

## Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project Delivery Quarterly Update

LPMG February 26, 2015



#### Design Build Electrification RFP

- Review
  - Prequalified firms
  - SFMTA, VTA, CAHSR, SFCTA, MTC
- February
  - RFP complete (includes comments round I)
  - JPB action to release RFP to 6 prequalified firms
  - March April
    - Develop PLA
    - Comments round II complete / issue amendments
- Anticipate contract award in fall 2015



#### **EMU RFP**

- Current
  - Technical analysis with CHSRA on compatible boarding heights
  - Monthly update of progress to funding partners
  - Technical feasibility with vehicle manufacturers
- JPB action July to release RFP
- Anticipate contract award in winter 2015/2016



#### Vehicle Compatibility Analysis

- December 2014
  - Key criteria analysis
  - Fatal flaw analysis
- January February 2015
  - Trade off assessment
- March May 2015
  - Policy discussion / decisions
  - Updated funding commitment



#### **EMU Outreach Phase I**

- Public input on capacity
  - Focus: seats/standees, bathrooms, and bikes onboard
  - 4,196 survey responses
  - 1,200+ individual comments
- Public priority and use combine with technical/operational considerations



#### **Survey Methodology**

- "Opt-in" Survey
  - Not statistically valid
  - Highlight interests
- Input received Sept. 5 to Oct. 17
- Available in Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese
- Outreach
  - In-person (tabling at stations), onboard, VMS messages
  - Social media, news release, e-newsletter, email, website
  - Meetings elected officials, advisory, advocacy groups
  - Dissemination by various partner agencies and organizations to their membership



## **Survey: Participant Overview**

Description	EMU Survey	
Time Riding Caltrain	45% more than 4 years	
Trip Purpose	73% work; 19% social	
Type trip	94% round trip	
Access to Car	83% yes	
Gender	68% male	
Age	38% between 25 – 34 years	
Income (household)	36% income \$100,000 – \$199,999	



#### **Survey: Station Access / Egress**

Description	EMU Survey	
Top Origin Stations	SF; San Jose; Mountain View; Hillsdale; Palo Alto	
Top Destination Stations	SF; Palo Alto; Mountain View; San Jose	
Distance (Origin to Station)	53% from 1 – 5 miles; 33% up to 1 mile	
Distance (Station to Destination)	56% from 1 – 5 miles; 33% up to 1 mile	
Access Mode (Origin to Station)	29% bike; 27% walk; 26% car; 10% transit	
Departure Mode (Station to Destination)	38% walk; 27% bike; 16% shuttle; 13% transit	



#### Survey: Seats / Standee Related

Description	EMU Survey
Average Trip Onboard Caltrain (Time)	28% from 31 – 45 min; 26% from 46 – 60 min
Seat Availability (Destination trip)	64% always; 17% standing up to 10 min; 7% standing more than 20 min
Seat Availability (Return trip)	57% always; 19% standing up to 10 min; 8% standing up more than 20 min



## Survey: Bike Related

Description	EMU Survey	
Brought bike onboard	44%	
Bumped in last year	46% never; 13% once; 30% twice – 12 times	
Would a staffed bike facility be an alternative to bringing a bike onboard?	52% yes	
Are additional bike lockers an option for use?	49% yes	
Would bike sharing be an alternative to bringing a bike on board?	39% yes	
Could the addition of shuttles provide an alternative to bringing a bike on board?	47% yes	



## Survey: Bathroom Related

Description	EMU Survey
Use of bathroom	53% yes
How often utilized	2% never
	23% once a year
	60% twice – 12 times
	13% multiple times per month
	3% multiple times per week



## **Summary Results**

Prioritize what is most important to your riding experience (weighted average from ranking scale of 1 to 5)			
Seating	4.5		
Standing Room / Leaning Area	3.26		
Bike Storage	3.11		
Bathroom	2.18		
Luggage Storage	1.95		



## **Level of Importance**

Rate on a sliding scale the importance of these features				
Feature	Very Important	Unimportant		
Increase seating capacity	56%	2%		
Increase onboard bike capacity	38%	10%		
Increase standing capacity	22%	5%		
Increase bike storage at stations	22%	13%		
Include bathroom onboard	17%	14%		
Increase bike sharing kiosks at stations	16%	17%		
Increase luggage storage	3%	24%		



#### Technical/Operational Considerations Seats / Standees

- Current provision
  - Bi-level
  - 2 / 2 configuration
  - 620 670 seats
  - Standee space limited
- Seating important (20+ mile average trip)
- Circulations space for conductor
- ADA compliance for space and accessibility
- Seat pitch between rows
- Aisle widths



#### Technical / Operational Considerations Bikes on Trains

- Current provision
  - 48 to 80 bikes per train (5 trains / peak hour)
  - 1 bike and customer take up 2 seats
  - Two bike cars per train
  - Bike bumps occurring
  - Wayside bike parking facilities improvement opportunities
- Dedicated bike cars more efficient and safer than bikes onboard throughout train
- Additional bike cars may require crew changes driving operational costs



# Technical / Operational Considerations Bathroom

- Current provision
  - Portion of fleet have 2 to 5 bathrooms per train
  - Not all ADA compliant
  - 2 terminal stations have bathroom
- Multiple configurations available
- 1 ADA compliant bathroom equals 8 seats
- Additional utility during delays
- O/M implications of 2 versus 6 bathrooms



#### **Next Steps**

- Outreach Phase I
  - Survey complete (report at <u>www.caltrain.com/emu</u>)
  - February March public discussion
  - April staff recommendation (seats / bikes / bathrooms)
  - Inform vehicle RFP
- Outreach Phase II (after Vehicle Contract Award)
  - Interior configuration / design seating, standee, bikes
  - Interior style and colors
  - Exterior appearance



#### **Questions**