Dear Chair Mandelman and Commissioners,

While I appreciate the TJPA's consideration of phasing multiple aspects of the project as currently proposed, there has been no progress in the last 20 years addressing the following issues:

- Lack of a plausible connection with the next Transbay crossing (LINK21)
- Lack of a plausible connection with the existing Caltrain tracks at 16th Street
- Lack of a plausible connection with BART and/or MUNI light rail
- Lack of a plausible solution addressing the loss of 50% of the existing train box capacity to the 2nd Street curve and the commensurate loss in potential future Transbay capacity caused by making it impossible to accommodate full-length (1,400-foot-long) high speed trains across the Bay
- Lack of a plausible solution eliminating a gigantic crater on 2nd Street and the resulting impacts on adjacent buildings
- Lack of a plausible solution that would make it possible for Caltrain to vacate the 4th & King railyard

I therefore believe that now is the last and final call for revisiting the 7th Street alignment to address the above issues as follows:

- Advancing a design connecting the Transit Center to the Embarcadero seawall without ANY condemnations
- Restoration of the full 1,500-foot train box capacity without the addition of a $400M train box extension
- Advancing a design that fully integrates the PAX as an extension of the DTX and eliminates any significant surface impacts north of Townsend Street
- Advancing a 7th Street/UCSF station concept that integrates Caltrain, High Speed Rail, Capitol Corridor and BART connections in a single structure connected via light rail (N & T extensions) to the Arena, the Ballpark, Central SOMA and Chinatown
- Advancing a phased design for the 7th Street station passing tracks (total 4 tracks) to eliminate the need for a third track between Townsend and the Transit Center

Given that a study of the above solutions would be within the City's (not the TJPA's) purview, please consider issuing a change order to the existing PAX initiation contract with a commensurate increase in contract capacity (currently $1M).
Dear VTA and Caltrain Boards,

Further to President Biden’s Executive Order 13998, please be aware of the CDC’s updated mask requirements on public transit and ensure that your respective staffs are in FULL compliance.

Thank You

Roland Lebrun

CC

VTA CAC
Caltrain CAC

Yesterday, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued an Order imposing a mask requirement applicable to public transportation systems, rail, and van, bus and motorcoach service providers to mitigate the risk of COVID-19. The CDC Order implements President Biden’s Executive Order 13998, Promoting COVID-19 Safety in Domestic and International Travel, “to save lives and allow all Americans, including the millions of people employed in the transportation industry, to travel and work safely.” Science-based measures are critical to preventing the spread of COVID-19. Mask-wearing is one of several proven life-saving measures including physical distancing, appropriate ventilation and timely testing that can reduce the transmission of COVID-19. Requiring masks will protect America’s transportation workers and passengers, help control the transmission of COVID-19, and aid in re-opening America’s economy.

In addition to the CDC order, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) anticipates issuing additional information and guidance on this topic.

The U.S. Department of Transportation has posted Frequently Asked Questions online and will continue to add to this site with additional information in the coming days. The Department will be scheduling stakeholder calls beginning the week of February 1, 2021.

Please share the mask mandate information with colleagues and send questions to: TransitMaskUp@dot.gov

Links
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

ORDER UNDER SECTION 361
OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE ACT (42 U.S.C. 264)
AND 42 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS 70.2, 71.31(b), 71.32(b)

REQUIREMENT FOR PERSONS TO WEAR MASKS
WHILE ON CONVEYANCES AND AT TRANSPORTATION HUBS

SUMMARY:

Notice and Order; and subject to the limitations under “Applicability,” pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 264(a) and 42 CFR 70.2, 71.31(b), and 71.32(b):

(1) Persons\(^1\) must wear\(^2\) masks over the mouth and nose when traveling on conveyances into and within the United States. Persons must also wear masks at transportation hubs as defined in this Order.

(2) A conveyance operator transporting persons into and within the United States\(^3\) must require all persons onboard to wear masks for the duration of travel.

(3) A conveyance operators operating a conveyance arriving at or departing from a U.S. port of entry must require all persons on board to wear masks for the duration of travel as a condition of controlled free pratique.\(^4\)

(4) Conveyance operators must use best efforts to ensure that any person on the conveyance wears a mask when boarding, disembarking, and for the duration of travel. Best efforts include:

- boarding only those persons who wear masks;
- instructing persons that Federal law requires wearing a mask on the conveyance and failure to comply constitutes a violation of Federal law;
- monitoring persons onboard the conveyance for anyone who is not wearing a mask and seeking compliance from such persons;
- at the earliest opportunity, disembarking any person who refuses to comply; and
- providing persons with prominent and adequate notice to facilitate awareness and compliance of the requirement of this Order to wear a mask; best practices may include, if feasible, advance notifications on digital platforms, such as on apps, websites, or email;

---

1 As used in this Order, “persons” includes travelers (i.e., passengers and crew), conveyance operators, and any workers or service providers in the transportation hub.
2 To “wear a mask” means to wear a mask over the nose and mouth.
3 This includes international, interstate, or intrastate waterways, subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.
4 As a condition of this controlled free pratique to commence or continue operations in the United States, conveyance operators must additionally require all persons to wear masks on board conveyances departing from the United States and for the duration of their travel until the conveyance arrives at the foreign destination if at any time any of the persons on the conveyance (passengers, crew, or conveyance operators) will return to the United States while this Order remains in effect. This precaution must be followed regardless of scheduled itinerary.
posted signage in multiple languages with illustrations; printing the requirement on transit tickets; or other methods as appropriate.

(5) Operators of transportation hubs must use best efforts to ensure that any person entering or on the premises of the transportation hub wears a mask. Best efforts include:

- allowing entry only to those persons who wear masks;
- instructing persons that Federal law requires wearing a mask in the transportation hub and failure to comply constitutes a violation of Federal law;
- monitoring persons on the premises of the transportation hub for anyone who is not wearing a mask and seeking compliance from such persons;
- at the earliest opportunity, removing any person who refuses to comply from the premises of the transportation hub; and
- providing persons with prominent and adequate notice to facilitate awareness and compliance with the requirement of this Order to wear a mask; best practices may include, if feasible, advance notifications on digital platforms, such as on apps, websites, or email; posted signage in multiple languages with illustrations; printing the requirement on transit tickets; or other methods as appropriate.

