Fees       354,423       422,000       491,700       46,700       15.2%       524,700       300,000       61%         7       Laxes and Bentals       494,563       439,675       1.517,380       209,654       16.0%       1.430,980       (86,400)       5.7%         7       Taining and Business Travel       502,464       944,638       353,444       8,060       0.3%       446,648       0.55,444       8,066       0.3%       445,644       (3,760)       -0.4%         7       Dives and Membership       1.98,717       287,111       299,482       12,371       4.3%       309,398       9,916       3.3%         7       Dives funitian and  | 64 Insurance         |   | 3,382,554      | 3,966,913        | 4,199,896        | 232,983                   | 5.9%                            | 4,668,452        | 468,556                   | 11.2%                           |
| 67       Calms Reserves and Payments       1,529,012       6,055,000       4,625,000       1,000,000  | 65 Contracted Urba   | in Bus (CUB) Insurance                    | 1,574,418      | 1,887,816        | 1,985,000        | 97,184                    | 5.1%                            | 2,110,000        | 125,000                   | 6.3%                            |
| Bit Stand Sta | 66 American Disabi   | lities Act Insurance                      | 1,323,784      | 1,591,774        | 1,735,000        | 143,226                   | 9.0%                            | 1,860,000        | 125,000                   | 7.2%                            |
| American Disabilities Act Claims Reserves & Payments         .  | 67 Claims Reserves   | and Payments                              | 1,529,012      | 6,050,000        | 4,625,000        | (1,425,000)               | -23.6%                          | 4,625,000        | -                         | 0.0%                            |
| 70       Promotional Advertising and Events.       491,563       592,601       815,230       222,679       37.6%       815,230       .       0.0%         71       Banking and Transaction Fees       354,423       427,000       491,700       64,700       15.2%       521,700       30,000       6,1%         72       Leases and Rentals       494,565       419,461       4,106,73       3,741,112       819,494       472,573       556,000       13.6%         74       Training and Business Travel       502,464       944,4638       953,444       8,806       0.9%       499,684       (3,760)       -0.4%         75       Dues and Membership       198,771       287,111       29,482       12,371       4.3%       309,398       9,916       3.3%         76       Other Expenses       167,979       144,251       174,345       30,094       20,9%       17,61,33       1,788       1.0%         70       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39,9%         70       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,788       1       0.0%       -       (1,355,788)       1000,000   | 68 Contracted Urba   | n Bus (CUB) Claims Reserves & Payments    | 5,082,280      | 1,000,000        | -                | (1,000,000)               | -100.0%                         | -                | -                         | 0.0%                            |
| 71       Banking and Transaction Fees       354,423       427,000       491,700       64,700       15.2%       521,700       30,000       6.1%         72       Lesses and Rentals       494,565       419,461       4,160,573       3,741,112       891,9%       4,725,573       565,000       13,66%         7       Iraining and Business Travel       502,464       944,638       953,444       8,806       0.9%       949,664       (3,760)       -0.4%         7       Indirect Admin and Membership       198,771       287,7111       299,482       12,3271       4.3%       30,3938       9.916       3.3%         7       Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor       16,335,183       15,060,000       13,052,377       (2,007,623)       1,33%       13,052,377       -0.0%         8       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         8       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         8       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       13,697,076       25,906,722       56,641,715       -0.0%       -1,035,788 </td <td>69 American Disabi</td> <td>lities Act Claims Reserves &amp; Payments</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.0%</td>   | 69 American Disabi   | lities Act Claims Reserves & Payments     | -              | -                | -                | -                         | 0.0%                            | -                | -                         | 0.0%                            |
| 72       Leases and Rentals       494,565       419,461       4,160,573       3,741,112       891.9%       4,725,573       565,000       13.6%         73       Employce Programs       531,663       1,307,726       1,517,380       209,654       16.0%       1,430,980       (86,400)       -5.7%         74       Training and Business Travel       502,464       944,638       953,444       8,806       0.9%       949,684       (3,760)       -0.4%         70       Dues and Membership       198,771       287,111       299,482       12,371       4.3%       309,398       9,916       3.3%         70       Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor       16,351,83       15,060,00       13,052,377       -0.0%       Non-Labor Subtotal: Other       36,321,552       38,696,767       39,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         70       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39,9%         81       Pros-Through to Other Agencies       1,357,076       25,906,722        4.8%       -10,00%       -1,355,788       1,000,000         70       Operating Reserve       5,200,000  | 70 Promotional Adv   | vertising and Events                      | 491,563        | 592,601          | 815,230          | 222,629                   | 37.6%                           | 815,230          | -                         | 0.0%                            |
| 73       Employee Programs       531,663       1,307,726       1,517,380       209,654       16.0%       1,430,980       (85,400)       -5.7%         74       Training and Business Travel       502,464       944,638       953,444       8,806       0.9%       949,684       (3,760)       -0.44%         75       Dues and Membership       198,771       287,111       299,482       12,371       4.3%       309,398       9,916       3.3%         76       Other Expenses       167,979       144,251       174,345       30,094       20.9%       176,133       1.788       1.0%         71       indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor       16,335,183       15,060,000       13,052,377       -0.0%       0.0%       12,351,003       1,235,100       3.2%         79       Total - obstrotici Other       36,6321,552       38,696,767       33,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         70       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,036,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         81       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,787       1,355,788       1       0.0%       -(1,355,788)   | 71 Banking and Tra   | nsaction Fees                             | 354,423        | 427,000          | 491,700          | 64,700                    | 15.2%                           | 521,700          | 30,000                    | 6.1%                            |
| 74       Training and Business Travel       502,464       944,638       953,444       8,806       0.9%       949,684       (3,750)       -0.4%         75       Dues and Membership       198,771       287,111       299,482       12,571       4.3%       309,398       9,916       3.3%         76       Other Stepneses       167,979       144,251       174,343       30,094       20,9%       176,133       1,788       1.0%         77       Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor       16,335,183       15,060,000       13,052,377       (2,007,623)       1-3.3%       13,052,377       -       0.0%         78       Non-Labor Subtotal: Other       36,321,552       38,696,767       39,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         79       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,555,50       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         81       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,788       1       0.0%       (1,355,788)       -1000.0%         82       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       28,697,076       25,906,722       Keasure W Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       28,722,727       26,6  | 72 Leases and Rent   | als                                       | 494,565        | 419,461          | 4,160,573        | 3,741,112                 | 891.9%                          | 4,725,573        | 565,000                   | 13.6%                           |
| 75       Dues and Membership       198,771       287,111       299,482       12,371       4.3%       309,398       9,916       3.3%         76       Other Expenses       167,979       144,251       174,445       30,094       20.9%       176,133       1,768       1.0%         76       Non-Labor Subtotal: Other       36,321,552       38,696,767       39,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         79       Non-Labor Subtotal: Other       36,321,552       38,696,767       39,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         79       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         81       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,788       0.0%       -10.0%         82       TOTAL - OPERATING USES       270,970,327       306,213,119       323,717,266       17,504,147       5.7%       339,249,367       15,532,101       4.8%         84       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       13,697,076       25,906,722  | 73 Employee Progr    | ams                                       | 531,663        | 1,307,726        | 1,517,380        | 209,654                   | 16.0%                           | 1,430,980        | (86,400)                  | -5.7%                           |
| 76       Other Expenses       167,979       144,251       174,345       30,094       20.9%       175,133       1,788       1.0%         77       Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor       16,335,183       15,060,000       13,052,377       (2,007,623)       -13.3%       13,052,377       -       0.0%         78       Non-Labor Subtotal: Other       36,321,552       38,696,767       39,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         79       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         80       Debt Service       1,9142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         81       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,788       1       0.0%       -       (1,355,788)       -100.0%         82       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       13,697,076       25,906,722       - <td>74 Training and Bus</td> <td>siness Travel</td> <td>502,464</td> <td>944,638</td> <td>953,444</td> <td>8,806</td> <td>0.9%</td> <td>949,684</td> <td>(3,760)</td> <td>-0.4%</td>  | 74 Training and Bus  | siness Travel                             | 502,464        | 944,638          | 953,444          | 8,806                     | 0.9%                            | 949,684          | (3,760)                   | -0.4%                           |
| 77       Indirect Admin and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor       16,335,183       15,060,000       13,052,377       (2,007,623)       -13.3%       13,052,377       .       0.0%         78       Non-Labor Subtotal: Other       36,321,552       38,696,767       39,183,903       487,136       1.3%       40,419,003       1,235,100       3.2%         79       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39,9%         70       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,788       1       0.0%       -       (1,355,788)       -100.0%         82       83       TOTAL - OPERATING USES       270,970,327       306,213,119       323,717,266       17,504,147       5.7%       339,249,367       15,532,101       4.8%         84       -  | 75 Dues and Memb     | ership                                    | 198,771        | 287,111          | 299,482          | 12,371                    | 4.3%                            | 309,398          | 9,916                     | 3.3%                            |
| 78         Non-Labor Subtotal: Other         36,321,552         38,696,767         39,183,903         487,136         1.3%         40,419,003         1,235,100         3.2%           79         Debt Service         19,142,898         20,633,309         18,595,250         (2,038,059)         -9.9%         26,007,500         7,412,250         39,9%           80         Debt Service         1,355,787         1,355,788         1         0.0%         (1,355,788)         -100.0%           81         Pass-Through to Other Agencies         1,355,787         1,355,788         1         0.0%         (1,355,788)         -100.0%           83         TOTAL - OPERATING USES         270,970,327         306,213,119         323,717,266         17,504,147         5.7%         339,249,367         15,532,101         4.8%           84         Maintenance Reserve         5,200,000         1,141,931         4,803,329         2,368,910         - <td>76 Other Expenses</td> <td></td> <td>167,979</td> <td>144,251</td> <td>174,345</td> <td>30,094</td> <td>20.9%</td> <td>176,133</td> <td>1,788</td> <td>1.0%</td>  | 76 Other Expenses    |   | 167,979        | 144,251          | 174,345          | 30,094                    | 20.9%                           | 176,133          | 1,788                     | 1.0%                            |
| 79       20       Debt Service       19,142,898       20,633,309       18,595,250       (2,038,059)       -9.9%       26,007,500       7,412,250       39.9%         81       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,788       1       0.0%       -       (1,355,788)       -100.0%         83       TOTAL - OPERATING USES       270,970,327       306,213,119       323,717,266       17,504,147       5.7%       339,249,367       15,532,101       4.8%         84       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       0.0%       -       10.00%       -       -       10.00%       -       -       10.00%       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       0.00%       -       1.355,783       1.355,783       1.355,783       1.355,783       1.355,783       15,532,101       4.8%       -  | 77 Indirect Admin a  | and Overhead Costs - Non-Labor            | 16,335,183     | 15,060,000       | 13,052,377       | (2,007,623)               | -13.3%                          | 13,052,377       | -                         | 0.0%                            |
| 80         Debt Service         19,142,898         20,633,309         18,595,250         (2,038,059)         -9,9%         26,007,500         7,412,250         39.9%           81         Pass-Through to Other Agencies         1,355,787         1,355,788         1         0.0%         -         (1,355,788)         -100.0%           82         TOTAL - OPERATING USES         270,970,327         306,213,119         323,717,266         17,504,147         5.7%         339,249,367         15,532,101         4.8%           84         Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program         13,697,076         25,906,722         -         -         -         -         4.8%           85         Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program         28,722,572         26,6451,715         -  | 78 Non-Labor Subt    | otal: Other                               | 36,321,552     | 38,696,767       | 39,183,903       | 487,136                   | 1.3%                            | 40,419,003       | 1,235,100                 | 3.2%                            |
| 81       Pass-Through to Other Agencies       1,355,787       1,355,788       1       0.0%       -       (1,355,788)       -100.0%         83       TOTAL - OPERATING USES       270,970,327       306,213,119       323,717,266       17,504,147       5.7%       339,249,367       15,532,101       4.8%         84   | 79                   |   |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 82  | 80 Debt Service      |   | 19,142,898     | 20,633,309       | 18,595,250       | (2,038,059)               | -9.9%                           | 26,007,500       | 7,412,250                 | 39.9%                           |
| 83       TOTAL - OPERATING USES       270,970,327       306,213,119       323,717,266       17,504,147       5.7%       339,249,367       15,532,101       4.8%         84       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       13,697,076       25,906,722       6       4       3       4  | 81 Pass-Through to   | Other Agencies                            |                | 1,355,787        | 1,355,788        | 1                         | 0.0%                            | -                | (1,355,788)               | -100.0%                         |
| 84         85       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       13,697,076       25,906,722         86       Measure W Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       28,722,572       26,451,715         87       Operating Reserve       5,200,000       1,141,931       4,803,329       2,368,910         88       Sales Tax Stabilization Fund       1,000,000       1       1       1,000,000         89       Capital Maintenance Reserve       1,000,000       1       1       1       1         91       Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters       23,261,476       1       0       1       0       1       1       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1   | 82                   |   |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 85       Prop A Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       13,697,076       25,906,722         86       Measure W Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       28,722,572       26,451,715         87       Operating Reserve       5,200,000       1,141,931       4,803,329       2,368,910         89       Sales Tax Stabilization Fund       1,000,000       1       1,000,000         90       Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters       23,261,476       1,000,000         90       Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations       15,079,047       4,443,496         91       Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton       496,477       698,333       729,783         93       Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions       85,960,172       58,440,341       5,501,662       4,098,693         94       5       TOTAL - USES       356,930,498       364,653,460       329,218,928       (35,434,532)       -9.7%       343,348,060       14,129,131       4.3%         95       TOTAL - USES       356,930,498       364,653,460       329,218,928       (35,434,532)       -9.7%       343,348,060       14,129,131       4.3%         95       SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)       0       (28,828,430)       4,932,075       (4,387,629)       1      <  | 83 TOTAL - OPERATIN  | IG USES                                   | 270,970,327    | 306,213,119      | 323,717,266      | 17,504,147                | 5.7%                            | 339,249,367      | 15,532,101                | 4.8%                            |
| 86       Measure W Sales Tax Allocation - Capital Program       28,722,572       26,451,715         87       Operating Reserve       5,200,000       1,141,931       4,803,329       2,368,910         88       Sales Tax Stabilization Fund       1,000,000       1,141,931       4,803,329       2,368,910         90       Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters       23,261,476       1,000,000       1         90       Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations       15,079,047       4,443,496       1       1         91       Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations       15,079,047       4,443,496       1       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1  | 84                   |   |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 87       Operating Reserve       5,200,000       1,141,931       4,803,329       2,368,910         88       Sales Tax Stabilization Fund       Capital Maintenance Reserve       1,000,000         90       Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters       23,261,476         91       Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations       15,079,047       4,443,496         92       Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way       496,477       698,333       729,783         93       Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions       85,960,172       58,440,341       5,501,662       4,098,693         94       5       TOTAL - USES       356,930,498       364,653,460       329,218,928       (35,434,532)       -9.7%       343,348,060       14,129,131       4.3%         95       TOTAL - USES       356,930,498       364,653,460       329,218,928       (35,434,532)       -9.7%       343,348,060       14,129,131       4.3%         95       SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)       0       (28,828,430)       4,932,075       (4,387,629)         97       Draw from Prior Year's Measure W       2,000,000       2,387,629       2,387,629  | 85 Prop A Sales Tax  | Allocation - Capital Program              | 13,697,076     | 25,906,722       |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 88       Sales Tax Stabilization Fund       1,000,000         90       Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters       23,261,476         91       Reserves for Future Capital Allocations       15,079,047       4,443,496         92       Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way       496,477       698,333       729,783         93       Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions       85,960,172       58,440,341       5,501,662       4,098,693         94       5       TOTAL - USES       356,930,498       364,653,460       329,218,928       (35,434,532)       -9.7%       343,348,060       14,129,131       4.3%         95       SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)       0       (28,828,430)       4,932,075       (4,387,629)         97       Draw from Prior Year's Measure W       2,000,000       28,828,430       2,387,629         98       Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses       (0)       28,828,430       2,387,629  | 86 Measure W Sale    | s Tax Allocation - Capital Program        | 28,722,572     | 26,451,715       |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 88         Capital Maintenance Reserve         1,000,000           90         Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters         23,261,476           91         Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations         15,079,047         4,443,496           92         Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way         496,477         698,333         729,783           93         Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions         85,960,172         58,440,341         5,501,662         4,098,693           94   | 87 Operating Reser   | ve  | 5,200,000      | 1,141,931        | 4,803,329        |                           |                                 | 2,368,910        |                           |                                 |
| 90         Reserves for Future Capital Allocation - Headquarters         23,261,476           91         Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations         15,079,047         4,443,496           92         Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way         496,477         698,333         729,783           93         Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions         85,960,172         58,440,341         5,501,662         4,098,693           94         707L - USES         356,930,498         364,653,460         329,218,928         (35,434,532)         -9.7%         343,348,060         14,129,131         4.3%           95         TOTAL - USES         356,930,498         364,653,460         329,218,928         (35,434,532)         -9.7%         343,348,060         14,129,131         4.3%           96         SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)         0         (28,828,430)         4,932,075         (4,387,629)           97         Draw from Prior Year's Measure W         2,000,000         2,387,629         2,000,000           98         Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses         (0)         28,828,430         2,387,629   | 88 Sales Tax Stabili | ration Fund                               |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 91         Reserves for Future Measure W Allocations         15,079,047         4,443,496           92         Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way         496,477         698,333         729,783           93         Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions         85,960,172         58,440,341         5,501,662         4,098,693           94         70TAL - USES         356,930,498         364,653,460         329,218,928         (35,434,532)         -9.7%         343,348,060         14,129,131         4.3%           95         TOTAL - USES         356,930,498         364,653,460         329,218,928         (35,434,532)         -9.7%         343,348,060         14,129,131         4.3%           96         SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)         0         (28,828,430)         4,932,075         (4,387,629)           97         Draw from Prior Year's Measure W         2,000,000         28,828,430         2,387,629  | 89 Capital Mainten   | ance Reserve                              |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 | 1,000,000        |                           |                                 |
| 92         Unapplied Dumbarton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton<br>Maintenance of Way         496,477         698,333         729,783           93         Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions         85,960,172         58,440,341         5,501,662         4,098,693           94         95         TOTAL - USES         356,930,498         364,653,460         329,218,928         (35,434,532)         -9.7%         343,348,060         14,129,131         4.3%           95         SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)         0         (28,828,430)         4,932,075         (4,387,629)           97         Draw from Prior Year's Measure W         2,000,000         28,828,430         2,387,629  | 90 Reserves for Fut  | ure Capital Allocation - Headquarters     | 23,261,476     |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| Maintenance of Way         Maintenance of Way           93         Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions         85,960,172         58,440,341         5,501,662         4,098,693           94         7         TOTAL - USES         356,930,498         364,653,460         329,218,928         (35,434,532)         -9.7%         343,348,060         14,129,131         4.3%           95         SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)         0         (28,828,430)         4,932,075         (4,387,629)           97         Draw from Prior Year's Measure W         2,000,000         28,828,430         2,387,629           98         Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses         (0)         28,828,430         2,387,629  | 91 Reserves for Fut  | ure Measure W Allocations                 | 15,079,047     | 4,443,496        |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 93     Subtotal - Allocations & Contributions     85,960,172     58,440,341     5,501,662     4,098,693       94     95     TOTAL - USES     356,930,498     364,653,460     329,218,928     (35,434,532)     -9.7%     343,348,060     14,129,131     4.3%       96     SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)     0     (28,828,430)     4,932,075     (4,387,629)       97     Draw from Prior Year's Measure W     2,000,000     28,828,430     2,387,629  | 92 Unapplied Duml    | parton Rental Income for Future Dumbarton |                | 496,477          | 698,333          |                           |                                 | 729,783          |                           |                                 |
| 94       95     TOTAL - USES     356,930,498     364,653,460     329,218,928     (35,434,532)     -9.7%     343,348,060     14,129,131     4.3%       96     SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)     0     (28,828,430)     4,932,075     (4,387,629)       97     Draw from Prior Year's Measure W     2,000,000       98     Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses     (0)     28,828,430     2,387,629  | Maintenance of       | Way                                       |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 95     TOTAL - USES     356,930,498     364,653,460     329,218,928     (35,434,532)     -9.7%     343,348,060     14,129,131     4.3%       96     SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)     0     (28,828,430)     4,932,075     (4,387,629)       97     Draw from Prior Year's Measure W     2,000,000     28,828,430     2,387,629       98     Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses     (0)     28,828,430     2,387,629  | 93 Subtotal - Alloca | ations & Contributions                    | 85,960,172     | 58,440,341       | 5,501,662        |                           |                                 | 4,098,693        |                           |                                 |
| 96     SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)     0     (28,828,430)     4,932,075     (4,387,629)       97     Draw from Prior Year's Measure W     2,000,000       98     Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses     (0)     28,828,430     2,387,629  | 94                   |   |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 |                  |                           |                                 |
| 97 Draw from Prior Year's Measure W     2,000,000       98 Draw from Prior Year's Surpluses     (0) 28,828,430     2,387,629  | 95 TOTAL - USES      |   | 356,930,498    | 364,653,460      | 329,218,928      | (35,434,532)              | -9.7%                           | 343,348,060      | 14,129,131                | 4.3%                            |
| 98 Draw from Prior Years' Surpluses         (0)         28,828,430         2,387,629  | 96 SURPLUS/(DEFICIT  | )   | 0              | (28,828,430)     | 4,932,075        |                           |                                 | (4,387,629)      |                           |                                 |
|   | 97 Draw from Prior Y | ear's Measure W                           |                |                  |                  |                           |                                 | 2,000,000        |                           |                                 |
| 99 ADJUSTED SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) 4,932,075 -   | 98 Draw from Prior Y | ears' Surpluses                           | (0)            | 28,828,430       |                  |                           |                                 | 2,387,629        |                           |                                 |
|   | 99 ADJUSTED SURPLU   | JS/(DEFICIT)                              | -              | -                | 4,932,075        |                           |                                 | -                |                           |                                 |

