

JPB Board of Directors Meeting of May 4, 2023

Correspondence as of 04-07-2023

- # Subject
- 1 March 29 AMP meeting Item 6. Diridon Business case
- 2 Caltrain Support
- 3 Reviving Caltrain
- 4 Future of Caltrain Service
- 5 Caltrain Rider
- 6 Rider Request
- 7 Rider Support
- 8 Finance Workshop Comment 1
- 9 Finance Workshop Comment 2
- 10 Rider Feedback regarding Service Reduction
- 11 Dislocated Lamp
- 12 Notice of Geotechnical Work VTA

From: Roland Lebrun <ccss@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, April 3, 2023 12:46 AM

To: Board (@caltrain.com)

Cc:cacsecretary [@caltrain.com]; Caltrain, Bac (@caltrain.com)Subject:March 29 AMP meeting Item 6. Diridon Business case

Attachments: 5b_SFPeninsula_Rail_DTExtension.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.


Dear Chair Heminger,

Further to your question about the absence of BART as a DISC partner and Director Gee's questions about the budget and the sources of funding for the project so far, please refer to my May 23, 2020 email (below) and recommendations. Please also note that there is an additional \$100M earmarked for Diridon in RM3.

Here are answers to the other questions:

- CEMOF relocation

- . CEMOF was supposed to be relocated south of Tulare Hill as part of the Caltrain EMU procurement (this is <u>very</u> common in Europe). The 15-acre parcel earmarked for CEMOF was for sale for \$1M at the time and is currently owned by the City of San Jose.
- . Community members spent the first 18 months of "Shelter-In Place" (March 2020-August 2021) advancing the DISC to 20% design and eliminating any collateral impact on CEMOF, the Historic Depot <u>and the Warm Springs line</u>: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WHKazu-zhUY&t=252s



Double Federal Funding and cut cost

Community member explains how VTA could DOUBLE Federal funding; lower the cost, improve the design for BART through San Jose, and takes you inside how.Watch ...

www.youtube.com

- Master Developer

- . Google's Master Developer is Lendlease.
- . Initially, the two Caltrain parcels were included in Google's master plan but Google were unsuccessful negotiating a suitable agreement with Caltrain.

- Relocation of PG&E substation.

. The concept of a relocated Gas-Insulated Substation (GIS) modeled after Anaheim's Roosevelt Park's substation was introduced by community members at the December 16, 2016 Diridon JPAB

https://vtaorgcontent.s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/Site Content/dspjpab 121616 m.pdf (Item #2 public presentations).

. Google subsequently submitted a draft infrastructure plan in August 2020 https://sj-admin.s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/2020_0820_Arup_DTWInfrastructurePlan.pdf (see Section 8.1.4. Proposed Electricity and Gas System on page 34).

I hope this answers your questions.

Roland Lebrun

CC

Caltrain CAC Caltrain BAC

From: Roland Lebrun

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 3:04 AM

To: VTA Board Secretary <board.secretary@vta.org>

Cc: MTC Info <info@bayareametro.gov>; Caltrain Board <board@caltrain.com>; CHSRA Board

<boardmembers@hsr.ca.gov>

Subject: A&F item 17 Diridon cooperative agreement

Dear Chair Carr,

MTC's addition to the DISC cooperative agreement is a long overdue and welcome development which should prevent a repeat of the first phase catastrophe.

Background

The first phase of the DISC was a \$5.6M 3-year textbook VTA disaster which produced a disjointed "concept plan" with a "kit of parts" scattered all over the northern half of the DSAP central zone (between West Santa Clara to the North and West San Fernando to the south) and culminated in a BART section buried at the end of the final report:

7.7 BART


"Both the Partner Agencies and the public indicated that a short, direct, and intuitive connection to and from BART was a top priority for the spatial layout.

As such, the Concept Layout proposes a direct, at grade connection to the BART station from the primary station hall.

The Partner Agencies recognize the potential phasing challenges between the two projects, as BART is projected to be in operation before the station and intermodal

hub are constructed. Given the projects would be physically separated, it is assumed there will be no phasing issues."

 $\frac{https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5c38bcfdcc8fedd5ba4ecc1d/t/5de6f458639be22007e7a43f/157541695242}{6/2019.11.22_DISC_Layout+Development+Report_FINAL.pdf} (page 52)$



Following this precedent, it is unclear why anyone would expect that "Asking the VTA to perform related procurement actions for professional services on behalf of the participating agencies" should deliver anything other than yet another 3-year \$5.6M textbook VTA disaster.

The Solution:

The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) and MTC have just gone through an 18-month exercise addressing nearly identical issues with the TJPA's dysfunctional management of the Transbay Transit Center and developed a multi-jurisdictional MOU intended to avoid a repeat of the same management issues during the design and construction of the Downtown Extension (DTX) and the new Transbay Crossing (attached).

Over the past several months, MTC staff has been working with staff from SFCTA, Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA), City and County of San Francisco,

Caltrain, and the California High-Speed Rail Authority to develop a Memorandum of Understanding and draft work plan. MTC staff recommends the Commission

authorize the Executive Director to enter into an MOU with the project partners to execute the two-year work plan with a focus on:

- (1) <u>seamless integration with local transit and regional transit services</u>, including a potential future Transbay rail crossing;
- (2) providing an equitable transit system that works for all residents of the Bay Area; and
- (3) anticipating and managing risk through design and delivery.

The draft MOU (Attachment C) establishes an integrated, multi-agency team composed of the partners. The team includes an executive steering committee to oversee the work plan, resolve conflicts, and make recommendations to the TJPA. As part of the integrated program management team, MTC would participate in the technical work, including defining a fundable and deliverable initial phase and associated funding plan, developing a project delivery strategy, and reviewing options for governance and oversight during construction. MTC staff would have a role producing work products and making key recommendations that protect the regional investment.

Given the current, profound economic impacts of COVID-19, the effort focused on phasing and funding will be particularly important. In addition, MTC would be well-positioned to ensure coordination of the Downtown Rail Extension project with a future Transbay rail crossing. The MOU recognizes the importance of coordinating with BART and the Capital Corridor Joint Powers Authority, and the partners acknowledge potential for them to join the MOU in the future.

Recommendation

Adopt the governance approach taken by MTC and the SFCTA and replicate it at Diridon starting with the appointment of MTC as the lead agency responsible for awarding consultant contracts as and when needed.

Respectfully submitted for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun

cc MTC Commissioners Caltrain Board CHSRA Board of Directors

Metropolitan Transportation Commission Operations Committee

May 8, 2020 Agenda Item 5b

San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program Memorandum of Understanding

Subject:

A request for approval of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA), Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain), San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA), City/County of San Francisco, and the California High-Speed Rail Authority to support the Transbay Joint Powers Authority in development of the Downtown Extension Rail Program.