DEFINITIONS:

Controlled free pratique shall have the same definition as under 42 CFR 71.1, meaning “permission for a carrier to enter a U.S. port, disembark, and begin operation under certain stipulated conditions.”

Conveyance shall have the same definition as under 42 CFR 70.1, meaning “an aircraft, train, road vehicle, vessel . . . or other means of transport, including military.” Included in the definition of “conveyance” is the term “carrier” which under 42 CFR 71.1 has the same definition as conveyance under 42 CFR 70.1.

Conveyance operator means an individual operating a conveyance and an individual or organization causing or authorizing the operation of a conveyance.

Mask means a material covering the nose and mouth of the wearer, excluding face shields. 6

Interstate traffic shall have the same definition as under 42 CFR 70.1, meaning

---

5 This includes rideshares meaning arrangements where passengers travel in a privately owned road vehicle driven by its owner in connection with a fee or service.
6 A properly worn mask completely covers the nose and mouth of the wearer. A mask should be secured to the head, including with ties or ear loops. A mask should fit snugly but comfortably against the side of the face. Masks do not include face shields. Masks can be either manufactured or homemade and should be a solid piece of material without slits, exhalation valves, or punctures. Medical masks and N-95 respirators fulfill the requirements of this Order. CDC guidance for attributes of acceptable masks in the context of this Order is available at: https://www.cdc.gov/quarantine/masks/mask-travel-guidance.html
“(1):

(i) The movement of any conveyance or the transportation of persons or property, including any portion of such movement or transportation that is entirely within a state or possession—

(ii) From a point of origin in any state or possession to a point of destination in any other state or possession; or

(iii) Between a point of origin and a point of destination in the same state or possession but through any other state, possession, or contiguous foreign country.

(2) Interstate traffic does not include the following:

(i) The movement of any conveyance which is solely for the purpose of unloading persons or property transported from a foreign country or loading persons or property for transportation to a foreign country.

(ii) The movement of any conveyance which is solely for the purpose of effecting its repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or storage.”

Intrastate traffic means the movement of any conveyance or the transportation or movement of persons occurring solely within the boundaries of a state or territory, or on tribal land.

Possession shall have the same definition as under 42 CFR 70.1 and 71.1, meaning a “U.S. territory.”

State shall have the same definition as under 42 CFR 70.1, meaning “any of the 50 states, plus the District of Columbia.”

Territory shall have the same definition as “U.S. territory” under 42 CFR 70.1 and 71.1, meaning “any territory (also known as possessions) of the United States, including American Samoa, Guam, the [Commonwealth of the] Northern Mariana Islands, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.”

Transportation hub means any airport, bus terminal, marina, seaport or other port, subway station, terminal (including any fixed facility at which passengers are picked-up or discharged), train station, U.S. port of entry, or any other location that provides transportation subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

Transportation hub operator means an individual operating a transportation hub and an individual or organization causing or authorizing the operation of a transportation hub.

U.S. port shall have the same definition as under 42 CFR 71.1, meaning any “seaport, airport, or border crossing point under the control of the United States.”

STATEMENT OF INTENT:
This Order shall be interpreted and implemented in a manner as to achieve the following objectives:

- Preservation of human life;
- Maintaining a safe and secure operating transportation system;
- Mitigating the further introduction, transmission, and spread of COVID-19 into the United States and from one state or territory into any other state or territory; and
- Supporting response efforts to COVID-19 at the Federal, state, local, territorial, and tribal levels.

APPLICABILITY:

This Order shall not apply within any state, locality, territory, or area under the jurisdiction of a Tribe that (1) requires a person to wear a mask on conveyances; (2) requires a person to wear a mask at transportation hubs; and (3) requires conveyances to transport only persons wearing masks. Such requirements must provide the same level of public health protection as — or greater protection than — the requirements listed herein.

In addition, the requirement to wear a mask shall not apply under the following circumstances:

- While eating, drinking, or taking medication, for brief periods;
- While communicating with a person who is hearing impaired when the ability to see the mouth is essential for communication;
- If, on an aircraft, wearing of oxygen masks is needed because of loss of cabin pressure or other event affecting aircraft ventilation;
- If unconscious (for reasons other than sleeping), incapacitated, unable to be awakened, or otherwise unable to remove the mask without assistance;\(^7\) or
- When necessary to temporarily remove the mask to verify one’s identity such as during Transportation Security Administration screening or when asked to do so by the ticket or gate agent or any law enforcement official.

This Order exempts the following categories of persons:\(^8\)

---

\(^7\) Persons who are experiencing difficulty breathing or shortness of breath or are feeling winded may remove the mask temporarily until able to resume normal breathing with the mask. Persons who are vomiting should remove the mask until vomiting ceases. Persons with acute illness may remove the mask if it interferes with necessary medical care such as supplemental oxygen administered via an oxygen mask.

\(^8\) Operators of conveyances or transportation hubs may impose requirements, or conditions for carriage, on persons requesting an exemption from the requirement to wear a mask, including medical consultation by a third party, medical documentation by a licensed medical provider, and/or other information as determined by the operator, as well as require evidence that the person does not have COVID-19 such as a negative result from a SARS-CoV-2 viral test or documentation of recovery from COVID-19. CDC definitions for SARS-CoV-2 viral test and documentation of recovery are available in the Frequently Asked Questions at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/travelers/testing-international-air-travelers.html. Operators may also impose additional protective measures that improve the ability of a person eligible for exemption to maintain social distance (separation from others by 6 feet), such as scheduling travel at less crowded times or on less crowded conveyances, or seating or otherwise situating the individual in a less crowded section of the conveyance or transportation hub. Operators may further require that persons seeking exemption from the requirement to wear a mask request an accommodation in advance.
• A child under the age of 2 years;
• A person with a disability who cannot wear a mask, or cannot safely wear a mask, be-
cause of the disability as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C.
12101 et seq.).
• A person for whom wearing a mask would create a risk to workplace health, safety, or
job duty as determined by the relevant workplace safety guidelines or federal regulations.

