

3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials

3.8.1 Existing Conditions

3.8.1.1 Regulatory Setting

Federal

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Hazardous waste in California is regulated primarily under the authority of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 United States Code (U.S.C.) Section 6901 et seq. RCRA was established in 1976 to protect human health and the environment, reduce waste, conserve energy and natural resources, and minimize the generation of hazardous waste. Under the authority of RCRA, the regulatory framework for managing hazardous waste, including requirements for entities that generate, store, transport, treat, and dispose of hazardous waste, is found in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Sections 260–299. Other applicable federal laws and regulations include the following.

- 49 CFR Parts 172 and 173: These regulations establish standards for the transport of hazardous materials and hazardous wastes. The standards include requirements for labeling, packaging, and shipping hazardous materials and hazardous wastes, as well as training requirements for personnel completing shipping papers and manifests.
- 40 CFR Subchapter I—Solid Wastes: These regulations implement the provisions of the Solid Waste Act and RCRA. These regulations also establish the criteria for the classification of solid waste disposal facilities (landfills), hazardous waste characteristic criteria and regulatory thresholds, hazardous waste generator requirements, and requirements for management of used oil and universal wastes.
- 40 CFR 355 Appendix A—The List of Extremely Hazardous Substances and Their Threshold Planning Quantities: This list is part of a regulation that establishes requirements for a facility to provide information necessary for developing and implementing State and local chemical emergency response plans, and requirements for emergency notification of chemical releases, including releases of Extremely Hazardous Substances as defined by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act/Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), commonly known as “Superfund,” was enacted by Congress on December 11, 1980. This law (42 U.S.C. Chapter 103) provides broad federal authority to respond directly to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances that may endanger public health or the environment. CERCLA establishes requirements concerning closed and abandoned hazardous waste sites, provides for liability of persons responsible for releases of hazardous waste at these sites, and establishes a trust fund for cleanup when no responsible party can be identified. CERCLA also enabled the revision of the National Contingency Plan (NCP). The NCP (40 CFR Part 300) provides the guidelines and

1 procedures needed to respond to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances,
2 pollutants, and/or contaminants. The NCP also established the National Priorities List (NPL).
3 CERCLA was amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) on October
4 17, 1986.

5 **Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations**

6 U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 100–185)
7 cover all aspects of hazardous materials packaging, handling, and transportation. Parts 107 (Hazard
8 Materials Program), 130 (Oil Spill Prevention and Response), 172 (Emergency Response), 173
9 (Packaging Requirements), 174 (Rail Transportation), 176 (Vessel Transportation), 177 (Highway
10 Transportation), 178 (Packaging Specifications), and 180 (Packaging Maintenance) would all apply
11 to the proposed Project and surrounding uses.

12 **Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

13 The Occupational Safety and Health Administration’s (OSHA’s) mission is to ensure the safety and
14 health of American workers by setting and enforcing standards; providing training, outreach, and
15 education; establishing partnerships; and encouraging continual improvement in workplace safety
16 and health. OSHA establishes and enforces protective standards, and it provides technical assistance
17 and consultation programs for employers and employees. OSHA standards are listed in 29 CFR
18 Section 1910.

19 **Federal Aviation Regulation, Part 77—Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace**

20 Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR), Part 77 allows the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to
21 identify potential aeronautical hazards (in advance of a project’s construction) in an effort to
22 prevent or minimize adverse impacts to the safe use of navigable airspace via:

- 23 • Requirements to provide notice to the FAA of certain proposed construction, or the alteration of
24 existing structures.
- 25 • Standards used to determine obstructions to air navigation, and navigational and
26 communication facilities.
- 27 • A process for aeronautical studies of obstructions to air navigation or navigational facilities to
28 determine the effect on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace, air navigation facilities
29 or equipment.
- 30 • A process to petition the FAA for discretionary review of determinations, revisions, and
31 extensions of determinations.

32 **State**

33 **California Environmental Protection Agency**

34 The California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) was created in 1991. It unified
35 California’s environmental authority in a single cabinet-level agency and brought California Air
36 Resources Board, State Water Resources Control Board, Regional Water Quality Control Board
37 (RWQCB), Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, the Department of Toxic Substances
38 Control (DTSC), the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the Department of
39 Pesticide Regulation under one agency. These agencies were placed within the Cal/EPA “umbrella”

1 for the protection of human health and the environment and to ensure the coordinated deployment
2 of state resources. Cal/EPA's mission is to restore, protect, and enhance the environment and ensure
3 public health, environmental quality, and economic vitality.

4 **Hazardous Waste Control Act**

5 DTSC is responsible for the enforcement of the Hazardous Waste Control Act (California Health and
6 Safety Code Section 25100 et seq.), which creates the framework under which hazardous wastes are
7 managed in California. The law provides for the development of a State of California hazardous
8 waste program that administers and implements the provisions of the federal RCRA cradle-to-grave
9 waste management system in California. It also provides for the designation of California-only
10 hazardous waste and development of standards that are equal to or, in some cases, more stringent
11 than federal requirements.

12 **California Code of Regulations, Title 8—Industrial Relations**

13 Occupational safety standards exist in federal and state laws to minimize worker safety risks from
14 both physical and chemical hazards in the workplace. The California Division of Occupational Safety
15 and Health (Cal OSHA) and the federal OSHA are the agencies responsible for assuring worker safety
16 in the workplace. Cal OSHA assumes primary responsibility for developing and enforcing standards
17 for safe workplaces and work practices. These standards would be applicable to construction
18 activities of the proposed Project.

19 **California Labor Code (Division 5, Parts 1, 6, 7, and 7.5)**

20 The California Labor Code is a collection of regulations that includes the regulation of the workplace
21 to assure appropriate training on the use and handling of hazardous materials and the operation of
22 equipment and machines that use, store, transport, or dispose of hazardous materials. Labor Code
23 Division 5, Part 1, Chapter 2.5 ensures employees that are in charge of the handling of hazardous
24 materials are appropriately trained on, and informed of, the materials they are handling. Division 5,
25 Part 6 governs the operation and care of hazardous material storage tanks and boilers. Division 5,
26 Part 7 ensures employees who work with volatile flammable liquids are outfitted in appropriate
27 safety gear and clothing. Division 5, Part 7.5, otherwise referred to as the California Refinery and
28 Chemical Plant Worker Safety Act of 1990, was enacted to prevent or minimize the consequences of
29 catastrophic releases of toxic, flammable, or explosive chemicals. The establishment of process
30 safety management standards is intended to eliminate, to a substantial degree, the risks to which
31 workers are exposed in petroleum refineries, chemical plants, and other related manufacturing
32 facilities.

33 **Local**

34 **San Francisco Department of Public Health, Environmental Health—Hazardous Materials and** 35 **Waste Program**

36 The Hazardous Materials and Waste Program is the state-designated enforcement program in San
37 Francisco for the Hazardous Materials Unified Program Agency (City and County of San Francisco
38 2013). Enforcement includes inspections of regulated businesses at least once every three years. San
39 Francisco also regulates hazardous materials storage and use, hazardous waste treatment, and
40 underground storage tanks under this program.

1 **City and County of San Francisco Solid Waste Management Program**

2 Private industry manages hazardous waste, collecting, handling, transporting, treating, storing, and
3 disposing of hazardous waste generated in San Francisco (City and County of San Francisco 2004).
4 The City and County of San Francisco under the Chief Administrative Officer, Solid Waste
5 Management Program, administers the local hazardous waste management process.

6 **County of San Mateo Hazardous Materials Business Plan Program**

7 The San Mateo County Health System implements this program for the safe storage and use of
8 chemicals (San Mateo County 2012a). All businesses that handle hazardous materials in specified
9 quantities are required to complete a Hazardous Materials Business Plan (Business Plan), which is
10 used to prevent or lessen damage to the health and safety of humans and the environment when a
11 hazardous material is released. A Business Plan must include a summary of business activities,
12 emergency contact information, type and quantity of the reportable hazardous material, emergency
13 response procedures, employee training on proper handling and a site map.