Note:

This statement does not include costs related to wages and benefits, including the associated CaIPERS and Retiree Medical Benefits incurred by the District on behalf of Caltrain, TA, SMCEL-JPA, and District's Capital wages and benefits

Item #9.b.4. 6/4/2025



samīrans

#### San Mateo County Transit District

#### Fiscal Year 2026 Proposed Capital Budget

|      |   |  |          |                   | FUNDING |        |          |   |                |   |
|------|---|--|----------|-------------------|---------|--------|----------|---|----------------|---|
|      | PROJECT TITLE   | PROJECT DESCRIPTION / SCOPE  | FY2      | 26 Proposed       | ST      | A SOGR | (Pi      | ict Sales Tax<br>rior Years'<br>urpluses) | Tax            | sure W Sal<br>(Prior Yeau<br>allocated) |
| REV  | ENUE VEHICLE REPLACEMENT                                    |  |          |                   |         |        | 1        |   |                |   |
| 1    | Major Bus Components  | Purchase new or rebuilt bus parts not accounted for in the operating budget and that exceed \$5,000.   | \$       | 606,520           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 606,52                                  |
|      | Subtotal  | -  | \$       | 606,520           | \$      | -      | \$       | -   | \$             | 606,52                                  |
| -    | I-REVENUE VEHICLE REPLACE                                   |  |          |                   |         |        | -1       |   | 1.             |   |
| 2    | Maintenance Equipment                                       | Procurement of key maintenance equipment, including electric carts, vehicle lifts, diagnostic tools, EV-specific tooling, and safety systems, to replace outdated assets and support maintenance operations for both existing and new bus fleets, including zero-emission vehicles.              | \$       | 759,353           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 759,35                                  |
| 3    | Replacement Non-Rev Svc<br>Support Vehicles                 | Replace (10) 2016 Toyota Siennas and (3) 2016 Ford Fusions with newer<br>hybrid vehicle technology to help the District reduce green house gas<br>emissions.   | \$       | 479,232           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 479,23                                  |
|      | Subtotal  |  | \$       | 1,238,585         | \$      | -      | \$       | -   | \$             | 1,238,58                                |
| FACI | LITIES / CONSTRUCTION                                       |  |          |                   |         |        |          |   |                |   |
| 4    | Brewster Office Trailers and<br>Furnishings                 | Relocate two existing office trailers and furnish two new trailers with office furniture to accommodate District staff at Brewster, supporting CUB/Paratransit operations over a period of 7-10 years.   | \$       | 136,800           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 136,80                                  |
| 5    | Concrete Replacement at NB, SB, and Sequoia Station         | Replace failed concrete at District properties.  | \$       | 342,000           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 342,00                                  |
| 6    | NB and SB Steam Bay<br>Hydraulic Lifts                      | Assess eight (8) hydraulic lifts at North and South Base steam bays,<br>including equipment functionality and environmental conditions. The<br>review will inform a repair/replacement strategy, which may include<br>replacing in-ground lifts with surface-mounted scissor lifts if warranted. | \$       | 256,500           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 256,50                                  |
| 7    | Replace NB Underground<br>Utilities                         | Replace corroded utilities (e.g., electrical system) at North Base caused<br>by saltwater intrusion, currently pose safety and environmental risks.  | \$       | 342,000           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 342,0                                   |
| 8    | North Base Sewer Line:<br>Assess, Repair, and/or<br>Replace | Assess and remediate the corroded North Base sewer line, in service since 1984, due to saltwater intrusion. Replace the line if necessary to address health and safety risks.  | \$       | 114,000           |         |        |          |   | \$             | 114,00                                  |
| 9    | NB and SB In-shop Hydraulic<br>Lifts                        | Assess 56 in-shop hydraulic lifts at North and South Base to determine<br>condition and develop a repair/replacement strategy.   | \$       | 342,000           | 1       |        |          |   | \$             | 342,0                                   |
|      | Subtotal  |  | \$       | 1,533,300         | \$      | -      | \$       | -   | \$             | 1,533,3                                 |
| INFR | ASTRUCTURE  |  |          |                   |         |        |          |   | T              |   |
|      |   |  |          |                   | i       |        |          |   |                |   |
|      | Subtotal  |  | \$       |                   | Ś       |        |          |   | <u>,</u>       |   |
| INFC | DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT                                    | ç  | Ş        | -                 | Ş       | -      | \$       | -   | \$             | -                                       |
|      |   | 5  | 1        |                   |         |        | 1        |   | 1              |   |
|      | <b></b>   | ·  | +        |                   | <br>    |        |          |   |                |   |
|      | Subtotal  | <u></u>  | \$       | -                 | \$      | -      | \$       | -   | \$             | -                                       |
| SAFE | TY AND SECURITY   |  |          |                   |         |        |          |   |                |   |
| 10   | Base Security   | Implement engineering controls to enhance base security, including<br>electronic gate access, key card entry for buildings and off-site<br>restrooms, upgraded lighting, perimeter fencing, and new CCTV systems.  | \$       | 285,000           |         |        | \$       | 285,000                                   |                |   |
| 11   | Security Assessment at<br>SamTrans Bases                    | Fund a consultant to conduct a threat and vulnerability assessment and<br>develop a security plan, including upgrades to CCTV, lighting, gates, locks,<br>and evaluation of cyber threats.   | \$       | 285,000           |         |        | \$       | 285,000                                   | <br> <br> <br> |   |
|      | Subtotal  |  | \$       | 570,000           | \$      | -      | \$       | 570,000                                   | \$             | -                                       |
| PLAN | NNING / DEVELOPMENT   |  |          |                   |         |        |          |   |                |   |
| 12   | Capital Contingency Fund                                    | Fund unforeseen capital expenditures such as urgent<br>requests/emergencies, and/or seed funds for studies that need to be<br>done immediately.  | \$       | 250,000           |         |        | \$       | 250,000                                   |                |   |
|      | Capital Program<br>Management                               | Fund for programs and project controls support, including monitoring<br>project performance and delivery.  | \$       | 250,000           |         |        | \$       | 250,000                                   |                |   |
| 14   | Capital Project<br>Development                              | Fund activities that include but are not limited to capital budget and programming process, grant development, and development of capital program management systems.  | \$       | 250,000           |         |        | \$       | 250,000                                   |                |   |
|      |   |  |          |                   |         |        |          |   |                |   |
|      | Subtotal<br>GRAND TOTAL                                     |  | \$<br>\$ | 750,000 4,698,405 |         | -      | \$<br>\$ | 750,000                                   | :              | 3,378,4                                 |

3

Item #9.b.4. 6/4/2025



#### San Mateo County Transit District

ATTACHMENT B June 2025

#### Fiscal Year 2027 Proposed Capital Budget

|                           |  |   |  |             |                | FUNDING  |                                  |  |          |  |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|-------------|----------------|----------|----------------------------------|--|----------|--|
|                           | PROJECT TITLE  | PROJECT DESCRIPTION / SCOPE   | FY2                                    | 27 Proposed | :              | STA SOGR | (P                               | rict Sales Tax<br>Prior Years'<br>Surpluses) | Тах      | sure W Sale<br>(Prior Years<br>nallocated) |
| REV                       | ENUE VEHICLE REPLACEMENT   | / SUPPORT   |  |             |                |          |                                  |  |          |  |
| 1                         | Major Bus Components   | Purchase new or rebuilt bus parts not accounted for in the operating budget and that exceed \$5,000.  | \$                                     | 864,846     |                |          | \$                               | 864,846                                      |          |  |
|                           | Subtotal   |   | \$                                     | 864,846     | \$             | -        | \$                               | 864,846                                      | \$       | -  |
| NON                       | I-REVENUE VEHICLE REPLACE  | MENT / SUPPORT  |  |             |                |          |                                  |  |          |  |
| 2                         | Maintenance Equipment  | Procurement of key maintenance equipment, including electric carts, vehicle lifts, diagnostic tools, EV-specific tooling, and safety systems, to replace outdated assets and support maintenance operations for both existing and new bus fleets, including zero-emission vehicles.   | \$                                     | 194,256     | \$             | 194,256  |                                  |  |          |  |
| 3                         | Replacement Non-Rev Svc<br>Support Vehicles  | Replace (4) 2017 Ford F250 Facilities Maintenance Trucks, (2) 2017 Ford<br>F350 Maintenance shop trucks, (1) 2017 Ford F350 Flat Bed and (1) 2019<br>Ford Transit 150 service van to newer and cleaner emission vehicle<br>technology to help the District reduce greenhouse gas emissions. All<br>vehicles are projected to exceed 150,000 miles by the planned<br>replacement date based on current usage trends. | \$                                     | 532,049     | \$             | 532,049  |                                  |  |          |  |
|                           | Subtotal   | <u> </u>  | \$                                     | 726,305     | \$             | 726,305  | Ś                                |  | \$       | -  |
| FAC                       | LITIES / CONSTRUCTION  |   | *                                      | ,           | Ť              | ,        | +                                |  | •        |  |
|                           | Concrete Replacement at<br>NB, SB, and Sequoia Station   | Replace failed concrete at District properties.   | \$                                     | 342,000     | \$             | 342,000  |                                  |  |          |  |
| 5                         | NB and SB Steam Bay<br>Hydraulic Lifts   | Assess eight (8) hydraulic lifts at North and South Base steam bays,<br>including equipment functionality and environmental conditions. The<br>review will inform a repair/replacement strategy, which may include<br>replacing in-ground lifts with surface-mounted scissor lifts if warranted.  | \$                                     | 256,500     |                |          |                                  |  | \$       | 256,50                                     |
| 6                         | Replace NB Underground<br>Utilities  | Replace corroded utilities (e.g., electrical system) at North Base caused<br>by saltwater intrusion, currently pose safety and environmental risks.   | \$                                     | 2,280,000   |                |          |                                  |  | \$       | 2,280,00                                   |
| 7                         | North Base Sewer Line:<br>Assess, Repair, and/or<br>Replace  | Assess and remediate the corroded North Base sewer line, in service since<br>1984, due to saltwater intrusion. Replace the line if necessary to address<br>health and safety risks.   | \$                                     | 256,500     |                |          |                                  |  | \$       | 256,50                                     |
| 8                         | NB and SB In-shop Hydraulic<br>Lifts   | Assess 56 in-shop hydraulic lifts at North and South Base to determine<br>condition and develop a repair/replacement strategy.  | \$                                     | 228,000     |                |          |                                  |  | \$       | 228,00                                     |
|                           | Subtotal   | 4   | ÷                                      | 3,363,000   |                |          |                                  |  |          | 3,021,00                                   |
| ·                         |  |   | \$                                     | 3,303,000   | \$             | 342,000  | Ş                                | -  | \$       | 3,021,00                                   |
| INFR                      | ASTRUCTURE   |   | Ş                                      | 3,363,000   | \$             | 342,000  | Ş                                | -  | \$       | 3,021,00                                   |
| INFR                      | ASTRUCTURE   |   | >                                      | 3,363,000   | \$             | 342,000  | Ş                                | -  | \$       | 3,021,00                                   |
| INFR                      |  |   |  | 3,363,000   | \$             | 342,000  |                                  | -  |          | 3,021,00                                   |
| INFR                      | ASTRUCTURE<br>Subtotal   |   | \$                                     |             | \$<br>\$       |          | \$<br>\$                         | -<br>  | \$<br>\$ |  |
|                           |  | s   |  | -           | \$<br>         | -        |                                  | -  |          |  |
|                           | Subtotal   | S   |  | -           | \$             | -        |                                  | -<br>  | -<br>    | -  |
|                           | Subtotal<br>PRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT   | S   | \$                                     | -           | \$             | -        | \$                               | -<br>  | \$       | -  |
| INFC                      | Subtotal<br>SRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal   | S   |  | -           | \$<br>\$<br>\$ | -        |                                  | -  | -<br>    | -  |
| INFC                      | Subtotal<br>PRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT   | S   | \$                                     | -           | \$             | -        | \$                               | -<br>  | \$       | -  |
| INFC                      | Subtotal<br>SRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal   | S   | \$                                     | -           | \$             | -        | \$                               | -<br>  | \$       | -  |
| INFC                      | Subtotal<br>DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal<br>ETY AND SECURITY   | S   | \$                                     | -           | \$             | -        | \$                               | -<br>  | \$       | -  |
| INFC                      | Subtotal<br>Subtotal<br>DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal<br>ETY AND SECURITY<br>Subtotal   | S   | \$                                     | -           | \$             | -        | \$                               | -<br>  | \$       | -  |
| INFC                      | Subtotal<br>DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal<br>ETY AND SECURITY   | S<br>Fund unforeseen capital expenditures such as urgent<br>requests/emergencies, and/or seed funds for studies that need to be<br>done immediately.  | \$                                     | -           | \$             | -        | \$                               | -<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>250,000                  | \$       | -  |
| INFC<br>SAFE<br>PLAN<br>9 | Subtotal<br>DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal<br>SUBTOTAL<br>Subtotal<br>NNING / DEVELOPMENT<br>Capital Contingency Fund<br>Capital Program<br>Management       | Fund unforeseen capital expenditures such as urgent<br>requests/emergencies, and/or seed funds for studies that need to be<br>done immediately.<br>Fund for programs and project controls support, including monitoring<br>project performance and delivery.  | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$             |             | \$             | -        | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$       | - 250,000                                    | \$       | -  |
| INFC<br>SAFE<br>PLAN<br>9 | Subtotal<br>DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT<br>Subtotal<br>ETY AND SECURITY<br>Subtotal<br>Subtotal<br>VNING / DEVELOPMENT<br>Capital Contingency Fund<br>Capital Program | Fund unforeseen capital expenditures such as urgent<br>requests/emergencies, and/or seed funds for studies that need to be<br>done immediately.<br>Fund for programs and project controls support, including monitoring   | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$ |             | \$             | -        | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$ | -<br>250,000<br>250,000<br>250,000           | \$       | -  |
| INFC<br>SAFE<br>PLAN<br>9 | Subtotal DRMATION TECHNOLOGY / IT Subtotal Subtotal Subtotal Subtotal VNING / DEVELOPMENT Capital Contingency Fund Capital Program Management Capital Project      | Fund unforeseen capital expenditures such as urgent<br>requests/emergencies, and/or seed funds for studies that need to be<br>done immediately.<br>Fund for programs and project controls support, including monitoring<br>project performance and delivery.<br>Fund activities that include but are not limited to capital budget and<br>programming process, grant development, and development of capital        | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$             |             | \$             | -        | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$       | - 250,000                                    | \$       | -  |

4

## FY26 and FY27 Proposed Budgets



samTrans

### SamTrans Board of Directors | June 4, 2025

2

## Agenda

- I. May Board Feedback
- II. FY26/27 Operating Sources
- III. FY26/27 Operating Uses
- IV. FY26/27 Capital Budgets
- V. Next Steps

## I. May Board Feedback

samirans

### **1. Reduce Reliance on Prior Years' Surpluses** ✓

Cost Reduction: FY26 \$2.2M / FY27 \$2.7M

- Froze certain administrative positions
- Reduced discretionary spending
- 2. Develop contingency plan for potential loss of federal ADA funds Q
  - Assess service reductions
    - Coverage within the required half mile radius of fixed-route transit
  - Utilize prior years' surpluses as a short-term bridge