Background:

The Downtown Rail Extension project will extend Caltrain commuter rail and future California High-Speed Rail service from the current Caltrain terminus at Fourth and King streets to the new Transbay Transit Center (see map in Attachment A). The project, which completed supplemental environmental review in mid-2019, is estimated to cost nearly \$4 billion and involves significant technical complexities including tunneling under San Francisco. Regional Measure 3 includes \$325 million for the Downtown Rail Extension project.

In October 2019, the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) released the Downtown Rail Extension Peer Review Panel's Final Report on Governance, Oversight, Management, and Project Delivery. MTC staff participated in the report through stakeholder workshops. The expert panel recommended project partners undertake a two-year work plan focusing on funding, project design and integration with existing and planned transit projects, and potential management and governance structures.

The Downtown Rail Exension project is one of several major rail infrastructure projects currently in development. MTC has a significant role to play in major projects to ensure regional coordination in both planning and funding, to ensure delivery of the region's long range transportation plan, and as a major funding partner since the projects often rely on Federal New Starts and bridge toll funds. Attachment B summarizes MTC's role in a number of major regional projects.

Historically, MTC has provided a degree of oversight for all phases of projects with Regional Measure funds. For more complex projects and those with significant risks, MTC has engaged technical consultants or supported peer reviews to address specific challenges as they arise. However, this involvement has often occurred after critical decisions have been made and when sponsors seek additional funds from MTC to address cost increases. At its January Workshop, MTC directed staff to explore ways to get more involved in the early stages of project delivery as a means to manage risk, control costs and ensure the most effective regional planning. This role is more akin to BATA's role in the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program where BATA staff and consultants served as part of an integrated project team, deeply involved in design, engineering decisions and risk management.

Over the past several months, MTC staff has been working with staff from SFCTA, Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA), City and County of San Francisco, Caltrain, and the California High-Speed Rail Authority to develop a Memorandum of Understanding and draft work plan. MTC staff recommends the Commission authorize the Executive Director to enter into an MOU with the project partners to execute the two-year work plan with a focus on: (1) seamless integration with local transit and regional transit services, including a potential future Transbay rail crossing; (2) providing an equitable transit system that works for all residents of the Bay Area; and (3) anticipating and managing risk through design and delivery.

The draft MOU (Attachment C) establishes an integrated, multi-agency team composed of the partners. The team includes an executive steering committee to oversee the work plan, resolve conflicts, and make recommendations to the TJPA. As part of the integrated program management team, MTC would participate in the technical work, including defining a fundable and deliverable initial phase and associated funding plan, developing a project delivery strategy, and reviewing options for governance and oversight during construction. MTC staff would have a role producing work products and making key recommendations that protect the regional investment. Given the current, profound economic impacts of COVID-19, the effort focused on phasing and funding will be particularly important. In addition, MTC would be well-positioned to ensure coordination of the Downtown Rail Extension project with a future Transbay rail crossing. The MOU recognizes the importance of coordinating with BART and the Capital Corridor Joint Powers Authority, and the partners acknowledge potential for them to join the MOU in the future.

TJPA, SFCTA, Caltrain and the California High Speed Rail Authority approved the MOU in April, and the The City/County of San Francisco is expected to execute the agreement in May.

The economic impact of COVID-19 appears likely to impact transportation revenue significantly. While restoring transit operations to stable levels is top priority, there is value in continuing to plan for a future transit system that is both connected and seamless, such as that afforded by the Downtown Rail Extension. The work plan under the MOU affords an opportunity for MTC to participate actively in a considering the new economic lanscape.

In addition, COVID-19 economic impacts will likely affect MTC's level of engagement in this project and other similar projects, at least in the near term. Over the next two months, the partners will develop a work plan in detail and identify the staffing and funding for each agency's participation. While it remains important that MTC participate in the project leadership and work plan for reasons stated above, MTC anticipates initiating this work with current staff as opposed to hiring new, inhouse expertise. Staff expects MTC's less intensive approach is reflective of the resources other partners can bring to the table and of a somewhat slower paced schedule in the near-term. MTC may wish to procure supplemental rail expertise to advise on specific technical matters. The same team of MTC staff and consultants could support similar work on other major regional rail projects if MTC elected to participate in those efforts in a similar manner. Such decisions would be subject to future review and approval by the Comission.

Issues:

For all of the projects, staff proposes that MTC's efforts beyond typical Regional Measure oversight would appropriately be funded through project funds – in the case of the Downtown Rail Extension, San Francisco Proposition K and Regional Measure 3 funds (subject to resolution of litigation).

Recommendation:

Refer the MOU with the Transbay Joint Powers Authority, Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain), San Francisco County Transportation Authority, City/County of San Francisco, and the California High Speed Rail Authority to support the Transbay Joint Powers Authority in development of the Downtown Extension Rail Program to the Commission for approval and authority for the Executive Director to negotiate and enter into the MOU in substantially the same form as Attachment C.

Attachments:

Attachment A – San Francisco Downtown Extension Program Map Attachment B – Large Projects with MTC/BATA Participation Attachment C – San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program Memorandum of

Understanding

Therese W. McMillan

REQUEST FOR COMMISSION APPROVAL


Summary of Proposed Memorandum of Understanding

1251

Work Item No.:

Parties:	Transbay Joint Powers Authority, Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain), San Francisco County Transportation Authority, City/County of San Francisco, and the California High Speed Rail Authority
Work Project Title:	Development of the Downtown Extension Rail Program
Purpose of Project:	Support the Transbay Joint Powers Authority in development of the Downtown Extension Rail Program
Brief Scope of Work:	Participate in an integrated, multi-agency team that will undertake project development, including defining a fundable and deliverable initial phase and associated funding plan, developing a project delivery strategy, and reviewing options for governance and oversight during construction.
Motion by Committee:	That the Executive Director or designee is authorized to negotiate and enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Transbay Joint Powers Authority, Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain), San Francisco County Transportation Authority, City/County of San Francisco, and the California High Speed Rail Authority to support the Transbay Joint Powers Authority in development of the Downtown Extension Rail Program as described above and in the Operations Committee Summary Sheet dated May 8, 2020.
Commission:	
	Scott Haggerty, Chair
Approved:	May 27, 2020

Phase 2 Scope





Element added (*) or modified (**) in the SEIS/EIR

Large Projects with MTC/BATA Participation in Governance, Risk Management and Delivery