This Order exempts the following categories of conveyances, including persons on board such
conveyances:
• Private conveyances operated solely for personal, non-commercial use;
• Commercial motor vehicles or trucks as these terms are defined in 49 CFR 390.5, if the
driver is the sole occupant of the vehicle or truck;
• Conveyances operated or chartered by the U.S. military services provided that such con-
voyance operators observe Department of Defense precautions to prevent the transmis-
sion of COVID-19 that are equivalent to the precautions in this Order.

This Order applies to persons on conveyances and at transportation hubs directly operated by
U.S. state, local, territorial, or tribal government authorities, as well as the operators them-
selves. U.S. state, local, territorial, or tribal government authorities directly operating convey-
ances and transportation hubs may be subject to additional federal authorities or actions, and are
encouraged to implement additional measures enforcing the provisions of this Order regarding
persons traveling onboard conveyances and at transportation hubs operated by these government
entities.

To the extent permitted by law, and consistent with President Biden’s Executive Order of Janu-
ary 21, 2021 (Promoting COVID-19 Safety in Domestic and International Travel),10 Federal
agencies are required to implement additional measures enforcing the provisions of this Order.

BACKGROUND:

There is currently a pandemic of respiratory disease (coronavirus disease 2019 or “COVID-
19”) caused by a novel coronavirus (SARS-COV-2). As of January 27, 2021, there have been
99,638,507 confirmed cases of COVID-19 globally, resulting in more than 2,141,000 deaths.
As of January 27, 2021, there have been over 25,000,000 cases identified in the United States
and over 415,000 deaths due to the disease. New SARS-CoV-2 variants have emerged in re-
cent weeks, including at least one with evidence of increased transmissibility.11

The virus that causes COVID-19 spreads very easily and sustainably between people who are
in close contact with one another (within about 6 feet) mainly through respiratory droplets

---

9 This is a narrow exception that includes a person with a disability who cannot wear a mask for reasons related to
the disability. CDC will issue additional guidance regarding persons who cannot wear a mask under this exemption.
10 https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/01/21/executive-order-promoting-covid-19-
safety-in-domestic-and-international-travel/
produced when an infected person coughs, sneezes, or talks. These droplets can land in the mouths, eyes, or noses of people who are nearby and possibly be inhaled into the lungs. Infected people without symptoms (asymptomatic) and those in whom symptoms have not yet developed (pre-symptomatic) can also spread the virus. In general, the more closely an infected person interacts with others and the longer those interactions, the higher the risk of COVID-19 spread. COVID-19 may be transmitted by touching surfaces or objects that have the virus on them and then touching one’s own or another person’s eyes, nose, or mouth.

Masks help prevent people who have COVID-19, including those who are pre-symptomatic or asymptomatic, from spreading the virus to others. Masks are primarily intended to reduce the emission of virus-laden droplets, i.e., they act as source control by blocking exhaled virus. This is especially relevant for asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic infected wearers who feel well and may be unaware of their infectiousness to others, and who are estimated to account for more than 50% of transmissions. Masks also provide personal protection to the wearer by reducing inhalation of these droplets, i.e., they reduce wearers’ exposure through filtration. The community benefit of wearing masks for SARS-CoV-2 control is due to the combination of these effects; individual prevention benefit increases with increasing numbers of people using masks consistently and correctly.

 Appropriately worn masks reduce the spread of COVID-19—particularly given the evidence of pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19. Seven studies have confirmed the benefit of universal masking in community level analyses: in a unified hospital system, a German city, a U.S. State, a panel of 15 U.S. States and Washington, D.C., as

---

well as both Canada\textsuperscript{22} and the United States\textsuperscript{23} nationally. Each analysis demonstrated that, following directives from organizational and political leadership for universal masking, new infections fell significantly. Two of these studies\textsuperscript{24,25} and an additional analysis of data from 200 countries that included localities within the United States\textsuperscript{26} also demonstrated reductions in mortality. An economic analysis using U.S. data found that, given these effects, increasing universal masking by 15\% could prevent the need for lockdowns and reduce associated losses of up to $1$ trillion or about 5\% of gross domestic product.\textsuperscript{27}

Wearing a mask especially helps protect those at increased risk of severe illness from COVID-1\textsuperscript{9} workers and others who frequently come into close contact with other people (e.g., at transportation hubs). Masks are most likely to reduce the spread of COVID-19 when they are widely used by people in public settings. Using masks along with other preventive measures, including social distancing, frequent handwashing, and cleaning and disinfecting frequently touched surfaces, is one of the most effective strategies available for reducing COVID-19 transmission.

Traveling on multi-person conveyances increases a person’s risk of getting and spreading COVID-19 by bringing persons in close contact with others, often for prolonged periods, and exposing them to frequently touched surfaces. Air travel often requires spending time in security lines and crowded airport terminals. Social distancing may be difficult if not impossible on flights. People may not be able to distance themselves by the recommended 6 feet from individuals seated nearby or those standing in or passing through the aircraft’s aisles. Travel by bus, train, vessel, and other conveyances used for international, interstate, or intrastate transportation pose similar challenges.

Intrastate transmission of the virus has led to—and continues to lead to—interstate and international spread of the virus, particularly on public conveyances and in travel hubs, where passengers who may themselves be traveling only within their state or territory commonly interact with others traveling between states or territories or internationally. Some states, territories, Tribes,
and local public health authorities have imposed mask-wearing requirements within their jurisdictional boundaries to protect public health. Any state or territory without sufficient mask-wearing requirements for transportation systems within its jurisdiction has not taken adequate measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19 from such state or territory to any other state or territory. That determination is based on, *inter alia*, the rapid and continuing transmission of the virus across all states and territories and across most of the world. Furthermore, given how interconnected most transportation systems are across the nation and the world, local transmission can grow even more quickly into interstate and international transmission when infected persons travel on non-personal conveyances without wearing a mask and with others who are not wearing masks.

