J PB Board of Directors
Meeting of August 5, 2021

Correspondence as of July 23, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CPUC Section 185032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Huntington Ave in San Bruno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vaccination as condition of employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

Dear Chair Bloom,

Further to recent High Speed Rail Authority actions impeding the advancement of High Speed and passenger rail in California, please introduce legislation amending CPUC Section 185032 (https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PUC&sectionNum=185032) as follows:

- (a): Terminate the Authority's *exclusive authorization and responsibility for planning, construction, and operation of high-speed passenger train service at speeds exceeding 125 miles per hour* in the State of California (delete entire subsection).

- (b): Clarify that the High Speed Rail Authority is specifically prohibited from planning and construction in rail corridors with a maximum authorized speed of 125 MPH or less.

Respectfully submitted for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun
MTC Commissioners
CHSRA Board of Directors
Caltrain Board of Directors
Metrolink Board of Directors
Capitol Corridor Board of Directors
SFCTA Commissioners
VTA Board of Directors
VTA PAC

From: Roland Lebrun
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 1:20 AM
To: budgetsub3@asm.ca.gov <budgetsub3@asm.ca.gov>
Cc: MTC Info <info@bayareametro.gov>; CHSRA Board <boardmembers@hsr.ca.gov>; Caltrain Board <board@caltrain.com>; SFCTA Board Secretary <clerk@sfcta.org>
Subject: Governor's May Revision Proposals on Transportation

Dear Chair Bloom and Committee Members,

Please consider approving the appropriation of $4.2 billion Proposition 1A Bonds to the High Speed Rail Authority *subject to the following conditions*: 

- Terminate the Authority's *exclusive authorization and responsibility for planning, construction, and operation of high-speed passenger train service at speeds exceeding 125 miles per hour* in the State of California (delete entire subsection).

- Clarify that the High Speed Rail Authority is specifically prohibited from planning and construction in rail corridors with a maximum authorized speed of 125 MPH or less.

Respectfully submitted for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun
MTC Commissioners
CHSRA Board of Directors
Caltrain Board of Directors
Metrolink Board of Directors
Capitol Corridor Board of Directors
SFCTA Commissioners
VTA Board of Directors
VTA PAC
1) Restoration of all Authority Board and Committee meeting materials prior to January 1st 2018:
https://hsr.ca.gov/about/board-of-directors/schedule/

Board Meeting Schedule & Materials - California High Speed Rail - California High-Speed Rail Authority | State of California

Board Meeting Schedule & Materials. Meetings of the California High-Speed Rail Authority Board of Directors are held in Sacramento, CA and begin at 10:00 a.m. unless the agenda reflects otherwise. Meeting dates, times and locations are subject to change; check this website before making final plans to attend a specific meeting.

hsr.ca.gov

2) Restoration of all environmentally cleared documents including the program EIR alternatives analysis:

Content Not Available Online | California High-Speed Rail Authority | State of California

Home Content Not Available Online This content is not available online. However, you may make a request for content under the Public Records Act through the Public Records Act page. If you have any questions about the website or its contents, please contact the Authority at info@hsr.ca.gov.

www.hsr.ca.gov

3) Defunding of any further CEQA and/or NEPA environmental clearance efforts with the exception of the following sections:

- San Francisco to Gilroy
- Merced to Bakersfield
- Burbank to Anaheim

4) Allocation of $5M to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission to study the alignment between Gilroy and Fresno and develop recommendations to the Legislature on next steps.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun
CC

MTC Commissioners
CHSRA Board of Directors
Caltrain Board of Directors
SFCTA Commissioners
VTA Board of Directors
VTA PAC
From: Seamans, Dora
To: Board Info [@caltrain.com]
Subject: FW: Huntington ave San Bruno
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 1:59:42 PM

-----Original Message-----
From: Tim OBrien <tmz6922@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Board (@caltrain.com) <BoardCaltrain@samtrans.com>
Subject: Huntington ave San Bruno

To whom it may concern
Huntington ave in San Bruno on the Caltrain side needs to be cleaned as soon as possible. There is a lot of trash and the weeds need to be removed and trees trimmed. Please address

Thank you
Best regards
Tim OBrien

Sent from my iPhone
ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

I agree!
Best wishes,
Kathleen

Kathleen Maxwell
Professor
Department of Art and Art History
Santa Clara University
500 El Camino Real
Santa Clara, CA 95053-0264
(415) 806-1002 cell

On Fri, Jul 23, 2021 at 1:47 PM Adrian Brandt <adrian.brandt@gmail.com> wrote:

Honorable Caltrain Boardmembers:

As discussed at this week’s CAC meeting, it appears Caltrain/TASI legally can — and should! — require employee vaccinations … at the very least for any customer/rider-facing workers aboard trains or at stations.

And once that’s done, I’d urge publicizing this thereby making that fact known to possibly hesitant potential new and returning riders. Many businesses advertise that *all* of their employees have been fully vaccinated. Caltrain should unquestionably join them.

Respectfully,
Adrian Brandt, Caltrain CAC vice chair (for ID purposes only)

Bay Area counties urge employers to require vaccinations; Santa Clara will mandate for 22,000 county workers

Santa Clara, Contra Costa and San Francisco seek employer help

https://www.mercurynews.com/2021/07/22/bay-area-counties-urge-employers-to-require-vaccinations

“The health officers said current state and federal employment law supports employers requiring documentation of vaccination status, requiring vaccination as a condition of employment, and requiring additional safety precautions, including masking and frequent
testing for employees who are unvaccinated. Sandra L. Rappaport, an employment attorney with the Hanson Bridgett law firm in San Francisco, said employees who don’t want to be vaccinated may have little recourse if their employer requires it.

“A private employer can impose any employment condition it wants to so long as the condition is not unlawful,” Rappaport said. “And there is no law at the moment that prohibits an employer from requiring an employee to obtain a vaccine as a condition of employment.”