Project	Cost	Current Phase	MTC Roles (in addition to Funding Partner)	Start of MTC's Involvement	Agreements
Regional Rail Projec	ets				
Transbay Terminal Phase 1	\$2 B	Construction Complete	 Independent cost review Cost Review Committee Peer review of fractured girder 	1-2. Cost increase 3. Girder fracture	 Cost Review conducted by MTC in coordination with TJPA Transbay Project Cost Oversight Agreement Cooperative Agreement
Caltrans Electrification	\$2 B	Under Construction	Change Management Committee	Cost increase	MOU, executed
Peninsula Corridor Rail Program – Downtown Extension	\$4 B	Design	Executive Steering Committee Regional rail planning and funding	Start of design	MOU (subject to MTC approval)
Valley Link	\$2–\$3 B	Environmental	Executive Steering Committee Project Policy Committee	Start of environmental	Funding Agreements (subject to MTC approval)
Diridon Station	TBD	Planning	Executive Committee Policy Director Committee Organizational Subcommittee	Initial studies	TBD
Transbay Rail Crossing	\$30 - \$50 B	Initial Studies	TBD	Initial studies	TBD
Roadway/Bridge Pro	ojects				
Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program	\$7 B	Construction Complete	Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Project Management Team Risk Management Independent cost and technical reviews	Cost increase	Cooperative Agreement, executed MOU, executed
State Route 37	\$4 B	Environmental	Lead for initial studies Executive Steering Committee Hire project director Lead interim project	Initial studies	MOU, executed

San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program MOU

This San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), effective ______, 2020 is between the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA); the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC); the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA); the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain); the California High Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA); and the City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) (each a "Partner" and collectively the "Partners).

1. Definitions:

<u>DTX Rail Program:</u> Activities directed toward the advancement of the environmentally cleared Downtown Rail Extension Project (DTX)

<u>SF Peninsula Rail Program:</u> A program of projects comprised of the DTX and Related Projects

<u>Related Projects:</u> Pennsylvania Avenue Extension (PAX), Railyards Development, and 22nd St Station Study

<u>Regional and State Rail Efforts:</u> Related regional and state rail efforts including the ongoing development of Caltrain and CHSRA programs, the State Rail Plan, New Transbay Rail Crossing, and others, including any future regional rail planning or funding programs

Ready-for-Procurement: All work in planning and engineering is advanced to a level consistent with the contract(s) delivery method(s), has completed all required planning and National Environmental Policy Act/California Environmental Quality Act, and applicable other permit/approval requirements, has secured necessary funding, has obtained or identified Right-of-Way and/or easements and permits, and is ready to proceed to bid.

2. Purpose:

The Partners recognize that the DTX is a critical rail link in the Bay Area, mega-region, and state transportation system and that it will be more efficiently and effectively developed through a multi-agency partnership among local, regional, and state stakeholder agencies with expertise in developing, funding, and implementing major infrastructure projects.

The Partners also recognize that – and nothing in this MOU is intended to imply otherwise – state law and the TJPA's 2001 Joint Powers Agreement (as amended) currently provide that the TJPA has primary jurisdiction over and will implement all aspects of the DTX that will connect the new Salesforce Transit Center (STC) to the regional rail system.

This MOU describes a new organizational structure that will support the efforts of the TJPA in the development of the DTX to a Ready-for-Procurement status and would make direct recommendations to the TJPA Board based on a set of activities outlined below. These activities will be undertaken by an integrated multi-agency project team, comprised of senior staff of the signatory agencies to this agreement, as shown in Attachment 1, who will oversee the Work Program shown in Attachment 2.

3. Structure

The new organizational structure described by this MOU is summarized below and depicted in the Organization Charts in Attachment 3.

Executive Steering Committee (ESC):

By virtue of this MOU, an Executive Steering Committee (ESC) will be instituted to support the TJPA in project development efforts for the DTX as described in Attachment 2, and empowered to implement this MOU. The ESC is responsible for making recommendations to the TJPA Board regarding the scope of efforts under this MOU. The TJPA Board will maintain its ultimate authority over the DTX. Accordingly, it may accept or reject recommendations of the ESC, and it may act in the absence of a recommendation from the ESC.

The ESC will consist of the executives (or their designee) of the TJPA, MTC, SFCTA, Caltrain, CHSRA, and the CCSF (for CCSF the executive will be the Mayor or her designee). The initial and alternate members of the ESC are named on Attachment 1. Each Partner may change its appointment to the ESC by providing written notice to the ESC. The ESC will meet at least monthly, sufficiently in advance of the TJPA Board's regularly scheduled meetings that the ESC will have an opportunity to provide a progress update or to present items to the TJPA Board; a majority of authorized representatives shall constitute quorum. The ESC will elect a Chair and Vice-Chair as a first order of business. At the request of the TJPA Board Chair, the ESC Chair or Vice-Chair will report to the TIPA Board on a monthly basis on the status of the program. The ESC will be responsible for the DTX work program as summarized in Attachment 2, which specifies which elements of the DTX work program must be brought to the TJPA Board for information and/or approval. The ESC will also help resolve conflicting areas of work among the Partners. While the ESC will attempt to reach consensus, it will make recommendations to the TJPA Board by a majority vote of the representatives present. The ESC may also serve as a coordinating forum for the SF Peninsula Rail Program and other relevant regional or statewide rail system planning and development efforts.

Integrated Program Management Team (IPMT):

For the DTX Rail Program, the ESC will be supported by an IPMT consisting of representatives with relevant experience in large complex projects from each of the Partners, as designated by their corresponding executive. In addition, the IPMT may also be supplemented with relevant qualified personnel from within their own agencies or their supporting consultancy organizations.

Project Director (PD):

The PD should have proven leadership, organizational acumen and relevant experience in delivering all aspects of mega rail projects and tunneling. The ESC, or a sub-committee thereof, will recruit and recommend a short list of the PD candidates to the TJPA Board for final selection. The TJPA prefers that the PD be a TJPA employee or direct consultant. However, the TJPA may also consider PD candidates as a consultant seconded to the TJPA through one of the Partners; in such case, a separate agreement between the TJPA and the Partner would be required. The PD will lead the IPMT.

The PD will report to his/her hiring entity for purposes of administrative matters and report to the ESC for purposes of coordination of the IPMT. The PD will coordinate the IPMT in its development of recommendations to present to the TJPA Board as to the delivery of the Work Program (Attachment 2). The PD will be responsible for the day-to-day management of those portions of the DTX work program identified for TJPA to lead, reporting on those matters to the TJPA's Executive Director. The PD shall also be responsible for directing TJPA DTX staff and consultants as needed. To the extent another Partner is identified as assuming a lead role for portions of the DTX work program, that Partner will be responsible for day-to-day management of its tasks.

The PD will coordinate with other IPMT members leading other tasks, as well as with the SF Peninsula Rail program of projects. The PD may also coordinate with other relevant Regional and State Rail Projects as needed.

4. Scope/Responsibilities

SF Peninsula Rail Program Group of Projects:

The DTX is part of a set of regional rail projects within San Francisco that will provide valuable connectivity for the Bay Area and mega-region rail network.¹ A subset of these, called "Related Projects" for the purpose of this MOU, is covered under a separately executed San Francisco 4th/King Railyard MOU (Railyards MOU)², which describes cooperative planning and project development activities for the Related Projects.

