

JPB Board of Directors Meeting of October 7, 2021

Correspondence as of October 6, 2021

<u>Subject</u>

- 1 Caltrain Noise in San Francisco
- 2 Fare Integration Support Letters
- 3 Giants Game and Physical Distancing on Trains
- 4 Segment 4 Signal System Test Results

You don't often get email from emileenoh@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email camerinants an external sourcen Bernot open attachments or click

Hello,

I am writing to comment on the noise levels of the Caltrain station at 4th and King. I would like to echo the comments of Ms. Arzamassky on 9/20/21. When there are two or more trains idling at once, the "beats" formed by the low frequencies resonating and combining is very disruptive to the residents whose units face the station. My roommates and I have lost sleep and developed headaches, not to mention the long-term risks escalating to hearing loss and cardiovascular diseases (MDPI 2020 study linked here), and the compounding of these various health issues due to stress and sleep deprivation.

As this poses a demonstrated risk to health and wellbeing, I would ask you to investigate ways to mitigate the low frequency noise levels for the sake of our community. Thank you!

Emilee Noh









October 5, 2021

Re: Oct. 7 Caltrain Board meeting, Item 9: Regional Fare Coordination Study

Dear Caltrain Board Members,

We submit this joint letter as individual members of **Voices for Public Transportation**, a coalition of community-based organizations, labor, and equity advocates dedicated to supporting a major regional funding measure for public transportation to improve the health and wellness of our communities, environment, and economy.

VPT's platform for Bay Area transit investments includes a goal to "create integrated, affordable fares, expanding reduced-fare and free-fare programs throughout the Bay Area for the riders who need them most, including people with disabilities for both fixed route and paratransit."

We believe the recommendations for the region's Fare Integration Study provide solid first steps toward advancing the goals of the platform for integrated, affordable fares.

However, we are concerned that the study holds back from recommending future steps with deeper levels of integration and affordability because of funding needs.

We believe the recommendations should be stronger in advancing deeper levels of integration and affordability. We strongly support the pursuit of additional funds for fair fares and affordability as part of a future regional funding measure.

Specifically, we support the *initial recommendations* of the study, including:

- Piloting multi-agency prepaid transit passes that can be distributed to riders by employers, transportation management associations, unions, colleges/universities, and housing developments to immediately help rebuild and grow transit ridership
- Free inter-agency transfers across the region, which the study estimates would bring over 25,000 new daily riders to transit as much as some of our region's flagship bus lines

We also strongly support the recommendation to standardize regional fares on services such as BART, Caltrain, regional buses and ferries. The study estimates this would bring 68,000 new daily transit riders, and cut driving by as much as 850,000 miles daily.

As the region works together to bring riders back to transit following the impacts of Covid, the study indicates that providing integrated fares is among the most cost-effective strategies available to increase

transit ridership. The study shows that the outcomes would be valuable to provide mobility for low-income residents; to support our economy as the region recovers from Covid; to support needed housing; and to reduce the region's largest source of greenhouse gas emissions.

While the deeper levels of fare integration cost additional subsidies, the business case shows that fare integration is a highly cost-effective strategy for increasing ridership, more cost effective than most bus and rail capital improvement projects. As such, funding for fare integration, including creating a standard lower local transit fare across the region, should be presented as an option for funding to members of the public as part of a public process for a future regional funding measure.

Thank you for your consideration.

Hayley Currier TransForm

Vinita Goyal San Francisco Transit Riders

Ian Griffihs Seamless Bay Area

Carol Taylor Mary Lim-Lampe, JD Genesis Disability Transit Task Force



October 5, 2021

Re: Oct. 7 Caltrain Board Meeting, Item 9. Regional Fare Coordination and Integration Study

Dear Caltrain Board Members,

Thank you very much for advancing the Regional Fare Coordination and Integration study.

We strongly support the initial recommendations of the study, including:

- Piloting multi-agency transit passes that can be distributed to riders by employers, transportation management associations, colleges/universities, and housing developments. ("Tier 1")
- Free transfers across the region ("Tier 2"), which is estimated to bring at least 25,000 new daily riders to transit as much as some of our region's flagship bus lines

Within Tier 1, we encourage moving up affordable housing developments in priority for the pilot, since this has the potential for substantial equity benefits, and will provide valuable pilot feedback from a diverse set of low-income transit riders.

