#### **Corridor Crossings**

STRATEGY

HILLSDALESMID

3076

**乘** 

Local Policy Maker Group











#### Purpose

As an outcome of the **Business Plan**, the Corridor Crossings Strategy is an effort to **define a systematic corridor-wide approach** to crossings.

The strategy aims to align stakeholder ambitions into balance with an implementable program, addressing:

- Funding
- Organization
- Program Delivery

Note: Active grade separation projects will continue in parallel



#### **Program Strategy Process**





# **Understanding the Issue**

#### Where We Are



.

308

Calite



## **Caltrain Corridor Current Status**

#### **Project Name**

South Linden Avenue and Scott Street Grade Separation

Burlingame Broadway Grade Separation

**Redwood City Grade Separation** 

North Fair Oaks Bicycle and Pedestrian Railroad Crossing and Community Connections

Menlo Park Grade Separation Project

Middle Avenue Undercrossing

**Connecting Palo Alto** 

**Rengstorff Grade Separation** 

**Mountain View Transit Center** 

Bernardo Undercrossing

Mary Grade Separation

Sunnyvale Ave Grade Separation

**12 Active Improvement Projects** between South San Francisco and Sunnyvale *on an electrified corridor* 

• Also delivering grade crossing safety enhancement projects

#### **Readiness-based approach to delivering projects**

• Caltrain obligated to deliver to every community with a service agreement

## Utilizes Service Agreements or MOUs for allocation and commitment of Caltrain resources –

approx. \$20M over the 12 active projects

 Planning, Design and Construction, Operations and Maintenance, OCS/Traction Power, Safety, QA/QC, Commercial and Finance plus shared services (legal, communications, IT, procurement, real estate, and others)



### **Illustrative Project Schedules**



Project schedules are based on preliminary schedules developed on a project-by-project basis and contingent on securing funding and reaching project development milestones. Schedule information is intended to be illustrative only and is subject to change



#### Caltrain Corridor Active Grade Crossing Projects Preliminary Funding Gap

(\$ in millions)*	San Mateo County	Santa Clara County	San Francisco	Total
Caltrain Corridor Active Project Estimated Cost (\$2022)	\$1,900	\$1,300	TBD	\$3,200
Estimated Committed Funding (All Sources)	\$300	\$800	(sole project is at concept phase)	\$1,100
Estimated Funding Gap	\$1,600	\$500		\$2,100

\*Table inclusive of projects on the Caltrain-owned corridor, exclusive of Diridon Area Committed Funding is a snapshot of all sources, including USDOT, State of California, County Measures (B in Santa Clara County, A and W in San Mateo County), and local (Measure K in Palo Alto + other committed local funds)



## **Challenges with Current Delivery Model**

- Readiness-based approach makes timing commitments to funders and communities challenging
- Corridor-wide funding gap means competition for resources rather than coordination and collaboration
- Not identifying priority projects makes corridor grade separation projects less competitive for federal funding
- Opportunity cost to not coordinating project implementation through design and construction efficiencies
- Accountability to stakeholders is fragmented



# **Exploring Solutions**

#### Where We Are Going



.

308

Cal



### From Numerous Meetings, Partners Desire...

- A consolidated and coordinated program to accelerate the delivery of grade separation projects and to strategically pursue funding
- That Caltrain take a proactive and consistent role in delivering grade separation projects
- A consistent and transparent grade separation process
- A continued role for cities and a need for a grade separation program to reflect community vision

*Throughout the life of the CCS, we have presented at...* 





## **Coordinated Program Approach**

Based on technical topics and community partner feedback a **coordinated program approach** brings the following benefits:

- Allows for a holistic methodology in implementing corridor crossings improvements
- Considers the unique characteristics along the corridor, and allows for implementation that considers geography, jurisdictions, and service
- Leverages the advantages of integrated planning, design, and delivery of projects within the corridor

\*\* Not a "one-size-fits-all" solution for the whole corridor \*\*\*\* Cannot currently be accommodated with existing staff resources \*\*



## Program Opportunities

#### Enable Caltrain to function more as a **DELIVERY PARTNER**

Operations and Construction

Coordination



Project Packaging/ Staging/Approvals



Administrative/ Funding



## **Examples of Leveraging Efficiencies**



#### **Operations and Construction Coordination**

Repeated Construction Methods (e.g., Box Jacking for Underpasses, Box Girder)