Therefore, I have determined that the mask-wearing requirements in this Order are reasonably necessary to prevent the further introduction, transmission, or spread of COVID-19 into the United States and among the states and territories. Individuals traveling into or departing from the United States, traveling interstate, or traveling entirely intrastate, conveyance operators that transport such individuals, and transportation hub operators that facilitate such transportation, must comply with the mask-wearing requirements set forth in this Order.

America’s transportation systems are essential. Not only are they essential for public health, they are also essential for America’s economy and other bedrocks of American life. Those transportation systems carry life-saving medical supplies and medical providers into and across the nation to our hospitals, nursing homes, and physicians’ offices. Trains, planes, ships, and automobiles bring food and other essentials to our communities and to our homes. Buses bring America’s children and teachers to school. Buses, trains, and subways, bring America’s workforce to their jobs.

Requiring masks on our transportation systems will protect Americans and provide confidence that we can once again travel safely even during this pandemic. Therefore, requiring masks will help us control this pandemic and aid in re-opening America’s economy.

The United States and countries around the world are currently embarking on efforts to vaccinate their populations, starting with healthcare personnel and other essential workers at increased risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and people at increased risk for severe illness from the virus. While vaccines are highly effective at preventing severe or symptomatic COVID-19, at this time there is limited information on how much the available COVID-19 vaccines may reduce transmission in the general population and how long protection lasts. Therefore, this mask requirement, as well as CDC recommendations to prevent spread of COVID-19, additionally apply to vaccinated persons. Similarly, CDC recommends that people who have

---

29 Based on internet sources, 37 states plus D.C. and Puerto Rico mandate the wearing of masks in public. Among the jurisdictions that have imposed mask mandates, variations in requirements exist. For example, exemptions for children range in cutoff age from 2 to 12, but masks are generally required in indoor public spaces such as restaurants and stores, on public transit and ride-hailing services, and outdoors when unable to maintain 6 feet of distance from others. See https://www.aarp.org/health/healthy-living/info-2020/states-mask-mandates-coronavirus.html (accessed January 28, 2021).

30 https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/info-by-product/clinical-considerations.html

recovered from COVID-19 continue to take precautions to protect themselves and others, including wearing masks; therefore, this mask requirement also applies to people who have recovered from COVID-19.

**ACTION:**

Until further notice, under 42 U.S.C. 264(a) and 42 CFR 70.2, 71.31(b), and 71.32(b), unless excluded or exempted as set forth in this Order, a person must wear a mask while boarding, disembarking, and traveling on any conveyance into or within the United States. A person must also wear a mask at any transportation hub that provides transportation within the United States.

Conveyance operators traveling into or within the United States may transport only persons wearing masks and must use best efforts to ensure that masks are worn when embarking, disembarking, and throughout the duration of travel. Operators of transportation hubs must use best efforts to ensure that any person entering or on the premises of the transportation hub wears a mask.

As a condition of receiving controlled free pratique under 42 CFR 71.31(b) to enter a U.S. port, disembark passengers, and begin operations at any U.S. port of entry, conveyances arriving into the United States must require persons to wear masks while boarding, disembarking, and for the duration of travel. Conveyance operators must also require all persons to wear masks while boarding and for the duration of their travel on board conveyances departing from the United States until the conveyance arrives at the foreign destination, if at any time any of the persons onboard (passengers, crew, or conveyance operators) will return to the United States while this Order remains in effect. These travel conditions are necessary to mitigate the harm of further introduction of COVID-19 into the United States.

Requiring a properly worn mask is a reasonable and necessary measure to prevent the introduction, transmission and spread of COVID-19 into the United States and among the states and territories under 42 U.S.C. 264(a) and 42 CFR 71.32(b). Among other benefits, masks help prevent dispersal of an infected person’s respiratory droplets that carry the virus. That precaution helps prevent droplets from landing in the eye, mouth, or nose or possibly being inhaled into the lungs of an uninfected person, or from landing on a surface or object that an uninfected person may then touch and then touch his or her own or another’s eyes, nose, or mouth. Masks also provide some protection to the wearer by helping reduce inhalation of respiratory droplets.

This Order shall not apply within any state, locality, territory, or area under the jurisdiction of a Tribe, where the controlling governmental authority: (1) requires a person to wear a mask on conveyances; (2) requires a person to wear a mask at transportation hubs; and (3) requires conveyances to transport only persons wearing masks. Those requirements must provide the same level of public health protection as—or greater protection than—the requirements listed herein.

In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 264(e), state, local, territorial, and tribal authorities may impose additional requirements that provide greater public health protection and are more restrictive than

---

the requirements in this Order. Consistent with other federal, state, or local legal requirements, this Order does not preclude operators of conveyances or transportation hubs from imposing additional requirements, or conditions for carriage, that provide greater public health protection and are more restrictive than the requirements in this Order (e.g., requiring a negative result from a SARS-CoV-2 viral test or documentation of recovery from COVID-19 or imposing requirements for social distancing or other recommended protective measures).

This Order is not a rule within the meaning of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") but rather is an emergency action taken under the existing authority of 42 U.S.C. 264(a) and 42 CFR 70.2, 71.31(b), 71.32(b). In the event that a court determines this Order qualifies as a rule under the APA, notice and comment and a delay in effective date are not required because there is good cause to dispense with prior public notice and comment and the opportunity to comment on this Order and the delay in effective date. Considering the public health emergency caused by COVID-19, it would be impracticable and contrary to the public's health, and by extension the public's interest, to delay the issuance and effective date of this Order. Similarly, the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined that if this Order were a rule, it would be a major rule under the Congressional Review Act, but there would not be a delay in its effective date as the agency has determined that there would be good cause to make the requirements herein effective immediately under the APA.