In addition, given the tremendous benefits of standardizing fare structures for regional services ("Tier 3") - which, based on the study, could bring 68,000 new daily transit riders and reduce over 800,000 daily vehicle miles traveled (VMT) from our roads - we strongly support progress toward Tier 3, including setting up the appropriate decision-making structures and identifying the new funding sources that can support that level of integration.

For comparison, the ridership increase associated with Tier 3 is similar to Caltrain's ridership before Covid, and the reduction in driving miles and pollution is four times that projected of Caltrain electrification, one of our region's flagship capital projects.

As the region works together to bring riders back to transit following the impacts of Covid, the study indicates that providing integrated fares is among the most cost-effective strategies available to increase transit ridership.

The study shows that the outcomes would be valuable to provide mobility for low-income residents; to support our economy as the region recovers from Covid; to support needed housing; and to reduce the region's largest source of greenhouse gas emissions.

Lastly, we would like to encourage the Task Force and MTC to continue to keep open the possibility for eventual movement to "Tier 4" integration, a fully integrated fare system as is currently in place across many high-ridership regions. The business case indicates that some versions of Tier 4 may have the potential to offer even greater ridership and VMT benefits than Tier 3.

In addition, MTC's <u>current wayfinding business case</u> study shows an additional \$150-\$300 million in economic and environmental benefits delivered by the deepest level of wayfinding and branding integration, but which is dependent upon fully integrated fares consistent with "Tier 4" of the fare integration study. And MTC's polling shows about a 90% approval rating for wayfinding and fare integration improvements.

The deeper levels of fare integration will require additional funding to achieve the substantial benefits. And it will be essential for these strategies to be implemented in a manner that supports equity for vulnerable residents and the financial viability of transit agencies. These decisions should be brought into the region's consideration of a potential regional transportation funding measure, as part of a broad conversation about the goals and values for transit funding.

Thank you for your consideration,

Adina Levin Friends of Caltrain

Ian Griffiths, Policy Director Seamless Bay Area

Gwen Litvak Bay Area Council

John Ristow **Director of Transportation, City of San Jose**

Tiffany Rodriguez, Manager, Transportation Solutions, Associated Students, San Jose State University

Angie Evans Palo Alto Forward

Kelsey Banes Peninsula for Everyone

Evelyn Stivers Housing Leadership Council of San Mateo County

Sheri Bruns Executive Director, Silicon Valley Independent Living Center

Vanessa Bohm Urban Environmentalists

Debbie Toth, President & CEO Choice in Aging

Bruce England Mountain View Coalition for Sustainable Planning

Lauren Weston, Executive Director **Acterra: Action for a Healthy Planet**

Jason Baker Silicon Valley Leadership Group Jonathon Kass, Transportation Policy Manager **SPUR**

Roseanne Foust, President & CEO San Mateo County Economic Development Association (SAMCEDA)

Jordon Wing Streets for People Bay Area

Diane Bailey, Executive Director Menlo Spark

Petra Silton Thrive Alliance: The Alliance of Non-profits for San Mateo County

Riya Master External Affairs Vice President, Associated Students of the University of California

Kristina Pappas, President San Francisco League of Conservation Voters

Jack Kurzweil Wellstone Democratic Renewal Club

Jeffrey Levin, Policy Director East Bay Housing Organizations

Michael Abramson Mountain View YIMBY

Helena Chang, Advocacy Program Manager The Center for Independent Living (TheCIL)

Greg Magofña, Co-Executive **East Bay for Everyone**

Jim Baker, CEO & Founder **Xentrans**

Marco Echeandia, Director of Sustainability Associated Students of San Jose State University Kathryn Hagerman Medina, Director, Customer Success, **RideAmigos**

Debra Ballinger, Executive Director **Monument Impact**

Rorbert Feinbaum SaveMUNI

Tina Martin Mothers Out Front San Francisco

David Sorrell, TDM-CP Northern California Chapter, Association for Commuter Transportation

Russ Hancock, President & CEO **Joint Venture Silicon Valley**

Ahleli Cuenca Youth Leadership Institute

Nicole Kemeny, President **350 Silicon Valley**

Antonio Maldonado, Director of Business Affairs Associated Students of San Jose State University