14 **County of San Mateo Hazardous Materials Management Program**

15 According to the *County of San Mateo General Plan*, the San Mateo County Health Department
16 proposed a Hazardous Materials Management Program aimed at monitoring hazardous waste
17 generators, prevention of illegal dumping, improved emergency spill response and preparation of a
18 hazardous waste management plan (San Mateo County 1985).

19 **County of Santa Clara Hazardous Waste Management Plan**

20 All cities in Santa Clara County address hazardous waste management planning by implementation
21 of the County Hazardous Waste Management Plan (CHWMP). The CHWMP's main objective is to
22 protect the health, safety, and economic well-being of Santa Clara County citizens and the
23 surrounding environment (Santa Clara County 1994).

24 **County of Santa Clara Hazardous Material Storage Ordinance and Uniform Fire Code**

25 These regulations address safe use, handling, and storage of hazardous materials to prevent injury,
26 releases, or potential contamination. Also, the regulations require specific protocol for storage and
27 labeling of hazardous materials (Santa Clara County 1994).

28 **3.8.1.2 Environmental Setting**

29 The following section describes the existing conditions within the project sites. Issues discussed
30 include potential hazardous materials generally along the Caltrain right-of-way (ROW) and
31 surrounding the proposed traction power facility (TPF) sites, proximity to schools, distance from
32 airports and airstrips, adopted emergency response plans, and exposure of people or structures to a
33 significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.

34 **General Conditions along the Caltrain ROW**

35 The Caltrain corridor is located within a developed urbanized context that varies from industrial to
36 commercial to residential to open space. Contaminants of concern along the Caltrain ROW due to
37 prior railway operations include arsenic, lead, and total petroleum hydrocarbons. Some portions of
38 the corridor could also be affected by adjacent industrial or commercial activities as well.

1 Proximity to Schools

2 There are ~~three~~ several schools within 0.25 mile of ~~two~~ some of the proposed TPF locations.
3 Sunshine Family Child Care and Coolidge Grammar School are located approximately 0.125 mile
4 west of the proposed Paralleling Station (PS) 3 Option 1 and 0.21 mile west of PS3 Option 2. The
5 Crescent Park Preschool is located 0.17 mile southeast of PS5, Option 1 and 0.20 mile northwest of
6 PS5, Option 1B. The ~~third school is~~ Trio-School of Music Dance and Language, ~~and~~ is located
7 approximately 0.175 mile south of the proposed PS6, Option 2. There are no existing or proposed
8 schools within 0.25 mile of any other proposed TPF.

9 Schools located within 0.25 mile of the Caltrain ROW were not included in the analysis due to the
10 minimal amount of soil disturbance expected during installation of overhead contact system (OCS)
11 poles. Furthermore, hazardous materials are not expected to be handled or stored along the ROW.

12 Hazardous Materials Database Results

13 The Caltrain ROW has been an active rail corridor for more than 100 years. In addition to rail
14 operations being a potential source of contamination along the entire project corridor, construction
15 of proposed TPFs would be surrounded by numerous sites noted in various environmental
16 databases as having been or as being currently contaminated.

17 A historical environmental database search conducted by ICF International personnel via
18 Environmental Data Resources (EDR) in June of 2013 found a total of 107 sites as sources of
19 potential contamination within a 0.25-mile radius of each of the proposed TPF sites. The sites were
20 found in various environmental databases and were listed as either open sites undergoing
21 assessment and/or remediation or closed case sites. Table 3.8-1 lists these sites, along with their
22 current status, the environmental databases in which they are found, and a level of concern
23 designation that describes the site's likelihood of impacting the Proposed Project. EDR reports were
24 combined for some of the potential TPF sites because of their close proximity to one another. In such
25 cases, a point of equal distance between potential sites was chosen as the center of the EDR analysis.
26 The radius analyzed was then expanded to allow for the analysis of all sites within 0.25 mile of all
27 TPF locations. Additional search of several environmental databases was conducted for PS5, Option
28 1B and PS7 Variant A and B in November 2014.

29 Level of concern categories were assigned to these current or previously contaminated sites
30 dependent on their likelihood to impact the proposed Project. Site status, contaminated media (e.g.,
31 soil or groundwater), and distance from the proposed TPF locations were the primary factors of
32 concern. In some cases (dependent on site characteristics) concern levels were combined.

33 The following are descriptions of the level of concern categories.

- 34 • High level concern sites are sites that are open/active and undergoing contamination
35 characterization and/or remediation. These sites have the potential to be substantially
36 contaminated and are located immediately adjacent to (with soil and/or groundwater
37 contamination) or within 0.125 mile of (with groundwater contamination) the proposed
38 locations.

1 **Table 3.8-1. Known Hazardous Materials/Wastes Sites with Potential to Affect Proposed Traction**
 2 **Power Facility Sites**

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
PS1	Bay Area Super Shuttle 700 16th Street 0.16-mile N of PS1	HIST Cortese, LUST, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST, RCRA GEN-SGN, FINDS	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1987. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Direct Mail Service 209 Mississippi Street 0.07-mile SW of PS1	LUST	Gasoline impacted soil only. The case was closed in 2000. Location within 0.125 of a mile from project site.	Low
	L and H Paint Products/Company 150 Mississippi Street 0.07-mile NW of PS1	HIST Cortese, LUST, UST, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted soil only. The case was closed in 1993. Location within 0.125 of a mile from project site.	Low
	Louie Property 200 Mississippi Street 0.07-mile SW of PS1	HIST Cortese, LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2006. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low / Medium
	Macor, Inc. 1200 17th Street 0.14-mile NW of PS1	HIST Cortese, LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2009. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Mariposa Street and Interstate 280 880 Miraposa Street vicinity 0.07-mile SE of PS1	LUST	Contaminated soil only. Contaminants included stoddard solvents, mineral spirits, and distillates. Case closed status granted in 1998. Location within 0.125 of a mile of project site.	Low
	Mission Bay P10 1600 Owens Street 0.25-mile N of PS1	US Brownfields	Site consists of former rail yards and parking lots. 300 acre site to be re-developed in area. According to the database, contaminated soil has been remediated. Groundwater contamination is unknown. Contaminants have included asbestos, lead, PCBs, petroleum products and VOCs.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
PS2	Bayshore Buyback Sanitary Fill SWETS 501 Tunnel Avenue 0.16-mile S of PS2	FINDS, SWRCY, UST, HIST UST, LUST, RCAGEN-SGN, SWEEPS UST, AST	SWETS (three separate events): First occurrence, contaminated soil. Contaminants of concern included waste oil, motor, hydraulic, and lubricating fluids. Case closed status granted in 1995. Second occurrence, diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1999. Third occurrence, gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2009. Bayshore Buyback: contaminated groundwater. Contaminants of concern included waste oil, motor, hydraulic, and lubricating fluids. Case closed status granted in 2009. Sanitary Fill: low priority site. Groundwater impacted by waste oil. Site undergoing post remedial monitoring. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low / Medium
	Bayshore Gas and Service 2260 Bayshore Boulevard 0.09-mile WNW of PS2	UST, LUST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Status is open and eligible for closure. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Medium
	Blanken Avenue Parking Lot for the former Schlage Lock Factory 2201 Bayshore Boulevard 0.04-mile N of PS2	Envirostor	Site evaluated per DTSC. DTSC activities complete. Contaminants (diesel, molybdenum, and arsenic) above screening levels in soil. Further investigation needed for characterization of contamination.	Medium / High
	Ceco Corporation 401 Tunnel Avenue 0.12-mile S of PS2	LUST, RCAGEN-SGN, UST, FINDS, HIST Cortese	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2003. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	P&F Distributors 5111 Tunnel Avenue 0.24-mile S of PS2	LUST, San Mateo Co. BI	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2004. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Schlage Lock Company Bayshore Boulevard and Sunnydale Avenue 2401 Bayshore Boulevard 0.15-mile SW of PS2	HIST Cal-Sites, Cortese, Response, Envirostor, RCAGEN-SGN, FTTS, HIST FTTS, FINDS, HIST Cortese, EMI, UST, SWEEPS UST, CA FID UST	Active DTSC Site Cleanup Program site. VOCs, including TCE and PCE contamination in both groundwater and soil in 1997. Vapor extraction (SVE) begun in 1999. Operation and maintenance plan approved for SVE system in 2000. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Medium / High