This order is also an economically significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866 and has therefore been reviewed by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the Office of Management and Budget. The agency is proceeding without the complete analysis required by Executive Order 12866 under the emergency provisions of 6(a)(3)(D) of that Order.

If any provision of this Order, or the application of any provision to any carriers, conveyances, persons, or circumstances, shall be held invalid, the remainder of the provisions, or the application of such provisions to any carriers, conveyances, persons, or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall remain valid and in effect.

To address the COVID-19 public health threat to transportation security, this Order shall be enforced by the Transportation Security Administration under appropriate statutory and regulatory authorities including the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 106, 114, 44902, 44903, and 46301; and 49 CFR part 1503, 1540.105, 1542.303, 1544.305 and 1546.105.

This Order shall be further enforced by other federal authorities and may be enforced by cooperating state and local authorities through the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 3559, 3571; 42 U.S.C. 243, 268, 271; and 42 CFR 70.18 and 71.2. 33

33 While this Order may be enforced and CDC reserves the right to enforce through criminal penalties, CDC does not intend to rely primarily on these criminal penalties but instead strongly encourages and anticipates widespread voluntary compliance as well as support from other federal agencies in implementing additional civil measures enforcing the provisions of this Order, to the extent permitted by law and consistent with President Biden's Executive Order of January 21, 2021 (Promoting COVID-19 Safety in Domestic and International Travel).
EFFECTIVE DATE:

This Order shall enter into effect on February 1, 2021, at 11:59 p.m. and will remain in effect unless modified or rescinded based on specific public health or other considerations, or until the Secretary of Health and Human Services rescinds the determination under section 319 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247d) that a public health emergency exists.

In testimony whereof, the Director of the Division of Global Migration and Quarantine at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, has hereunto set his hand at Atlanta, GA, this 29th day of January 2021.

[Signature]

Martin S. Cetron, M.D.
Director, Division of Global Migration and Quarantine
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Executive Order 13998 of January 21, 2021

Promoting COVID–19 Safety in Domestic and International Travel

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Policy. Science-based public health measures are critical to preventing the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID–19) by travelers within the United States and those who enter the country from abroad. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Surgeon General, and the National Institutes of Health have concluded that mask-wearing, physical distancing, appropriate ventilation, and timely testing can mitigate the risk of travelers spreading COVID–19. Accordingly, to save lives and allow all Americans, including the millions of people employed in the transportation industry, to travel and work safely, it is the policy of my Administration to implement these public health measures consistent with CDC guidelines on public modes of transportation and at ports of entry to the United States.

Sec. 2. Immediate Action to Require Mask-Wearing on Certain Domestic Modes of Transportation.

(a) Mask Requirement. The Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS), the Secretary of Transportation (including through the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)), the Secretary of Homeland Security (including through the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) and the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard), and the heads of any other executive departments and agencies (agencies) that have relevant regulatory authority (heads of agencies) shall immediately take action, to the extent appropriate and consistent with applicable law, to require masks to be worn in compliance with CDC guidelines in or on:

(i) airports;
(ii) commercial aircraft;
(iii) trains;
(iv) public maritime vessels, including ferries;
(v) intercity bus services; and
(vi) all forms of public transportation as defined in section 5302 of title 49, United States Code.

(b) Consultation. In implementing this section, the heads of agencies shall consult, as appropriate, with interested parties, including State, local, Tribal, and territorial officials; industry and union representatives from the transportation sector; and consumer representatives.

(c) Exceptions. The heads of agencies may make categorical or case-by-case exceptions to policies developed under this section, consistent with applicable law, to the extent that doing so is necessary or required by law. If the heads of agencies do make exceptions, they shall require alternative and appropriate safeguards, and shall document all exceptions in writing.

(d) Preemption. To the extent permitted by applicable law, the heads of agencies shall ensure that any action taken to implement this section
does not preempt State, local, Tribal, and territorial laws or rules imposing public health measures that are more protective of public health than those required by the heads of agencies.

(e) **Coordination.** The Coordinator of the COVID–19 Response and Counselor to the President (COVID–19 Response Coordinator) shall coordinate the implementation of this section. The heads of agencies shall update the COVID–19 Response Coordinator on their progress in implementing this section, including any categorical exceptions established under subsection (c) of this section, within 7 days of the date of this order and regularly thereafter. The heads of agencies are encouraged to bring to the attention of the COVID–19 Response Coordinator any questions regarding the scope or implementation of this section.

**Sec. 3. Action to Implement Additional Public Health Measures for Domestic Travel.**

(a) **Recommendations.** The Secretary of Transportation (including through the Administrator of the FAA) and the Secretary of Homeland Security (including through the Administrator of the TSA and the Commandant of the Coast Guard), in consultation with the Director of CDC, shall promptly provide to the COVID–19 Response Coordinator recommendations concerning how their respective agencies may impose additional public health measures for domestic travel.

(b) **Consultation.** In implementing this section, the Secretary of Transportation and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall engage with interested parties, including State, local, Tribal, and territorial officials; industry and union representatives from the transportation sector; and consumer representatives.

**Sec. 4. Support for State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial Authorities.** The COVID–19 Response Coordinator, in coordination with the Secretary of Transportation and the heads of any other relevant agencies, shall promptly identify and inform agencies of options to incentivize, support, and encourage widespread mask-wearing and physical distancing on public modes of transportation, consistent with CDC guidelines and applicable law.

**Sec. 5. International Travel.**

(a) **Policy.** It is the policy of my Administration that, to the extent feasible, travelers seeking to enter the United States from a foreign country shall be:

(i) required to produce proof of a recent negative COVID–19 test prior to entry; and

(ii) required to comply with other applicable CDC guidelines concerning international travel, including recommended periods of self-quarantine or self-isolation after entry into the United States.