Zach Drucker sf.citi

Jack Sweringen Friends of SMART

Hans Larsen, Public Works Director City of Fremont

Justine Marcus Enterprise Community Partners

Adam Thongsavat, Public Policy **Airbnb**

Michael Gliksohn, Treasurer Richmond Progressive Alliance

Marlene Santoyo Menlo Together

You don't often get email from slivingston1@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email camerirprinks from territed sourcen Dernot open attachments or click

I took the Caltrain to the Giants game on Sunday, October 3. The trains were one per hour. Because of the infrequency, everyone on the Peninsula and South Bay decided to take the one train that arrived 1 hour before the beginning of the game. It was crammed to every inch of the train, with the last three stations unable to board any passengers.

This is inexcusable, especially in a Covid pandemic with a variant such as Delta. Everyone was on top of everyone.

How could there be such poor planning to not have more trains before the game?

I would appreciate a response. Thank you.

--Steve Livingston email: <u>slivingston1@gmail.com</u> mobile: +1 408-482-8888

From:	Roland Lebrun
To:	Board (@caltrain.com)
Cc:	Baltao, Elaine [board.secretary@vta.org]; cacsecretary [@caltrain.com]
Subject:	Segment 4 signal system test results
Date:	Wednesday, October 6, 2021 1:13:57 AM
Attachments:	image001.png
	Virginia Grade Crossing timings.bmp
	Auzerais Grade Crossing timings.bmp
	Test Book CT 47.51 - Virginia Street Crossing.pdf
	Test Book CT 47.35 - Auzerais Street Crossing.pdf

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

Dear Caltrain Board,

Further to the staff response which did not include any technical information, I am compelled to inform you that the status of Segment 4 as reported in the staff presentation (slide 14 below) does not bear ANY resemblance to reality, specifically that there has been no progress on gate crossing performance at Virginia and Auzerais since January (Test Books attached):

1. Virginia

DESIGN warning time: 34 seconds

ACTUAL warning times: 36-130 seconds

4.1 WARNIN MINIMUM 20 FROM LIGHT UNTIL TRAIN	NG TIME " – ACTUAL IS IS FLASHING	4.1.6 SPEED (ACTUAL OR BLANK IF UNKNOWN)				
DESIGN	ACTUAL					
34@35mph	65	18	MPH			
34@35mph	36	17	MPH			
34@35mph	63	19	MPH			
34@35mph	36	25	MPH			
34@35mph	110	9	MPH			
34@35mph	108	11	MPH			
34@35mph	128	8	MPH			
34@35mph	130	9	MPH			

2. Auzerais

DESIGN warning time: 32 seconds

ACTUAL warning times: 50-172 seconds

4.: WARNIN MINIMUM 20 FROM LIGHT UNTIL TRAIN	NG TIME 17 – ACTUAL IS 15 FLASHING	4.1.6 SPEED (ACTUAL OR BLANK IF UNKNOWN)					
DESIGN	ACTUAL						
32@35mph	57	13	MPH				
32@35mph	51	17	MPH				
32@35mph	56	13	MPH				
32@35mph	50	16	MPH				
32@35mph	78	9	МРН				
32@35mph	149	9	MPH				
32@35mph	96	8	MPH				
32@35mph	172	8	MPH				

It is therefore unclear why staff would consider advancing the same "design" to other sections of the line.

Sincerely,

Roland Lebrun

СС

VTA Board Caltrain CAC VTA CAC

From: Wong, Shirley <WongSh@samtrans.com> Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 10:10 AM To: 'ccss@msn.com' <ccss@msn.com> Subject: PRA RE: Segment 4 signal system test results

Dear Mr. Lebrun,

Thank you for your Public Records Act request regarding the Auzerais and Virginia Grade Crossings. Staff have conducted a diligent search for records responsive to your request, and have located documents responsive to request nos. 2 through 4, which are available to you through this Dropbox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/7cefcxtkotx1ipo/AAD2i4HNTpV3-ppWXSJjOjgZa?dl=0

The JPB must be mindful of its ongoing obligation to maintain the security of its facilities and vehicles, and the safety of all those who use them. We have also located documents responsive to request no. 1, but they contain security sensitive information. Under these circumstances, we conclude that the public interest served by not disclosing security sensitive information clearly outweighs the public interest served by their disclosure. (See Government Code section 6255; *County of Santa Clara v. Superior Court* (2009) 170 Cal.App.4th 1301, 1329; *Procunier v. Superior Court* (1973) 35 Cal.App.3d 211, 212.) Accordingly these records will not be disclosed.