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	T.W. Automotive 2500 Bayshore Boulevard 0.19-mile WSW of PS2	LUST, HIST Cortese, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
TPS1 (Options 1 through 3)	Airborne Express Corp. OYS/Monroe Schnieder Assoc. 274 Wattis Way 0.36-mile S from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.64-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	UST, LUST	Xylene impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1992. Site is located at a lower elevation than all TPS1 locations.	Low
	Airport Olympic 100 Baden 0.36-mile NW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.29-mile SW from TPS1 Option 4</u>	UST, LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Site is located at a higher elevation than all TPS1 locations.	Low
	Alan Baker Company 160 Sylvester Road 0.15-miles SE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.27-mile S from TPS 1 Option 4</u>	LUST, RC-RAGEN-LGN, FINDS, CA FID UST, HIST UST, HIST Cortese, WDS, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted soil only. Case was closed in 2000. Two active USTs onsite. Site approximately 0.09 mi west of TPS1 Option 1.	Low / Medium
	Associated Road Parcel Sylvester Road/East Grand Avenue 0.20-mile NW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.31-mile S from TPS 1 Option 4</u>	SLIC	Contaminated groundwater (solvents). As of 2007, site undergoing assessment and is listed as open. Site located 0.18 mile west from TPS1 Option 1 and is at a higher elevation.	Low / Medium
	Avis Rent A Car System 230 Harbor Way 0.15-miles SE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.51-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	RC-RAGEN-SGN, HIST UST, LUST, FINDS, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. Bl.	Impacted groundwater. Contaminants included gasoline, waste oil, and motor, hydraulic, and lubricating fluids. Site was granted case closed status in 2010 for gasoline leak. Waste oil leak case closed in 2003. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Bell Electric Supply 208 E. Grand Avenue 0.27-mile NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.33-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	UST, LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1995. Site is located at a lower elevation than all TPS1 locations.	Low
	Britannia Developments 115-185 Harbor Way 0.22-mile NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.33-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	SLIC, LUST	Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil and groundwater. Verification monitoring underway. Soil sampling was conducted during the removal of two onsite USTs in 1999. Site is located at a lower elevation than all TPS1 locations.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Budget Rent A Car Hertz Corporation 177 S. Airport Boulevard 0.21-miles NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.40-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST UST, RC-RAGEN – SGN, FINDS, HIST Cortese, CA FID UST, Sacramento Co. CS, SWEEPS UST	Impacted groundwater with automotive fluids such as gasoline and additives. Case closed by San Mateo County LUST in 2002. Site located approximately 0.10 mile SW of TPS1 Option 1 and at a higher elevation.	Low / Medium
	Caltrans District 4 Maintenance Station 166 Harbor Way 0.15-mile NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.37-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	RC-RAGEN-SGN, FINDS, HIST Cortese, RESPONSE, ENVIROSTOR	Site is under the DTSC Site Cleanup Program for contaminated soil. As of 2006, the site was part of the Voluntary Cleanup Program. Site is located approximately 0.04 mile east of TPS1 Option 2 and is at a lower elevation than all TPS1 locations.	Low / Medium
	Color Craft 255 S. Airport Boulevard 0.32-miles SW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.65-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	CTC Food International/Oriental Trading Company 131 W. Harris Avenue 0.07-miles SW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.43-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted as of 2000. Site is approximately .06 mile NE from TPS1 Option 2 and at a lower elevation. Site at a higher elevation than TPS1 Option 1 and TPS1 Option 2.	Low / Medium
	Don's Auto Wreckers 137 Harbor Way 0.16-mile NE of TPS1 Option 1; <u>0.43-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline contaminated media (media type not reported). Case closed in 1997. Site is approximately .09 mile NE from TPS1 Option 3 and is at a lower elevation than TPS1 locations.	Low
	East Grand Olympic Cardto/Flyers LLC 190 E. Grand Avenue 0.21-mile N from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.28-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	SWEEPS UST, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl, HIST Cortese, CA FID UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2009. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Exelixis, Inc. 169 Harbor Way 0.15-miles NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.42-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	RC-RAGEN – LGN, San Mateo Co. Bl, FINDS, CHMIRS	Large quantity generator with pre-transport violations. Violations were reported in 2005 and 2008 as written informal notices by the EPA. Site is located approximately 0.04 mile east of TPS1 Option 3.	Low
	Former gas station/Airport Boulevard service station 190 Airport Boulevard 0.35-miles NW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.28-mile SW from TPS1 Option 4</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Site at a higher elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Godar and Hossenlopp Printing Co/Bay Bridge Hardware Supply 151 Mitchell Avenue 0.15 mile from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.52-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	RCRAGEN SGN, LUST, HIST Cortese, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl, FINDS HAZNET, EMI	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1995. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Golden Gate Petroleum 114-126 Harbor Way 0.22-miles NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.31-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2013. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Hamptons Service Inc 248 Airport Boulevard 0.28-miles SW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.26-mile SW from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2006. Site located approximately 0.125 mile SW of TPS1 Option 2. Site at a higher elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low / Medium
	Harmon Schragge & Co 280 Wattis 0.37-miles S from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.71-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1996. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Ken Funk Property 264 Airport Boulevard 0.30-miles from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.26-mile SW from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1998 Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	MG Truck Wash Nella Oil 219 Texaco 176 Gateway Boulevard 0.15-miles from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.34-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	RCRAGEN – VGN, LUST, San Mateo Co.	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2004.	Low / Medium
	Olympian/Ryder Truck Rental 186 E. Grand Avenue 0.21-mile N from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.29-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, FINDS, RCRAGEN-SGN	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1996. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Produce Shell/Equilon Enterprises 140 Produce Avenue 0.33-miles SW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.63-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl, FINDS	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2005. Site located approximately 0.18 mile SW of TPS1 Option 2. Site at a higher elevation than proposed TPS1 locations	Low
	Sewage Pump Station 4 Fire Station #2 249 Harbor Way 0.21-miles from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.60-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, HIST UST, San Mateo Co. Bl, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2003. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Shell Service Station 248 S. Airport Boulevard 0.30-miles SW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.62-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, RCRA GEN – SGN, FINDS, HAZNET	Case closed status. Contaminated media unknown. Site approximately 0.15 mile SW of TPS1 Option 2. Site at a lower elevation than all proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Somerset Studios 108 Sylvester Road 0.18-mile NW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.17-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. BI	Gasoline impacted soil only. Case closed status was granted in 2000. Site located approximately 0.10 mile west of TPS1 Option 1.	Low
	South City Ford 315 Airport Boulevard 0.38-mile NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.20-mile SW from TPS1 Option 4</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. BI, SWEEPS UST, CA FID UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Site was granted case closed status in 2003. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	So. San Francisco Tire Service 114 Harbor Way 0.22-mile NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.31-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	HIST Cortese, HIST UST, LUST, San Mateo Co. BI	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Site was granted case closed status in 2003. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Traditional Wood Works/HAAS Woodworking 184 Harbor Way 0.14-miles NE from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.41-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, CA FIDUST, RCRA GEN-SGN, FINDS, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. BI, HAZNET	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Site was granted case closed status in 2001. Site at a lower elevation than proposed TPS1 locations.	Low
	Troyer Automatic Doors, Inc 162 W. Harris Avenue 0.07-miles from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.50-mile SE from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST	Impacted groundwater. Contaminants included stoddard solvents, mineral spirits and distillates. Case closed status granted in 2012.	Low / Medium
	UST Site 175 Sylvester 0.14-miles NW from center point between TPS1 Options 1 through 3; <u>0.31-mile S from TPS1 Option 4</u>	LUST, San Mateo Co. BI	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2010. Site located approximately 0.10 mile west of TPS1 Option 2. Site at a higher elevation than proposed locations.	Low / Medium
PS3 <u>(Options 1 and 2)</u>	ARC Electric Company Cameron Ashley Building 1330 Marsten Road 0.17-mile NE of PS3 <u>Option 1</u> ; <u>0.18-mile NE from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. BI	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1998. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	ARCO 0508 Prestige Stations, Inc. 1000 Broadway <u>0.17-mile E of PS3 Option 1; 0.10-mile E from PS3 Option 2</u>	CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl, LUST, HIST UST, RCRAGEN-SGN, FINDS, CHMIRS	Site is an active LUST site and is undergoing remediation activities. Gasoline impacted groundwater. Benzene, toluene, xylenes, MTBE, TBA all considered contaminants of concern. In late 2001, three USTs were removed from the site. Separate phase product (SPPH) was observed in groundwater during the removal of the USTs. Over excavation of impacted soil and removal of SPPH has occurred onsite. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Medium
	Auto Pride Car Wash 1095 Carolan Avenue <u>0.23-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.10-mile E from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2011. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Chevron Station 1101 Broadway <u>0.15-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.12-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, HIST UST, San Mateo Co. Bl RCRAGEN-SGN, FINDS, HAZNET	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2005. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Bekins Storage Mark Harvey Acura 1070 Broadway <u>0.15-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.08-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, EMI, HIST LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Impacted media unknown. Case closed status granted by San Mateo County LUST. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Biscays Auto Repair 1215 California Drive <u>0.11-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.08-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2000. Location at a higher elevation than project site and within 0.125 of a mile of project footprint.	Low / Medium
	Burlingame Fire Department Station 3 1399 Rollins Road <u>0.21-mile NW of PS3 Option 1; 0.22-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, HIST UST, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2000. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Caulking Waterproofing, Inc. vacant warehouse 1333 Marsten Road <u>0.16-mile NE of PS3 Option 1; 0.15-mile N from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. Bl, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1993. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	City of Burlingame 1391 Rollins <u>0.20-mile NW of PS3 Option 1; 0.21-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2004. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Autohaus Schmid Inc. D and M Towing and Auto 1213 Rollins Road <u>0.15-mile NE of PS3 Option 1; 0.09-mile NE from PS3 Option 2</u>	UST, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl, RCRAGEN-SGN, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST	Groundwater impacted by unknown contaminant. Site stores fuels and waste oil. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a higher elevation than project site	Low
	PK Auto Service L&S Auto Repair Desert Petroleum Fred Koo Service Station 1100 Broadway Avenue <u>0.14-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.09-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, SWEEPS UST, HIST Cortese, SLIC HIST UST, San Mateo Co. Bl, UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2002. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Encore Theater 1159 California Drive <u>0.20-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.10-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, SWEEPS UST, HIST Cortese, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Horn Investment and Realty Hornung Trucking Service Eva Person 1344 Marsten Road <u>0.16-mile NE of PS3 Option 1 and Option 2</u>	LUST, HIST UST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1995. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	John Sutti and Associates, Inc. Warehouse II 1327 Carolan Avenue <u>0.08-mile N of PS3 Option 1; 0.10-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1996. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Burlington Auto Center 1368 Rollins Road <u>0.15-mile NW of PS3 Option 1; 0.18-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2002. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Mike Harvey Chrysler Plymouth 1049 Broadway <u>0.16-mile SE of PS3 Option 1; 0.11-mile E from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, UST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Mosquito Abatement Office San Mateo County 1351 Rollins Road <u>0.14-mile N of PS3 Option 1; 0.12-miles SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, ENF, San Mateo Co. Bl, CA FID UST, HIST Cortese, SWEEPS UST, HIST UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Mr. Detail 1405 N. Carolan Avenue <u>0.18-mile NW of PS3 Option 1; 0.24-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST,	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1999. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Myers Air Conditioning 1395 Marsten Road <u>0.16-mile NE of PS3 Option 1; 0.23-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, HIST UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1996. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Nicolet Property 1348 Rollins Road <u>0.13-mile N of PS3 Option 1; 0.25-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST,	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Pacific Construction Berk-Ware Products Inc. 1369 N. Carolan Avenue <u>0.07-mile NW of PS3 Option 1; 0.19-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Warehouse I 1337 N. Carolan Avenue <u>0.08-mile N of PS3 Option 1; 0.12-mile NW from PS3 Option 2</u>	LUST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1999. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	United Transmission Inc. 1131 California Drive <u>0.23-mile SE of PS4 Option 1; 0.18-mile SE from PS3 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, SWEEPS UST, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Contaminated groundwater. Contaminants included stoddard solvents, distillates, and mineral spirits. Case closed status granted in 1996. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
PS4 (Options 1 and 2) (Options 1, 2, and 3)	Chevron 9-4224 Hillside Chevron 2950 El Camino Real <u>0.19-mile NW of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; 0.25-mile NW from PS4 Option 3</u>	LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl, HIST Cortese,	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2005. Location at a higher elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2.	Low / Medium
	C&P Service Twenty-Eighth Avenue Car Wash 2777 El Camino Real <u>0.31-mile NW of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; 0.46-mile NW from PS4 Option 3</u>	LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl, HIST Cortese,	Site is an active LUST site and is undergoing assessment. Gasoline impacted groundwater. Contaminants of concern include MTBE, TBA, and other oxygenates. Location at a lower elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2. Site approximately 0.21 mile NW of Option 1.	Low / Medium
	Hillside Auto Wash 3651 El Camino Real <u>0.35-mile NW of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; 0.20-mile SE from PS4 Option 3</u>	LUST, HIST UST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl, HIST Cortese,	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2000. Location at a higher elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2.	Low
	Mobil 40-FVW 3600 South El Camino Real <u>0.32-mile SE of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; 0.19-mile SE from PS4 Option 3</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST	Contaminated groundwater. Contaminants included waste oil and motor, hydraulic, and lubricating fluids. Case closed status granted in 1998. Location at a higher elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Olympic San Mateo 2790 El Camino Real 0.31-mile NW of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; <u>0.46-mile NW from PS4 Option 3</u>	HIST Cortese, SWEEPS UST, LUST, Notify 65, San Mateo Co. Bl, CA FID UST	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a lower elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2.	Low
	Commercial property 2745 El Camino Real 0.34-mile NW of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; <u>0.46-mile NW from PS4 Option 3</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a lower elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2.	Low
	Unocal Union Oil Service Station 2661 2800 El Camino Real 0.29-mile NW of center point between PS4 Options 1 and 2; <u>0.30-mile NW from PS4 Option 3</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2000. Location at a lower elevation than the center point between PS4 Option 1 and Option 2.	Low
SWS1 <u>(Options 1 and 2)</u>	Beach Cleaners Clean N Press 2537 El Camino Real 0.25-mile SW of SWS1 Option 1; <u>0.39-mile SE from SWS1 Option 2</u>	LUST, SLIC, EMI	Leak discovered during a tank closure (1996). PCE released into soil and groundwater. Groundwater was extracted and soil was over excavated. Some soil left in place due to its proximity to building foundations. Case closed status granted in 2009.	Low
	C&B Construction Co. 438 Stanford Avenue 0.23-mile NE of SWS1 Option 1; <u>0.53-mile E from SWS1 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2012. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	CBL Technologies Inc. 2682 Middlefield Road 0.17-mile NE of SWS1 Option 1; <u>0.40-mile SE from SWS1 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, San Mateo Co. Bl	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1998. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Fire Station #1 (former) 1036 Middlefield Road 0.20-mile N of SWS1 Option 1; <u>0.57-mile NW from SWS1 Option 2</u>	HIST Cortese, LUST, SLIC	Diesel impacted groundwater. Two separate events. Case closed status granted in 2000 and 2009, respectively. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
PS5 (Option 1)	No sites were reported within 0.25-miles of PS5 Option 1	NA	NA	NA
PS5 (Option 1B) ^b	<u>San Antonio Cleaners</u> 225 San Antonio Road #8 0.25 mile S of PS5 Option 1B	<u>RCRA</u>	<u>Dry cleaner facility small quantity generator</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Alps Photo</u> 225 San Antonio Road 0.25 mile S of PS5 Option 1B	<u>RCRA</u>	<u>Photo shop small quantity generator</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Franciscan Glass Co.</u> 100 San Antonio Circle 0.14 mile SE of PS5, Option 1B	<u>LUST</u>	<u>LUST cleanup site. Case Closed 1991</u>	<u>Low</u>