Knowledge Transfer between Projects

**Corridor/Segment Work Windows** 

• Targeted construction planning for the electrified railroad



## **Examples of Leveraging Efficiencies**



#### **Project Packaging/Staging/Approvals**

- Packaging projects to provide program economies of scale (e.g., MSE wall panels, rail ties, grading, OCS infrastructure)
- Leverage proximity of projects to minimize construction impacts and improve windows for contractors/communities



## **Examples of Leveraging Efficiencies**



#### **Administrative/Funding**

- Coordinated funding packaging for program/segments vs. projects
- Coordinated grant funding pursuits with State and Federal appropriators and agencies
- Consolidation of project management and oversight by county/segment



# **Breakout Session**



.

308

Caltrai





### **CSCG Workshop High-Level Report Out**



Develop a consistent multi-year plan to guide corridor crossing work shared across corridor stakeholders



Caltrain in position to lead plan development; endorsement and approval roles for other stakeholders



## **CSCG Workshop Detailed Report Out**

- CSCG recognized efficiencies in delivering projects that would come from a coordinated investment plan that combines funding and program delivery.
  - The program delivery components would find alignment and efficiencies on project timelines.
  - The funding component would develop consensus on priorities for the corridor from existing and new funding sources.
- CSCG agreed that confirmation of a coordinated investment plan should occur on a cyclical basis. Prioritization of corridor projects is critical for accomplishing this goal.
- CSCG discussed various ways corridor stakeholders could be engaged in developing and endorsing the coordinated investment plan.



### **CSCG Discussed Plan Components**

CORRIDOR CROSSINGS INVESTMENT PLAN

- Program of projects
- Project delivery and construction sequencing
- Construction packaging and alternative delivery
- Project and program funding strategy
- Discretionary funding targets and applications
- Timing and need for gap funding



#### Breakout Activity



#### 1. Create the development and approval process for a Corridor Crossings Investment Plan



2. Define cyclical process for revisiting and updating the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan



Exercise 1: Which entity(ies) are responsible for developing, endorsing, and adopting the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan?



Exercise 2: What should be the timing and the cyclical process for revisiting and updating the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan?

YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5

Exercise 1: Which entity(ies) are responsible for developing, endorsing, and adopting the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan?



Exercise 2: What should be the timing and the cyclical process for revisiting and updating the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan?

YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5
Adopted 3-year Investment Plan	Annual Investment Plan adjustment (Caltrain + City staff level)	Annual Investment Plan adjustment (Caltrain + City staff level)	Adopted 3-year Investment Plan	Annual Investment Plan adjustment (Caltrain + City staff level)

Exercise 1: Which entity(ies) are responsible for developing, endorsing, and adopting the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan?



Exercise 2: What should be the timing and the cyclical process for revisiting and updating the Corridor Crossings Investment Plan?

YEAR 1 YEAR 2		YEAR 3		YEAR 4		YEAR 5			
	· · ·		· · ·			· · · · ·		· · ·	
	Annual	Annual	Annual			Annual		Annual	
	Investment	Investment	Investment			Investment		Investment	
	Plan	Plan	Plan			Plan		Plan	
	(staff level)	(staff level)	(staff level)	7		(staff level)		(staff level)	
	Adopted		Adopted					Adopted	
	Biennial		Biennial					Biennial	
	Investment Plan		Investment Plan					Investment Plan	
	with 6-year		with 6-year					with 6-year	
	program horizon		program horizon					program horizon	

# **Report Out**

308

.

.

Calitat



# **Next Steps**



308

.

*Caltrai* 



# **Rail Crossing Elimination (RCE)**

FY22

- First year of this USDOT Program
- 4 jurisdictions on the Caltrain Corridor applied
- City of Palo Alto was successful and awarded \$6M

FY23

- Second year of this USDOT Program, NOFO anticipated soon
- USDOT feedback has been that corridors with prioritized applications are more competitive for funding
- Grants range in scale \$500K- \$40M | 11 >\$15M
- Opportunity to coordinate Corridor Crossings Strategy investments





## Rail Crossing Elimination (RCE) NOFO

• Is there an opportunity for a corridor-wide application?