Whereas the DTX Rail Program will be managed as described in this MOU, the Related Projects are coordinated in accordance with the Railyards MOU and managed according to their own responsible agency's individual governance. For the Related Projects, the ESC will serve in an advisory and supporting role.

While the Partners recognize that coordinating activities can improve their planning and delivery in order to maximize public benefit for the region as a whole, the Partners confirm

¹ Additional regional rail system planning, and coordination is being undertaken for potential BART and standard gauge Transbay rail crossings with BART, Capitol Corridor, Caltrain and the California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA).

²San Francisco 4th/King Railyard MOU dated June 3, 2019 between Caltrain, Prologis, CCSF/SFCTA, and TJPA

that each project within the SF Peninsula Rail Program has independent utility from every other project.

Summary of Work Elements Under this MOU:

DTX Rail Program:

The following are the main activities that comprise the DTX work program.

<u>DTX Project Development</u> -- anticipated activities include, but are not limited to, developing a phasing strategy that addresses both Caltrain and CHSRA needs, conducting industry reviews, performing design of the phased project to a level that will allow for a reliable bottom-up cost estimate, updating the right-of-way plan, conducting robust risk assessment, implementation planning, and developing the project delivery strategy to advance the DTX to a Ready-for-Procurement status.

Coordination for Regional Planning and Funding— this effort will focus on articulating the regional context for the DTX and integrating the planning and funding of the DTX with broader regional, mega-regional, statewide plans, and funding programs. This includes helping to design and advance DTX funding strategies and analyzing implementation options in coordination with other regional and statewide entities and efforts.

A Summary Work Program is attached (Attachment 2) that includes detailed description of the roles and responsibilities for each participating agency. The Summary Work Program consists of the following main tasks, for which the ESC will develop recommendations to the TJPA Board for decision:

- Establish and Initiate New Management Structure
- Define a Fundable and Deliverable Initial Phase of the DTX Project
- Select the Project Delivery Strategy
- Undertake a Governance and Oversight Review
- Prepare for Procurement

Select work elements under the Railyards MOU:

<u>Pennsylvania Avenue Extension</u> (PAX)– Led by the SFCTA, this project will perform scoping and pre-environmental engineering to affect an underground rail grade separation at 16^{th} Street and Mission Bay Drive by means of a tunnel following the general alignment of Pennsylvania Avenue, with a special focus on the interface with the DTX at 4^{th} /Townsend rail station and the transition to the mainline at its southern end.

<u>4th and King Railyard Studies</u> – Led by Caltrain, in coordination with Prologis, Inc. (the property owner), this project will perform studies to determine Caltrain's needs within San Francisco, develop railyard alternatives, determine storage, service,

station, and maintenance requirements, among others, in accordance with the agreement set forth in the Railyards MOU for the possible development of the railyard.

 $\underline{22^{nd}}$ Street Station Study – Led by SF Planning, in close coordination with Caltrain, this project will study the options for improving or relocating the current 22^{nd} Street Station.

<u>Railyard Site Land Use and Development Study</u> – Led by Prologis, Inc., this work will examine the feasibility of various development options at the 4th and King railyards; options will consider DTX, PAX, and/or the undergrounding of some or all of the current railyard.

5. External Resources and Engagement:

The Partners will work together to identify the necessary resources to support their respective activities to carry out the DTX work program. Some agencies' budgets have identified sources, while others are to be confirmed. Within two months after signing the MOU, the Partners will develop a more detailed work plan, identify a budget for the total resource requirement (staffing and contractor) for each agency and in total, and confirm funding sources. Notwithstanding the above, this MOU commits the Partners to consider providing funding or resources, but does not commit any Partner to provide any resources other than those the agency already has funded and programmed to support DTX or to provide any funding.

These resources may include, among others, on-call consultants and legal counsel. Actual staffing and support from each agency will be based on their designated roles and responsibilities. This MOU commits the Partners to participation in the ESC/IMPT process but does not constitute a commitment of financial resources.

The Partners recognize that other state, regional and local government agencies, such as BART, Capitol Corridor, California State Transportation Agency, and Caltrans, have an interest in and/or expertise regarding the matters contemplated in the Summary Work Program. Accordingly, the Partners agree to work collaboratively to engage those agencies as appropriate during implementation of the Summary Work Program.

6. Work Plan:

A Summary Work Program, delineating the scope of efforts to be executed under this MOU, is attached (Attachment 2). Once the MOU is executed and the ESC and IPMT instituted, the first order of business will be the development of a comprehensive Work Plan, for presentation and approval by the TJPA Board, building upon the activities of the Summary Work Program. The Detailed Work Plan will detail all the necessary tasks, their relationships, schedule, and responsible Partner to take the project to start of construction. The Detailed Work Plan should be completed within 2 months.

As defined in the Summary Work Program, the ESC will work to develop an initial operating phase that provides the necessary capacity to operate a reliable blended system to the Salesforce Transit Center at the earliest practicable date and with consideration of reasonably available funding. Any proposed initial operating phase recommended by the ESC must have prior concurrence of Caltrain and CHSRA members of the ESC.

7. NEPA/CEQA:

Any recommendations regarding modifications to the DTX resulting from the work under the MOU is intended to be consistent with and not require any material modification of the existing DTX NEPA/CEQA documentation.

8. Funding:

The Partners recognize that Federal funding programs require demonstration of strong local financial capacity and commitment and intend to collaborate on strengthening project funding plans.

9. Principles for Collaboration:

- Follow the decision-making processes and communication models established by the ESC and IPMT
- Share information and resources to assist with the successful and timely completion of tasks and follow-on studies
- Respond and provide input within agreed-upon response times
- Design meetings as small working sessions and provide appropriate staff to focus on the outcomes required, in accordance with the roles and responsibilities established in the attached Summary Work Program
- Seek to make decisions by consensus when possible, by majority vote otherwise

10. Policy Recommendations:

Policy recommendations of the ESC to the TJPA Board for the DTX will require majority support of the ESC. Policy recommendations are expected to include, but are not limited to:

- Phasing Plan for the Initial Operating Segment
- Adoption of a new Funding Plan
- Project Delivery Plan, including ROW acquisition plan, project delivery method(s), contracting strategy
- Final institutional arrangement for project delivery through initial operating segment as proposed in the Summary Work Program
- Significant design decisions

11. No Adjudication of Rights:

The MOU does not adjudicate legal rights with respect to the development of the DTX or provide the Partners with any rights with respect to the revenues derived therefrom.