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	<u>Old Mill Tierra Property.</u> <u>255 San Antonio Road</u> <u>0.20 mile S of PS5, Option 1B</u>	<u>LUST</u>	<u>LUST cleanup site. Case Closed</u> <u>2003</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Coast Casey Pump Station</u> <u>101 N. San Antonio Road</u> <u>0.18 mile S of PS5, Option 1B</u>	<u>LUST</u>	<u>LUST cleanup site. Case Closed</u> <u>1990</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Victor's Goodyear</u> <u>298 San Antonio Road</u> <u>0.24 mile S of PS5, Option 1B</u>	<u>LUST</u>	<u>LUST cleanup site. Case Closed</u> <u>1991</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>I.C. Penney</u> <u>San Antonio Road at Alma Street</u> <u>0.22 mile E of PS5, Option 1B</u>	<u>LUST</u>	<u>LUST cleanup site. Case Closed</u> <u>2013</u>	<u>Low</u>
PS5 (Option 2)	Blieber Iron Works 3101 Park Boulevard 0.24-mile SW of PS5 Option 2	HIST Cortese, LUST, HIST LUST, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1995. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Hewlett Packard 620-640 Page Mill Road 0.00-mile from PS5 Option 2	NPL, CERCLIS, US ENG Controls, US INST Controls, ROD, HIST Cortese, SLIC, ENF, LUST, SWEEPS UST, San Mateo Co. BI	NPL Superfund site. 300 gallons of waste solvents leaked from a buried storage tank in 1981. Sampling conducted in 1986 detected high concentration of contaminants in groundwater under the tank. Municipal drinking water wells located within 3 miles of the site. HP has conducted excavation of contaminated soil on several occasions. Pump and treat system on site. The most recent 5-year review occurred in September of 2010.	High
	Hewlett Packard MFG DIV 395 Page Mill Road 0.22-mile SW of PS5 Option 2	CERC-NFRAP, CORRACTS, RCRAGEN- SGN, FINDS, HIST LUST, CUPA Listings, HIST Cortese, Cortese, SLIC, ENF, LUST, Envirostor	Impacted soil under LUST. Case closed in 1999. SLIC status active. Site is listed as undergoing remediation for contaminated soil and groundwater. Part of a study area that includes the HP facility at 640 Page Mill Road. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Medium / High
	Lockheed Missiles & Space Co. 3101 Park Boulevard 0.10-mile SE of PS5 Option 2	RCRA NonGen, HIST Cortese, LUST, HIST LUST, HAZNET, FINDS	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low / Medium
	Hohbach 200 Page Mill Road 0.11-mile W of PS5 Option 2	HIST Cortese, LUST, HIST LUST,	Active LUST site (previously a gasoline station). Gasoline impacted groundwater. Undergoing site assessment activities. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Medium