### II. FY26/FY27 Sources: Budget (\$ Millions)

| Sources                 | FY26<br>Prelim | FY26<br>Proposed | FY27<br>Proposed |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Passenger Fares         | \$13.3         | \$13.3           | \$13.6           |
| AIA Recovery            | 39.0           | 39.0             | 39.0             |
| Investment Income       | 18.0           | 18.0             | 17.2             |
| Other and Pass-Through* | 17.4           | 20.9             | 20.0             |
| All Sales Tax           | 242.9          | 242.9            | 249.1            |
| Total Sources           | \$330.6        | \$334.1          | \$338.9          |

\* Includes Rental Income and Employer SamTrans Shuttle Funds



## III. FY26/FY27 Uses: Budget (\$ Millions)

|   | FY26    | FY26     | FY27     |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| Uses                                    | Prelim  | Proposed | Proposed |
| Operating Expenses                      | \$305.8 | \$305.1  | \$313.2  |
| Labor                                   | 142.8   | 142.2    | 148.2    |
| Purchased Transportation                | 65.1    | 66.2     | 69.1     |
| Contracted Services                     | 38.5    | 38.1     | 36.8     |
| Materials & Supplies                    | 15.2    | 15.0     | 15.5     |
| Utilities                               | 3.1     | 3.1      | 3.2      |
| Insurance and Claims                    | 17.7    | 17.7     | 18.4     |
| Other, Managing Costs, Pass<br>-Through | 23.4    | 22.8     | 22.0     |
| Non-Operating Expenses                  | 24.3    | 24.1     | 30.1     |
| Debt Service                            | 18.6    | 18.6     | 26.0     |
| Allocations & Contributions             | 5.7     | 5.5      | 4.1      |
| Total Uses                              | \$330.1 | \$329.2  | \$343.3  |



# III. FY26/FY27 Net Result (\$ Millions)

| Summary                          | FY26<br>Prelim | FY26<br>Proposed | FY27<br>Proposed       |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Total Sources                    | \$330.6        | \$334.1          | \$ <mark>3</mark> 38.9 |
| Total Uses                       | 330.1          | 329.2            | 343.3                  |
| Projected Surplus/(Deficit)      | 0.5            | 4.9              | (4.4)                  |
| Draw from Prior Years' Measure W | -              | -                | 2.0                    |
| Draw from Prior Years' Surpluses | -              | -                | 2.4                    |
| Adjusted Surplus/(Deficit)       | \$0.5          | \$4.9            | \$ -                   |

| Projected         | Projected         | Projected           |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Surplus /         | Surplus /         | Surplus /           |
| (Deficit), \$ 0.5 | (Deficit), \$ 4.9 | (Deficit), \$ (4.4) |
| Total Uses,       | Total Uses,       | Total Uses,         |
| \$ 330.1          | \$ 329.2          | \$ 343.3            |
| Total             | [SERIES           | [SERIES             |
| Sources, \$       | NAME], \$         | NAME], \$           |
| 330.6             | 334.1             | 338.9               |
| FY26 Prelim       | FY26 Proposed     | FY27 Proposed       |

7

## III: Responding in FY26 & FY27

- 1. Conduct fare analysis
- 2. Analyze service productivity
- 3. Assess revenue generation options
- 4. Evaluate labor efficiencies
- 5. Reduce non-labor discretionary costs
- 6. Implement fare adjustments
- 7. Consider budget amendment based on FY26 productivity analysis

## IV: FY26/FY27 Capital Budget Overview

samTrans

- Phase 1 (proposed budget): focuses on SOGR, safety and security, and facilities projects addressing core District needs
- Phase 2 (future amendment): adds capital projects that align with long-term priorities following CIP adoption
- Draft CIP will incorporate feedback from Board Workshop and include:
  - Recommended capital projects for FY26-29 (fiscally constrained)
  - Prioritized capital project list for FY26-35 (unconstrained)
- Capital reserve policy following adoption of the CIP

## IV: FY26/FY27 Capital Sources/Uses by Category (\$ Millions)

| Capital Sources                                | FY26  | FY27  |
|--|-------|-------|
| STA State of Good Repair                       | \$ -  | \$1.1 |
| District Sales Tax (Prior<br>Years' Surpluses) | 1.3   | 1.6   |
| Measure W (Prior Years'<br>Unallocated)        | 3.4   | 3.0   |
| Total  | \$4.7 | \$5.7 |

| Capital Uses                   | FY26  | FY27  |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Revenue Vehicle Support        | \$0.6 | \$0.9 |
| Non-Revenue Vehicle<br>Support | 1.2   | 0.7   |
| Facilities / Construction      | 1.5   | 3.3   |
| Safety and Security            | 0.6   | -     |
| Planning / Development         | 0.8   | 0.8   |
| Total                          | \$4.7 | \$5.7 |

Item #9.b.4. 6/4/2025

### V: Next Steps

- July Board:
  - CIP Adoption
- August Board:
  - Capital Reserve Policy
- September Board:
  - Capital Budget amendment (phase II)





<u>samTrans</u>

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2025

JEFF GEE, CHAIR MARIE CHUANG, VICE CHAIR DAVID J. CANEPA BROOKS ESSER MARINA FRASER RICO E. MEDINA JOSH POWELL PETER RATTO JACKIE SPEIER

April Chan General Manager/CEO

### AGENDA

#### San Mateo County Transit District

Legislative Committee Meeting Committee of the Whole

June 4, 2025 – 3:00 pm

or immediately following the Finance Committee meeting

Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

Committee Members: Josh Powell (Chair), Peter Ratto, Jackie Speier

9.c.1. Call to Order

samTrans

- 9.c.2. Approval of Minutes of the Legislative Committee Meeting of Motion May 7, 2025
- 9.c.3. Receive Legislative Update and Approve Legislative Proposal: Motion Senate Bill 239 (Arreguín), Assembly Bill 259 (Rubio), and Assembly Bill 810 (Irwin)

9.c.4. Adjourn

Note:

• All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

<sup>•</sup> This Committee meeting may be attended by Board Members who do not serve on this Committee. In the event that a quorum of the entire Board is present, this Committee shall act as a Committee of the Whole. In either case, any item acted upon by the Committee or the Committee of the Whole will require consideration and action by the full Board of Directors as a prerequisite to its legal enactment.

#### San Mateo County Transit District 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, California

#### Legislative Committee Meeting / Committee of the Whole DRAFT Minutes of May 7, 2025

Members Present (In Person): P. Ratto, J. Speier, J. Powell (Chair)

Members Absent: None

Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole: D. Canepa, M. Chuang, B. Esser, J. Gee

**Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole (Via Teleconference):** R. Medina

Other Board Members Absent: M. Fraser

Staff Present: J. Brook, J. Cassman, A. Chan, J. Epstein, M. Tseng, S. van Hoften

8.c. 1. Call to Order

Committee Chair Josh Powell called the meeting to order at 5:07 pm.

8.c.2. Approval of Minutes of the Legislative Committee Meeting of April 2, 2025 Motion/Second: Esser/Canepa

Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Medina, Powell, Ratto, Speier, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Fraser

8.c.3. Receive Legislative Update and Approve Legislative Proposal: Assembly Bill 1372 (Papan)

Jessica Epstein, Director, Government and Community Affairs, provided the presentation, which included the following: Federal:

- House and Senate approved budget resolution
- District submitted \$2 million earmark request; President released budget
- Tracking ARCHES (Alliance for Renewable Clean Hydrogen Energy Systems) closely as state is recipient of federal money
- Secretary of Transportation grant recipients must comply with no DEI (Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion) program

State:

- May revise to budget significant change from January budget
- Assembly Bill (AB) 810 will require agencies website and emails to become a dot gov (.gov) instead a dot -com (.com)
- AB 1372 Caltrain bill for electrical generation pulling recommendation for now

Regional:

• The eventual Senate Bill (SB) 63 language will be replaced with all participating counties and agencies

The Board Members had a robust discussion, and staff provided further clarification in response to the following comments and questions regarding the following:

• Assist with identifying earmarks

#### Public Comment

Aleta Dupree, Team Folds, commented on AB 1372 and requested SamTrans continue to support this work.

Adina Levin commented on SB 63; requested staff to comment on transit transformation, greater clarity about where the money is going, and governance.

Roland commented on the VTA (Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority) fiscal cliff.

The Committee made no motion to approve Legislative Proposal: Assembly Bill 1372 (Papan).

#### **8.c.4.** Adjourn – The meeting adjourned at 5:21 pm.

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at <u>https://www.samtrans.com/about-samtrans/video-board-directors-cac-and-measure-w-coc</u>. Questions may be referred to the District Secretary's office by phone at 650-551-6108 or by email to board@samtrans.com.

#### San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| Subject: | Officer<br>Receive Legislative Update and Approv | and Community Affairs |
|----------|--|-----------------------|
| From:    |  |                       |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO                  |                       |
| То:      | Legislative Committee                            |                       |

#### <u>Action</u>

Staff proposes the Committee:

- 1. Receive the attached federal and state legislative updates.
- 2. Approve the recommended San Mateo County Transit District positions on those pieces of legislation designated for action on the attached State Legislative Matrix.

#### **Significance**

The 2025 Legislative Program establishes the principles that will guide the legislative and regulatory advocacy efforts. Based on those principles, staff coordinates closely with our federal and state advocates on a wide variety of issues that are considered in Congress and the state legislature. The attached reports highlight the recent issues and actions that are relevant to the Board and specify those bills on which staff proposes that the District take a formal position.

| Prepared By: | Ana Vasudeo | Government and Community | 650-730-9917 |
|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|              |             | Affairs Manager          |              |

800 17th Street, NW, Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006 | T 202-955-3000 | F 202-955-5564 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

#### SamTrans Federal Report June 2025

#### **Congressional Update**

#### House Begins Budget Reconciliation Markups

- House Republicans have continued to advance their budget reconciliation package through the House of Representatives. The House Rules Committee will meet early Wednesday morning to set terms for the bill to meet Speaker Mike Johnson's goal of bringing it to the floor this week. However, there remain ongoing conflicts between some factions of the Republican conference over spending cuts and the state and local tax (SALT) deduction that will complicate final passage.
- The House Transportation & Infrastructure (T&I) Committee approved their respective package by a party-line vote of 36-30 on April 30. With instructions to cut about \$10 billion, the committee is responsible for one of the smaller components of the final bill. In contrast, the Ways & Means and Energy & Commerce Committees were tasked with generating the bulk of the cuts and tax measures.
- The bill includes a new \$250 fee for electric vehicles (EVs) and a \$100 fee for hybrid vehicles. The final text dropped a \$20 registration fee for passenger vehicles, after a number of Republican members expressed opposition to the fee. Funding generated from these new annual fees would be deposited in the Highway Trust Fund to help keep its solvency.
- In the Ways & Means Committee, where Republicans crafted the bill's tax provisions, members advanced sweeping legislation to reauthorize the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. Notably, the bill does not make changes to the tax status of municipal bonds. Significant reporting indicated that Republicans were eyeing the tax-exempt status of municipal bonds as a potential revenue generator.

| Committee                       | Date     | Instructions               | Status           |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|------------------|
| <u>Armed</u><br><u>Services</u> | April 29 | Spend up to \$100 billion  | Approved on 4/29 |
| Education &<br>Workforce        | April 29 | Cut at least \$330 billion | Approved on 4/29 |

• Below is the House's reconciliation markup schedule:

800 17th Street, NW, Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006 | T 202-955-3000 | F 202-955-5564 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

| Homeland<br>Security   | April 29 | Spend up to \$90 billion  | Approved on 4/29 |
|--|----------|---|------------------|
| <u>Financial</u><br><u>Services</u>                            | April 30 | Cut at least \$1 billion  | Approved on 4/30 |
| Judiciary  | April 30 | Spend up to \$110 billion   | Approved on 4/30 |
| <u>Oversight</u>   | April 30 | Cut at least \$50 billion   | Approved on 4/30 |
| <u>Transportation</u><br><u>&amp;</u><br><u>Infrastructure</u> | April 30 | Cut at least \$10 billion   | Approved on 4/30 |
| <u>Natural</u><br><u>Resources</u>                             | May 6    | Cut at least \$1 billion  | Approved on 5/6. |
| Energy &<br>Commerce   | May 13   | Cut at least \$880 billion  | Approved on 5/14 |
| Agriculture  | May 13   | Cut at least \$230 billion  | Approved on 5/14 |
| <u>Ways &amp;</u><br><u>Means</u>                              | May 13   | Spend up to \$4.5 trillion,<br>can increase debt limit by<br>\$4 trillion | Approved on 5/14 |

#### Administration Update

White House Releases "Skinny" FY 2026 Budget Request

- On May 2, the Trump Administration released a "<u>skinny" FY 2026 budget request</u> <u>summary</u>, with the full, detailed budget request expected later this month. The summary calls on Congress to reduce nondefense discretionary spending by 17 percent through cuts to most agencies and by eliminating dozens of programs. It calls for \$557 billion in non-defense spending next year, which represents a cut of \$163 billion from current levels.
- The request, which Congress is not required to enact, signals the administration's priorities and marks the first step in the appropriations process for FY 2026, which begins October 1. Appropriators heard from some administration officials this week ahead of drafting and debating the 12 annual funding bills.

800 17th Street, NW, Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006 | T 202-955-3000 | F 202-955-5564 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

- According to a memorandum released on May 2, the White House is requesting a nearly \$893 billion defense budget, which stops short of the \$1 trillion that the President vowed to provide. This budget outline also factors in the \$113 billion in proposed reconciliation funding, but Congress has yet to pass the bill.
- The request was received coldly by top Republican appropriators, signaling that they intend to chart their own path on FY26 funding.

#### Energy Secretary Chris Wright Provides Update on Hydrogen Hubs Funding

- On May 7, Department of Energy (DOE) Secretary Chris Wright appeared before the House Energy and Water Development Appropriations Subcommittee to defend the President's FY26 skinny budget request for DOE and answer questions. During the hearing, Secretary Wright was pressed on his Department's overseeing \$7 billion Hydrogen Hubs program. The Secretary maintained that DOE had not made any decisions regarding whether any hydrogen hub would lose funding.
- Secretary Wright added that DOE would soon be sending a review letter back to the bipartisan congressional delegation that <u>voiced support</u> about the federal funding for California's ARCHES Hydrogen Hub.

#### DOT Secretary Issues Letter to Federal Funding Applicants

- Department of Transportation (DOT) Secretary Sean Duffy released a <u>letter</u> reminding recipients of federal financial assistance from DOT of certain requirements DOT expects entities to abide by. Duffy informs that recipients of federal assistance enter into partnership with the federal government and are expected "to comply fully with all applicable Federal laws and regulations."
- On immigration, Duffy stated that recipients of federal grant dollars are to cooperate generally with Federal authorities "in the enforcement of Federal law, including cooperating with and not impeding U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and other Federal offices and components of the Department of Homeland Security in the enforcement of Federal immigration law."
- Duffy clarified that under the Trump Administration, officials view diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) programs as illegal. As a result, applicants are expected to follow federal laws and remove hiring preferences and other actions that could be considered DEI.

#### President Trump Signs Executive Orders Targeting Sanctuary Cities

• President Trump signed two executive orders targeting sanctuary jurisdictions, in an effort to compel localities to cooperate and assist with federal immigration enforcement. "Strengthening and Unleashing America's Law Enforcement to Pursue Criminals and Protect Innocent Citizens" and "Protecting American Communities from Criminal

800 17th Street, NW, Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006 | T 202-955-3000 | F 202-955-5564 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

<u>Aliens</u>" would direct the Attorney General and Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary to take new action to identify and punish sanctuary cities.