12. Other MOUs:

The Partners acknowledge that there are other agreements already entered into by the Partners or which may be entered in the future related to the SF Peninsula Rail Program, including but not limited to operating and maintenance agreements between the TJPA (or another entity designated to carry out the DTX) and Caltrain and the California High-Speed Rail Authority; MOU between the TJPA the Federal Railroad Administration related to the allocation of \$400 million for the construction of the train box at the STC; and the Railyards MOU. This MOU is separate from and does not modify or replace any other MOU or other agreement between the Partners regarding the DTX.

13. Term

- 13.1 The term of this MOU shall be 36 months or when the DTX reaches Ready-for-Procurement status, whichever is earlier.
- 13.2 The Partners may amend, conclude or extend this MOU by mutual agreement; such agreement shall be evidenced in writing. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the TJPA may terminate this MOU in its discretion by action of the TJPA Board; prior to any such proposed termination, the TJPA shall meet and confer with the other Partners in a good faith effort to resolve any concerns and avoid the need for termination. Any other Partner may withdraw from this MOU in its discretion, following a meet and confer with the other Partners in a good faith effort to resolve any concerns and avoid the need for withdrawal

14 General Conditions

- 14.1 Each Partner will conduct its activities under this MOU in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and standards.
- 14.2 Each Partner will ensure that personnel assigned by it to conduct activities under this MOU are appropriately qualified or licensed to the perform the tasks assigned to them.
- 14.3 Each Partner will hold all administrative drafts and administrative final reports, studies, materials, and documentation relied upon, produced, created, or utilized for the activities under this MOU in confidence to the extent permitted by law. Where applicable, the provisions of California Government Code section 6254.5(e) shall protect the confidentiality of such documents in the event that said documents are shared between the Partners. The Partners will not distribute, release, or share said documents with anyone other than employees, agents, and consultants who require access to complete the activities under this MOU without the written consent of the Partner authorized to release

them, unless required and authorized to do so by law. If a Partner receives a public records request pertaining to activities under this MOU, that Partner will notify the other Partners within five (5) working days of receipt and make the other Partners aware of any intent to disclose public documents. The Partners will consult with each other prior to the release of any public documents or statements related to the activities under this MOU. Nothing herein shall require any Partner to waive any attorney-client privileges or other protections it otherwise has a right to assert.

- 14.4 The Partners do not intend this MOU to create a third-Partner beneficiary or define duties, obligations, or rights in Partners not signatory to this MOU.
- 14.5 The Partners will not assign or attempt to assign their rights or obligations under this MOU to parties not signatory to this MOU without an amendment to this MOU.
- 14.6 The following documents are **Attachments** hereto:

Attachment 1: Initial members of the ESC

Attachment 2: Summary DTX Rail Program -- Work Program

Attachment 3: Organization Chart

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Partners have executed this MOU as of the date first written above.

Transbay Joint Powers Authority	Metropolitan Transportation Commission
By: TJPA Board Chair Address:	By: Address:
San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board
By:	By:
Address	Address

California High Speed Rail Authority	City and County of San Francisco
By:	By:
Address:	Address:

DTX MOU Attachment 1 Initial ESC and IPMT Membership

Executive Steering Committee	Member	Alternate		
Caltrain	Jim Harnett	Michelle Bouchard		
CCSF	Paul Supawanich	TBD		
CHSRA	Boris Lipkin	Simon Whitehorn		
MTC/BATA	Andrew Fremier	Lisa Klein		
TJPA	Mark Zabaneh	Erin Roseman		
SFCTA	Tilly Chang	Eric Cordoba		
Integrated Program Management Team				
Caltrain	Sebastian Petty	Anthony Simmons		
CCSF	Doug Johnson	Anna Harvey		
CHSRA	Simon Whitehorn	Paul Hebditch		
MTC/BATA	Stephen Wolf	Trish Stoops		
TJPA	TBD	TBD		
SFCTA	Eric Cordoba	Luis Zurinaga		

	Roles and Responsibilities								
	Lead: Agency responsible for executing the task								
	Co-Lead: Agencies jointly responsible for executing the task								
	Concur: Agency that must sign off on deliverables								
	Contribute: Agency that will provide input and assistance to Lead								
	Consulted: Agency that must be informed and consulted by Lead								
Task No	Task	Sub-task Description	Caltrain	CCSF	CHSRA	MTC/BATA	SFCTA	ТЈРА	To TJPA Board For
A.	Establish and Initiate New Management Structure								
1	Establish Executive Steering Committee (ESC) and Integrated Project Management Team (IPMT), identify resources and develop a staffing plan.	(a)Develop MOU (b)Develop Summary Work Program (c) Define roles and responsibilities (d) Determine staffing levels for IPMT from participating agencies	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Concur	Reporting
2	ESC and IPMT Program Management	 (a) Execute and implement transition to the new structure and agreements (b) Ongoing oversight and management of the program (c) Manage key initiatives to address issues (d) Coordinate with other regional and state projects (e.g. BART/Capitol Corridor on the development of the New Transbay Rail Crossing and State Rail Plan) 	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Reporting
3	Recruit Program Director	Tantoony rant Grooms and Santo rant ranty	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Lead	Approval
4	Coordinate with Project Initiation phase of the Pennsylvania Avenue Extension (PAX)	(a) Interface with PAX pre-environmental engineering and funding permitting, environmental studies.	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Lead	Contribute	Reporting
5	Coordinate with other SF Peninsula Rail Program components	(a) Coordinate with Railyards Development planning (per Railyards MOU) and 22nd Street Station study led by SF Planning (b) Coordinate with BART/CCJPA on potential through running of conventional rail services	Contribute	Lead	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Reporting
6	Develop a comprehensive Work Plan, building upon the activities of this Summary Work Program. The Detailed Work Plan will describe all the necessary tasks, their relationships, schedule, and responsible party to take the project to start of construction. (target completion: within 2 months)	(a) Identify all tasks, including programmatic documentation (PMP and associated management plans and criteria), a project phasing study and business case analysis; branding, planning and funding plans; outreach and stakeholder engagement; qualitative and quantitative risk analyses, constructability review and industry review;	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Approval

		project delivery plan; and other tasks necessary to bring the DTX Rail Program to bid, and assign responsibilities (b) Determine staffing levels, budgets and funding for delivering the tasks (c) Develop a Master Schedule							
Task No	Task	Sub-task Description	Caltrain	CCSF	CHSRA	MTC/BATA	SFCTA	ТЈРА	To TJPA Board For
7	Develop a re-branding strategy to reposition the DTX Rail Program	Develop a plan for re-branding of the Program with updated graphics and messaging based on economic benefits for the region, state, and nation	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Concur	Lead	Approval
8	Perform public outreach by engaging external stakeholders, advocacy groups, and the public at large, and perform public outreach	(a) Develop external outreach plan (b) Conduct public outreach (c) Conduct advocacy group outreach (d) Maintain clear records of stakeholder engagement (e) Coordinate with adjacent developments, related and interconnected plans and projects by other agencies, such as Transbay rail planning, and stakeholder business plans	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Lead	Reporting
В.	Define a Fundable and Deliverable Initial Phase of the DTX Project								
9	Develop operational plans for near-term and long-term rail operations at the STC	(a) Develop a consolidated set of service assumptions (b) Develop operational objectives, timetables, track usage, and platform distribution. (c) Perform all necessary modeling, including perturbation analysis, to assure reliable, smooth operations at the STC and the Peninsula	Co-Lead	Contribute	Co-Lead	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Reporting
10	Conduct planning for DTX	(a) Identify the full extent of the Salesforce Transit Center users, currently planned and future, direct and indirect, and existing relevant plans and studies (b) perform ridership forecasts, and coordinate with Caltrain and CHSR based on their current/updated Business Plans; transit users; and, New Transbay Crossing based on 2018 State Rail Plan, regional rail plan, and current BART/CCJPA planning study	Concur	Contribute	Concur	Concur	Lead	Concur	Reporting