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Oregon Expressway Underpass Oregon Expressway & Alma Street 0.22-mile NW of PS5 Option 2	Envirostor	Dewatering system for roadway maintenance located at this site. Contamination has migrated from nearby HP facility (and others). EPA has recommended no further action under CERCLIS. State has recommended site screening. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Vance Brown & Sons 2747 Park Boulevard 0.14-mile W of PS5 Option 2	HIST Cortese, LUST, HIST LUST, SLIC, HIST UST, CA FID UST, SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. As of 2009, site active in the SLIC database as a Cleanup Program Site. Contaminated media is under investigation. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low / Medium
PS6 (Options 1 and 2)	Hill Fred 111 N. Sunnyvale Avenue 0.14-mile E of center point between PS6 Options 1 and 2	CA LUST, CA HIST LUST, CA EMI	Gasoline impacted groundwater and soil. As of 2001, site active under LUST and undergoing remediation activities. Site has been occupied by a gasoline service station since 1966. Location at a lower elevation than project site and is approximately 0.08 mile east of PS6 Option 1.	Medium
	Northrup Grumman Marine Systems/Westinghouse Electric Corp. 401 East Hendy Avenue 400 feet E of PS6 Option 1	NPL, CERCLIS, RCRA GEN LGN, US ENG Controls, US INST Control, ROD, PADS, FINDS, US AIRS, PRP	NPL site. Westinghouse Electric Corp. manufactured electrical transformers on 75-acre site. Contamination is believed to have originated from a leaking PCB UST and localized spills. Contamination includes PCBs and dichloro, trichloro and tetrachlorobenzene. Leaking UST was removed and soil and groundwater contamination was characterized. Most recent 5-year review conducted on September of 2011.	High
	City of Sunnyvale Sunnyvale Fire Station 171 Mathilda Avenue 0.22-mile NW of center point between PS6 Options 1 and 2	CA LUST, CA HIST LUST, CA SLIC	Two events involved gasoline impacted groundwater. Event 1 was granted case closed status in 1986. Event 2 was granted case closed status in 1995. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Sunnyvale Town Center 2502 Town Center Lane 0.37-mile SW of center point between PS6 Options 1 and 2	CA NPDES, CA SLIC	As of 2009, an active SLIC site. Remediation under way. PCE, diesel and gasoline impacted groundwater. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
TPS2 (Options 1 and 2)	San Jose Airport 1101 Airport Boulevard 0.20-mile NE of TPS2 Option 1	CA LUST, CA HIST Cortese	Aviation and diesel fuel contaminated groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2009. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Bay Area/Golden Gate/Diablo Petroleum 905 Stockton Avenue 0.17-mile SE of TPS2 Option 2	CA LUST, CA NPDES, CA HIST Cortese, CA SLIC, CA HIST LUST, CA CUPA Listings, CA ENF, CA WDS	Contaminated groundwater and soil. Contaminants of concern include diesel, gasoline, waste oil, and motor, hydraulic, and lubricating fluids. 15 USTs have been removed or abandoned in situ. 60 cubic yards of soil were excavated during UST removal activities. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Medium
	Central Concrete 928 Stockton Avenue 0.13-mile SE of TPS2 Option 2	LUST, CA LUST, CA HIST LUST	Diesel impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1995. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Eagle Painting 645 Hamline Street 0.12-mile NE of TPS2 Option 2	CA LUST, CA HIST LUST, CA HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	Ferron, Inc. 645 W. Hedding Street 0.22-mile SE of TPS2 Option 2	LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1995. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	John Colendich Automotive 950 Hedding Street 0.24-mile SE of TPS2 Option 2	LUST, CA HIST Cortese, CA HIST LUST, CA CUPA Listings, CA San Jose HAZMAT, CA SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Contaminants of concern include benzene, toluene, and xylenes. Status open, remediation under way. Location at a lower elevation than project site.	Low
	McNab Enterprises 1098 Stockton Avenue 0.07-mile NE of TPS2 Option 1; 0.10-mile NW of TPS2 Option 2	CA LUST, CA HIST Cortese, CA HIST LUST, CA CUPA Listings	Gasoline impacted soil only. Contaminated soil was removed and case closed status was granted in 1993.	Low
	Wattis Construction 964 Stockton Avenue 0.07-mile NE of TPS2 Option 2	CA HIST UST, CA LUST, CA CUPA Listings, CA San Jose HAZMAT, CA SWEEPS UST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. The facility has four permitted USTs on site. Site is at a lower elevation than proposed project locations.	Low
TPS2 (Option 3)	Air Systems 381 Stockton Avenue 0.22-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, HIST UST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Don Bocci Mobil Service 395 Stockton Avenue 0.21-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, SLIC, HIST LUST, HIST Cortese	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2009. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	Farmer's Sheet Metal 725 Lenzen Avenue 0.17-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, HIST Cortese, HIST LUST, CUPA Listings, San Jose HAZMAT	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2003. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Montgomery Street Property 341 Montgomery Street 0.20-mile SE of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, RCAGEN-SGN, HIST LUST, EMI, CUPA Listings, San Jose HAZMAT, FINDS, HIST Cortese, HAZNET	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	PG&E 650 Lenzen Avenue 0.08-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	SPILLS, NFRAP	A preliminary assessment was conducted in January of 1987. No further action required status granted in February 1987.	Low
	PG&E 655 Lenzen Avenue 0.09-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, HIST Cortese, HIST LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1999. Site is at a higher elevation than project site.	Low / Medium
	Serpa Property 435 Stockton Avenue 0.16-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, HIST LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2002. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Southern Pacific Transport Company 595 Lenzen Avenue 0.05-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, RCAGEN-SGN, HIST LUST	Gasoline impacted soil only. Case closed status granted in 1997. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low / Medium
	Tim's Auto Trim 369 Stockton Avenue 0.23-mile SE of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, HIST LUST	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 2001. Location at a higher elevation than project site.	Low
	Unocal 500 Stockton Avenue 0.17-mile SW of TPS2 Option 3	LUST, HIST LUST, HIST Cortese, CUPA Listings, San Jose HAZMAT	Gasoline impacted groundwater. Case closed status granted in 1998. Site is at a higher elevation than proposed project site.	Low
PS7	No sites were reported within 0.25-mile of PS7	NA	NA	NA
<u>PS7 Variant A and B^b</u>	<u>Almaden Property</u> <u>1545 Almaden Road</u> <u>0.20 mile SE of PS7 Variant A/B</u>	<u>RWQCB</u>	<u>Former USTs for volatile organic compound storage. Case closed in 1997 after soil remediation.</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Smith Properties</u> <u>1545 Almaden Avenue</u> <u>0.24 mile SE of PS7 Variant A/B</u>	<u>RWQCB</u>	<u>Cleanup program site. Case closed in 1993.</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Mids X-Ray/Louis Used Fixer</u> <u>150 Goble Lane</u> <u>0.23 mile SE of PS7 Variant A/B</u>	<u>DTSC</u>	<u>Site formerly stored silver in photographic solution. Case closed 1999.</u>	<u>Low</u>
	<u>Sprig Electric</u> <u>1303 Lick Avenue</u> <u>0.08 mile N of PS7 Variant A/B</u>	<u>RWQCB</u>	<u>LUST Cleanup site. Case Closed in 1994.</u>	<u>Low</u>