Thank you Shirley

From: Roland Lebrun <<u>ccss@msn.com</u>> Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 2:50:35 AM To: Board (@caltrain.com) <<u>BoardCaltrain@samtrans.com</u>> Cc: PRA <<u>PRA@samtrans.com</u>> Subject: Segment 4 signal system test results

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders

Dear Caltrain Board,

Please refer to the attached slide and provide the following information for Segment 4 Pursuant to Government Code Section 6250 et Seq.

3. Copy of 95% design

4. Complete set of test results to date

Thank you in advance for your prompt response to this request.

Roland Lebrun

Cal <u>Mod</u>

SIGNAL SYSTEM

Segment	95% Design Percent Complete	Anticipated 95% Design Complete	Installation Percent Complete	Anticipated Installation Complete	Testing Percent Complete	Anticipated Testing Complete
Segment 1	64%	03/31/2023	21%	08/31/2023	0%	09/30/2023
Segment 2	98%	07/17/2022	23%	08/01/2022	5%	12/31/2022
Segment 3	35%	12/11/2022	21%	02/28/2023	0%	03/31/2023
Segment 4	100%	Complete	92%	10/15/2021	95%	10/24/2021

Data as of August 31, 2021





									MODE	ERN RA	llW/	AY SY	/ST	EM	S				
						N	/IRS	GI	841 W/	ARNIN	G TIN		EST	DA	TA	FO	RM		
									*** 4// V	<u>CFI</u> VRITING N	R 234.2		IIF P	FN**	*				
CLIEN	IT:				CALTRAIN														
PROJ	EC	T:			CALTRAIN E	LEC	FRIFI		ON 50-48	8									
TEST	LO	САТ		l:	CT 47.51 Vir	ginia	Street	Cros	ssing										
DOT	DOT (IF APPLICABLE): 755099Y																		
UNIT	JNIT ID: UNIT ID:																		
DI	4.1.: RECT			4.1.2 TIME (24 HOUR CLOCK E.G. 21:30)	4.1.3 ROUTE/TRACK ID		4.1.4 OPROCES ANK IF N//			NG TIME 1" – ACTUAL IS IS FLASHING	4.2 SPE (ACTUAL O UNKN	ED R BLANK IF	C	ONDIT	ION LEI	T	DATE	TEST ENGINEER	WITNESS (LEAVE BLANK IF NOT AVAILABLE)
NB SI	3	W B	EB		MAIN STDBY N/A DESIGN ACTUAL C A S R Image: Control of the state of the stat														
	3			23 : 05	2			Х	34@35mph	65	18	MPH	 Image: A start of the start of				1/31/2021	SL	MM
	ו			23 : 26	2			X	34@35mph	36	17	MPH	>				1/31/2021	SL	MM
	2			23 : 44	1			X	34@35mph	63	19	MPH					1/31/2021	SL	Mul
	ב			23 : 59	1			X	34@35mph	36	25	MPH					1/31/2021	SL	MM
	2			00 : 15	1			X	34@35mph	110	9	MPH					2/1/2021	SL	MM
	ו			00 : 30	2			X	34@35mph	108	11	MPH					2/1/2021	SL	MM
	3			00 : 44	2			X	34@35mph	128	8	MPH					2/1/2021	SL	MM
	ב			00 : 58	1			X	34@35mph	130	9	MPH	>				2/1/2021	SL	MM
COND									the Gimil					1			de cience d. MD4	-	
			-	MENT PASSES TEST REQU		MENTS		Do	το Civi	L speed	restri	ction	unar	ote i	co re	acn	designed MAS	5	
				INT TEST COMPLETE EQUI			TS												
R - REPA	IR OF	R REPL	ACEMI	ENT REQUIRED – DISCREP	ANCY FORM REQUIRED														
									TES			ERIFICA				1	1	\square	
MRS R Sal L			NTAT	IVE:				DATE: 2/1/2					SIGN	IATUR				h	
withi Ned		ED (L	EAVE	BLANK IF NOT AV	AILABLE):			DATE: 2/1/2					SIGN	IATUR				TE:	_



