<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2021 HIPAA Security Rule: Clarified and Explained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fare Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>University Ave Beige Pole Color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>County of San Mateo is holding COVID-19 vaccination clinics on February 16, 17 and 19 for SMC residents who are 65 and older</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Description:

Mr. Brian Tuttle, with over 20+ years of experience, will speak on "HIPAA Security Rule: Clarified and Explained", addressing major changes under the HIPAA Security Rule and any other applicable updates for 2021. Areas also covered will be texting, email, encryption, medical messaging, voice data and risk factors as they relate to IT. Brian will also go into details regarding the top areas of non-compliance relating to the Security Rule and common sense ways (and resources) to get this fixed!

Join the session to learn..... Read More.

Areas Covered in the Session:

- Updates for 2021 and beyond
- HIPAA Security Rule vs HIPAA Privacy Rule
- Requirements of Compliance Officers

Speaker Details

Brian L. Tuttle is a Certified Professional in Health IT (CPHIT), Certified HIPAA Professional (CHP), Certified HIPAA Administrator (CHA), Certified Business Resilience Auditor (CBRA), Certified Information Systems Security Professional
Texting & Emailing of PHI
Risk assessment Read More.

Who will benefit:
- Practice Managers
- Hospitals
- Billing companies
- Any Business Associate
- IT companies Read More.

Register Now
→
(CISSP) with over 20 years experience in Health IT and Compliance Consulting. Read More.
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Thank you for collaborating on fare integration! This is a huge step forward for making Bay Area public transit a more positive, accessible experience. I personally use multiple transit agencies to commute to work, and I'm optimistic that better integration will be better for my wallet, reduce connection times, and that a more straightforward cost structure will encourage more of my colleagues to take transit.

I really hope the Fare Integration Task Force studies all the options on the table to identify the one with the greatest benefits for riders.

Thank you!

Jonathan New
San Mateo
Hello,

First, I'd like to thank the Fare Integration Task force for their unprecedented work and collaboration towards a simpler and more equitable system of fares for the Bay Area.

As someone who often takes the public transit route from San Francisco to Milpitas, I yearn for the day when my trip will take less than 2.5 hours and three transfers - but I'd still greatly appreciate it if the fare was less than $13.90 with some recognition of benefit when transferring. The cost and inconvenience makes this option staggeringly uncompetitive with driving, which makes it all the more inequitable when considering those who have no choice.

As you consider fare integration options for study, please focus on those that provide the greatest benefits and user experience for riders. We all want transit to be successful and sustainable - making it more appealing and usable for everyone would certainly contribute to furthering that goal.

Thank you for your consideration!

--

~Simon Tan, rider of SFMTA, BART, VTA, AC Transit, Caltrain, & SamTrans
simtan@berkeley.edu
simtanx@gmail.com
To whom it may concern on the Fare Integration Task Force,

I was recently happily surprised to hear about the Task Force's work toward a more integrated fare system. Before the pandemic I was a near daily rider for the last 10 years on MUNI and BART, with more occasional rides on CalTrain and other Bay Area bus systems. When I arrived in the Bay after living in various parts of Europe for nearly 7 years, I was rather dispirited at the lack of integration between Bay Area transit agencies, particularly the lack of fare and schedule integration, so hearing about forward movement is encouraging.

There is no fundamental reason that a more integrated system that is easier to understand and use is out of our reach. I've lived such systems in multiple European cities - it's doable if the political will is present. The advantages are numerous to a transparent cross-agency fair system:

* **It's easier to decide to use transit over other means.** When it's hard to price a public transit trip, it's often easier to use alternatives instead (private car, taxi, uber, etc). This is particularly true if you will need to pay in cash.

* **It removes fare "edge cases" that punish some riders.** Our non-integrated fare systems has sharp edges that jack up the price of trips when moving across agencies. Yes, one can now often use Clipper when changing agencies mid-trip, but you are still paying for a second trip even if you aren't going much further. This leads to inequitable outcomes, particularly for fare-sensitive riders. It can make sense to charge for speed in long distance transit, but not for essential daily trips. Removing these sharp fare edges increases accessibility, convenience, and equity.

* **Fare integration should, long term, lead to better overall agency integration.** Fare integration would hopefully be the first step on a journey toward regional network management, with a more integrated vision agencies on routes and schedules as well. This doesn't mean moving to one mega-agency, but rather better planning between the agencies. German cities tend to have many different local transit agencies, but riders might as well not even know that with the level of integration between them. This is ideal - when public services work well, most citizens shouldn't need to spend much time thinking about them.

I encourage the Task Force to keep moving this study forward and identify the best paths to
bring the above benefits to riders. A system that is easier to use is a system that will be used more, and we all know that addressing both our equity and carbon problems, and is thus critical to improving the human condition as society moves forward.

Thank you for your hard work.