(b) **Air Travel.**

(i) The Secretary of HHS, including through the Director of CDC, and in coordination with the Secretary of Transportation (including through the Administrator of the FAA) and the Secretary of Homeland Security (including through the Administrator of the TSA), shall, within 14 days of the date of this order, assess the CDC order of January 12, 2021, regarding the requirement of a negative COVID–19 test result for airline passengers traveling into the United States, in light of subsection (a) of this section. Based on such assessment, the Secretary of HHS and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall take any further appropriate regulatory action, to the extent feasible and consistent with CDC guidelines and applicable law. Such assessment and regulatory action shall include consideration of:

(A) the timing and types of COVID–19 tests that should satisfy the negative test requirement, including consideration of additional testing immediately prior to departure;

(B) the proof of test results that travelers should be required to provide;
(C) the feasibility of implementing alternative and sufficiently protective public health measures, such as testing, self-quarantine, and self-isolation on arrival, for travelers entering the United States from countries where COVID–19 tests are inaccessible, particularly where such inaccessibility of tests would affect the ability of United States citizens and lawful permanent residents to return to the United States; and

(D) measures to prevent fraud.

(ii) The Secretary of HHS, in coordination with the Secretary of Transportation (including through the Administrator of the FAA) and the Secretary of Homeland Security (including through the Administrator of the TSA), shall promptly provide to the President, through the COVID–19 Response Coordinator, a plan for how the Secretary and other Federal Government actors could implement the policy stated in subsection (a) of this section with respect to CDC-recommended periods of self-quarantine or self-isolation after a flight to the United States from a foreign country, as he deems appropriate and consistent with applicable law. The plan shall identify agencies’ tools and mechanisms to assist travelers in complying with such policy.

(iii) The Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of HHS (including through the Director of CDC), the Secretary of Transportation (including through the Administrator of the FAA), and the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall seek to consult with foreign governments, the World Health Organization, the International Civil Aviation Organization, the International Air Transport Association, and any other relevant stakeholders to establish guidelines for public health measures associated with safe international travel, including on aircraft and at ports of entry. Any such guidelines should address quarantine, testing, COVID–19 vaccination, follow-up testing and symptom-monitoring, air filtration requirements, environmental decontamination standards, and contact tracing.

(c) Land Travel. The Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of HHS, the Secretary of Transportation, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Director of CDC, shall immediately commence diplomatic outreach to the governments of Canada and Mexico regarding public health protocols for land ports of entry. Based on this diplomatic engagement, within 14 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of HHS (including through the Director of CDC), the Secretary of Transportation, and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit to the President a plan to implement appropriate public health measures at land ports of entry. The plan should implement CDC guidelines, consistent with applicable law, and take into account the operational considerations relevant to the different populations who enter the United States by land.

(d) Sea Travel. The Secretary of Homeland Security, through the Commandant of the Coast Guard and in consultation with the Secretary of HHS and the Director of CDC, shall, within 14 days of the date of this order, submit to the President a plan to implement appropriate public health measures at sea ports. The plan should implement CDC guidelines, consistent with applicable law, and take into account operational considerations.

(e) International Certificates of Vaccination or Prophylaxis. Consistent with applicable law, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of HHS, and the Secretary of Homeland Security (including through the Administrator of the TSA), in coordination with any relevant international organizations, shall assess the feasibility of linking COVID–19 vaccination to International Certificates of Vaccination or Prophylaxis (ICVP) and producing electronic versions of ICVPs.

(f) Coordination. The COVID–19 Response Coordinator, in consultation with the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs and the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, shall coordinate the implementation of this section. The Secretary of State, the Secretary of HHS, the Secretary of Transportation, and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall
update the COVID–19 Response Coordinator on their progress in implementing this section within 7 days of the date of this order and regularly thereafter. The heads of all agencies are encouraged to bring to the attention of the COVID–19 Response Coordinator any questions regarding the scope or implementation of this section.

Sec. 6. General Provisions. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:

(i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or

(ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.

(b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.

(c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

THE WHITE HOUSE,
Dear Mayor Liccardo,

Further to councilmember Davis' appalling conduct of this morning's Caltrain Board meeting, please consider revisiting the City of San Jose's appointment to the Caltrain Board.

Thank you

Roland Lebrun

CC
San Jose City Council
Caltrain Board
MTC Commissioners
SFCTA Commissioners
Caltrain CAC
VTA CAC
SFCTA CAC

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.
Dear Mayor Liccardo,

Further to my earlier email, kindly be advised that I am hereby citing Councilmember Davis with 4 counts of violation of Government Code Section 54954.3
https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=GOV&sectionNum=54954.3.

Sincerely,

Roland Lebrun

---

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

Further to councilmember Davis' appalling conduct of this morning's Caltrain Board meeting, please consider revisiting the City of San Jose's appointment to the Caltrain Board.

Thank you

Roland Lebrun

CC
San Jose City Council
ATTENTION: This email came from an external source.
Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.
GOVERNMENT CODE - GOV

TITLE 5. LOCAL AGENCIES [50001 - 57607] (Title 5 added by Stats. 1949, Ch. 81.)
DIVISION 2. CITIES, COUNTIES, AND OTHER AGENCIES [53000 - 55821] (Division 2 added by Stats. 1949, Ch. 81.)

PART 1. POWERS AND DUTIES COMMON TO CITIES, COUNTIES, AND OTHER AGENCIES [53000 - 54999.7] (Part 1 added by Stats. 1949, Ch. 81.)

CHAPTER 9. Meetings [54950 - 54963] (Chapter 9 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1588.)

(a) Every agenda for regular meetings shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the legislative body on any item of interest to the public, before or during the legislative body’s consideration of the item, that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body, provided that no action shall be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda unless the action is otherwise authorized by subdivision (b) of Section 54954.2. However, the agenda need not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the legislative body on any item that has already been considered by a committee, composed exclusively of members of the legislative body, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the committee on the item, before or during the committee’s consideration of the item, unless the item has been substantially changed since the committee heard the item, as determined by the legislative body. Every notice for a special meeting shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the legislative body concerning any item that has been described in the notice for the meeting before or during consideration of that item.