Task No	Task	Sub-task Description	Caltrain	CCSF	CHSRA	MTC/BATA	SFCTA	ТЈРА	To TJPA Board For
11	Perform technical studies and design to re-define and deliver a DTX initial operating phase as soon as possible	(a) Engineering studies to achieve project re-definition and initial operating phase (b) Utilizing input from the operational plans developed under task 9, perform demand vs capacity scenario analysis over time and side-by-side comparison of options in terms of benefits (economic, riders, housing, etc.), costs, schedules, operations, etc. (c) Perform design of the phased project to a level that will allow for the reliability of the tasks below (d) Develop detailed cost estimates, schedules, and extensive risk register and analysis based on structured workshops, including risk management program and independent reviews (e) Develop plans for utility relocations and ROW acquisition requirements, including risk management and insurance plans, early works packages, and third-party agreements as needed (f) Resolve critical operational issues for all users of the initial operating phase, conduct operational analysis, and coordinate operators' plans and requirements	Concur	Contribute	Concur	Contribute	Concur	Lead	Approval
12	Prepare a preferred Phasing Plan conforming with technical studies and policy direction on realistic amounts/timing of funding and stakeholder delivery date expectations -with an explicit goal to deliver rail service to the STC as soon as possible	(a) Utilizing input from tasks 9, 10, 11 and 13, prepare Rail Program phasing options in response to rail service scenarios, funding sources and availability, and stakeholder requirements (b) Develop detailed risk management and assurance plans including ownership, staffing, independent strategic advisor / independent engineer, and management processes (c) Develop Business Case for the phased project (d) Revisit Detailed Work Plan to assure compatibility with preferred phasing plan	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Approval
13	Develop and confirm Funding Plan strategy for the DTX Rail Program based on achievable funding assumptions and securing adequate capital funding and O&M revenues enough for the initial phase	(a) Develop funding plan for construction and operations, inter-agency responsibilities, securing commitments, schedule of availability, and tasks to enter FTA funding process (b) Conduct assessment of high/low confidence sources of funding with focus on funding initial operating phase and funding strategy of subsequent phases (c) Develop new/innovative funding and financing sources including joint development (e.g., Railyards) enabled by project re-definition strategy (d) Develop funding plan strategy for operations phase, including funding agreements and commitments to support initial operating phase operating costs	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Concur	Lead	Concur	Approval

Task No	Task	Sub-task Description	Caltrain	CCSF	CHSRA	MTC/BATA	SFCTA	ТЈРА	To TJPA Board For
14	Prepare the project to enter the federal New Starts Program	(a)Develop all the documentation required by the FTA to enter the New Starts Program(b) Engage with the FTA to develop a road map to the Full Funding Grant Agreement	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Report on progress and approval of final documents
C.	Select the Project Delivery Strategy								
15	Conduct industry outreach to validate construction methodology and contracting strategy	Conduct market sounding through engagement with the infrastructure industry and update the qualitative delivery options analysis previously completed.	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Lead	Report
16	Develop a project delivery and contracting strategy	 (a) Analyze project delivery options analysis based on a business case and risk-adjusted financial analysis, including input from the market sounding (b) Analyze legal framework and issues for delivery options, procurement, and development of contracts (c) Develop a strategic implementation roadmap including a procurement and contracting plan, risk management plan, and organizational requirements (d) Conduct workshops to allocate risk based on risk analysis performed under tasks above, and develop analysis and plans for insurance (e) Scope pre-procurement engineering and early works contracts tailored to the delivery options 	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Concur	Co-Lead	Co-Lead	Approval
17	Develop an O&M plan with roles and responsibilities	 (a) Prepare a 20-year financial plan for Operations and Maintenance (b) Review and identify the ownership and governance of project elements for construction, operations, and maintenance (c) Develop and execute agreements for Operations and Maintenance 	Concur	Consulted	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Approval
D.	Undertake a Governance and Oversight Review and Transition								
18	Explore the best institutional arrangement and governance for the delivery of the DTX Rail Program thru construction and make recommendations to TJPA Board	(a) Detailed study to identify the governance structure to enable stakeholder alignment, effective mega-project delivery, oversight, independent strategic advice, and assurance (b)Stress-test options to maximize opportunities for Federal, State, and Regional funding as a Project of Regional and National Significance. Recommend governance/project delivery structure for delivery (c) Develop management structure, briefs with roles and responsibilities, staffing qualifications, reporting and communication protocols, contracting, and staffing plan (d) Conduct assessment with strategic, economic, commercial, financial, management cases and consider	Contribute	Contribute	Contribute	Co-Lead	Co-Lead	Concur	Approval

		responsibilities for O&M of new trackage and the rail portion of STC (e) Develop outcome-based performance and sourcing management system, regime of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), and stage-gated decision-making protocols							
Task No	Task	Sub-task Description	Caltrain	CCSF	CHSRA	MTC/BATA	SFCTA	ТЈРА	To TJPA Board For
19.	Select lead agency for Construction. Should an alternate structure be adopted by the TJPA Board as a result of the above task, effect transition to final institutional arrangement and organization	Execution and implementation of Task 18 outcomes in coordination with the selected project delivery method.	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Approval
Е.	Prepare for Procurement								
20	Start procurement tasks as applicable based on final institutional agreement, selected project delivery method, and scoping	 (a) Finalize Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) to the level required by the contracting strategy and delivery method(s) (b) Develop draft Commercial Terms and Conditions as appropriate for each contract (c) Conduct additional industry outreach to create interest and create a competitive environment (d) Develop draft bid documents for each contract 	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Concur	Lead	Report on Progress Approval to begin procurement