• The Attorney General and DHS Secretary will publish a list of state and local jurisdictions that obstruct the enforcement of federal immigration laws. The purpose of this list is to openly identify jurisdictions out of line with Trump Administration policy. President Trump has also directed the Attorney General to pursue legal action against state or local officials obstructing criminal or immigration law enforcement. The executive order cites the withholding of federal funding as a measure that the Trump Administration could also utilize when taking action against these localities.
| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary  | Position |
|--|---|--|----------|
| AB 23<br>DeMaio R<br>The Cost of Living<br>Reduction Act of<br>2025. |   | Existing law vests the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) with regulatory authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations and gas corporations. Existing law vests the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (Energy Commission) with various responsibilities for developing and implementing the state's energy policies. This bill, the Cost of Living Reduction Act of 2025, would require the Energy Commission and the PUC to post, and update monthly, dashboards on their internet websites that include the difference in average gasoline prices and the average total price of electricity or natural gas in California compared to national averages, and any California-specific taxes, fees, regulations, and policies that directly or indirectly contribute to higher gasoline and electricity or natural gas prices within the state, as specified. The bill would require the Energy Commission and the PUC, on or before July 1, 2026, to each submit a report to the Legislature on the governmental and nongovernmental drivers of California's higher gasoline prices and higher electricity and natural gas prices, and recommendations for policy changes to reduce the costs associated with those drivers, as specified. If the average price of gasoline in California exceeds 10% of the national average in the preceding quarter, the bill would require the PUC to suspend the collection of all fees, as specified, charged on electricity and natural gas bills for a period of 6 months. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |
| <u>AB 33</u><br><u>Aguiar-Curry</u> D<br>Autonomous<br>vehicles.     | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law authorizes the operation of an autonomous vehicle on public roads for testing purposes by a driver who possesses the proper class of license for the type of vehicle operated if specified requirements are satisfied. Existing law prohibits the operation of an autonomous vehicle on public roads until the manufacturer submits an application to the Department of Motor Vehicles, as specified, and that application is approved. A violation of the Vehicle Code or a local ordinance adopted pursuant to that code is an infraction. This bill would prohibit the delivery of commercial goods, as defined, directly to a residence or to a business for its use or retail sale through the operation of an autonomous vehicle without a human operator on any highway within the State of California. The bill would declare that a violation of this prohibition is not an infraction and is instead punishable by a civil fine not to exceed \$25,000 for each instance of the violation. The bill would make certain findings and declarations related to these provisions.   | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic                                       | Location   | Summary   | Position |
|---|--|---|----------|
| AB 61<br>Pacheco D                                  | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly          | Existing law vests the Public Utilities Commission with regulatory authority over public utilities, including<br>electrical corporations and gas corporations. The Public Advocate's Office of the Public Utilities<br>Commission is established as an independent office within the commission to represent and advocate on  | Watch    |
| ,   | Committee.   | behalf of the interests of public utility customers and subscribers within the jurisdiction of the commission. This bill would require the office to establish, by January 1, 2027, a program to, upon request of the Legislature, analyze legislation that would establish a mandated requirement or program or otherwise affect electrical or gas ratepayers, as specified. The bill would require the office to develop and implement conflict-of-interest provisions that would prohibit a person from participating in an analysis for which the person knows or has reasons to know that the person has a material financial interest. The bill would repeal these provisions on January 1, 2032.   |          |
| AB 99<br>Ta R<br>Electrical<br>corporations: rates. | Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law vests the Public Utilities Commission with regulatory authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations. Existing law authorizes the commission to fix the rates and charges for every public utility and requires that those rates and charges be just and reasonable. This bill would prohibit an electrical corporation from proposing a rate increase above the rate of inflation, as defined, as a systemwide average for any general rate case cycle, except the bill would expressly authorize the commission to approve a rate increase above the rate of inflation if the commission determines that the costs underlying the rate increase are directly related to safety enhancements and modernization or to higher commodity or fuel costs. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location                               | Summary   | Position  |
|--|--|---|-----------|
| AB 259   | This bill is in the                    | Existing law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative   | Recommend |
| <u>Rubio, Blanca</u> D   | Senate Local<br>Government             | body, as defined, of a local agency be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and participate. The act authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to use teleconferencing, as specified,  | Support   |
| Open meetings:<br>local agencies:<br>teleconferences.  | Committee.                             | and requires a legislative body of a local agency that elects to use teleconferencing to comply with specified requirements, including that the local agency post agendas at all teleconference locations, identify each teleconference location in the notice and agenda of the meeting or proceeding, and have each teleconference location be accessible to the public. Existing law, until January 1, 2026, authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to use alternative teleconferencing if, during the teleconference meeting, at least a quorum of the members of the legislative body participates in person from a singular physical location clearly identified on the agenda that is open to the public and situated within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction, and the legislative body complies with prescribed requirements. Existing law requires a member to satisfy specified requirements to participate in a meeting remotely pursuant to these alternative teleconferencing provisions, including that specified circumstances apply. Existing law establishes limits on the number of meetings a member may participate in solely by teleconference from a remote location pursuant to these alternative teleconferencing provisions, including prohibiting such participation for more than 2 meetings per year if the legislative body regularly meets once per month or less. This bill would extend the alternative teleconferencing provisions and other existing laws. |           |
| AB 334<br>Petrie-Norris D<br>Operators of toll<br>facilities:<br>interoperability<br>programs: vehicle<br>information. | This bill is on the<br>Assembly Floor. | Existing law requires the Department of Transportation, in cooperation with the Golden Gate Bridge,<br>Highway and Transportation District and all known entities planning to implement a toll facility, to<br>develop and adopt functional specifications and standards for an automatic vehicle identification system<br>in compliance with specified objectives, and generally requires any automatic vehicle identification<br>system purchased or installed after January 1, 1991, to comply with those specifications and standards.<br>Existing law authorizes operators of toll facilities on federal-aid highways engaged in an interoperability<br>program to provide, regarding a vehicle's use of the toll facility, only the license plate number,<br>transponder identification number, date and time of the transaction, and identity of the agency<br>operating the toll facility. This bill would instead authorize operators of toll facilities on federal-aid<br>highways engaged in an interstate interoperability program to provide only the information regarding a<br>vehicle's use of the toll facility that is license plate data, transponder data, or transaction data and that is<br>required to implement interstate interoperability.  | Watch     |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location             | Summary  | Position |
|----------------|----------------------|--|----------|
| AB 339         | This bill is on the  | Existing law, the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act, contains various provisions that govern collective bargaining   | Watch    |
| Ortega D       | Suspense File in the | of local represented employees and delegates jurisdiction to the Public Employment Relations Board to      |          |
|                | Assembly             | resolve disputes and enforce the statutory duties and rights of local public agency employers and          |          |
| Local public   | Appropriations       | employees. Existing law requires the governing body of a public agency to meet and confer in good faith    |          |
| employee       | Committee.           | regarding wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment with representatives of               |          |
| organizations: |                      | recognized employee organizations. Existing law requires the governing body of a public agency, and        |          |
| notice         |                      | boards and commissions designated by law or by the governing body, to give reasonable written notice,      |          |
| requirements.  |                      | except in cases of emergency, as specified, to each recognized employee organization affected of any       |          |
|                |                      | ordinance, rule, resolution, or regulation directly relating to matters within the scope of representation |          |
|                |                      | proposed to be adopted by the governing body or the designated boards and commissions. This bill           |          |
|                |                      | would require the governing body of a public agency, and boards and commissions designated by law or       |          |
|                |                      | by the governing body of a public agency, to give the recognized employee organization no less than 120    |          |
|                |                      | days' written notice before issuing a request for proposals, request for quotes, or renewing or extending  |          |
|                |                      | an existing contract to perform services that are within the scope of work of the job classifications      |          |
|                |                      | represented by the recognized employee organization. The bill would require the notice to include          |          |
|                |                      | specified information, including the anticipated duration of the contract. The bill would also require the |          |
|                |                      | public agency, if an emergency or other exigent circumstance prevents the public agency from providing     |          |
|                |                      | the written notice described above, to provide as much advance notice as is practicable under the          |          |
|                |                      | circumstances. If the recognized employee organization demands to meet and confer within 30 days of        |          |
|                |                      | receiving the written notice, the bill would require the public agency and recognized employee             |          |
|                |                      | organization to promptly meet and confer in good faith, as specified. By imposing new duties on local      |          |
|                |                      | public agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related    |          |
|                |                      | provisions and other existing laws.  |          |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location          | Summary  | Position |
|--|-------------------|--|----------|
| <u>AB 340</u><br><u>Ahrens</u> D   |                   | Existing law that governs the labor relations of public employees and employers, including, among others, the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act, the Ralph C. Dills Act, provisions relating to public schools, and provisions relating to higher education, prohibits employers from taking certain actions relating to   | Watch    |
| Employer-<br>employee<br>relations:<br>confidential<br>communications.     | Committee.        | employee organization, including imposing or threatening to impose reprisals on employees, discriminating or threatening to discriminate against employees, or otherwise interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees because of their exercise of their guaranteed rights. Those provisions of existing law further prohibit denying to employee organizations the rights guaranteed to them by existing law. This bill would prohibit a public employer from questioning a public employee, a representative of a recognized employee organization, or an exclusive representative regarding communications made in confidence between an employee and an employee representative in connection with representation relating to any matter within the scope of the recognized employee organization, or an exclusive representative to disclose those confidential communications to a third party. The bill would not apply to a criminal investigation or when a public safety officer is under investigation and certain circumstances exist.   |          |
| AB 370<br>Carrillo D<br>California Public<br>Records Act:<br>cyberattacks. | policy committee. | The California Public Records Act requires state and local agencies to make their records available for public inspection, except as specified. Existing law requires each agency, within 10 days of a request for a copy of records, to determine whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in possession of the agency and to promptly notify the person of the determination and the reasons therefor. Existing law authorizes that time limit to be extended by no more than 14 days under unusual circumstances, and defines "unusual circumstances" to include, among other things, the need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine records during a state of emergency when the state of emergency currently affects the agency's ability to timely respond to requests due to staffing shortages or closure of facilities, as provided. This bill would also expand the definition of unusual circumstances to include the inability of the agency, because of a cyberattack, to access its electronic servers or systems in order to search for and obtain a record that the agency believes is responsive to a request and is maintained on the servers or systems in an electronic format. Under the bill, the extension would apply only until the agency regains its ability to access its electronic servers or systems and search for and obtain electronic records that may be responsive to a request. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic                | Location             | Summary  | Position   |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------|
| AB 394                       | This bill is on the  | Existing law defines a battery as any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person of   | Support    |
| <u>Wilson</u> D              | Suspense File in the | another. Existing law provides that when a battery is committed against the person of an operator,   | March 2025 |
|                              | Assembly             | driver, or passenger on a bus, taxicab, streetcar, cable car, trackless trolley, or other motor vehicle, as  |            |
| Public                       | Appropriations       | specified, and the person who commits the offense knows or reasonably should know that the victim is   |            |
| transportation<br>providers. | Committee.           | engaged in the performance of their duties, the penalty is imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding<br>one year, a fine not exceeding \$10,000, or both the fine and imprisonment. Existing law also provides<br>that if the victim is injured, the offense would be punished by a fine not exceeding \$10,000, by<br>imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year or in the state prison for 16 months, 2, or 3 years,<br>or by both that fine and imprisonment. This bill would expand this crime to apply to an employee, public<br>transportation provider, or contractor of a public transportation provider. By expanding the scope of an<br>existing crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related |            |
| AB 421                       | This bill is in the  | provisions and other existing laws.<br>Existing law, the California Values Act, generally prohibits California law enforcement agencies from   | Watch      |
| Solache D                    |                      | investigating, interrogating, detaining, detecting, or arresting persons for immigration enforcement   | vvalch     |
| <u>Solucine</u> D            | Safety Committee.    | purposes. Existing law provides certain limited exceptions to this prohibition, including transfers of   |            |
| Immigration                  |                      | persons pursuant to a judicial warrant and providing certain information to federal authorities regarding  |            |
| enforcement:                 |                      | serious and violent felons in custody. This bill would prohibit California law enforcement agencies from   |            |
| prohibitions on              |                      | collaborating with, or providing any information in writing, verbally, on in any other manner to,  |            |
| access, sharing              |                      | immigration authorities regarding proposed or currently underway immigration enforcement actions   |            |
| information, and             |                      | when the actions could be or are taking place within a radius of one mile of any childcare or daycare  |            |
| law enforcement              |                      | facility, religious institution, place of worship, hospital, or medical office. To the extent this bill would  |            |
| collaboration.               |                      | impose additional duties on local law enforcement agencies or officials, the bill would impose a state-<br>mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.  |            |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary  | Position |
|--|---|--|----------|
| AB 467<br>Fong D<br>Open meetings:                       | This bill is in the<br>Senate Local<br>Government<br>Committee.                         | Existing law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative<br>body, as defined, of a local agency be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and<br>participate. The act generally requires for teleconferencing that the legislative body of a local agency that<br>elects to use teleconferencing post agendas at all teleconference locations, identify each teleconference  | Watch    |
| teleconferences:<br>neighborhood<br>councils.            |   | location in the notice and agenda of the meeting or proceeding, and have each teleconference location<br>be accessible to the public. Existing law also requires that, during the teleconference, at least a quorum<br>of the members of the legislative body participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory<br>over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction, except as specified. Existing law, until January 1, 2026,<br>authorizes specified neighborhood city councils to use alternate teleconferencing provisions related to<br>notice, agenda, and public participation, as prescribed, if, among other requirements, the city council has<br>adopted an authorizing resolution and 2/3 of the neighborhood city council votes to use alternate<br>teleconference provisions, as specified. This bill would extend the authorization for specified<br>neighborhood city councils to use the alternate teleconferencing provisions described above until<br>January 1, 2030. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.   |          |
| <u>AB 476</u><br><u>González, Mark</u> D<br>Metal theft. | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law governs the business of buying, selling, and dealing in secondhand and used machinery and all ferrous and nonferrous scrap metals and alloys, also known as "junk." Existing law requires junk dealers and recyclers to keep a written record of all sales and purchases made in the course of their business, including the place and date of each sale or purchase of junk and a description of the item or items, as specified. Existing law requires the written record to include a statement indicating either that the seller of the junk is the owner of it, or the name of the person they obtained the junk from, as shown on a signed transfer document. Existing law prohibits a junk dealer or recycler from providing payment for nonferrous materials until the junk dealer or recycler obtains a copy of a valid driver's license of the seller or other specified identification. Existing law mequires a junk dealer or recycler to preserve the written record for at least 2 years. Existing law makes a violation of the recordkeeping requirements a misdemeanor. This bill would require junk dealers and recyclers to include additional information in the written record, including the time and amount paid for each sale or purchase of junk made, and the name of the employee handling the transaction. The bill would revise the type of information required to be included in the description of the item or items of junk purchased or sold, as specified. The bill would require the statement referenced above indicating ownership or the name of the person from whom the seller obtained the junk from to be signed and would require the statement to include specified information, including the legal name, date of birth, and place of residence of the seller. The bill would prohibit a junk dealer or recycler from purchasing nonferrous metals from a person under 18 years of age. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary   | Position |
|--|---|---|----------|
| AB 541<br>DeMaio R<br>California Public<br>Records Act<br>Ombudsperson.                | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law, the California Public Records Act, requires state and local agencies to make their records available for public inspection, unless an exemption from disclosure applies. The act declares that access to information concerning the conduct of the people's business is a fundamental and necessary right of every person in this state. This bill would, until January 1, 2029, and subject to appropriation, establish the Office of the California Public Records Act Ombudsperson. The bill would require the Governor to appoint the ombudsperson subject to certain requirements. The bill would require the ombudsperson to receive and investigate requests for review, as defined, determine whether the denials of original requests, as defined, complied with the California Public Records Act, and issue written opinions of its determination, as provided. The bill would require the ombudsperson to create a process to that effect, and would authorize a member of the public to submit a request for review to the ombudsperson consistent with that process. The bill would require the ombudsperson, within 30 days from receipt of a request for review, to make a determination, as provided, and would require the state agency to provide the public record if the ombudsperson determines that it was improperly denied. The bill would require the ombudsperson to create a process through which a person whose information is contained in a record being reviewed may intervene to assert their privacy and confidentiality rights, and would otherwise require the ombudsperson to report to the Legislature, on or before March 31, 2027, and annually thereafter, on, among other things, the number of requests for review the ombudsperson has received in the prior year. | Watch    |
| AB 810<br>Irwin D<br>Local government:<br>internet websites<br>and email<br>addresses. | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law requires that a local agency that maintains an internet website for use by the public to ensure that the internet website uses a ".gov" top-level domain or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain no later than January 1, 2029. Existing law requires that a local agency that maintains public email addresses to ensure that each email address provided to its employees uses a ".gov" domain name or a ".ca.gov" domain name or a ".ca.gov" domain name no later than January 1, 2029. Existing law defines "local agency" for these purposes as a city, county, or city and county. This bill would recast these provisions by instead requiring a city, county, or city and county to comply with the above-described domain requirements and by deleting the term "local agency" from the above-described provisions. The bill would also require a special district, joint powers authority, or other political subdivision to comply with similar domain requirements no later than January 1, 2031. The bill would allow a community college district or community college to use a ".edu" domain to satisfy these requirements, and would specify that these requirements do not apply to a K–12 public school district. By adding to the duties of local officials, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.  |          |