TPF No.	Sites Within 0.25-Mile of TPF Locations	Reported Databases ^a	Reported Contamination	Level of Concern
	<u>Arco Facility</u> <u>545 W. Alma Avenue</u> <u>0.21 mile SW. of PS7 Variant A/B</u>	<u>RCRA/RWQCB</u>	<u>Gasoline Station/ LUST cleanup site. Removal actions. Ongoing monitoring and remediation with monitoring wells between site and PS7 Variant Locations.</u>	<u>Medium</u>
	<u>Lee's Diesel Service</u> <u>1125 Lelong Street</u> <u>0.11 mile W of PS7 Variant A/B</u>	<u>RCRA</u>	<u>General Automotive Repair Facility.</u>	<u>Low</u>

^a Reported Databases:

- CERCLIS = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 Listing
- CUPA Listings = Listing of sites included in the County's Certified Unified Program Agency database
- ENVIROSTOR = Department of Toxic Substances Control's Site Mitigation and Brownsfield Reuse Program's database of sites with known contamination or that may require additional investigation
- ERNS = Emergency Response Notification System
- HIST Cal-Sites = Listing containing known and potential hazardous substance sites
- HIST Cortese = Sites designated by the State Water Resource Control Board and the Department of Toxic Substances Control
- HIST LUST = Listing of open and closed LUST sites
- LUST = Leaking Underground Storage Tank
- NFRAP = No Further Remedial Action Plan
- NPL = National Priorities List
- PS = Paralleling Station
- RCRACOR = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Corrective Action
- RCRAGEN-LGN = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Large Quantity Generator
- RCRAGEN-SGN = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Small Quantity Generator
- RCRAGEN-VGN = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Conditionally Exempt Generator
- RCRANLR = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - No Longer Reporting
- RCRA-Transporter = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Transporter of RCRA materials
- RCRA-TSD = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Treatment, Storage and Disposal Facilities
- San Jose HAZMAT = San Jose hazmat facilities
- San Mateo County Bl = San Mateo County database for hazardous materials business plans, hazardous waste generators, and Underground Storage Tanks
- SLIC = Spills, Leaks, Investigations and Cleanup
- SPILLS = California Regional Water Quality Control Board sites that have had spills, leaks, investigations, and cleanups.
- STATE = Sites listed in the Department of Toxic Substance Control database
- SWEEPS UST = Statewide Environmental Evaluation and Planning System
- SWL = Solid Waste and Landfill
- SWS = Switching Station
- TPF = Traction Power Facility
- TPS = Traction Power Substation
- UST = Underground Storage Tank
- VCP = Voluntary Cleanup Program

^b Search done using DTSC Envirostor search, USEPA Enviromapper search, and SWRCB Geotracker search instead of EDR database search.

1
2
3

1 • Medium level concern sites are sites that are open with soil contamination and located within
2 0.125 mile of the proposed TPF locations (not immediately adjacent or within the project
3 footprint). This designation was also given to higher elevation (to proposed project locations)
4 sites with closed case groundwater contamination within a 0.125 mile from proposed TPF
5 locations.

6 • Low level concern sites are sites with a low likelihood of impacting the proposed Project. These
7 include closed contaminated soil sites within 0.125 mile or case closed groundwater sites
8 located between 0.125 mile and 0.25 mile from proposed TPF locations.

9 Closed soil contamination sites located beyond 0.125 miles from proposed project locations were
10 not included in the analysis due to their negligible probability of impacting construction of proposed
11 TPF.

12 OCS pole locations are not analyzed in Table 3.8-1 but, as noted above, those locations may be
13 affected by soil or groundwater that has been affected by historic train operations or by hazardous
14 material or petroleum products from adjacent commercial or industrial activity.

15 Proximity to Airports and Airstrips

16 ~~None of the~~ Several of the proposed traction power facilities and some portions of the OCS pole
17 alignment would be located within an airport land use plan or Airport Influence Area (AIA) ~~with the~~
18 ~~exception of including~~ potential sites for TPS2 and OCS poles along the ROW near the Norman Y.
19 Mineta San Jose International Airport (SJIA) and potential sites for TPS1 and PS3 and OCS poles
20 along the ROW near the San Francisco International Airport (SFO).

21 TPS2 Options 1 and 2, ~~which~~ would be located approximately 700 feet south of ~~SJIA the Norman Y.~~
22 ~~Mineta San Jose International Airport (1701 Airport Boulevard, San Jose).~~ TPS2 Option 3 is located
23 approximately 1 mile southeast of the same airport. All three proposed locations, their connections
24 to the PG&E substation, duct banks and OCS poles nearby along the ROW are located within the
25 airport's AIA (City of San Jose 2013).

26 TPS1 would be located approximately 1.3 miles north of San Francisco International Airport and PS3
27 would be located approximately 1.5 miles south of the airport. ~~Although~~ TPS1 and PS3 and the OCS
28 alignment from the northern part of South San Francisco to the northern part of San Mateo would be
29 located ~~within 2 miles of a public airport, none would be~~ within the airport's AIA.

30 Other airports in the vicinity of the proposed Project, but not located within 2 miles of any proposed
31 TPF, are listed below.

- 32 • Moffett Federal Airfield – 158 Cody Road, Mountain View.
- 33 • San Carlos Airport – 620 Airport Drive, San Carlos.
- 34 • Palo Alto Airport – 1925 Embarcadero Road, Palo Alto.

35 Emergency Response Plans

36 San Francisco County

37 The City and County of San Francisco Department of Emergency Management develops, administers
38 and maintains the *Emergency Response Plan* for the City and County of San Francisco and assists

1 other city departments in the development and execution of their emergency response and recovery
2 plans (City and County of San Francisco 2013).