54954.3. (b) (1) The legislative body of a local agency may adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the intent of subdivision (a) is carried out, including, but not limited to, regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated for public testimony on particular issues and for each individual speaker.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), when the legislative body of a local agency limits time for public comment, the legislative body of a local agency shall provide at least twice the allotted time to a member of the public who utilizes a translator to ensure that non-English speakers receive the same opportunity to directly address the legislative body of a local agency.

(3) Paragraph (2) shall not apply if the legislative body of a local agency utilizes simultaneous translation equipment in a manner that allows the legislative body of a local agency to hear the translated public testimony simultaneously.

(c) The legislative body of a local agency shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body. Nothing in this subdivision shall confer any privilege or protection for expression beyond that otherwise provided by law.

(Amended by Stats. 2016, Ch. 507, Sec. 1. (AB 1787) Effective January 1, 2017.)
Dear Mayor Howard and Council,

The attached letter substantiates and elaborates on the comments I made at the January 13th Council meeting and closes off with a couple of alternatives not currently being considered by staff and consultants including a solution that could reduce Caltrain traffic through downtown Redwood City by a third of pre-COVID levels at full buildout.

I am also attaching last year's presentation for the new Council members.

Sincerely,

Roland Lebrun

CC

Caltrain Board

CHSRA Board of Directors

MTC Commissioners

Caltrain CAC

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source.
Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.
Dear Mayor Howard and Council,

Thank you for the opportunity to substantiate and elaborate on the comments I made during the January 13th Council meeting and close off with a couple of alternatives not currently being considered by staff and consultants.

1) The expectation that grade separations will somehow eliminate train horn noise in downtown Redwood City is incorrect: every train going through a station must sound its horn to warn passengers on the platforms, regardless of whether the train will stop at the station or whether there are any passengers on the platforms at the time of the pass.

2) It is impossible to operate a train at 110 MPH on a track immediately adjacent to a platform, hence the design proposed by the consultants will eliminate one of the benefits of grade separations which is to allow trains to operate at speeds in excess of 125 MPH.

3) The High Speed Rail Authority has long contemplated a mid-Peninsula stop which would require a minimum of 4 tracks and two 1,400-foot-long outboard platforms which cannot possibly be accommodated in downtown Redwood City.

4) While a Dumbarton Rail connection in downtown RWC may work for southbound passengers headed for the East Bay and vice versa, it is unreasonable to expect northbound passengers headed for Facebook or the East Bay to travel all the way north to downtown RWC and somehow make a transfer to a southbound train headed for the East Bay.

Alternatives not being considered

Please consider asking staff why trains that do not stop in downtown Redwood City should be passing through downtown at all, let alone on an elevated structure(!)

As an example, the Caltrain Business Plan contemplates operating up to 478 trains/day at maximum buildout. Assuming a stopping pattern where 25% of these trains would stop in Downtown Redwood City, it is unclear why the other 360 trains should also be passing through downtown.

One alternative could be to tunnel two passing tracks between Whipple and Highway 84 (1.5 miles). In this alternative, the number of daily trains passing through downtown RWC at maximum buildout would be similar to pre-COVID service levels (92 trains/day) and the business case for grade separations would be greatly diminished because the remaining trains would be travelling at much slower speeds as they approach and depart Downtown RWC.

A phased approach

While the above alternative contemplates 120 stopping trains/day at maximum buildout, a future operator may elect to increase the number of downtown RWC stops to 160 trains/day or more at a later date. This increase in train traffic would reintroduce the need for grade separations but the construction
impact on adjacent properties would be greatly diminished because the viaduct would consist of an elegant two-track structure with “gullwing” platforms contained entirely within the existing right of way (all passing would take place in the tunnels).

Cost of tunneling

Conventional wisdom characterizes tunnels as “too expensive” but the real cost of undergrounding (up to $1B/mile in some cases) comes from underground stations, not the tunnels per se. As an example, while the cost of San Francisco’s 1.6 mile Central Subway may exceed $1.6B, the twin-bore tunnels were constructed for $238M.

Redwood Junction

As stated above, the High Speed Rail Authority have long considered a mid-Peninsula stop and went as far as analyzing models that leveraged the existing passing tracks south of Highway 84 even though there were no plans to build a station in Redwood Junction at the time.

Such a station would offer the following advantages:

- A High Speed Rail stop with a direct rail connection to Facebook and the East Bay
- Cross-platform transfers between HSR and local and express Caltrain service
- Up to 8 stops/hour in Redwood City (4 downtown and 4 at Redwood Junction)
- An additional mid-Peninsula passing opportunity for High Speed and express Caltrain service catching up with slower trains
Redwood Junction and the downtown tunnels

The Caltrain Business Plan anticipates operating up to 12 trains/hour/direction in the Peninsula at maximum buildout (8 Caltrains and 4 HSR), so a potential service pattern could be:

- 4 trains stopping at Redwood Junction
- 4 trains stopping downtown
- 4 trains not stopping at either Redwood Junction or downtown

In this scenario, there would be only 4 trains/hour passing through downtown, so a full-buildout scenario would result in a 33% reduction in pre-COVID Caltrain traffic through downtown RWC (4 trains/hour vs. 6 pre-COVID).

I hope this makes sense.

Please feel free to reach out if you would like any additional information, including examples of how these solutions were implemented in London and Amsterdam.

Sincerely,

Roland Lebrun

Cc

Caltrain Board

CHSRA Board of Directors

MTC Commissioners

Caltrain CAC
London and Redwood City

A tale of two stations:

London: Ebbsfleet International
Redwood City: Redwood Junction
Two stations into one
Two stations into one
Dear Mr. Lebrun,

On behalf of the City Council, thank you for writing to express your concerns regarding the Sequoia Station Project. Each Councilmember has received your comments and they will be considered when the City Council discusses this item at its meeting tonight, February 8, 2021.

Please note that no City Council action will be taken on February 8; the agenda item is to provide an update on the creation of a Transit District in Redwood City. This effort, which includes consideration of rail grade separations, the redevelopment of Sequoia Station shopping center, designing a new CalTrain station, accommodating improved bus service, and preparing for a potential rail connection to the East Bay across the Dumbarton Rail Bridge, will take approximately 18-24 months, with many opportunities for public engagement.