ATTACHMENT 3A

SF Peninsula Rail Program

Integrated Team

TJPA BOARD

EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEE*

Executive Directors or Designees of the MOU Partners

TJPA Caltrain
MTC / BATA CHSRA
SECTA CCSE

STATE AND LOCAL PARTNERS

BART

Capitol Corridor

CalSTA

Caltrans

INTEGRATED PROGRAM MANAGEMENT TEAM*

One Representative From Each Partner

Led by the Project Director

(Project Director reports to TJPA

Executive Director for TJPA-led tasks)

coordination, advice, and support only governed by Railyard MOU

DTX PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

TJPA

PLANNING / FUNDING COORDINATION

SFCTA

PENNSYLVANIA AVE. EXTENSION

SFCTA

4TH & KING RAILYARD STUDIES


Caltrain

22ND STREET STATION STUDY

SF Planning

REGIONAL PLANNING & FUNDING COORDINATION - MTC / BATA

^{*} Consistent with and limited to the Summary Work Program (Attachment 2) defined by the San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program MOU approved by TJPA Board on April 9, 2020



^{*} Consistent with and limited to the Summary Work Program (Attachment 2) defined by the San Francisco Peninsula Rail Program MOU approved by TJPA Board on April 9, 2020

From: caroline horn

To: Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: I support Caltrain

Date: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 4:16:38 PM

[You don't often get email from caroline_horn@hotmail.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

Twenty years ago we bought a house close to the San Antonio station. This was very deliberate and we are now enjoying the fruits of that decision.

When the kids were young, we'd ride the train up and down the peninsula. I have done a hybrid bike/train commute to work for about 20 years.

Now we have a new generation of 3 riders:

We have a college student who travels to UC Davis by train. We have a teenager who travels to San Francisco using the Caltrain-BART connection. My oldest child and my grandchild come down from San Francisco on the train.

Thanks to Caltrain none of them have to drive or be driven in a car.

That's a 3x reduction in car traffic!

Please continue to support Caltrain.

Thank you so very much, Caroline Horn Los Altos, CA

Sent from my iPhone

 From:
 Mark & Caroline Bauhaus

 To:
 Board (@caltrain.com)

 Subject:
 Reviving CalTrain

Date: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 4:18:19 PM

You don't often get email from mcbauhaus@comcast.net. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from safront emails ownsen Dergot open attachments or click

Dear CalTrain Board,

I fervently believe in a Vibrant, Popular and revived CalTrain post Covid and economic recovery. As you consider next steps, I hope you will enthusiastically support:

- * full speed ahead on electrification of CalTrain for zero carbon footprint
- * don't cut service, that will prevent ridership recovery
- * move toward a future with well-coordinated fares and schedules to help regrow ridership
- * move toward a future with frequent service all day and all week, supporting more kinds of trips
- * support regional funding measures that can stabilize and improve Caltrain as part of a seamless system.

Thanks,

MarkB

Longtime San Carlos resident and Caltrain user

From: K Nestor

To: Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: Comment re: future of Caltrain service

Date: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 5:41:37 PM

You don't often get email from knestor09@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from saft external sourcen derbot open attachments or click

Hi,

I'm writing in to voice my support for continued and expanded service for Caltrain.

I live in San Mateo, and I can't drive. Prior to the pandemic, I was commuting to SF daily during rush hour, but like a lot of people, my schedule has changed. Caltrain is still the best way for me to get to work, now that I'm being moved to a hybrid schedule of working in the office a few days a week, but my office time is flexible and I'd commute during off hours if I could, to help with my work-life balance. It's also something that I would love to be able to use more effectively outside of rush hour-- there are a lot of places near Caltrain stations I'd like to go to more often, on weekends or in afternoons or after work, from shops and restaurants to doctors' offices and my favorite gym. But I don't get out to them much because scheduling the trips is such a hassle.

I believe that cutting service will prevent ridership recovery because it will increase the difficulty of actually accessing the train, and that a well-coordinated, frequent schedule and fare system will help recovery. Riding the train is a lot less stressful and more comfortable than driving, and with all the stops available on Caltrain, it can be a useful option that many people will prefer to driving-- if it's scheduled and priced so that they can properly make use of it. And if we can get Caltrain ridership up in this way, it will mean that many fewer cars on the highway, lowering emissions and traffic. We're already set to see train rides become more pleasant and smooth with the new electric trains-- let's make sure they continue to be a feasible alternative to driving.

Thank you for your consideration. Kay Nestor San Mateo
 From:
 Adrienne Heim

 To:
 Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: Caltrain rider

Date: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 6:18:18 PM

You don't often get email from adrienne.heim@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from strong from the first of the contents of click

Caltrain Board,

I'm a rider if Caltrain to get classes at San Jose State from 4th and King - SF. These classes occur during the odd peak hours. I urge you to think outside the operations box and consider better coordination between VTA, SamTrans and Muni and all day service. I also urge you to send out a regional survey through multiple communications channels and various stakeholders and languages to gauge how riders or potential riders use the system. Are there riders from UC Santa Cruz who ride Highway 17 Express and connect to Caltrain?

Best,

Adrienne Heim SF resident

From: Barb Singleton

To: Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: CalTrain: Please keep reinventing service for the future.

Date: Thursday, April 6, 2023 8:16:37 AM

[You don't often get email from barbsingleton@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

Hello Board,

Barb Singleton, from Mountain View here.

I take my bicycle on CalTrain, meet other people when I am riding and enjoy being able to get around without a car. I am proud to be in the multi-transit culture.

Please continue to work on all-day, all-week, well-coordinated service and fares throughout the SF Bay Area. The dream of a seamless easy system to use is attainable.

Please do not cut existing service.

I use the BikeLink cage which works well.

The only help I could use is getting my bicycle up the stairs on those particular coaches.

Thank you,

Barb Singleton Mountain View From: Marty Roberts
To: Board (@caltrain.com)
Subject: CalTrain is a game changer!
Date: Thursday, April 6, 2023 2:24:34 PM

You don't often get email from jackalope415@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from safront emails among the manufacture of click

Dear CalTrain,

I just wanted to express my sincere appreciation for the service you provide. For the past 15 years I've been able to live car-free, biking to 4th and King, rain or shine, and commuting to San Mateo where I teach at a public high school. The comfort of the trains, especially the peace of mind that the dedicated bike cars provide, help me achieve a work-life-fitness balance, and have also allowed me to listen to 500 episodes of my favorite podcast! I'd have to leave SF if it weren't for the train. Keep doing the work you do. Sincerely,

Marty Roberts

From: Dennis Murphy
To: Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: Finance Meeting Comments: Go Big or We Stay Home

Date: Thursday, April 6, 2023 5:46:50 PM

You don't often get email from dmurphy@sustainablesv.org. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from safront emails owing not open attachments or click

Hello.

When we moved to the Peninsula, my wife and I originally concentrated on transportation linkages more than other factors. She commuted to an office on the Embarcadero and I traveled to Downtown San Jose. San Carlos and later Redwood City became our target hubs.