3 **San Mateo County**

4 The Sherriff's Office of Emergency Services (OES) provides planning and training services to all
5 cities in San Mateo County (San Mateo County 2012b). The OES oversees compliance with the
6 Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) and provides ongoing training programs to
7 all cities using the SEMS. Additionally, the OES implements the *San Mateo County Emergency*
8 *Operations Plan* (EOP). The EOP implements a four phase approach to emergency management
9 involving mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery.

10 **Santa Clara County**

11 The Santa Clara County Emergency Services Department (ESD) is responsible for coordinating and
12 planning for disaster response (Santa Clara County 1994). According to the Santa Clara County
13 General Plan DEIR (1994), Public Services chapter, the County counts on an Emergency Operations
14 Center (EOC) that serves as the agency coordination center during times of disaster. The ESD's goal
15 is to establish crisis management and return to normalcy as quickly as possible. The ESD is in
16 contact with all county agencies and is constantly updating its preparedness based upon changes in
17 demographics.

18 **Caltrain Passenger Train Emergency Preparedness Plan**

19 In accordance with federal regulations (49 CFR Part 238, Passenger Train Emergency
20 Preparedness), Caltrain prepares and periodically updates an emergency preparedness plan, most
21 recently in February 2013. The plan covers the following topics related to emergencies:
22 communications, employee training and qualifications, joint operations, special circumstances,
23 liaison with emergency responders, on-board emergency equipment, passenger safety information,
24 handling passengers with disabilities, passenger train emergency simulations, debriefing and
25 critiques, emergency exists, and operation (efficiency) tests.

26 Because the Caltrain ROW does not currently contain an OCS, the plan does not address any OCS
27 issues. As part of the Proposed Project, the preparedness plan would be updated as necessary to
28 address any potential electrical safety emergency requirements.

29 **BART Emergency Response Plan for Millbrae Transit Center**

30 Similar to Caltrain, BART also prepares emergency preparedness plans in accordance with federal
31 regulations that cover the same topics as those articulated above for Caltrain.

32 **Wildland Areas**

33 The proposed project is not located within a wildland area and, therefore, not considered to be a
34 high fire risk (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 2012).

1 **3.8.2 Impact Analysis**

2 **3.8.2.1 Methods for Analysis**

3 The following impact analysis is based on an evaluation of onsite and adjacent land conditions and
4 the likelihood or ability of these conditions to affect components of the proposed Project. Based
5 upon the existing conditions described above, the impact analysis assesses the direct and indirect
6 impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials and determines whether the proposed Project
7 would exceed a threshold listed below.

8 **3.8.2.2 Thresholds of Significance**

9 In accordance with Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines, the proposed Project would be
10 considered to have a significant effect if it would result in any of the conditions listed below.

- 11 • Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use,
12 or disposal of hazardous materials.
- 13 • Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable
14 upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the
15 environment.
- 16 • Emit hazardous emissions or involve handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials,
17 substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.
- 18 • Be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to
19 Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the
20 public or the environment.
- 21 • Implementation of the proposed Project would—for a project located within an airport land use
22 plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within 2 miles of a public airport, public use
23 airport, or private airstrip—result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the
24 project corridor.
- 25 • Implementation of the proposed Project would impair implementation of or physically interfere
26 with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.
- 27 • Implementation of the proposed Project would expose people or structures to a significant risk
28 of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to
29 urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands.

30 **3.8.2.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

31 Due to the highly industrialized and commercial nature of portions of the project area, it is possible
32 that soil and/or groundwater contamination exists in various locations throughout the project
33 corridor. Consequently, construction activities related to the proposed Project could encounter
34 contaminated soil and/or groundwater. Additionally, it is expected that existing conditions at most
35 contaminated sites encountered during implementation of the proposed Project would be typical of
36 properties in urbanized areas where there is a history of industrial use. Low levels of
37 pesticides/herbicides could be present due to past weed and pest control activities. The presence of
38 low-level contamination of this nature could warrant worker health and safety and material
39 management.

1 As mentioned in the Section 3.8.1.2, *Environmental Setting*, rail operations are a potential source of
 2 contamination and can be a concern along the entire 51-mile corridor. Hence, the Caltrain ROW is
 3 considered an area where there is a probability of encountering hazardous wastes. Consequently,
 4 mitigation measures have been developed to address possible contamination encountered during
 5 implementation of the proposed Project and are discussed under the appropriate thresholds below.

6 None of the Project Variants described in Chapter 2, *Project Description*, would result in any changes
 7 to the impact analyses presented below because they would not introduce new facilities in new
 8 areas not already analyzed for hazard impacts of the Proposed Project and would not result in
 9 additional handling of hazardous materials compared to No Project conditions. Project Variant 1
 10 would have less construction overall than the Proposed Project and thus less potential for hazard
 11 impacts associated with construction.

Impact HAZ-1	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials
Level of Impact	Less than significant

12 **Construction**

13 Project construction would involve routine transport, use, and disposal of hazardous materials such
 14 as fuels, solvents, paints, oils, grease, and caulking. Such transport, use, and disposal must be
 15 compliant with applicable regulations such as the RCRA, DOT Hazardous Materials Regulations, and
 16 the local Certified Unified Program Agency regulations. Although small amounts of fuels solvents,
 17 paints, oils, grease, and caulking would be transported, used, and/or disposed of during the
 18 construction phase, these materials are typically used in construction projects and would not
 19 represent the transport, use, and disposal of acutely hazardous materials.

20 Also, it is expected that handling and storage of fuels and other flammable materials during
 21 construction would follow Cal OSHA and local standards for fire protection and prevention. These
 22 measures include appropriate storage of flammable liquids and prohibition of open flames within
 23 50 feet of flammable storage areas.

24 Consequently, no significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport,
 25 use, or disposal of hazardous materials during construction of the proposed Project is anticipated.

26 **Operations**

27 Maintenance activities conducted during Proposed Project operations could result in operational
 28 impacts; however, because Proposed Project implementation would consist of replacement of diesel
 29 trains with electrically powered trains, spills of hazardous materials and/or petroleum products are
 30 less likely to occur than under current conditions. EMUs do contain batteries containing hazardous
 31 materials, which are self-contained and would only be handled in maintenance areas. However,
 32 hazardous materials releases could occur during routine track maintenance.

33 Hazardous materials such as battery acids in the transformers or sulfa-hexafluoride gas insulation
 34 materials would be stored in TPFs. These materials would be a hazard if a spill or an equipment
 35 chamber rupture were to occur. While many of these materials are commonly used, they are
 36 considered hazardous materials (fuels, for example, are flammable) based on their physical
 37 properties, and improper handling could endanger workers and the public or result in
 38 contamination of soil and/or water.

1 As mentioned above, operational activities would generate hazardous material waste due to the use
 2 of lubricants, solvents, and other materials. Hazardous waste generated by the operations of the
 3 Proposed Project would be managed according to all applicable regulatory requirements, which
 4 would minimize the exposure risk to all Caltrain personnel and the surrounding environment.
 5 Therefore, the proposed Project operation would not result in a significant hazard to the public or to
 6 the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. This impact
 7 would be less than significant and no mitigation is required.

Impact HAZ-2	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment
Level of Impact	Significant
Mitigation Measures	HAZ-2a: Conduct a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment prior to construction HAZ-2b: Implement engineering controls and best management practices during construction
Level of Impact after Mitigation	Less than significant

8 **Construction**

9 As described under Impact HAZ-1, typical construction-related hazardous materials would be used
 10 during construction of the proposed Project, including gasoline, diesel, oil, other vehicle-related
 11 fluids, paints, solvents, and metals. It is possible that any of these substances could be released
 12 during construction activities. However, as described previously, compliance with federal, state, and
 13 local regulations, in combination with construction best management practices (BMPs) and
 14 implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (described further in Section 3.9,
 15 *Hydrology and Water Quality*), would ensure that all hazardous materials are used, stored, and
 16 disposed properly, which would minimize potential impacts related to a hazardous materials release
 17 during construction activities.