| Bill ID/Topic         | Location            | Summary  | Position |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|----------|
| AB 939                | This bill is in the | The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006, approved by the  | Watch    |
| <u>Schultz</u> D      | Assembly            | voters as Proposition 1B at the November 7, 2006, statewide general election, authorizes the issuance of |          |
|                       | Transportation      | bonds in the amount of \$19,925,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law for specified  |          |
| The Safe,             | Committee.          | purposes, including high-priority transportation corridor improvements, State Route 99 corridor          |          |
| Sustainable, Traffic- |                     | enhancements, trade infrastructure and port security projects, schoolbus retrofit and replacement        |          |
| Reducing              |                     | purposes, state transportation improvement program augmentation, transit and passenger rail              |          |
| Transportation        |                     | improvements, state-local partnership transportation projects, transit security projects, local bridge   |          |
| Bond Act of 2026.     |                     | seismic retrofit projects, highway-railroad grade separation and crossing improvement projects, state    |          |
|                       |                     | highway safety and rehabilitation projects, local street and road improvement, congestion relief, and    |          |
|                       |                     | traffic safety. This bill would enact the Safe, Sustainable, Traffic-Reducing Transportation Bond Act of |          |
|                       |                     | 2026 which, if approved by the voters, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of            |          |
|                       |                     | \$20,000,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance transit and passenger rail |          |
|                       |                     | improvements, local streets and roads and active transportation projects, zero-emission vehicle          |          |
|                       |                     | investments, transportation freight infrastructure improvements, and grade separations and other         |          |
|                       |                     | critical safety improvements. The bill would provide for the submission of the bond act to the voters at |          |
|                       |                     | the November 3, 2026, statewide general election.  |          |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location             | Summary  | Position |
|----------------|----------------------|--|----------|
| AB 941         | This bill is on the  | The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause         | Watch    |
| <u>Zbur</u> D  | Suspense File in the | to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report on a project that it                |          |
|                | Assembly             | proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a             |          |
| California     | Appropriations       | negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency    |          |
| Environmental  | Committee.           | to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the              |          |
| Quality Act:   |                      | environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial          |          |
| electrical     |                      | evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA exempts          |          |
| infrastructure |                      | certain projects from its requirements, including actions necessary to prevent or mitigate an emergency.         |          |
| projects.      |                      | Existing law prohibits an electrical corporation from beginning the construction of a line, plant, or            |          |
|                |                      | system, or extensions of those facilities without first obtaining from the Public Utilities Commission a         |          |
|                |                      | certificate that the present or future convenience and necessity require or will require the construction.       |          |
|                |                      | Existing law specifies that the certificate is not required for the extension, expansion, upgrade, or other      |          |
|                |                      | modification of existing electrical transmission facilities. This bill would require the commission to           |          |
|                |                      | determine whether to certify the environmental impact report for an electrical infrastructure project            |          |
|                |                      | that is a priority project, as defined, no later than 270 days after the commission determines that an           |          |
|                |                      | application for an electrical infrastructure project is complete, except as specified. The bill would require    |          |
|                |                      | a project applicant to identify an electrical infrastructure project that is a priority project and the basis    |          |
|                |                      | for the designation in the application to the commission. The bill would require commission staff to             |          |
|                |                      | review an application for a priority project no later than 30 days after it is filed and notify the applicant in |          |
|                |                      | writing of any deficiencies in the information and data submitted in the application. The bill would             |          |
|                |                      | require the applicant to correct any deficiencies or notify the commission in writing why it is unable to,       |          |
|                |                      | to correct those deficiencies, as specified, within 60 days of that notification. The bill would require the     |          |
|                |                      | commission to deem an application for a priority project complete with a preliminary ruling setting the          |          |
|                |                      | scope and schedule, as provided. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.            |          |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary   | Position |
|--|---|---|----------|
| <u>AB 996</u><br><u>Pellerin</u> D   | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly         | Existing law, the California Coastal Act of 1976, provides for the protection of California's coast and requires any person wishing to perform or undertake any development in the coastal zone, as defined, to obtain a coastal development permit, except as specified. The act requires the issuance of a coastal  | Watch    |
| Public Resources:<br>California Coastal<br>Act of 1976:<br>California Coastal<br>Planning Fund: sea<br>level rise plans. | Appropriations<br>Committee.                                    | development permit if the proposed development is in conformity with the certified local coastal program. The act provides for the certification of local coastal programs by the California Coastal Commission. The bill would establish the California Coastal Planning Fund in the State Treasury to help local governments adequately plan for the protection of coastal resources and public accessibility to the coastline. The bill would, upon appropriation by the Legislature, make moneys in the fund available to the commission for various state and local costs relating to local coastal program development and sea level rise plans and to administer the fund, as provided. The bill would authorize the commission to expend moneys in the fund to assist specified eligible recipients, including, among others, the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, and to take specified action to administer the fund. The bill would authorize the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission to set appropriate requirements as a condition of funding for moneys provided to it from the fund. The bill would make findings and declarations related to a gift of public funds. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.   |          |
| AB 1058<br>Gonzalez, Jeff R<br>Motor Vehicle Fuel<br>Tax Law:<br>suspension of tax.                                      | This bill is in the<br>Assembly<br>Transportation<br>Committee. | Existing law, the Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax Law, imposes a tax upon each gallon of motor vehicle fuel removed from a refinery or terminal rack in this state, entered into this state, or sold in this state, at a specified rate per gallon. Existing unfair competition laws establish a statutory cause of action for unfair competition, including any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising and acts prohibited by false advertisement laws. This bill would suspend the imposition of the tax on motor vehicle fuels for one year. The bill would require that all savings realized based on the suspension of the motor vehicle fuels tax by a person other than an end consumer, as defined, be passed on to the end consumer, and would make the violation of this requirement an unfair business practice, in violation of unfair competition laws, as provided. The bill would require a seller of motor vehicle fuels to provide a receipt to a purchaser that indicates the amount of tax that would have otherwise applied to the transaction. This bill would also direct the Controller to transfer a specified amount from the General Fund to the Motor Vehicle Fuel Account in the Transportation Tax Fund. By transferring General Fund moneys to a continuously appropriated account, this bill would make an appropriation. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary   | Position                 |
|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| Bill ID/Topic<br>AB 1067<br>Quirk-Silva D<br>Public employees'<br>retirement: felony<br>convictions.   | This bill is on the   | Existing law, the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013, requires a public employee who is convicted of any state or federal felony for conduct arising out of, or in the performance of, the public employee's official duties in pursuit of the office or appointment, or in connection with obtaining salary, disability retirement, service retirement, or other benefits, to forfeit all accrued rights and benefits in any public retirement system from the earliest date of the commission of the felony to the date of conviction, and prohibits the public employee from accruing further benefits in that public retirement system from the earliest date of a public employer. Existing law also requires an elected public officer, who takes public office, or is reelected to public office, on or after January 1, 2006, and who is convicted during or after holding office of any felony involving accepting or giving, or offering to give, any bribe, the embezzlement of public money, extortion or theft of public money, perjury, or conspiracy to commit any of those crimes arising directly out of their official duties as an elected public officer, to forfeit all rights and benefits under, and membership in, any public retirement system in which they are a member, effective on the date of final conviction, as provided. This bill would require a public employee that is investigating a public employee for misconduct arising out of or in the performance of, the public employee's official duties in pursuit of the office or appointment, or in connection with obtaining salary, disability retirement, service retirement, or other benefits under, in the performance of the office or appointment, or in connection with obtaining salary, disability retirement, service retirement, or other benefits, to continue the investigation even if the public employee retires while under investigation. The | <b>Position</b><br>Watch |
|  |   | bill would require a public employer, if the investigation indicates that the public employee may have<br>committed a crime, to refer the matter to the appropriate law enforcement agency. Under the bill, if a<br>felony conviction results arising out of any conduct described above, the public employee would forfeit<br>all accrued rights and benefits in any public retirement system pursuant to the provisions governing<br>forfeiture described above. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.   |                          |
| AB 1132<br>Schiavo D<br>Department of<br>Transportation:<br>climate change<br>vulnerability<br>assessment:<br>community<br>resilience<br>assessment. | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law establishes the Department of Transportation to, among other things, plan, design, construct, operate, and maintain the state highway system, as provided. Pursuant to that authority, the department developed 12 district-based Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment reports designed to provide the department with a comprehensive database to help in evaluating, mitigating, and adapting to the effects of increasing extreme weather events on the state transportation system. This bill would require the department, on or before January 1, 2029, to identify key community resilience indicators for measuring the impacts of climate-induced transportation disruptions, as specified. The bill would also require the department, on or before January 1, 2030, to include in the Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment reports an evaluation of the broader social and economic impacts on communities connected to the evaluated infrastructure risks, as specified.   | Watch                    |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location                               | Summary  | Position |
|---|--|--|----------|
| AB 1198<br>Haney D  | Assembly                               | Existing law requires that, except as specified, not less than the general prevailing rate of per diem wages, determined by the Director of Industrial Relations, be paid to workers employed on public works projects. Existing law requires the body awarding a contract for a public work to obtain from the director   | Watch    |
| Public works:<br>prevailing wages.  | Appropriations<br>Committee.           | the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for work of a similar character in the locality in which the public work is to be performed, and the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for holiday and overtime work, for each craft, classification, or type of worker needed to execute the contract. Under existing law, if the director determines during any quarterly period that there has been a change in any prevailing rate of per diem wages in a locality, the director is required to make that change available to the awarding body and their determination is final. Under existing law, that determination does not apply to public works contracts for which the notice to bidders has been published. This bill would instead state, commencing July 1, 2026, that if the director determines, within a semiannual period, that there is a change in any prevailing rate of per diem wages in a locality, that determination applies to any public works contract that is awarded or for which notice to bidders is published after July 1, 2026. The bill would authorize any contractor, awarding body, or specified representative affected by a change in rates on a particular contract to, within 20 days, file with the director a verified petition to review the determination of that rate, as specified. The bill would require the director to, upon notice to the interested parties, initiate an investigation or hold a hearing, and, within 20 days after the filing of that petition, except as specified, make a final determination and transmit the determination is writing to the awarding body and to the interested parties. The bill would make that determination issued by the director effective 10 days after its issuance, and until it is modified, rescinded, or superseded by the director. |          |
| AB 1207<br>Irwin D<br>Climate change:<br>market-based<br>compliance<br>mechanism: price<br>ceiling. | This bill is on the<br>Assembly Floor. | The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases and requires the state board to ensure that statewide greenhouse gas emissions are reduced to at least 40% below the 1990 level by 2030. The act, until January 1, 2031, authorizes the state board to adopt a regulation establishing a system of market-based declining aggregate emissions limits for sources or categories of sources that emit greenhouse gases (market-based compliance mechanism) that meets certain requirements. Existing law requires the state board, in adopting the regulation to, among other things, establish a price ceiling for emission allowances sold by the state board. Existing law requires the state board, in establishing the price ceiling, to consider specified factors, including the full social cost associated with emitting a metric ton of greenhouse gases. This bill would require the state board to distate board to instead consider the full social cost associated with emitting a metric ton of greenhouse gases, as determined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in November 2023.   | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic     | Location            | Summary   | Position |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|----------|
| AB 1243           | This bill is in the | The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, until January 1, 2031, authorizes the State Air          | Watch    |
| Addis D           | Assembly Judiciary  | Resources Board to adopt a regulation establishing a system of market-based declining aggregate               |          |
|                   | Committee.          | emissions limits for sources or categories of sources that emit greenhouse gases (market-based                |          |
| Polluters Pay     |                     | compliance mechanism) that meets certain requirements. Existing law establishes the Greenhouse Gas            |          |
| Climate Superfund |                     | Reduction Fund and requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the state board          |          |
| Act of 2025.      |                     | from the auction or sales of allowances as a part of a market-based compliance mechanism to be                |          |
|                   |                     | deposited into the fund and requires the Legislature to appropriate moneys in the fund for the purpose        |          |
|                   |                     | of reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the state, as provided. Existing law, the California Climate Crisis   |          |
|                   |                     | Act, declares that it is the policy of the state both to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions as soon    |          |
|                   |                     | as possible, but no later than 2045, and achieve and maintain net-negative greenhouse gas emissions           |          |
|                   |                     | thereafter, and to ensure that by 2045, statewide anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are reduced          |          |
|                   |                     | to at least 85% below the 1990 levels. This bill would enact the Polluters Pay Climate Superfund Act of       |          |
|                   |                     | 2025 and would establish the Polluters Pay Climate Superfund Program to be administered by the                |          |
|                   |                     | California Environmental Protection Agency to require fossil fuel polluters to pay their fair share of the    |          |
|                   |                     | damage caused by greenhouse gases released into the atmosphere during the covered period, which the           |          |
|                   |                     | bill would define as the time period between the 1990 and 2024 calendar years, inclusive, resulting from      |          |
|                   |                     | the extraction, production, refining, sale, or combustion of fossil fuels or petroleum products, to relieve a |          |
|                   |                     | portion of the burden to address cost borne by current and future California taxpayers. The bill would        |          |
|                   |                     | require the agency, within 90 days of the effective date of the act, to determine and publish a list of       |          |
|                   |                     | responsible parties, which the bill would define as an entity with a majority ownership interest in a         |          |
|                   |                     | business engaged in extracting or refining fossil fuels that, during the covered period, did business in the  |          |
|                   |                     | state or otherwise had sufficient contact with the state, and is determined by the agency to be               |          |
|                   |                     | responsible for more than 1,000,000,000 metric tons of covered fossil fuel emissions, as defined, in          |          |
|                   |                     | aggregate globally, during the covered period. This bill contains other related provisions and other          |          |
|                   |                     | existing laws.  |          |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary  | Position |
|--|---|--|----------|
| AB 1250<br>Papan D<br>Transit operators:<br>paratransit:<br>recertification of<br>eligibility. | This bill is on the<br>Assembly Floor.                          | Under the Mills-Alquist-Deddeh Act, also known as the Transportation Development Act, revenues from<br>a 1/4 percent sales tax in each county are, among other things, available for allocation by the<br>transportation planning agency to transit operators and for community transit services. Existing law<br>requires a transit operator that receives funds through the Mills-Alquist-Deddeh Act and that provides<br>dial-a-ride or paratransit service to provide those services consistent with certain requirements. This bill<br>would prohibit, on and after January 1, 2027, those transit operators from requiring a person who<br>receives, or is eligible to receive, paratransit services based on a disability or medical condition, and<br>whose condition cannot reasonably be expected to improve, to recertify their eligibility, unless the<br>person has a temporary eligibility or there is a review to broaden the person's eligibility, as specified. The<br>bill would require a temporary eligibility to be valid for 180 days or until the date indicated by the<br>person's medical professional, as specified. The bill would prohibit renewal of temporary eligibility more<br>than 6 consecutive times. To the extent the bill would impose additional duties on a local agency, it<br>would impose a state-mandated local program. The California Constitution requires the state to<br>reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory<br>provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement. This bill would provide that, if the<br>Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state,          | Watch    |
| AB 1268<br>Macedo R<br>Motor Vehicle Fuel<br>Tax Law:<br>adjustment<br>suspension.             | This bill is in the<br>Assembly<br>Transportation<br>Committee. | reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.<br>The Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax Law, administered by the California Department of Tax and Fee<br>Administration, imposes a tax upon each gallon of motor vehicle fuel removed from a refinery or<br>terminal rack in this state, entered into this state, or sold in this state, at a specified rate per gallon.<br>Existing law requires the department to adjust the tax on July 1 each year by a percentage amount equal<br>to the increase in the California Consumer Price Index, as calculated by the Department of Finance.<br>Article XIX of the California Constitution restricts the expenditure of revenues from the Motor Vehicle<br>Fuel Tax Law, Diesel Fuel Tax Law, and other taxes imposed by the state on fuels used in motor vehicles<br>upon public streets and highways to street and highway and certain mass transit purposes. This bill<br>would authorize the Governor to suspend an adjustment to the motor vehicle fuel tax, as described<br>above, scheduled on or after July 1, 2025, upon making a determination that increasing the rate would<br>impose an undue burden on low-income and middle-class families. The bill would require the Governor<br>to notify the Legislature of an intent to suspend the rate adjustment on or before January 10 of that<br>year, and would require the Department of Finance to submit to the Legislature a proposal by January 10<br>that would maintain the same level of funding for transportation purposes as would have been<br>generated had the scheduled adjustment not been suspended. This bill contains other related provisions<br>and other existing laws. | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location  | Summary  | Position |
|---|---|--|----------|
| AB 1337<br>Ward D<br>Information<br>Practices Act of<br>1977.                           | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law, the Information Practices Act of 1977, prescribes a set of requirements, prohibitions, and remedies applicable to agencies, as defined, with regard to their collection, storage, and disclosure of personal information, as defined. Existing law exempts from the provisions of the act counties, cities, any city and county, school districts, municipal corporations, districts, political subdivisions, and other local public agencies, as specified. This bill would recast those provisions to, among other things, remove that exemption for local agencies, and would revise and expand the definition of "personal information." The bill would make other technical, nonsubstantive, and conforming changes. Because the bill would expand the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.   | Watch    |
| AB 1340<br>Wicks D<br>Transportation<br>network company<br>drivers: labor<br>relations. | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Assembly<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law declares the public policy of the state regarding labor organization, including, among other things, that it is necessary for a worker to have full freedom of association, self-organization, and designation of representatives of their own choosing, to negotiate the terms and conditions of their employment, and to be free from the interference, restraint, or coercion of employers of labor, or their agents, in the designation of such representatives or in self-organization or in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection. Existing law, the Protect App-Based Drivers and Services Act, added by Proposition 22, as approved by the voters at the November 3, 2020, statewide general election (the initiative), categorizes app-based drivers for network companies, as defined, as independent contractors if certain conditions are met. Existing law requires, among other things, that the network company provide a health care subsidy to qualifying app-based drivers, provide a minimum level of compensation of powers grounds; however, the court severed the unconstitutional provisions, allowing the rest of the initiative to remain in effect. Existing law also establishes the Labor and Workforce Development, and charges the agency with oversight of specified departments, boards, and panels. This bill, the Transportation Network Company Drivers Labor Relations Act, would establish that transportation network company (TNC) drivers have the right to form, join, and participate in the activities of TNC driver organizations of their own choosing to engage in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection. The bill would provide that TNC drivers also have the right to refuse to join or participate in the activities of TNC driver organizations. The bill would mean the active all provisions. The bill would require the Labor and Workforce Development Agency in other concerted activities of TNC driver organizations. | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary  | Position |
|--|---|--|----------|
| AB 1410<br>Garcia D<br>Public utilities:<br>service outages and<br>updates: alerts.                                      | Assembly<br>Appropriations                                    | Existing law vests the Public Utilities Commission with regulatory authority over public utilities. If the commission finds after a hearing that the rules, practices, equipment, appliances, facilities, or service of any public utility, or the methods of manufacture, distribution, transmission, storage, or supply employed by the public utility, are unjust, unreasonable, unsafe, improper, inadequate, or insufficient, the Public Utilities Act requires the commission to determine and, by order or rule, fix the rules, practices, equipment, appliances, facilities, service, or methods to be observed, furnished, constructed, enforced, or employed. This bill would require each public utility to automatically enroll customers in alerts for service outages and updates. The bill would require customers to be provided with the opportunity to opt-out of any alerts they do not wish to receive, except as provided. The bill would require each public utilits on how to update their preferred contact methods and to allow customers to update their contact information by email or telephone. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |
| SB 30<br>Cortese D<br>Diesel-powered on-<br>track equipment:<br>decommissioning:<br>resale and transfer<br>restrictions. | This bill is in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law provides various provisions applicable to all public transit and transit districts and includes specific requirements applicable to public entities that operate commuter rail or rail transit systems. This bill would prohibit a public entity that owns diesel-powered on-track equipment from selling, donating, or otherwise transferring that equipment for continued use after the public entity decommissions the equipment. The bill would exempt the sale, donation, or transfer of that equipment from the prohibition if certain criteria are satisfied, including, among others, that the equipment is deemed to be in one of specified categories of emissions standards designated by the federal government for locomotives and the public entity certifies that the transaction will lead to a net air quality benefit where the receiving entity will be using the equipment.   | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location  | Summary   | Position              |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| SB 63<br>Wiener D<br>San Francisco Bay<br>area: local revenue<br>measure:<br>transportation<br>funding. | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law creates the Metropolitan Transportation Commission as a local area planning agency for the 9-county San Francisco Bay area with comprehensive regional transportation planning and other related responsibilities. Existing law creates various transit districts located in the San Francisco Bay area, with specified powers and duties relating to providing public transit services. This bill would establish the Transportation Revenue Measure District with jurisdiction extending throughout the boundaries of the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa and the City and County of San Francisco and would require the district to be governed by the same board that governs the commission, thereby imposing a statemandated local program. The bill would authorize a retail transactions and use tax applicable to the entire district to be imposed by the board of the district or by a qualified voter initiative for a duration of 10 to 15 years, inclusive, and generally in an amount of 0.5%, subject to voter approval at the November 3, 2026, statewide general election. After allocations are made for various administrative expenses, the bill would require an unspecified portion of the proceeds of the tax to be allocated by the commission to initiatives included in a specified commission plan and to the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District, the Peninsula Rail Transit District, commonly known as Caltrain, the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, for public   | Watch                 |
| SB 71<br>Wiener D<br>California<br>Environmental<br>Quality Act:<br>exemptions: transit<br>projects.    | Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee.  | transportation expenses, as prescribed. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.<br>The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause<br>to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report on a project that it<br>proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a<br>negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency<br>to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the<br>environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial<br>evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA, until<br>January 1, 2030, exempts from its requirements active transportation plans, pedestrian plans, or bicycle<br>transportation plans for the restriping of streets and highways, bicycle parking and storage, signal timing<br>to improve street and highway intersection operations, and the related signage for bicycles, pedestrians,<br>and vehicles. This bill would extend the operation of the above-mentioned exemption indefinitely. The<br>bill would also exempt a transit comprehensive operational analysis, as defined, a transit route<br>readjustment, or other transit agency route addition, elimination, or modification, from the<br>requirements of CEQA. Because a lead agency would be required to determine whether a plan qualifies<br>for this exemption, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related<br>provisions and other existing laws. | Support<br>March 2025 |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location  | Summary  | Position |
|---|---|--|----------|
| SB 79<br>Wiener D<br>Local government<br>land: public transit<br>use: housing<br>development:<br>transit-oriented<br>development. | This bill is in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law prescribes requirements for the disposal of surplus land by a local agency. Existing law defines "surplus land" for these purposes to mean land owned in fee simple by any local agency for which the local agency's governing body takes formal action declaring that the land is surplus and is not necessary for the agency's use. Existing law defines "agency's use" for these purposes to include land that is being used for agency work or operations, as provided. Existing law exempts from this definition of "agency's use" certain commercial or industrial uses, except that in the case of a local agency that is a district, except a local agency's use" may include commercial or industrial uses or activities, as specified. This bill would additionally include land leased to support public transit operations in the definition of "agency's use," as described above. The bill would also revise the definition of "agency's use" with respect to commercial or industrial uses or activities, as described above. The bill would that a district or a public transit operator may use land for commercial or industrial uses or activities, as described above. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.   | Watch    |
| SB 239<br>Arreguín D<br>Open meetings:<br>teleconferencing:<br>subsidiary body.   | This bill is on the<br>Senate Floor.                          | Existing law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative body, as defined, of a local agency be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and participate. The act generally requires for teleconferencing that the legislative body of a local agency that elects to use teleconferencing post agendas at all teleconference locations, identify each teleconference location in the notice and agenda of the meeting or proceeding, and have each teleconference location be accessible to the public. Existing law also requires that, during the teleconference, at least a quorum of the members of the legislative body participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction, except as specified. Existing law, until January 1, 2026, authorizes specified neighborhood city councils to use alternate teleconferencing provisions related to notice, agenda, and public participation, as prescribed, if, among other requirements, the city council has adopted an authorizing resolution and 2/3 of the neighborhood city council votes to use alternate teleconferencing provisions, as specified This bill would authorize a subsidiary body, as defined, to use alternative teleconferencing provisions and would impose requirements for notice, agenda, and public participation designated by the subsidiary body to post the agenda at each physical meeting location designated by the subsidiary body, as specified. The bill would require the subsidiary body to list a member of the subsidiary body who participates in a teleconference meeting from a remote location in the minutes of the meeting. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Support  |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary  | Position                    |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| SB 272<br>Becker D<br>San Mateo County<br>Transit District: job<br>order contracting:<br>pilot program.                          | This bill is in the<br>Assembly Local<br>Government<br>Committee. | The Local Agency Public Construction Act sets forth procedures that a local agency is required to follow when procuring certain services or work. The act also sets forth specific public contracting requirements for certain transit districts, including the San Mateo County Transit District for construction work contracts. The act authorizes certain local agencies, including school districts and community college districts, to engage in job order contracting, as prescribed. This bill would establish a pilot program to authorize the San Mateo County Transit District to use job order contracting as a procurement method. The bill would impose a \$5,000,000 cap on awards under a single job order contract and a \$1,000,000 cap on any single job order. The bill would limit the term of an initial contract to a maximum of 12 months, with extensions as prescribed. The bill would establish various procedures and requirements for the use of job order contracting under the pilot program. The bill would require the district, on or before January 1, 2030, to submit to the appropriate policy and fiscal committees of the Legislature a report on the use of job order contracting under the bill. The pilot program would be repealed on January 1, 2032. This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the San Mateo County Transit District. | Sponsor<br>February<br>2025 |
| <mark>SB 419</mark><br><u>Caballero</u> D<br>Hydrogen fuel.  | This bill is in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee.     | Existing state sales and use tax laws impose a tax on retailers measured by the gross receipts from the sale of tangible personal property sold at retail in this state or on the storage, use, or other consumption in this state of tangible personal property purchased from a retailer for storage, use, or other consumption in this state. The Sales and Use Tax Law provides various exemptions from those taxes. This bill would, on and after July 1, 2026, provide an exemption from the taxes imposed by the Sales and Use Tax Law for the gross receipts from the sale in this state of, and the storage, use, or other consumption in this state of, hydrogen fuel, as defined. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.  | Watch                       |
| SB 445<br>Wiener D<br>Transportation:<br>planning: complete<br>streets facilities:<br>sustainable<br>transportation<br>projects. | This bill is on the<br>Senate Floor.                              | Existing law requires the Department of Transportation to improve and maintain the state's highways.<br>Existing law authorizes the department to issue encroachment permits and requires the department to<br>either approve or deny an application from an applicant for an encroachment permit within 60 days of<br>receiving a completed application, as provided. Existing law also requires the department, on or before<br>January 1, 2027, to develop and adopt a project intake, evaluation, and encroachment permit review<br>process for complete streets facilities that are sponsored by a local jurisdiction or a transit agency. This<br>bill would instead require the department to develop and adopt the above-described project intake,<br>evaluation, and encroachment review process on or before February 1, 2027. The bill would also state<br>the intent of the Legislature to amend this bill with legislation that accelerates and makes more reliable<br>third-party permits and approvals for preconstruction and construction activities on sustainable<br>transportation projects.   | Watch                       |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location  | Summary   | Position |
|--|---|---|----------|
| SB 496<br>Hurtado D<br>Advanced Clean<br>Fleets Regulation:<br>appeals advisory<br>committee:<br>exemptions. | This bill is on the<br>Suspense File in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing law requires the State Air Resources Board to adopt and implement motor vehicle emission standards, in-use performance standards, and motor vehicle fuel specifications for the control of air contaminants and sources of air pollution that the state board has found necessary, cost effective, and technologically feasible. The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 establishes the state board as the state agency responsible for monitoring and regulating sources emitting greenhouse gases and requires the state board to adopt rules and regulations to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective greenhouse gas emission reductions from those sources. Pursuant to its authority, the state board has adopted the Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation, which imposes various requirements for transitioning local, state, and federal government fleets of medium- and heavy-duty trucks, other high-priority fleets of medium- and heavy-duty trucks, and drayage trucks to zero-emission vehicles. The Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation Appeals Advisory Committee by an unspecified date for purposes of reviewing appeals of denied requests for exemptions from the requirements of the Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation. The bill would require the committee to include representatives of specified governmental and nongovernmental entities. The bill would require the committee to meet monthly and would require recordings of its meetings to be made publicly available on the state board's internet website. The bill would require the committee's consider, and make a recommendation on, an appeal of an exemption request denial no later than 60 days after the appeal is made. | Watch    |
| SB 506<br>Committee on<br>Transportation<br>Transportation:<br>omnibus bill.                                 | This bill is in the<br>Assembly<br>Transportation<br>Committee.                       | (1)Existing law requires that each application for an original or a renewal of a driver's license contain certain information, including the applicant's true full name, age, mailing address, and gender. Existing law also provides that if a driver's license is lost, destroyed, or mutilated, or if a new true full name is acquired, the person to whom the driver's license was issued shall obtain a duplicate if the person provides satisfactory proof of the loss, destruction, or mutilation. A violation of these provisions is an infraction. This bill would authorize a person who submits a change of address, as specified, to apply for a duplicate driver's license. The bill would require the applicant who receives a duplicate through this process to immediately destroy the license containing the prior mailing address. By creating a new crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.  | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location             | Summary  | Position |
|---|----------------------|--|----------|
| SB 559<br>Stern D<br>Electricity:<br>deenergization<br>events:<br>communications. | Suspense File in the | Existing law requires each electrical corporation to annually prepare a wildfire mitigation plan and to submit the plan to the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety for review and approval, as specified. Existing law requires a wildfire mitigation plan of an electrical corporation to include, among other things, protocols for deenergizing portions of the electrical distribution system that consider the associated impacts on public safety, and protocols related to mitigating the public safety impacts of those protocols, including impacts on critical first responders and on health and communications infrastructure. Existing law requires a wildfire mitigation plan of an electrical corporation to also include appropriate and feasible procedures for notifying a customer who may be impacted by the deenergizing of electrical lines and requires these procedures to consider the need to notify, as a priority, critical first responders, health care facilities, and operators of telecommunications infrastructure with premises within the footprint of a potential deenergization event. This bill would require, consistent with the above-described protocols, an electrical corporation to immediately notify, when possible and at the time a decision to conduct a deenergization event, as specified. The bill would require detailed status information on restoration efforts to be made available to emergency management organizations, public safety officials, customers, and the public, where feasible, with regular progress updates issued at intervals of no more than 12 hours, for all impacted circuits, as specified. The bill would require an electrical corporation to prioritize the restoration of electricity and begin efforts to reenergize lines without unnecessary delays when safe to do so. The bill would make electrical corporations responsible for the continual monitoring and eventual restoration of circuits affected by a deenergization event. The bill would require each electrical corporation to submit an annual report to the Public | Watch    |
| SB 578<br>Smallwood-<br>Cuevas D<br>California<br>Workplace<br>Outreach Program.  | Senate               | Current law establishes the Department of Industrial Relations within the Labor and Workforce<br>Development Agency to, among other things, foster, promote, and develop the welfare of wage earners,<br>to improve their working conditions, and to advance their opportunities for profitable employment. This<br>bill would require the department, upon appropriation of funds for this purpose, to establish and<br>maintain the California Workplace Outreach Program to promote awareness of, and compliance with,<br>workplace protections that affect workers. The bill would require the department to issue a competitive<br>request for application to qualified organizations, as defined, to provide education and outreach services<br>to workers and to assist workers to assert their workplace rights.   | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location  | Summary   | Position |
|---|---|---|----------|
| SB 642<br>Limón D<br>Employment:<br>payment of wages.   | This bill is in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee.     | Existing law imposes varying requirements upon employers to share the pay scale for a position with an applicant or in a job posting, as provided. Existing law defines "pay scale" as the salary or hourly wage range that the employer reasonably expects to pay for the position. This bill would revise the definition of "pay scale" to mean an estimate of this expected wage range that is made in good faith. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.  | Watch    |
| SB 707<br>Durazo<br>Open meetings:<br>meeting and<br>teleconference<br>requirements.  | This bill is on the<br>Senate Floor.                              | Existing law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative<br>body, as defined, of a local agency be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and<br>participate. This bill would, until January 1, 2030, require a city council or a county board of supervisors<br>to comply with additional meeting requirements, including that all open and public meetings include an<br>opportunity for members of the public to attend via a 2-way telephonic service or a 2-way audiovisual<br>platform, as defined, that a system is in place for requesting and receiving interpretation services for<br>public meetings, as specified, and that the city council or county board of supervisors encourage<br>residents to participate in public meetings, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and<br>other existing laws. | Watch    |
| SB 714<br>Archuleta D<br>Zero-emission<br>vehicles: workforce<br>development:<br>Clean Energy<br>Workforce Training<br>Council. | Pending referral to policy committee.                             | Existing law, upon appropriation by the Legislature, establishes the position of Deputy Secretary for<br>Climate within the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, to be appointed by the Governor and<br>subject to confirmation by the Senate, for the purpose of assisting in the oversight of California's<br>workforce transition to a sustainable and equitable carbon-neutral economy. Existing law requires the<br>deputy secretary to perform specified duties, including creating or coordinating programs with other<br>state agencies to retrain and upskill workers for, among other jobs, clean energy jobs, as specified. This<br>bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would establish a zero-emission<br>vehicle workforce development pilot project and a Clean Energy Workforce Training Council, as provided.   | Watch    |
| <mark>SB 735</mark><br>Committee on<br>Local Government<br>Validations.   | This bill is in the<br>Assembly Local<br>Government<br>Committee. | This bill would enact the First Validating Act of 2025, which would validate the organization, boundaries, acts, proceedings, and bonds of the state and counties, cities, and specified districts, agencies, and entities. This bill contains other related provisions.  | Watch    |