Cheers,
Cyrus Hall
District 5, San Francisco
Hi,

As a bicyclist and occasional user of Caltrain, BART, and Muni, I strongly support greater regional fare integration that simplifies the transit experience, makes calculating fares easier, and allows users to be agnostic to the transit operator (especially important when one system faces delays!).

In particular, I would love to see continued exploration of zone- or distance-based pricing and flat local bus fares, which would allow riders to pick the most convenient or quickest option to complete their route, regardless of the operator. I would also support continued exploration of time-based fare caps (ie, fare caps per day, month, etc.).

Thank you for helping make the Bay Area's alphabet soup of transit options easier for riders!

Best,

Jeffrey Lu
Hi Martin,

Thanks for your patience as I brought this to the appropriate teams internally.

As mentioned previously, the color of the poles in the station have been reviewed and chosen by the Architectural Resource Board (ARB) and the Historic Resource Board (HRB). The three HRB and ARB public meetings were held in November 2018 and January 2019. This process for input on the pole colors selection follows the agreed upon requirements included in the City of Palo Alto/Caltrain Comprehensive Agreement that was approved by City Council and executed by both parties in January 2019.

The poles within the station are power-coated (long-lasting paint) and shipped to the Caltrain property. To change the color would require sand blasting the existing poles which could cause delay and inefficiency claims by the Contractor for the testing of the Overhead Contact System.

Given all of this information, we are not able to accommodate your request.

For further reference, City staff provided a link to the January 10, 2019 ARB/HRB joint meeting minutes which can be found here: https://www.cityofpaloalto.org/civicax/filebank/documents/74504. And the staff report for the item is here: https://www.cityofpaloalto.org/civicax/filebank/documents/68341. This report provides background from the two November 2018 public hearings which included color discussions by the commissioners. The City also provided the attached screenshots from the January 2019 minutes regarding the color selection from the commissioners.

Best,

Brent Tietjen, Government and Community Relations Officer
SamTrans | Caltrain | TA
1250 San Carlos Ave.
San Carlos, CA 94070-1306
tietjenb@samtrans.com
Hello Brent,

Thank you for all the additional information, but you failed to answer the fundamental question, of "putting a price on painting the top half of a pole". It doesn't matter how many Architectural Resource Board (ARB) and the Historic Resource Board (HRB) meetings have taken place, if a critical mistake was made. They may have thought a street level beige color would be fine, but they apparently did not take into account mountain vista views from multi-floor residential units. Fighting hard to retain these views, is why we do not have an elevated rail structure running through town.

Please give it another try.

Thank you,

Martin
**COVID 19 VACCINATION UPDATE**

San Mateo County residents 65 years or older - vaccinations are available this week on February 16th, 17th, and 19th at SFO Airport. If these dates do not work for you, there will be more opportunities available in the future. More options, and more vaccines are coming every week.

Please visit the [San Mateo County Health website](#) for the most up-to-date information and sign up for their notification tool. The County will outreach to eligible people from that tool for upcoming vaccination clinics.

**Verification Required**

Those who do not meet the criteria for this clinic and register will have their appointments canceled or be turned away upon arrival. Verification of eligibility will be done on-site:

- Residents aged 65 and older will need to bring a photo ID with their date of birth

To register, please review the information below, and use the link to make your appointment.

**First Dose Clinic Information:**

**Location:** San Francisco Airport (SFO) Long Term Parking Garage [Click HERE for map]

**Vaccine Used:** Moderna

**Vaccination Group:** First Dose for San Mateo County Residents 65+

**Additional Info:**

- This will be a Drive Through Vaccine Clinic. No walk-up vaccination will be available
- Vehicles cannot be larger than 6 ft 8 inches tall
• Bring Photo ID with date of birth (for 65+)
• Wear clothes with sleeves that can be rolled up
• You will need to wait a minimum of 15 mins for observation after vaccination before leaving
• This clinic is for first dose vaccination only
• A similar clinic will be held for this group to receive the second dose on March 12th, 15th, and 16th. Registration details for the second dose appointments will be sent by March 10th

First Dose Clinic Times and Registration:
Location: San Francisco Airport (SFO) Long Term Parking Garage [Click HERE for map]
Dates and Times:
• Tuesday, Feb 16th, 9:30 AM - 4:00 PM
• Wednesday, Feb 17th, 9:30 AM - 4:00 PM
• Friday, Feb 19th, 9:00 AM - 12:00 PM

Registration for any day above: https://crbn.app.link/e/covid-vax-sf-airport-first-dose

Scheduling Support is available:
• Phone Support: (650) 263-1867
• Email Support: vaccine-sm-support@carbonhealth.com

If there are no appointments available please click HERE to sign up for San Mateo County vaccination updates. When there are vaccination events in the future that you are eligible for based on your answers we will contact you with information about how to register.