Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project
Draft Environmental Impact Report

Public Meeting

Purpose of Tonight’s Meeting

- Provide an overview of Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR)
- Receive comments, including formal written comments
  - All substantive comments (oral/written) will be considered, but only substantive written comments will receive written responses in Final EIR
- Review next steps in the process
Meeting Guidelines

• Meeting purpose to obtain comments on the DEIR
• Please respect one another and provide constructive input
• Speakers will be called one person at a time after presentation.
• Tonight is about listening to comments; we won’t be responding to comments tonight.
• Be aware of time constraints
• Focus comments on the Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project and environmental analysis
• Please no cell phone usage during meeting

Context
Caltrain Modernization Program

• ~$1.5 Billion Early Investment Program
  – CBOSS PTC (2015)
  – Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project (2019)

• Caltrain/HSR Blended System

Project History

• JPB Strategic Plan (1999, 2004)
• Conceptual Design (2002)
• Draft EA/EIR (2004)
• 35% design complete (2008)
• Final EA/EIR & Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) (2009)
• State clearance postponed
### Delivery Milestones*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish Owner's Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Clearance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procure/Select Contractor Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design/Manufacture/Build</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Schedule subject to change

---

**PCEP DEIR**
CEQA Requirements

- Identify environmental baseline
- Analyze direct, indirect and cumulative impacts
- Compare impacts to significance criteria
- Identify feasible mitigation for significant impacts
- Consider alternatives
- "Reasonable worst-case" assumptions as conservative approach

Project Purpose and Need

- Improve Caltrain system performance
- Increase service & ridership
- Increase revenue & reduce cost
- Reduce environmental impacts
- HSR compatible electrical infrastructure
Project Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Service*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51+ miles</td>
<td>Electrification:</td>
<td>Up to 79 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco to San Jose</td>
<td>• Overhead Contact System (OCS)</td>
<td>More service:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tamien Station)</td>
<td>• Traction Power Facilities</td>
<td>• 6 trains/per peak hour/per</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electric Multiple Units (EMUs)</td>
<td>direction (12 trains per hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Restore Atherton &amp; Broadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed diesel / EMU fleet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cont. Caltrain diesel service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to Gilroy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cont. tenant service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on prototypical schedule produced for DEIR

Visual Simulation

![Train at station]

![Passenger at platform]
Right of Way Needs

- Most in Caltrain ROW
- Traction Power Facilities
  - 2 substations
  - Up to ~1.5 acres total
- OCS (Poles/Wires)
  - Based on 35% design
  - ~2 out of 102 miles of OCS alignment

Electric Safety Zone Need

- Easement for safety
  - No trees within 10 ft. of OCS
  - No structures within 6 ft. of OCS
- Guidance
  - 25kV properties
  - Industry standards
- Up to ~18 acres along 51+ mile corridor
  - ~22 miles out of 102 miles along both sides of ROW
DEIR Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEIR</th>
<th>Environmental Clearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Analysis (2020)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Analysis (2040)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 2013 JPB/CHSRA New Agreement identifies JPB as lead agency for environmental clearance of the PCEP and CHSRA as lead agency for environmental clearance of the HSR Blended System.

Key Regional Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2040 (all EMU + DTX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Ridership (Daily)</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td>111,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Vehicle Miles Travelled (Daily)</td>
<td>235,000</td>
<td>619,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Air Pollution (Daily)</td>
<td>56% to 84%</td>
<td>77% to 96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Greenhouse Gases (Annual)</td>
<td>68,000 Metric Tons of CO₂ equivalent</td>
<td>177,000 Metric Tons of CO₂ equivalent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Environmental Impact Issues

• Key Impact Areas
  – Construction Noise; Operational Aesthetics; Traffic

• Other CEQA Subject Areas
  – Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Electromagnetic Fields/Interference (EMF/EMI), Geology, Seismicity and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Waste, Hydrology and Water Quality, Land Use and Recreation, Operational Noise; Population and Housing, Public Services and Utilities; Other Transportation

• Cumulative Impacts
• Alternative Analysis

Stakeholder Key Concerns

• Trees
• Overhead Contact System
• Noise
• Electromagnetic Fields/Interference
• Local Traffic
• Station Access
• Freight
**Trees**

- Along Caltrain route (SF to Gilroy): ~19,000
- Worst-Case Impact
  - Removal of 2,200 trees
  - Pruning of 3,600 trees
- Mitigation Strategies
  - Avoidance and Minimization (OCS Pole Options)
  - Replacement Plan
  - Significant after mitigation (aesthetics)

**Overhead Contact System**

- Poles and Wires
  - Poles ~200 feet apart along rail corridor
  - Poles 30 to 50 feet tall
  - Wires between poles
- Project Impact
  - Changes in visual aesthetics along tracks and at Caltrain stations
- Mitigation Strategies
  - OCS design & treatments
  - Less than significant after mitigation (aesthetics)
Visual Simulation

Simulated View

Visual Simulation

Simulated View
Noise

• Project Noise
  – EMUs quieter than diesel locomotives
  – More trains result in more horn soundings*
  – TPF (Traction Power Facilities)

• Noise Study Results
  – 49 locations analyzed
  – Significant impact at one TPF in SSF (FTA thresholds)