| Bill ID/Topic   | Location  | Summary  | Position              |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| SB 741<br>Blakespear D<br>Coastal resources:<br>coastal<br>development<br>permit: exemption:<br>Los Angeles-San<br>Diego-San Luis<br>Obispo Rail<br>Corridor. | This bill is on the<br>Senate Floor.                          | The California Coastal Act of 1976, which is administered by the California Coastal Commission, requires<br>any person wishing to perform or undertake any development in the coastal zone, as defined, to obtain a<br>coastal development permit from a local government or the commission. Existing law exempts from that<br>coastal development permitting process certain emergency projects undertaken, carried out, or<br>approved by a public agency to maintain, repair, or restore existing highways, as provided. This bill would<br>expand that exemption to include certain emergency projects undertaken, carried out, or approved by a<br>public agency to maintain, repair, or restore existing railroad track along the Los Angeles-San Diego-San<br>Luis Obispo Rail Corridor, as provided. This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the<br>necessity of a special statute for the Los Angeles-San Diego-San Luis Obispo Rail Corridor. |                       |
| <u>SB 752</u><br><u>Richardson</u> D  | This bill is in the<br>Senate<br>Appropriations<br>Committee. | Existing state sales and use tax laws impose a tax on retailers measured by the gross receipts from the sale of tangible personal property sold at retail in this state or on the storage, use, or other consumption in this state. The Sales and Use Tax Law provides various exemptions from those taxes, including, until January 1, 2026, an exemption from those taxes with respect to the sale in this state of, and the storage, use, or other consumption in this state agencies that are eligible for specified incentives from the State Air Resources Board. This bill would extend the exemption for specified zero-emission technology transit buses until January 1, 2028. This bill contains other related provisions.  | Support<br>April 2025 |

| Bill ID/Topic  | Location                    | Summary  | Position |
|--|-----------------------------|--|----------|
| AB 35<br><u>Alvarez</u> D  | This is a two-year<br>bill. | The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative   | Watch    |
| California<br>Environmental<br>Quality Act: clean<br>hydrogen<br>transportation<br>projects. |                             | declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare<br>a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if<br>revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the<br>project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. This bill would provide for limited<br>CEQA review of an application for a discretionary permit or authorization for a clean hydrogen<br>transportation project, as defined, by requiring the application to be reviewed through a clean hydrogen<br>environmental assessment, unless otherwise requested by the applicant, as prescribed. The bill would,<br>except as provided, require the lead agency to determine whether to approve the clean hydrogen<br>environmental assessment and issue a discretionary permit or authorization for the project no later than<br>270 days after the application for the project is deemed complete. By imposing new duties on a lead<br>agency, this bill would create a state-mandated local program. The bill would repeal these provisions on<br>January 1, 2036. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.  |          |
| AB 778<br>Chen R<br>Local Agency Public<br>Construction Act:<br>internet website<br>posting. | This is a two-year<br>bill. | Existing law, the Local Agency Public Construction Act, sets forth the requirements for the payment of construction projects by local agencies. Existing law, the State Contract Act, imposes specified requirements on state agencies regarding payment of construction contracts, including requiring, within 10 days of making a construction contract payment, a state agency that maintains an internet website to post on its internet website the project for which the payment was made, the name of the construction contractor or company paid, the date the payment was made or the date the state agency transmitted instructions to the Controller or other payer to make the payment. Existing law exempts from these provisions, among other things, construction contracts valued below \$25,000. This bill would require a local agency that maintains an internet website to post on its internet website to post on its internet website to post on its internet website the provisions construction contracts valued below \$25,000. The bill would require a local agency that maintains an internet website to post on its internet website the information described above. The bill would exempt from these provisions construction contracts valued below \$25,000. The bill would prohibit a local agency that fails to comply with these provisions from withholding any retention proceeds from any remaining payment, as specified. By adding to the duties of local agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch    |

| AB 1070<br>Ward D<br>Transit districts:<br>governing boards:<br>compensation:<br>nonvoting<br>members.   | This is a two-year<br>bill. | Existing law provides for the formation of various transit districts and specifies the duties and powers of their governing boards. Existing law authorizes a transit district to compensate a member of the governing board for attending a board meeting and for engaging in other district business, as provided. This bill would prohibit a transit district from compensating a member of the governing board unless the member demonstrates personal use of the transit system, as specified. The bill would require the governing board of a transit district to include 2 nonvoting members and 4 alternate nonvoting members, as specified. The bill would require nonvoting members and alternate nonvoting members to have certain rights and protections, including the right to attend and participate in all public meetings of the governing board, except as specified. The bill would require the chair of the governing board of a transit district to exclude these nonvoting members from meetings discussing, among other things, negotiations with labor organizations. By expanding the duties of transit districts, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. | Watch |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| AB 1372<br>Papan D<br>Renewable<br>electrical<br>generation facilities:<br>electrified<br>commuter railroads:<br>regenerative<br>braking: net billing. |                             | Existing law vests the Public Utilities Commission with regulatory authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations. Existing law requires every electric utility, except as provided, to develop a standard contract or tariff providing for net energy metering, and to make this standard contract or tariff available to eligible customer-generators using renewable electrical generation facilities, as specified. Pursuant to its authority, the commission issued a decision revising net energy metering tariff and subtariffs, commonly known as the net billing tariff. This bill would include the regenerative braking from electric trains as a renewable electrical generation facility for those purposes, as provided.   | Watch |
| AB 1421<br>Wilson D<br>Vehicles: Road<br>Usage Charge<br>Technical Advisory<br>Committee.  | This is a two-year<br>bill. | Existing law requires the Chair of the California Transportation Commission to create a Road Usage Charge<br>Technical Advisory Committee in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation to guide the<br>development and evaluation of a pilot program assessing the potential for mileage-based revenue<br>collection as an alternative to the gas tax system. Existing law additionally requires the Transportation<br>Agency, in consultation with the commission, to implement the pilot program, as specified. Existing law<br>repeals these provisions on January 1, 2027. This bill would extend the operation of the above-described<br>provisions until January 1, 2035. The bill would also make related findings and declaration.   | Watch |

| AB 1472<br>Hart D<br>California Sea Level<br>Rise State and<br>Regional Support<br>Collaborative.                    | This is a two-year<br>bill. | Existing law creates within the Ocean Protection Council the California Sea Level Rise State and Regional Support Collaborative to provide state and regional information to the public and support to local, regional, and other state agencies for the identification, assessment, planning, and, where feasible, the mitigation of the adverse environmental, social, and economic effects of sea level rise within the coastal zone, as provided. This bill would make a nonsubstantive change to this provision.  | Watch |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| SB 667<br>Archuleta D<br>Railroads: safety:<br>wayside detectors:<br>train length:<br>emergency vehicle<br>crossing. | This is a two-year<br>bill. | The existing Federal Railroad Safety Act (FRSA) authorizes the United States Secretary of Transportation to prescribe regulations and issue orders for railroad safety and requires the United States Secretary of Homeland Security, when prescribing a security regulation or issuing a security order that affects the safety of railroad operations, to consult with the United States Secretary of Transportation. The FRSA provides for state participation in the enforcement of the safety regulations and orders issued by the United States Secretary of Transportation or the United States Secretary of Homeland Security, pursuant to an annual certification, and authorizes the respective secretaries to make an agreement with a state to provide investigative and surveillance activities. The FRSA provides that, to the extent practicable, laws, regulations, and orders related to railroad safety and security are required to be nationally uniform, but authorizes a state to adopt or continue in force a law, regulation, or order related to railroad safety or security with respect to railroad safety matters, prescribes a regulation or issues an order covering the subject matter of the state requirement. A state is additionally authorized to adopt or continue in force a tailroad corporation to install and operate a network of wayside detector systems on or adjacent to any track used by a freight train with maximum spacing specified for individual detection devices along a continuous track. The bill would define "wayside detector system" to mean an electronic device or series of connected devices that scans passing freight trains and their component equipment and parts for defices. The bill would require the Public Utilities Commission to (1) establish a process for freight train crews to receive alerts from wayside detectors, (2) create standards for freight train inspections to be conducted following the receipt of an alert from a wayside detector, as provided, and (3) adopt rules necessary to implement these provisions. This bill co | Watch |

Item #9.c.3. 6/4/2025



May 19, 2025

TO: Board of Directors San Mateo County Transit District

FM: Matt Robinson, Michael Pimentel and Brendan Repicky Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

### RE: STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – June 2025

### **General Update**

As has been highlighted in previous reports, state legislators introduced approximately 2,350 bills in the first year of the 2025-2026 Legislative Session. Throughout the spring, bills have been heard in legislative policy committees. Most bills that pass policy committees were referred to the appropriations committees. Bills determined to have a modest fiscal impact on the state are referred to the appropriations committees' suspense files. The deadline for the fiscal committees to move bills to the floor of each house is May 23; thus, we expect the appropriations committees' suspense file hearings to be held on May 23. Bills that advance to the Assembly or Senate Floor have until June 6 to pass (House of Origin Deadline). For information about key legislative and budget deadlines, please see the 2025 Legislative Calendar available here.