Much has changed over the past 25 years. Like a number of long-time commuters, we both now work from home and travel more strategically. A new Grand Boulevard strategy with revitalized, more frequent Caltrain service feeding VTA, Samtrans and Muni would go a long way towards making a seamless Peninsula.

When you face a cliff, you have two choices: double back and go big or...jump. Caltrain needs to aggressively alter its business and service model to thrive in the Bay Area. San Francisco has 50% of the office workers it had pre-pandemic; San Jose probably less. While servicing the commute (4 trains per hour peak) will always be important, establishing more frequent, reliable off-peak service from Gilroy on up will be key. Knowing that there would be a train every twenty minutes would go a long way towards relevance and a clear growth area.

Assuming life will come back to a pre-pandemic "normal" in a future business/service model is a sure path to insolvency. Aggressively sourcing regional, state and federal funding for electrification (and electric energy) and creatively offering frequency of service, flexible payment options and seamless service is the clear way to go.

On the operations side, I agree that the more electric the better, and the more renewable and energy storage the better.

Dennis Murphy
Director, Water & Sustainable Life
Sustainable Silicon Valley
dmurphy@sustainablesv.org
408.930.5744
Book a Meeting



Sign-up for the <u>SSV Newsletter</u>
Watch <u>SSV TV</u> & Subscribe
Read the <u>SSV Blog</u>
Check out the <u>Climate Dashboard</u>
Visit the <u>SSV Website</u>
Follow SSV on <u>LinkedIn</u>, <u>Twitter</u>, <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Instagram</u>

From: <u>Cautn1</u>

To: Board (@caltrain.com)
Subject: Today"s Financial Workshop
Date: Thursday, April 6, 2023 8:14:37 PM

You don't often get email from cautn1@aol.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from safront email sourcen dernot open attachments or click

Dear Chair Gee and other members of the Board:

Given the precarious state of Caltrain's finances, the staff's reluctance to reduce service levels proportionate to today's ridership because of fears of a resulting additional drop-off in ridership is risky. Better would be to cut back service, either by deploying shorter trains when possible, or increasing headways or both. Assuming a reliable train service, the wait times at stations and bus stops could be eased by a greater use of carefully timed shuttles and feeder buses.

Gerald Cauthen P.E.
Co-Founder and President,
Bay Area Transportation Working Group (BATWG)
510 922 9983
www.batwgblog.com

 From:
 kooshajahani@yahoo.com

 To:
 Board (@caltrain.com)

 Subject:
 Caltrain budget concerns

Date: Thursday, April 6, 2023 8:55:19 PM

You don't often get email from kooshajahani@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from safront email sourcen dernot open attachments or click

Hi I live in Redwood City and I'm a frequent Caltrain rider. I ride it to work 4 days of the week and I also use Caltrain whenever I need to travel to SF, San Jose or as a BART connection. I don't own a car or have any plans to purchase one so any reduction to Caltrain's service would heavily impede my ability to go to places in the bay area. The ECR bus is slow because it stops frequently and constantly gets stuck in traffic so it is not an appropriate replacement for Caltrain.

-Koosha Jahani

From: Mark Morey

To: Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: Please Forward to Correct Dept. For Repair **Date:** Thursday, April 6, 2023 12:36:22 PM

You don't often get email from markmor@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from afrom termal sourcenders or open attachments or click

Hello Caltrain,

I'm a regular rider. Several weeks ago I informed a Caltrain employee who took a photo & made note of the broken lamp in photos below at the Menlo Park station but nothing happened. The lamp is located in the NE corner of the MP station near spot B sign. If this falls on someone's head it will injure or kill someone, and especially after my notice, this is a significant liability for Caltrain.

Thanks.

Regards, Mark



 From:
 Sarah Nabong

 To:
 Mark Morey

Cc: <u>Board (@caltrain.com)</u>

Subject: Please Forward to Correct Dept. For Repair

Date: Friday, April 7, 2023 9:24:41 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.pnq</u>

Dear Mark Morey,

Your message to the Board was referred to me for response. They will receive a copy of our correspondence.

Thank you for reaching out to Caltrain with your feedback, we notified our stations and maintenance crews of your observation on date. I was informed that the lamp has been replaced and is now working as intended. In the future, you may report these types of concerns on our contact us page at https://www.caltrain.com/about-caltrain/contact-us

Again, thank you for sending in your observations. We aim to provide safe, clean, and compliant facilities, so we appreciate your awareness and concern.

Sincerely,

Sarah Nabong, Customer Service Representative 2

1250 San Carlos Ave San Carlos, CA 94070

Phone: 650.508.7925

Websites: Caltrain | SamTrans | TA







From: VTA BART Silicon Valley <vtabart@vtabsv.com>

Sent: Friday, April 7, 2023 2:59 PM **To:** Board (@caltrain.com)

Subject: Reminder - Notice of Geotechnical Work near Coyote Creek 4/10 - 4/17

ATTENTION: This email came from an external sourcen Borgot open attachments or click on links from





Notice of Geotechnical Work near Coyote Creek

What

 Contractors will be collecting soil samples and installing groundwater monitoring instruments near Coyote Creek.

Where

The work will take place along East Santa Clara St. between 17th St. and 19th St.

When*

- Work will be conducted Monday Apr. 10 Monday Apr. 17
- General working hours will be 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

What To Expect

- The work activity will be advanced with a truck-mounted, mud rotary drill rig.
- There will also be periodic, repetitive hammering during soil sampling.
- This work activity does not typically generate dust.

Why

Necessary to help prepare for VTA's BART Silicon Valley Phase II Project.

Traffic Impacts

- Partial lane closures in the geotechnical work area.
- Partial parking in this specific area will not be available during this period.

*All work has received necessary approvals and permits. Construction is a dynamic process and information is subject to change without notice.

Project Background

VTA's BART Silicon Valley Phase II Extension (Phase II Project) is a six-mile, four-station extension of BART from Berryessa / North San José Station (opened 2020) through downtown San José to the City of Santa Clara. The Phase II Project is planned to include an approx. five-mile subway, three stations with underground platforms (28th Street/ Little Portugal, Downtown San José, and Diridon), one ground-level station (Santa Clara), a train maintenance and storage facility, and additional facilities.

^{*} Please note that timelines for construction activity have some inherent uncertainty and may change depending on field conditions and/or inclement weather.

Have a question for us about Phase II?

Visit www.vta.org/bart or email us vtabart@vtabsv.com







VTA BART Phase II

vtabart@vtabsv.com

(408) 321-2345 BART Silicon Valley Hotline







Website



☑ Email

Copyright © 2023 Valley Transportation Authority, All rights reserved. You requested information about VTA's BART Silicon Valley Extension Phase II.

Our mailing address is:

Valley Transportation Authority 3331 N First Street San Jose, CA 95134

Add us to your address book

Want to change how you receive these emails? You can <u>update your preferences</u> or <u>unsubscribe from this list</u>.