									MODE	RN RA	ILWA	Y SY	γst	EM	S				
						Ν	/IRS	GI 8	841 W <i>i</i>	ARNIN	G TIN	IE TI	EST	DA	ΛTA	FO	RM		
											<u>R 234.25</u>								
									***ALL V	VRITING N	IUST BE	IN BL	UE P	EN **	*				
CLIEN					CALTRAIN														
PROJ					CALTRAIN E					8									
	TEST LOCATION: CT 47.51 Virginia Street Crossing DOT (JE APPLICABLE): 755099Y																		
	DOT (IF APPLICABLE): 755099Y																		
UNIT	UNIT ID:																		
	4.1.1 ECTIC			4.1.2 TIME (24 HOUR CLOCK E.G. 21:30)	4.1.3 ROUTE/TRACK ID		4.1.4 OPROCESS ANK IF N/#		4.1 WARNIN MINIMUM 20 FROM LIGHT UNTIL TRAIN	NG TIME " – ACTUAL IS TS FLASHING	4.1.6 SPEE (ACTUAL OR UNKNO)	D BLANK IF	C	ONDIT	ION LEI	Ŧ	DATE	TEST ENGINEER	WITNESS (LEAVE BLANK IF NOT AVAILABLE)
NB SB	V	W B	EB			MAIN	STDBY	N/A	DESIGN	ACTUAL			С	Α	S	R			
				11 : 03	MT 2-1 17R X 34@35mph 56 18 MPH												1/31/2021	СН	
				11 : 03	MT 1-2 1R			X	34@35mph	43	20	MPH	 Image: A start of the start of				1/31/2021	СН	
				11 : 03	MT2-1 17R			Х	34@35mph	62	14	MPH	 Image: A start of the start of				1/31/2021	СН	
				11 : 03	MT 1-2 1R			X	34@35mph	31	30	MPH	<				1/31/2021	СН	
				:								MPH							
				:								MPH							
				:								МРН							
				:								MPH							
CONDI	TION	N LEF	-T		<u> </u>								-						
C - TEST C	ΟΜΡΙ	LETE	EQUIP	MENT PASSES TEST REQU	JIREMENTS		I	o to	Civil a	speed re	stricti	on u	nable	e to	read	ch d	esigned MAS		
					NT PASSES TEST REQUIRE		тс												
				NT REQUIRED – DISCREP.	IPMENT PASSES TEST REQ	UIREIVIEN	13												
									TES			RIFICA							
MRS R	PRE	ESEN	ITAT	IVE:				DATE:						ATUR	E: 🦯	0		/ ~	
Colby	' Pł	hilli	ps					2/17/	2021						$\langle \langle$	Y	'AAL	my	
WITNE	SSEC	D (LE	AVE	BLANK IF NOT AV	AILABLE):			DATE:					SIGN	IATUR	E (LEA	E BLA	NK IF NOT AVAILAE	BLE):	