### Governor Releases May Revise

Governor Newsom released his "May Revise" on May 14. The May Revise serves as the Governor's midyear update to his Proposed Fiscal Year 2025-26 budget, which was released on January 10. You may recall that the Governor's proposed budget in January presented a balanced budget with a modest surplus of \$363 million. However, since then, the state has experienced a series of significant events, resulting in a notably worsened fiscal outlook. The May Revise now projects an estimated state budget deficit of \$12 *billion* for FY 2025-26. To address this shortfall, the Governor has proposed a variety of spending reductions, delays, fund shifts, and withdrawals from the State's rainy-day fund.

In a break from the January budget, the May Revise would reduce the planned investment in the Transit Intercity Rail and Capital Program (TIRCP) for Fiscal Year 2025-26, as established by the Budget Act of 2023 (AB 102 / SB 125), from \$1 billion to \$812 million. This proposed funding reduction reflects broader proposed changes to the Cap-and-Trade program described below, which will be subject to negotiation between the Governor's Administration and Legislature as part of Cap-and-Trade reauthorization. Said differently, there remains a possibility that this proposed funding reduction will not materialize.

The May Revise does not include \$2 billion in new money for transit as proposed by Senator Jesse Arreguin of Berkeley and Assemblymember Mark Gonzalez of Los Angeles, and supported by a broad coalition of stakeholders, including the California Transit Association. This is not surprising given this proposal is a *legislative* priority. It will continue to be discussed as part of final budget negotiations

between the Governor and the Legislature. As a reminder, the Legislature has until June 15 to send a balanced budget to the Governor.

Below is a table showing how the State Transit Assistance program is expected to finish FY 2024-25, while also looking ahead to FY 2025-26.

| REVENUE ESTIMATES FOR FY 2025-26 @ MAY REVISE (MAY 14) |                                    |                 |                 |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| FUNDING SOURCE   | FY 2024-25 (MR)                    | FY 2025-26 (GB) | FY 2025-26 (MR) |
|  | ST/                                | I               |                 |
| Base Rate (2.375%)                                     | \$262,800                          | \$262,472       | \$264,049       |
| Incremental Rate (1.75%)                               | \$178,183                          | \$177,657       | \$179,104       |
| SB 1 Rate (3.5%)                                       | \$356,366                          | \$355,314       | \$358,208       |
| STA SOGR (30% of TIF)                                  | \$135,913                          | \$137,953       | \$137,953       |
| Total  | \$933,262                          | \$933,396       | \$939,314       |
| · · · · · ·  | Intercity & Cor                    | nmuter Rail     |                 |
| Base Rate (2.375%)*                                    | \$262,800                          | \$262,472       | \$264,049       |
| SB 1 Rate (0.5%)                                       | \$50,909                           | \$50,759        | \$51,173        |
| Total  | \$313,709                          | \$313,231       | \$315,222       |
|  | TIRC                               | P               |                 |
| Cap & Trade (10% of GGRF)**                            | \$376,000                          | \$374,000       | \$0             |
| SB 1 (70% of TIF)                                      | \$317,130                          | \$321,890       | \$321,890       |
| Total  | \$693,130                          | \$695,890       | \$321,890       |
|  | LCTC                               | )P              |                 |
| Cap & Trade (5% of GGRF)**                             | \$188,000                          | \$187,000       | \$0             |
| LTF  |                                    |                 |                 |
| Local Sales and Use Tax (.25%)                         | \$2,462,563                        | \$2,425,270     | \$2,425,270     |
| *Funds used for additional Caltrans p                  | urposes                            |                 |                 |
| **Amounts in FY 2025-26 (MR) reflect                   | Governor's proposal to zero-out th | ne GGRF program |                 |

#### Governor Lays Groundwork for Cap-and-Trade Re-Authorization

One of the biggest proposals announced by the Governor in the May Revise is his desire to extend California's Cap-and-Trade program, renamed the *Cap-and-Invest* program by Governor Newsom. This program provides billions of dollars annually to the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) and funds a variety of transit programs (TIRCP, LCTOP, ZETCP). While the Governor is asking the Legislature to extend the program to 2045 to provide greater market certainty and align with California's existing 2045 carbon-neutrality goal, it is our understanding it is his desire to eliminate all current GGRF expenditures and programs starting in FY 2025-26 and work with the Legislature to rebuild the GGRF expenditure program from the ground up.

As part of his opening salvo, the Governor is proposing to shift \$1.5 billion from the General Fund to the GGRF on an ongoing basis to support CAL FIRE, while also guaranteeing the high-speed rail project \$1 billion annually from the GGRF (in lieu of a percentage).

Said differently, this "plan" would eliminate future continuous appropriations to the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) and the Transit Intercity Rail and Capital Program (TIRCP), as well as eliminate \$188 million in FY 2025-26 funding for the formula-based TIRCP (as described above) and \$700 million in out-year funding for the Zero-Emission Transit Capital Program (ZETCP) committed in FYs 2026-27 through 2027-28. Continuous appropriations to the transit / housing Affordable Housing Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program would also be eliminated. In public forums, the Administration has stated its intent to continue to fund transit, but to be clear, these programs, or any alternative transit funding program(s) from the GGRF, would be subject to budget negotiations.

SamTrans has several relevant points of interest across these programs. SamTrans receives approximately \$2.5 million each year from **LCTOP**. These monies will play a vital role as matching funds for larger federal infrastructure grants in Fiscal Year 2026 and Fiscal Year 2027. In addition, these funds could be alternatively reallocated to operations if needed. Although SamTrans does not currently have any **TIRCP** funds in either Fiscal Year 2026 or Fiscal Year 2027 budgets, they are exploring the possibility of applying for TIRCP money for some larger infrastructure projects and future bus purchases. Lastly,

SamTrans is applying for one **AHSC** Cycle 9 grant for \$2.5 million for bus stop improvements in South San Francisco.

### Governor Newsom Appoints New CARB Member

On April 25, Governor Newsom announced the appointment of Riverside Mayor Patricia Lock Dawson to the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Dawson will serve as the board's member from the South Coast Air Quality Management District, replacing attorney Gideon Kracov, who stepped down from CARB and SCAQMD earlier this month. Dawson was picked by cities in Riverside County to represent their region on SCAQMD in March 2023. She previously ran a consulting firm and was a wildlife biologist at the Bureau of Land Management.

### Speaker Rivas Makes Change at Coastal Commission

On May 16, Assembly Speaker Robert Rivas announced that he is appointing Monterey County Supervisor Chris Lopez to the California Coastal Commission, replacing current California Coastal Commission Chair and Santa Cruz County Supervisor Justin Cummings. Supervisor Lopez, a longtime local colleague of Speaker Rivas, was first elected to the Board of Supervisors in 2018.

### CalSTA Holds Tenth Transit Transformation Task Force Meeting

The California State Transportation Agency's Transit Transformation Task Force met for its tenth time in San Francisco on April 25. The meeting included a new discussion on the process and timeline for completing the Task Force's report to the Legislature by October 30, 2025, and afforded Task Force members the opportunity to review the draft staff reports on recommendations for Transportation Development Act reform, new transit funding, and improving transit capital construction costs and timelines.

As we have highlighted for you in our last few reports, the California Transit Association (the trade organization to which SamTrans belongs) continues to lead engagement in the Task Force discussions on behalf of California transit agencies. To inform the positions it takes at Task Force meetings, the Association continues to engage its membership on the challenges / barriers they face in delivering improvements to transit service and has convened an internal Transit Transformation Advisory Committee to develop policy recommendations (for breaking past these challenges) for submittal to the Task Force. The next Task Force meeting will take place on June 10 in Los Angeles.

### **Bills with Recommended Action**

### SB 239 (Arreguín) Brown Act Teleconferencing Advisory Bodies – RECOMMEND SUPPORT

This bill would authorize a subsidiary body, as defined in the bill, to use alternative teleconferencing provisions and would impose requirements for notice, agenda, and public participation. The bill would require the subsidiary body to post the agenda at the primary physical meeting location and make it open to the public. If elected officials serve on the subsidiary body, they would be required to adhere to the status quo ante for teleconferencing under the Brown Act, meaning they would need to post the meeting location and make it open to the public. Recent amendments have added a sunset date of January 1, 2030. This bill is co-sponsored by the League of Cities and State Association of Counties (CSAC).

### AB 259 (Rubio) Brown Act Teleconferencing – RECOMMEND SUPPORT

Existing law authorizes local agencies to use teleconferencing for board/council members under certain circumstances (illness, caring for others, travel, etc.) if a quorum of the members participates in person from the same location identified on the agenda and that the location is open to the public and is within the local agency's jurisdiction. Existing law establishes limits on the number of meetings members may participate in via teleconference to two meetings per year if the legislative body regularly meets once

per month or less. These provisions currently sunset on January 1, 2026. This bill extends the sunset to January 1, 2030.

### AB 810 (Irwin) Internet Website Requirements – RECOMMEND OPPOSE

This bill would expand on existing law to require special districts, joint powers authorities, or other political subdivisions to maintain an internet website with a ".gov" or ".ca.gov" domain. Special districts, joint powers authorities, or other political subdivisions would have until January 1, 2031 to comply with this requirement. While these domains themselves are free, the associated downstream costs for local agencies and districts are very concerning. These include added costs to migrate to the new domain and corresponding email addresses, implementing network login changes, multi-factor authentication, encryption, website redesign, and updating public materials, social media, and more. This would result in significant costs and staff time, for arguably marginal benefits.

### **Bills of Interest**

### SB 419 (Caballero) Hydrogen Fuel Sales Tax Exemption

This bill encourages the development of new hydrogen fuel stations and more hydrogen powered vehicles by establishing a sales and use tax exemption for the purchase of hydrogen fuel. This is the latest iteration of a number of bills over the past several years which have attempted to establish a sales tax exemption for hydrogen fuel, but failed to pass the Legislature. SB 419 now sits in the Senate Appropriations Committee, which Senator Caballero Chairs.

### AB 476 (M. González) Metal Theft

Co-sponsored by the City of San Jose, this bill seeks to combat the theft of copper wire – an increasing problem affecting infrastructure, construction, and transit projects. The bill would require junk dealers and recyclers to collect more detailed transaction records and provide access to these records to law enforcement. The bill also requires that people selling copper obtain a state license and increases the fine for junk dealers or recyclers who fail to follow the law.

### AB 1070 (Ward) Transit District Governing Boards

This bill would prohibit a transit district from compensating a member of the governing board unless the member demonstrates personal use of the transit system each month. The bill would also require the governing board of a transit district to include 2 nonvoting members. One nonvoting member would be required to be a user of the transit service, and the other nonvoting member would be recommended by the labor organization representing transit employees. *This is now a 2-year bill.* 

### AB 1372 (Papan) Renewable Electrical Generation from Regenerative Braking

In the final week of April, AB 1372 (Papan) – sponsored by Caltrain – was made into a two-year bill. AB 1372 would allow Caltrain to be credited for the electricity it exports to the grid based on its value, determined by the avoided cost to the suppliers and distributor of buying clean energy elsewhere. This would be facilitated through a net billing tariff on Caltrain's investor-owned utilities and community choice aggregators. In the final run up to its hearing in the Assembly Utilities and Energy Committee, the Committee Chair (Assemblymember Cottie Petrie-Norris) indicated her opposition to any legislation that would result in cost shifts to energy ratepayers – no matter how worthy the bill or small the impact. Ultimately, it was determined that more time was needed to work with the Committee and the author pulled the bill from the hearing. Several other bills dealing with net billing tariffs were also held in the committee. *This is now a 2-year bill.* 

Item #9.d.1. 6/4/2025

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2025

JEFF GEE, CHAIR MARIE CHUANG, VICE CHAIR DAVID J. CANEPA BROOKS ESSER MARINA FRASER RICO E. MEDINA JOSH POWELL PETER RATTO JACKIE SPEIER

April Chan General Manager/CEO

# AGENDA

samTrans

# San Mateo County Transit District

# Strategic Planning, Development, and Sustainability Committee Meeting Committee of the Whole

### June 4, 2025 – 3:15 pm

or immediately following the Legislative Committee meeting

### Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

Committee Members: Rico E. Medina (Chair), Marie Chuang, Peter Ratto

| 9.d.1. | Call to Order   |               |
|--------|---|---------------|
| 9.d.2. | Approval of Minutes of the Strategic Planning, Development, and Sustainability Committee Meeting of April 2, 2025 | Motion        |
| 9.d.3. | Draft Fiscal Years 2026-2029 Capital Improvement Plan and 10-Year Capital Program for Fiscal Years 2026-2035      | Informational |
| 9.d.4. | North Base Sea Level Rise Protection Project Update   | Informational |
| 9.d.5. | Adjourn   |               |

Note:

<sup>•</sup> This Committee meeting may be attended by Board Members who do not serve on this Committee. In the event that a quorum of the entire Board is present, this Committee shall act as a Committee of the Whole. In either case, any item acted upon by the Committee or the Committee of the Whole will require consideration and action by the full Board of Directors as a prerequisite to its legal enactment.

<sup>•</sup> All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

### San Mateo County Transit District 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, California

### Strategic Planning, Development, and Sustainability Committee Meeting / Committee of the Whole DRAFT Minutes of April 2, 2025

### Members Present (In Person): M. Chuang, R. Medina (Chair)

### Members Absent: P. Ratto

Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole: D. Canepa, B. Esser, J. Gee, J. Powell

### **Other Board Members Present Constituting Committee of the Whole (Via Teleconference):** M. Fraser

### Other Board Members Absent: J. Speier

Staff Present: J. Cassman, A. Chan, C. Halls, L. Lumina-Hsu, M. Tolleson, M. Tseng, S. van Hoften

### 7.d.1. Call to Order

Committee Chair Medina called the meeting to order at 4:19 pm.

### 7.d.2. Approval of Minutes of the Strategic Planning, Development, and Sustainability Committee Meeting of February 5, 2025

Motion/Second: Esser/Chuang Ayes: Canepa, Esser, Fraser, Medina, Powell, Chuang, Gee Noes: None Absent: Ratto, Speier

### 7.d.3. Introducing the Central El Camino Real Multimodal Plan

Millie Tolleson, Director, Planning, and Cassie Halls, Manager, Major Corridor Programs, provided the presentation, which included describing the plan and how the planning process will proceed. Ms. Halls stated the cities of San Mateo, Belmont, San Carlos and Redwood City will provide support, and discussed the community engagement timeline.

The Committee Members had a robust discussion and staff provided further clarification in response to the committee comments and questions, which included the following:

- Addressing and accommodating left-turn drivers
- Funding sources and opportunities
- Community engagement and managing community expectations

### Public Comment

Geoff S. commented on median options for bus routes and stops.

**7.d.4.** Adjourn – The meeting adjourned at 4:46 pm.

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at <u>https://www.samtrans.com/about-samtrans/video-board-directors-cac</u>. Questions may be referred to the District Secretary's office by phone at 650-551-6108 or by email to board@samtrans.com.

### San Mateo County Transit District

#### **Staff Report**

| Subject: | Draft Fiscal Years 2026-2029 Capital Improvement Program and 10-Year Capital<br>Program for Fiscal Years 2026-2035 |
|----------|--|
| From:    | Josh Mello, Executive Officer, Planning and Development  |
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO  |
| То:      | Strategic Planning, Development and Sustainability Committee   |

### <u>Action</u>

This report is for information only. No Board of Directors (Board) action is required.

### **Significance**

The purpose of this presentation is to provide an informational overview of the San Mateo County Transit District's (District) Draft Fiscal Years (FY) 2026-2029 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and 10-Year Capital Program for FY 2026-2035. Staff will provide a brief background on the CIP and its development. Following this, staff will present a summary of the FY26-29 CIP, which is a constrained list of projects recommended for funding in the FY26-27 and upcoming FY28-29 budget cycles, and the 10-Year Capital Program, which is the unconstrained capital project list for FY 2026-2035. Staff will ask the Board to adopt the Draft FY26-29 CIP and 10-Year Capital Program as an action item at a subsequent meeting. The Draft CIP document is available here: <u>https://www.samtrans.com/media/35358/</u>

### **CIP** Overview

The Draft CIP and 10-Year Capital Program is a long-range planning document that guides the District's capital investments over a 10-year horizon. It supports delivery of projects that maintain a state of good repair, fulfill regulatory mandates, advance Board priorities, and align with the agency's strategic plan, *Moving San Mateo County* (adopted November 2024, <u>https://www.samtrans.com/media/34488</u>). The FY26-29 CIP recommends a \$479 million program based on projected available District and external funding. The 10-year Capital Program identifies an unconstrained list of capital needs totaling almost \$2 billion for the next 10 years (FY26-35).

While adoption of the CIP and 10-Year Capital Program would not officially commit funding to projects, it would provide a roadmap for future capital budget decisions, particularly for projects funded with Measure W revenues and with local, state, or federal sources. The CIP would enable the District to:

- Prioritize capital projects in a transparent and coordinated manner
- Understand and communicate near- and long-term funding needs
- Support development of the biennial capital budget
- Prepare for strategic pursuit of competitive and external funding

The CIP would program capital needs across six categories that align with the District's goals:

- 1. State of Good Repair (SOGR)
- 2. Zero Emission Bus (ZEB) Transition
- 3. Improving Customer Experience (ICX)
- 4. Investing in our Organization (IOO)
- 5. Enhancing Service (ESV)
- 6. Striving for Innovation (SFI)

The CIP plans to leverage \$203.5 million in unallocated District balances with external formula funding, competitive grants, and debt financing, to fund near-term and long-term capital projects throughout the 10-year planning horizon. Notably, the CIP assumes no new revenue will be available to support the capital program beyond existing unallocated balances, due to projected structural operating deficits.