Please visit this website to learn more. We also encourage you to visit a virtual open house here.

In Community Spirit,

Diane Howard
Mayor of Redwood City
(650) 208-4774

From: Roland Lebrun <ccss@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 11:49 PM
To: GRP-City Council <council@redwoodcity.org>
Cc: board@caltrain.com; CHSRA Board <boardmembers@hsr.ca.gov>; MTC Info <info@bayareametro.gov>; Caltrain CAC Secretary <cacsecretary@caltrain.com>
Subject: Item 7.a Update on Sequoia station

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Howard and Council,

The attached letter substantiates and elaborates on the comments I made at the January 13th Council meeting and closes off with a couple of alternatives not currently being considered by staff and consultants including a solution that could reduce Caltrain traffic through downtown Redwood City by a third of pre-COVID levels at full buildout.

I am also attaching last year’s presentation for the new Council members.
Sincerely,

Roland Lebrun

CC

Caltrain Board

CHSRA Board of Directors

MTC Commissioners

Caltrain CAC

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source.
Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.
Dear Chair Holt,

Please consider directing Mr. Brick to reach out to the City’s Art Commission with a view to educating himself about the finer points of public participation in WebEx meetings.

Thank you in advance.

Roland Lebrun
Public Comment via WebEx:
• Navigate to the hand icon in the lower right corner.
• Raise your hand to be placed in the queue.
• You will hear a beep and you will be prompted to speak.

Public Comment via Phone:
• Dial 415-655-0001
• Enter the Access Code: 146 546 2425
• When prompted, dial ‘*’ then ‘3’ to be added to the speaker line.
• Your line will be unmuted and you will hear, “Your line has been unmuted.”
   This is your time to speak.

Once your time is up (3 mins), you will be put back on mute. Participants who wish to speak on other public comment periods may stay on the line and listen for the next public comment opportunity. For further inquiries regarding Commission matters, email art-info@sfgov.org.
Hi Roland,

Thank you for your email. I will share with Jason Blick, TJPA IT Director.

Thanks,
Im

Lily Madjus Wu
Communications and Legislative Affairs Manager
Transbay Joint Powers Authority
425 Mission Street, Suite 250
San Francisco, CA 94105
lmadjuswu@tipa.org
D: (415) 597-4039
C: (415) 949-9500
www.tipa.org

Please consider the environment before printing this message.

From: Roland Lebrun <csss@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 1:51 PM
To: TJPA CAC <cac@tipa.org>
Cc: board@caltrain.com; Caltrain CAC Secretary <cacsecretary@caltrain.com>; SFCTA Board Secretary <clerk@sfcta.org>; SFCTA CAC <cac@sfcta.org>
Subject: WebEx public participation at TJPA CAC meetings

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the TJPA. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Holt,

Please consider directing Mr. Brick to reach out to the City’s Art Commission with a view to educating himself about the finer points of public participation in WebEx meetings.

Thank you in advance.

Roland Lebrun
Dear Chair Holt,

Further to my 1/25 email (below), please consider directing staff to zoom in on the area between the Transit Center and Embarcadero after the presentation to facilitate a discussion of the collateral damage resulting from the existing DTX alignment as well as potential solutions designed to eliminate any condemnations.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun
From: Roland Lebrun  
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:35 AM  
To: Transportation Authority <clerk@sfcta.org>  
Cc: MTC Commission <info@mtc.ca.gov>; CHSRA Board <boardmembers@hsr.ca.gov>; Caltrain Board <board@caltrain.com>; Nila Gonzales <NGonzales@TJPA.org>; SFCTA CAC <cac@sfcta.org>; Caltrain CAC Secretary <cacsecretary@caltrain.com>; TJPA CAC <CAC@TJPA.org>  
Subject: SFCTA Agenda item #13 Downtown Rail Extension

Dear Chair Mandelman and Commissioners,

While I appreciate the TJPA’s consideration of phasing multiple aspects of the project as currently proposed, there has been no progress in the last 20 years addressing the following issues:

- Lack of a plausible connection with the next Transbay crossing (LINK21)
- Lack of a plausible connection with the existing Caltrain tracks at 16th Street
- Lack of a plausible connection with BART and/or MUNI light rail
• Lack of a plausible solution addressing the loss of 50% of the existing train box capacity to the 2nd Street curve and the commensurate loss in potential future Transbay capacity caused by making it impossible to accommodate full-length (1,400-foot-long) high speed trains across the Bay
• Lack of a plausible solution eliminating a gigantic crater on 2nd Street and the resulting impacts on adjacent buildings
• Lack of a plausible solution that would make it possible for Caltrain to vacate the 4th & King railyard

I therefore believe that now is the last and final call for revisiting the 7th Street alignment to address the above issues as follows:

• Advancing a design connecting the Transit Center to the Embarcadero seawall without ANY condemnations
• Restoration of the full 1,500-foot train box capacity without the addition of a $400M train box extension
• Advancing a design that fully integrates the PAX as an extension of the DTX and eliminates any significant surface impacts north of Townsend Street
• Advancing a 7th Street/UCSF station concept that integrates Caltrain, High Speed Rail, Capitol Corridor and BART connections in a single structure connected via light rail (N & T extensions) to the Arena, the Ballpark, Central SOMA and Chinatown
• Advancing a phased design for the 7th Street station passing tracks (total 4 tracks) to eliminate the need for a third track between Townsend and the Transit Center

Given that a study of the above solutions would be within the City's (not the TJPA's) purview, please consider issuing a change order to the existing PAX initiation contract with a commensurate increase in contract capacity (currently $1M).

Last but not least, I believe that there is sufficient regional nexus in the above proposal for MTC and/or the High Speed Rail Authority to fully match the existing PAX initiation contract thereby increasing the contract capacity to $2M-$3M.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Roland Lebrun

CC

MTC Commissioners
CHSRA Board of Directors
Caltrain Board
TJPA Board of Directors
SFCTA CAC
Caltrain CAC
TJPA CAC