										MOD	ERN RA	AILW/	AY S'	YST	EM	S				
							Ν	/IRS	GI	841 W	ARNIN	G TIN	ME T	EST	D٨	TA	FO	RM		
												R 234.2								
						1				***ALL \	NRITING I	NUST B	E IN BL	UE P	'EN **	*				
CLI		-				CALTRAIN														
PRO						CALTRAIN					8									
TES	T LC	DCA	TION	l:		CT 47.35 AL	zerais	s Stree	et Cr	ossing										
DO	DOT (IF APPLICABLE): 755097K INIT ID: 053																			
UN	JNIT ID: N/A																			
		4.1.1 4.1.2 RECTION 4.1.2 4.1.3 4.1.4 4.1.4 MICROPROCESSOR (BLANK IF N/A) 4.1.5 4.1.5 4.1.6 MINIMUM 20" – ACTUAL IS FROM LIGHTS FLASHING (ACTUAL OR BLANK IF UNKNOWN) (ACTUAL OR BLANK IF UNKNOWN) (ACTUAL OR BLANK IF UNKNOWN)											C	ONDIT	ION LE	FT	DATE	TEST ENGINEER	WITNESS (LEAVE BLANK IF NOT AVAILABLE)	
NB	SB	W B	EB			MAIN STDBY N/A DESIGN ACTUAL C A S R														
				23	:04	MT2			Х	32@35mph		13	MPH					01/31/2021	52	MM
				23	: 26	MT2			Х	32@35mph		17	MPH					01/31/2021	Sh	MM
				23	: 42	MT1			Х	32@35mph	56	13	MPH					01/31/2021	Sn	MM
				23	: <mark>58</mark>	MT1			X	32@35mph	50	16	MPH					01/31/2021	Sm	MM
				00	:15	MT1			Х	32@35mph	78	9	MPH					02/01/2021	SN	MM
				00	: 30	MT2			Х	32@35mph	149	9	MPH					02/01/2021	Su	MM
				00	:42	MT2			Х	32@35mph	96	8	MPH	Image: A start of the start				02/01/2021	m	MM
				00	: <mark>58</mark>	MT1			X	32@35mph	172	8	MPH	 Image: A start of the start of				02/01/2021	In	Mun
		ON LI					-													-
-					ASSES TEST REQU	JIREMENTS	MENTS	Ľ	o to	5 CIVIL S	speed re	strict	10n ur	able	to	read	ch d	esigned MAS		
						IPMENT PASSES TEST RECORD		TS												
R - RE	PAIR O	DR REP	LACEM	ENT REQU	JIRED – DISCREF	ANCY FORM REQUIRED														
										TES	ST COMPL		'ERIFICA							
MRS S. V			INTAT	IVE:					DATE 2/1/2	•					IATUR		5	im	_	
wit Neo			LEAVE	BLAN	K IF NOT AV	AILABLE):			DATE 2/1/2					SIGN	IATUR	E (LEA)	VE BLA	INK IF NOT AVAILAB	IE): hli	



									MODE	RN RA	AILW/	AY S'	YST	EM	S								
						Ν	/IRS	GI	841 W/				EST	DA	ΛTA	FO	RM						
									*** 4 11 V	<u>CF</u> VRITING I	R 234.2			FN**	*								
CLIEN	IT:				CALTRAIN																		
PROJ	ЕСТ	Г:			CALTRAIN E	LEC	TRIFI	CATI	ON 50-48	8													
TEST	LO	САТ	ION	:	CT 47.35 Au	zerais	s Stree	et Cr	ossing														
DOT	OT (IF APPLICABLE): 755097K																						
UNIT	NIT ID: 053 UNIT ID: N/A																						
DI	4.1.1 RECTI			4.1.2 TIME (24 HOUR CLOCK E.G. 21:30)	4.1.3 ROUTE/TRACK ID		4.1.4 ROPROCES ANK IF N//		4.1 WARNIN MINIMUM 20 FROM LIGHT UNTIL TRAIN	NG TIME " – ACTUAL IS "S FLASHING	4.: SPE (ACTUAL O UNKN	R BLANK IF	C	ONDIT	ION LEI	- -T	DATE	TEST ENGINEER	WITNESS (LEAVE BLANK IF NOT AVAILABLE)				
NB SI		W B	EB			MAIN	STDBY	N/A	DESIGN	ACTUAL			С	Α	S	R							
]			11 :03	MT1-2 1R			X	32@35mph	40	15	MPH					01/31/2021	Don Carlson					
	3			11 :03	MT2-1 17R			X	32@35mph	57	14	MPH					01/31/2021	Don Carlson					
	וו			11 :03	MT1-2 1R			X	32@35mph	48	20	MPH					01/31/2021	Don Carlson					
	3			11 :03	MT2-1 17R			X	32@35mph	35*	14	MPH					01/31/2021	Don Carlson					
	וו			:								MPH											
	וו			:								MPH											
]			:								МРН											
]			:								MPH											
COND	TIOI	N LEI	FT		-																		
				MENT PASSES TEST REQU										to	reach	ı des	signed MAS						
					NT PASSES TEST REQUIRE		* TS	gat	es recov	ered th	en res	carteo	<u> </u>										
				INT REQUIRED – DISCREP.			15																
									TES			ERIFICA		1									
MRS R	EPR	ESEN	TAT	IVE:				DATE						ATUR	E:	11							
Colb	/ P	hilli	ps					2/17/	2021						5/	FΙ	phin	7					
WITN	SSE	D (LI	EAVE	BLANK IF NOT AV	AILABLE):			DATE					SIGN	IATUR	E (LEA)	/E BLA	NK IF NOT AVAILAB	BLE)					