#### **CIP Development Process**

Key steps in the CIP development process included:

- <u>Call for Projects</u>: Departments submitted over 60 capital projects with estimated budgets and years of expenditure.
- <u>Scoring and Prioritization</u>: The CIP Scoring Committee, which was comprised of Subject Matter Experts, scored projects against criteria in which they have relevant expertise. The resulting project scores were then tabulated into a ranked list, taking into account alignment with Strategic Plan goals and Board priorities.
- <u>Financial Forecasting</u>: A financial model was developed to establish a 10-year financial outlook and determine the level of funding available for the capital program.
- <u>Constraining Process</u>: The constraining process involved narrowing the unconstrained list of identified capital needs to a financially feasible set of projects at a programmatic level for the full 10 years, and project-specific level for the first four years.
- <u>Executive Review and Board Input</u>: Staff refined the plan based on executive leadership and board direction.

### CIP Update Process

Staff will update the CIP every two years, aligned with the biennial budget cycle. Each CIP update cycle will provide an opportunity to identify and add new projects, re-rank and prioritize based on evolving needs and agency goals. Staff will also re-evaluate fiscal constraints and funding projections based on updated financial outlooks. This ensures that the CIP remains a flexible, strategic tool that responds to changing conditions and emerging priorities.

### **Budget Impact**

There is no budget impact associated with this informational item. However, adoption of the FY26-29 CIP and 10-Year Capital Program will have indirect budget impacts. Capital projects recommended for funding in the FY26-29 CIP will inform the capital budget and will be brought to the Board as part of future capital budget approval processes.
#### **Background**

This marks the District's first comprehensive update to the CIP in several years and reflects substantial input from departments across the agency. The CIP will be complemented by a forthcoming capital reserve strategy. The CIP also serves as a companion document to the District's FY 2026-2035 Strategic Plan. The programmatic categories and project evaluation criteria are directly aligned with the Strategic Plan goals, the CIP shares the same planning horizon through 2035, and the prioritization process specifically elevates projects that advance strategic plan initiatives.

| Prepared By: | Jose Perez      | Principal Planner           | 650-508-7768 |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
|              | Chelsea Schultz | Manager, Strategic Planning | 650-508-6483 |

### San Mateo County **TRANSIT DISTRICT**



Draft FY 26-29 Capital Improvement Plan and 10-year Capital Program, FY26-35

Board of Directors Meeting, June 4, 2025



## Today's Agenda

- 1. Introduction & Background
- 2. CIP Development
- 3. Incorporating Board Feedback
- 4. Draft FY26-29 CIP and 10-Year Capital Program
- 5. Next Steps & CIP Update Process



Item #9.d.3. 6/4/2025

# Introduction & Background



### **Project Components**

|   |                               |  | 2023 | 2024   | Q1 2025    | Q4 2025 |
|---|-------------------------------|--|------|--------|------------|---------|
| 2 | Strategic Plan                | Strategic roadmap for the next 10<br>years that identifies priority<br>initiatives and investments to help<br>achieve the District's goals | Comp | olete  |            |         |
|   | Financial Modeling            | Financial modeling exercise to<br>establish 10-year financial outlook<br>and determine level of funding<br>available for 10-year program   | Co   | mplete |            |         |
| đ | Measure W Budget<br>Framework | Prioritization framework to allocate<br>Measure W funding to appropriate<br>capital and operations uses                                    |      |        | Complete   |         |
|   | Capital<br>Improvement Plan   | Inventory, prioritize and allocate funding to capital projects   |      |        | Almost the | ere!    |
|   |                               |  |      |        |            |         |

STRATEGIC **PLAN** 



### The CIP is a Long-Term Planning Tool that Informs Budget Process

#### CIP

#### **Capital Project Development**

Departments identify capital needs and submit projects to the CIP process

#### Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

Prioritizes capital projects and recommends projects for funding in the capital budget

#### SamTrans Two-Year Budget

#### Capital Budget

Allocates funding to projects based on near-term funding availability and agency capacity

#### Operating Budget

Supports maintenance of facilities and buses; allocates funding based on near-term availability and operational needs



Adopted by the SamTrans Board

#### Adopted by the SamTrans Board



## **CIP Project Objectives**



Understand **agency financial outlook** for next **10 years** and funding available for capital program



**Document capital needs** and **prioritize projects** 



Develop intentional framework for spending Measure W funds



Fiscally constrain program

and leverage external funding opportunities



## **CIP Development**



### **CIP Development Timeline**



FY 26/27 Budget Coordination



## **Establishing Project Needs and Priorities**

#### Internal Call for Projects resulted in:

 63 capital project submissions totaling almost \$2B

## Projects were **ranked** and **prioritized** based on:

- Quantitative Scoring Exercise
- Project Classification (Mandate, Board Priority, Strategic Plan Initiatives)
- Qualitative adjustments

#### Projects grouped into **six programmatic categories**





## Six Programmatic Categories of Capital Projects

| Programmatic Categories |                                      | Description   |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| ~                       | Transitioning to Zero Emission Fleet | Investments to transition existing vehicle fleet to zero<br>emissions fleet and construct supporting<br>infrastructure  |  |
|                         | Enhancing Service                    | Essential investments to maintain existing agency assets  |  |
| X                       | Maintaining State of Good Repair     | Investments in service to increase mobility and access for SamTrans riders  |  |
|                         | Investing in our Organization        | Investments in the organization and workforce that<br>enable us to provide exceptional mobility and<br>customer service |  |
| *                       | Improving Customer Experience        | Investments in innovative or pilot projects/programs  |  |
| -`Q_`-                  | Striving for Innovation              | Investments to transition existing vehicle fleet to zero<br>emissions fleet and construct supporting<br>infrastructure  |  |



Item #9.d.3. 6/4/2025

## Incorporating Board Feedback from CIP Workshop



## **Incorporating Board Feedback**

## \$40M in Measure W unallocated funds set aside to establish capital reserve fund

- Capital Contingency (\$10M seeded, target 5-10% of net capital assets of \$175M)
- Asset Acquisition / Grant Matching (\$20M seeded, will update in coordination with asset management program data)
- Capital Maintenance (\$10M seeded, will look to target avg SOGR expenses in future years)

### Impact to CIP:

- Reduces allocation of District funds to CIP projects from \$243.5M to \$203.5M (FY26-35)
- Funds pulled from ZEB programmatic category
  - FY26-29 projects recommended for full funding
  - Additional funding required for ZEB program in outer years (FY30-35)





### **Incorporating Board Feedback**

### SOGR remains the top priority in the CIP

- \$40M in capital reserve offers flexibility and can help to advance future NB/SB SOGR projects (e.g., begin design/construction of top priority projects)
- CIP will be updated every two years with special emphasis on packaging projects from the NB/SB condition and needs assessment into projects for the next CIP cycle
- Emergency/urgent projects will continue to be addressed through budget process





### **Revised FY26-35 Projected Capital Funding Sources & Allocation**



Item #9.d.3. 6/4/2025

## Draft FY26-29 CIP and 10-Year Capital Program



## **CIP Components**

| • | • |
|---|---|

#### FY 26-29 Capital Improvement Plan (Fiscally Constrained)

- Capital projects recommended for funding in FY26/27 and FY28/29 budget cycles
- Constrained to projected available funding (District + external)
- Project costs, schedules and funding sources will be refined through biennial budget process



#### 10-Year Capital Program (Unconstrained)

- Prioritized but unconstrained list of capital needs for FY26-35
- Comprehensive, long-range planning tool
- Daylights funding gaps and projects in need of external funding



 Supports retaining funding for priority outer-year capital projects



### Draft FY26-29 CIP by Category

- FY26-29 CIP recommends full funding for projects that support transition to a zeroemission fleet (\$319M)
- \$72 million is directed towards State of Good Repair
- \$88 million directed towards remaining project categories





### **FY26-29 CIP Anticipated Funding Sources**



- FY26-29 anticipates \$479M in capital funding for high-priority projects between FY26-29
  - Some bond financing recommended for Bldg 200 and ZE infrastructure
  - Discretionary funds needed to support select FY28/29 projects
- Sets aside \$83M in unallocated District balances to fund future capital projects between FY30 and FY35.





## **Draft FY26-29 CIP Highlights**

#### ZEB Transition

- Zero Emission Fleet Replacement, \$204M
- Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure, \$5.2M
- BEB Charging Infrastructure, \$93.6M

### State of Good Repair

- Major Bus Components, \$2.8M
- Building 200 (construction), \$37.1M
- NB Sea Level Rise Mitigation (env/design), \$10M
- Customer Experience
  - Bus Stop Improvement Program (design and construction), \$34.2M









## **Draft FY26-29 CIP Highlights**

### Investing in our Organization

- Operator Restrooms, \$5.7M
- Cybersecurity Program, \$6M
- Safety Management System, \$3.9M

### **Enhancing Service**

• Dumbarton Busway (env/design), \$13M

### **Striving for Innovation**

- Artificial Intelligence, \$1.4M
- SamTrans TOD Program, \$1.2M







### Draft FY26-29 CIP Funds a Balanced Package of Required and Important Capital Projects









Responds to **legal** and regulatory mandates (e.g., Cybersecurity, ZEB transition) Reflects **Board Priorities** and leverages external funding opportunities

Aligns with and advances **Strategic Plan goals**  Prioritizes and addresses **SOGR** needs





### **Unfunded Needs Remain for FY26-29**

- \$659M in capital project needs between FY26 and FY29.
- CIP recommends funding \$479M, leaving \$180M in capital projects unfunded
- Unfunded projects in FY26/27 will be delayed unless additional funding secured
- FY28/29 projects potentially delayed; opportunity to reevaluate/ re-rank during next CIP cycle
- Sample unfunded projects include a Mobile Recruiting Vehicle and Service Expansion





### **10-Year Capital Program**

- Almost \$2 billion in total identified capital needs through FY35
- Projected funding will not fully cover the program costs
- The largest investment needs is the zero-emission fleet transition, which is about 35% of 10-Year program



#### **10-Year Capital Funding Need**

Item #9.d.3. 6/4/2025

24

## Next Steps & CIP Update Process

### **Next Steps for Completing the FY26-29 CIP**







## **Typical CIP Update Cycle**



#### QUARTERLY

Capital program status reports provided to the BOD as part of Board packet, shows progress toward delivery of all active capital projects

#### **OFF CYCLE YEAR**

Project team begins working on next CIP



#### BIANNUALLY

Adopt new 4-year CIP, which will recommend projects for funding in the capital budget, and 10-year capital needs list



### San Mateo County **TRANSIT DISTRICT**



#### Thank you! Questions?

Chelsea Schultz, Manager of Strategic Planning, schultzc@samtrans.com Millie Tolleson, Director of Planning, tollesonm@samtrans.com



#### San Mateo County Transit District Staff Report

| То:      | Board of Directors                                       |
|----------|--|
| Through: | April Chan, General Manager/CEO                          |
| From:    | Joshuah Mello, Executive Officer, Planning & Development |
| Subject: | North Base Sea Level Rise Protection Project Update      |

#### <u>Action</u>

This informational item provides an update on the North Base Sea Level Rise Protection Project and presents findings from the Regional Barrier Feasibility Study (Study). No action is requested.

#### **Significance**

SamTrans' North Base facility is highly vulnerable to flood inundations caused by sea level rise, tidal flooding, storm surge, and extreme water levels. Resulting impacts would disrupt North Base operations and limit the District's ability to provide transit services. Sea level rise protection at North Base is needed to maintain the District's bus operations and to protect buildings and other capital investments against flooding.

As part of ongoing sea level rise protection planning work, the District initiated the Study in Spring 2024. The Study examined two alternative concepts for long-term flood protection:

**Alternative 1 – Regional Protection.** The Regional Protection alternative would provide flood protection to North Base, as well as to surrounding areas. Alternative 1 includes shoreline protection components, two separate concrete and steel tide gate structures at the mouths of Colma Creek and San Bruno Creek, and a pump station to manage riverine discharge and flood control.

**Alternative 2 – Perimeter Protection.** A perimeter protection alternative would provide flood protection to SamTrans' North Base facility with shoreline protection components.

The Study analyzed each alternative against a set of nine key criteria for a comprehensive feasibility assessment, including cost, constructability, environmental permitting process, and project benefits, among others. Alternative 1 (Regional Barrier) met the goals of two criteria, while Alternative 2 (Perimeter Protection) met the goals of seven criteria. As a result, the Study recommends advancing Alternative 2 (Perimeter Protection) into further design and environmental clearance/permitting stages. This will include identifying a phased approach to implementing sea level rise protection projects and an alternative site analysis as part of the environmental review phase.

#### **Budget Impact**

Funding in previous capital budgets provides sufficient budget authority to complete the Study and advance the recommended alternative towards preliminary design. Staff will actively seek and apply for alternative funding sources to offset the costs of future phases of the Project and will return to the Board for needed budget actions.

#### **Background**

The Board of Directors received an update on the Study at the December 2024 Board meeting, at which time staff presented preliminary cost estimate ranges and timelines for each alternative based on the following:

- Conceptual design component options and criteria;
- Environmental clearance, mitigation, and permitting requirements; and
- Constructability and site accessibility.

Staff continued to assess the benefits and impacts for each alternative, engaged with permitting agencies such as the United States Army Corps of Engineers and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) on the complexity of permit requirements, and collaborated with partners such as South San Francisco, OneShoreline, and San Francisco International Airport on the feasibility of implementation.

| Prepared By: | Millie Tolleson | Director, Planning              | 650-622-7815 |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
|              | Lisha Mai       | Program Manager, Infrastructure | 650-508-6353 |

## SamTrans North Base Sea Level Rise Protection Project

247

## **Regional Barrier Feasibility Study Findings**

SamTrans Board of Directors

June 4, 2025

## Agenda

- Project Background
- Feasibility Study Scope and Timeline
- Evaluation Criteria and Findings
- Recommended Path Forward
- Next Steps

## **Project Background**

- The 2021 SamTrans Adaptation and Resilience Plan recommended constructing a "horizontal levee around the perimeter of North Base."
- Discussions with regional partners uncovered the idea of a "Regional Barrier" with the potential to protect North Base as well as neighboring jurisdictions/facilities.
- SamTrans Board of Directors last heard project update in December 2024. Major themes of input included:
  - Concerns about ongoing O&M responsibilities for Regional Barrier
  - Questions about cost differences and cost-sharing commitments
  - Encouragement to coordinate and seek leadership from OneShoreline

## **Feasibility Study Scope**

SamTrans launched the North Base Regional Barrier Feasibility Study in 2024 to study:

Alternative 1 Regional Protection



Potential to provide flood protection to surrounding areas and North Base.

#### Alternative 2

**Perimeter Protection** 



Provides protection to North Base.

samTrans

Item #9.d.4. 6/4/2025

## **Feasibility Study Timeline**



| Criteria                                     | Alt 1:<br>Regional Barrier | Alt 2:<br>Perimeter Protection |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Protect From and Adapt to Sea Level Rise     | ×                          | $\checkmark$                   |
| Ensure Feasible Design and Construction      | $\checkmark$               | $\checkmark$                   |
| Minimize Operations and Maintenance          | ×                          | $\checkmark$                   |
| Minimize Environmental Impacts               | ×                          | $\checkmark$                   |
| Reduce Complexity of Permitting Requirements | ×                          | $\checkmark$                   |
| Streamline Project Timeline                  | ×                          | $\checkmark$                   |
| Control Project Costs                        | ×                          | $\checkmark$                   |
| Maximize External Funding Opportunities      | $\checkmark$               | ×                              |
| Maximize Regional Benefits                   | $\checkmark$               | ×                              |

| Criteria                                    | Alt 1:<br>Regional<br>Barrier | Alt 2:<br>Perimeter<br>Protection | Findings   |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Protect From and Adapt to Sea<br>Level Rise | ×                             | ~                                 | Perimeter Protection provides more<br>certainty in protecting North Base and<br>adapting to future flood protection needs. |
| Ensure Feasible Design and Construction     | <b>~</b>                      | <b>~</b>                          | Construction of the Regional Barrier is anticipated to be more complex.  |
| Minimize Operations and Maintenance         | ×                             | ~                                 | Regional Barrier is substantially more complex with potentially infeasible operation and maintenance requirements.         |

| Criteria  | Alt 1:<br>Regional<br>Barrier | Alt 2:<br>Perimeter<br>Protection | Findings  |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Minimize Environmental Impacts                  | ×                             | ~                                 | Construction and operation of the Regional<br>Barrier would dramatically alter the ecosystem<br>of the area and cause "significant"<br>environmental impacts. |
| Reduce Complexity of Permitting<br>Requirements | ×                             | ~                                 | Regional Barrier requires more extensive<br>permitting process. Regional Barrier is likely<br>considered unprecedented for BCDC.                              |

| Criteria                    | Alt 1:<br>Regional<br>Barrier | Alt 2:<br>Perimeter<br>Protection | Findings  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Streamline Project Timeline | ×                             | ~                                 | Total Project duration for the Regional Protection alternative: <b>14.5 years</b>     |
|                             |                               |                                   | Total Project duration for the Perimeter<br>Protection alternative: <b>8.5 years</b>  |
| Control Project Costs       | ×                             | ~                                 | Total Project costs for the Regional Protection alternative: <b>\$250M - \$500M*</b>  |
|                             |                               |                                   | Total Project costs for the Perimeter Protection alternative: <b>\$125M - \$275M*</b> |

| Criteria                                      | Alt 1:<br>Regional<br>Barrier | Alt 2:<br>Perimeter<br>Protection | Findings  |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Maximize External Funding<br>Opportunities    | ~                             | ×                                 | Regional Barrier presents more opportunities for project cost-sharing and competitiveness for discretionary funding.  |
| Provide Regional Flood Protection<br>Benefits | ~                             | ×                                 | Perimeter Protection does not include flood<br>protection measures for neighbors.<br>Regional Protection will not, in isolation, provide<br>flood protection. |

## **Recommended Path Forward**

The **Perimeter Protection alternative** is recommended to advance into further design and permitting.

Perimeter Protection, in tandem with SFO protection measures, mitigates flooding at North Base and North Access Road.

Staff will continue coordinating with regional partners for opportunities to support mutually beneficial projects.



#### <u>samTrans</u>

## **Next Steps on SLR Protection**

- Advance the **Perimeter Protection alternative** into further design and permitting
  - > Identify phased approach to implementation
- Begin environmental review & permitting process
- Continue coordinating with regional partners
- Seek grant funding opportunities

samTrans

Item #9.d.4. 6/4/2025

## Questions and Discussion