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Board of Directors
July 2, 2015
Agenda Item 9

Agenda

• Board Action Request
  - Release EMU RFP
  - Policy direction on car shell and space
  - Staff direction on associated efforts
• Approximately One-year Process Leading to Today’s Action
• Board Action Needed to Meet 2020 Revenue Service
Background

Challenge for EMU Procurement

• Trains are over capacity
• People have long uncomfortable trips
• Bikes are being bumped
• How do we accommodate the growing ridership?
• How do we accommodate the different needs of our customers?
• How do we accommodate a future blended system?
One-year Process (Key Milestones)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Request for Information (Industry Engagement)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Survey (Seats/Standees/Bikes/Bathrooms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Boarding Height Assessment and Industry Discussions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft RFP (Industry Comments)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

June JPB Staff Proposal

Car Shell

- Bi-level EMU cars can be modified to not preclude shared level boarding with HSR in the future
- Modified cars would have low and high doors
- To maximize seats, only low doors used and high doors sealed until activation is warranted
- If both low and high doors activated, there will be loss of seats
- If modified cars cost more, JPB will request that HSR fund
Original and Modified EMUs

Original

Modified

June JPB Staff Proposal

*Car Space*

- Overriding Consideration
  - Safety
  - Balance different customer needs
- Increase and Maximize Seats/Standees/Bikes
  - Seats to bike ratio 9:1
  - $3 million commitment to wayside bike facilities
  - No bathroom onboard
  - Pursue station bathrooms with local partners
Feedback Received
(May / June)

Feedback Sources

- Elected Officials / Boards
  - JPB (2); Local Policy Maker Group (2); San Mateo County Transportation Authority; SF Land Use Committee; Transbay Joint Powers Authority; Diridon Station Joint Policy Advisory Committee

- Advisory Committees / Groups
  - JPB CAC (2); JPB Bicycle Advisory Committee (2); Caltrain Accessibility Advisory Committee; San Mateo County Transportation Authority CAC; SF County Transportation Authority CAC; City / County Staff Coordinating Group (2); SF Bicycle Advisory Committee

- Public comments at meetings
- Meetings with various advocacy groups
- Email, website, social media
Stakeholder Feedback (Car Shell)

- Most support modified cars not precluding shared level boarding with HSR in future
- Some said modifications should be made to HSR cars or to station platforms
- Most support sealing upper doors and maximizing seats until activation of the upper doors is warranted
- Most support asking HSR for funding if modified Caltrain cars cost more

Stakeholder Feedback (Car Space)

- Many comfortable with 9:1 seats to bike ratio onboard
- Bike advocates not supportive of 9:1 ratio, asking for:
  - 6:1 or 5:1 seats to bike ratio onboard
  - System-wide bike access mode policy of 20%
- Many support wayside bike improvements
- Many said comfortable and safe standee space
- Many said at least one bathroom onboard (especially for special events and unexpected incidents)
Draft RFP Questions

- Draft RFP Issued to Confirm Initial Information from Car Builders
- Focus of Questions
  - Confirm previously designed cars can be modified
  - Confirm 2020 revenue service target date can be met
  - What is the range of seats and bikes onboard when the 9:1 seats to bike ratio is applied?
  - What is the feasibility of adding one bathroom per train?
  - What are the bike storage configuration assumptions, real-time bike storage availability, and concepts to move between middle and lower level?

Industry Response

- Five Car Builders Responded
- Key Findings
  - Two confirmed ability to meet 2020 revenue service
  - Three said delivery of cars could take 6 months longer
  - Three estimated additional cost for modified car of 3% – 5%
- Bike-related Information
  - Access between levels with ramp adjacent to stairs
  - Real time bike storage information R&D effort
- Additional Information Not Known Until Design
  - Number of seats and bikes onboard
  - Bike area configuration
  - Specifics about ADA bathroom internal and external access
Proposed Board Action

Updated Staff Recommendations

- Authorize Issuance of RFP
- Approve Following Policy Direction:
  - Obtain prices for 2 car options (original and modified)
  - For modified car, keep upper doors sealed to maximize seats and activate when warranted and request funding from HSR if additional cost
  - Design EMUs to enhance safety and comfort of standing passengers
  - Maintain onboard ratio of 9 seats to 1 bike
  - Include one bathroom per train
Proposed Board Action continued

- Direct staff to take the following associated but separate actions
  - Modernize wayside bicycle facilities
  - Explore feasibility of station bathrooms

Questions