• Mitigation Strategies
  – Design treatment
  – Less than significant after mitigation

* Note: Train horns required by federal law
Electromagnetic Fields/ Electromagnetic Interference

- **EMF**: Electrical and magnetic fields
  - Generated from OCS, electric trains, and TPF
  - EMF levels less than health thresholds for General Public exposure along ROW

- **EMI**: Effect on equipment
  - Potential effects on sensitive electronic equipment
  - Design treatment mitigation
  - Less than significant after mitigation

Local Traffic

- **Overall Traffic Congestion Reduction**
- **Project Impacts***
  - More trains increase gate down time
  - EMUs decrease gate down time
  - More riders increase local traffic at stations
  - 82 intersections studied (21 impacted)

- **Mitigation Strategies**
  - Signal improvements
  - Local roadway improvements
  - Significant impact at 9 intersections after mitigation

*Note: CBOSS, which minimizes gate down time, is assumed to be in place
Station Access / Egress

- Bicycle Access
  - Continuation of bikes on board program
  - Continuation of wayside facility improvements

- Pedestrian Access
  - All stations adequate except at the 4th and King Terminus
  - Access improvements in partnership with San Francisco

- Parking Demand
  - Demand exceeds supply at 7 stations
  - ~1,000 riders may not be realized due to parking deficit

- On-Going Improvements with Local Agencies
  - Caltrain Access Program Policy
  - Caltrain Bicycle Access and Parking Plan

Freight Rail

- Existing Tunnel and Bridge Constraints

- Project Evaluation
  - Vertical clearance impact from OCS
  - Constrained operating window from FRA waiver temporal separation requirement*

- No Project-Level Impact
  - Tunnel notching /track lowering mitigation
  - Existing freight can be accommodated

*Note: May not be needed if FRA rulemaking on Alternative Compliant Vehicle in place
Alternatives

- 51 Scoping Alternatives
- Screened Alternatives
  - Feasibility
  - Project purpose and need
  - Environmental effect
- Analyzed in DEIR
  - The No Project Alternative
  - Diesel Multiple Unit Alternative (public interest)
  - Dual-Mode Multiple Unit Alternative (public interest)
  - OCS Construction Alternative: Factory Train

Cumulative Analysis
Cumulative Analysis

• Project Contributions to Cumulative Impacts

• Cumulative Projects
  – Rail Projects in Caltrain Corridor
  – Other Transportation Projects
  – Local Development along Corridor

• Key Rail Projects
  – High Speed Rail (HSR) Blended Service
  – SF Downtown Extension and Transbay Transit Center
  – Tenant railroad service expansions

HSR Blended System

• Conceptual cumulative analysis only

• HSR service
  – 2 to 4 trains per peak hour/per direction
  – Up to 110 mph

• Improvements
  – Stations at SJ (Diridon), Millbrae, SF (Transbay Transit Center)
  – RWC Station TBD
  – System improvements, grade separations, passing tracks, maintenance yard
Key Cumulative Effects

• Beneficial Effects
  – Air Quality/Reduced GHG
  – Regional Traffic

• Potential Adverse Effects
  – Aesthetics/Land Use
  – Noise and Vibration
  – Local Traffic
  – Freight Rail

• Mitigation of Caltrain funding contribution on a fair-share basis / existing agreements

Next Steps
Key Milestones

• Notice of Preparation (1/31/13 – 3/18/13)
  – Circulated widely
  – 4 public meetings

• Develop DEIR (Mar 2013 – Feb 2014)
  – Reviewed comments
  – Surveys / technical analysis
  – Riders / community outreach
  – Agency coordination
  – Stakeholder/cities coordination

Key Milestones, Continued

DEIR Comment Period (2/28/14 – 4/29/14)
  – Notice of Availability, circulated widely
  – DEIR available website, libraries, clearinghouse
  – 4 public meetings
  – 60-day comment period (longer than required)
  – www.caltrain.com/electrification

• Final EIR (Fall 2014)
• JPB Certification / Adoption (Winter 2014)
Public DEIR Meetings

Caltrain Office
1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos
Tuesday, March 18, 2014
Public Meeting: 6pm-8pm

Redwood City Library
1044 Middlefield Rd, Redwood City
Wednesday, April 2, 2014
Public Meeting: 6pm-8pm

San Jose Main Library
150 E San Fernando St, San Jose
Monday, April 7, 2014
Public Meeting: 6pm-8pm

UCSF Mission Bay
Genentech Hall Room N114
600 16th St, San Francisco
Wednesday, April 9, 2014
Public Meeting: 6pm-8pm

Public Comments

- Each speaker limited to 3 minutes
  - If large number of speakers, may be reduced to 2 minutes
- All comments will be considered
- Only written comments will be responded to in the Final EIR
Comments on DEIR

• All substantive comments (oral/written) will be considered

• Substantive written comments will receive written responses in Final EIR

• Encourage stakeholders to attend public meetings

• Written comments can be submitted to:
  – Email: electrification@caltrain.com
  – Mail: Caltrain, Attn: Stacy Cocke, P.O. Box 3006 San Carlos, CA 94070