18 The proposed Project TPF locations lie within areas that are highly industrialized and commercial in
 19 nature. Contaminants of concern along the Caltrain ROW include arsenic, lead, and total petroleum
 20 hydrocarbons. Consequently, construction activities could encounter soil and/or groundwater
 21 contamination. Construction of TPF for the proposed Project would not require deep excavations or
 22 disturbance of large amounts of soil. Although support structures for the larger TPFs (i.e., primary
 23 substation) would cover an approximate horizontal area of 150 by 200 feet, excavations for
 24 proposed Project facilities would remain relatively shallow. OCS pole foundations would be placed
 25 15 feet below ground surface (bgs), and pole foundation excavations would be 3 feet in diameter.
 26 With implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the potential for large-scale releases of
 27 contaminants is unlikely. As mentioned in Section 3.9, *Hydrology and Water Quality*, groundwater is
 28 shallow (generally ranging from 10 to 20 feet bgs) in various locations along the Caltrain corridor
 29 and, thus, encountering contaminated groundwater would be a concern during construction of the
 30 proposed Project. Dewatering within existing contaminated areas could increase the migration of
 31 contaminants to surface water and other groundwater zones along the alignment.

32 As mentioned below in Mitigation Measures HAZ-2a and HAZ-2b, prior to construction, the potential
 33 presence of contaminants in soil and groundwater will be investigated using conventional drilling,
 34 sampling, and chemical testing methods. Based on the chemical test results, a mitigation plan will be

1 developed to establish guidelines for the disposal of contaminated soil and discharge of
2 contaminated dewatering effluent, and to generate data to address human health and safety issues
3 that may arise as a result of contact with contaminated soil or groundwater during construction. JPB
4 will be required to provide a copy of this plan to the DTSC for review and approval prior to starting
5 work on the Proposed Project.

6 **Operations**

7 As mentioned in Impact HAZ-1, operational activities would generate hazardous material waste due
8 to the use of lubricants, solvents, and other materials. Hazardous waste generated by Proposed
9 Project operations would be managed according to all applicable regulatory requirements, which
10 would minimize the exposure risk to all Caltrain personnel and the surrounding environment.
11 Additionally, it is expected that proposed Project infrastructure would be constructed with
12 engineering controls to limit and contain releases and spills, thus further minimizing the potential
13 for operational impacts.

14 **Mitigation Measure HAZ-2a: Conduct a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment prior to** 15 **construction**

16 Prior to construction, a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) will be prepared for
17 portions of the proposed Project located within areas with a high likelihood of contaminated
18 media by a qualified environmental consultant. The Phase II ESA will include but not be limited
19 to the following.

- 20 ● A scope of work consisting of Pre-Field Activities, such as preparation of a Health and Safety
21 Plan (HASP), marking boring locations and obtaining utility clearance, and Field Activities,
22 such as identifying appropriate sampling procedures, health and safety measures, chemical
23 testing methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures in accordance
24 with the ASTM Standard.
 - 25 ○ The HASP will include, but is not limited to;
 - 26 – Potential project hazards analysis
 - 27 – Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) discussion
 - 28 – Exposure monitoring
 - 29 – Emergency response actions
 - 30 – Hospital route directions
- 31 ● Necessary permits for well installation and/or boring advancement.
- 32 ● A Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) in accordance with the scope of work.
- 33 ● Completion of a Risk Assessment if deemed necessary.
- 34 ● Laboratory analyses conducted by a State-certified laboratory.
- 35 ● Disposal process including transport by a State-certified hazardous material hauler to a
36 State-certified disposal or recycling facility licensed to accept and treat hazardous waste.

Mitigation Measure HAZ-2b: Implement engineering controls and best management practices during construction

During construction the contractor will employ use of engineering controls and BMPs to minimize human exposure to potential contaminants. Engineering controls and construction BMPs will include but not be limited to the following.

- Contractor employees working on site will be certified in OSHA’s 40-hour Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training.
- Contractor will monitor area around construction site for fugitive vapor emissions with appropriate field screening instrumentation.
- Contractor will water/mist soil as its being excavated and loaded onto transportation trucks.
- Contractor will place any stockpiled soil in areas shielded from prevailing winds.
- Contractor will cover the bottom of excavated areas with sheeting when work is not being performed.

Impact HAZ-3	Emit hazardous emissions or involve handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school
Level of Impact	Less than significant

As mentioned in Section 3.8.1.2, *Environmental Setting*, there are three schools within 0.25 mile of ~~two-three~~ of the proposed TPF locations. Two are located approximately 0.125 mile west of the proposed PS3 Option 1 location and 0.21 mile west of the PS3 Option 2 location. The third school is located approximately 0.175 mile south of the proposed PS6 Option 2 location.

Construction

Although the implementation of the proposed Project would involve hazardous materials typical of a construction project (as discussed above under Impact HAZ-1), the proposed Project would be constructed in compliance with federal, state, and local regulations described in Section 3.8.1.1. Additionally, any potential construction-related hazardous releases or emissions would be from commonly used materials such as fossil fuels, solvents, and paints and would not include substances listed in 40 CFR 355 Appendix A: Extremely Hazardous Substances and Their Threshold Planning Quantities. Any such spills would be localized and immediately contained and cleaned. Therefore, construction of the proposed Project would not affect land uses outside of the project footprint, including schools located within one-quarter mile.

Operation

The proposed Project involves the modification of current railroad infrastructure to allow for the electrification of diesel trains. It is not anticipated that the modifications to the current railroad system would alter operational activities in a way that would cause the release any hazardous materials. Similar to the construction impacts above, operational activities are not expected to include substances listed in 40 CFR 355 Appendix A: Extremely Hazardous Substances and Their Threshold Planning Quantities, and any hazardous material used is expected to be in the form of a commonly used material such as fossil fuels, solvents, and paints. Additionally, implementation of

1 the Proposed Project would reduce diesel fuel use in train propulsion, making fuel spills smaller, less
 2 frequent and easier to contain and remediate. Furthermore, dielectric fluid used at TPS facilities in
 3 electrical transformers for cooling and electrical insulation would be fully enclosed in the electrical
 4 equipment, making spills and accidental releases highly unlikely. Therefore, operation of the
 5 proposed Project would not affect land uses outside of the project footprint, including schools
 6 located within 0.25 mile.

Impact HAZ-4	Be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.
Level of Impact	Significant
Mitigation Measures	HAZ-2a: Conduct a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment prior to construction HAZ-2b: Implement engineering controls and best management practices during construction
Level of Impact	Less than significant

7 **Construction and Operation**

8 Due to the extent of the project corridor, construction of TPF would be surrounded by numerous
 9 sites found in various environmental databases. Table 3.8-1 above summarizes sites located within a
 10 0.25 mile radius of all proposed TPF locations and assigns them a ranking based on their likelihood
 11 to impact the proposed Project. It is expected that most industrial, commercial and agricultural
 12 facilities that deal with storage, use, and disposal of hazardous materials within all proposed
 13 construction areas comply with all appropriate federal, state and local regulations, such as the
 14 regulations discussed Section 3.8.1.1, *Regulatory Setting*, to ensure safety of the surrounding public
 15 and environment. Additionally, implementation of Mitigation Measures HAZ-2a and HAZ-2b, would
 16 further minimize potential impacts from sites included in hazardous materials databases.

17 Therefore, construction of the proposed project would not create any significant impacts associated
 18 with being included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code
 19 Section 65962.5. Impacts would be less than significant.

Impact HAZ-5	Result in an airport-related safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area
Level of Impact	Less than significant

20 **Construction and Operation**

21 **San Jose International Airport**

22 The potential locations for TPS2 and portions of the Proposed Project in the Caltrain ROW would be
 23 located within 2 miles of Mineta San Jose International Airport and within its AIA. The County of
 24 Santa Clara Airport Land Use Commission has set specific height restrictions within Mineta San Jose
 25 International Airport AIA. The project area within the AIA is from Scott Boulevard (MP 42.9) in
 26 Santa Clara to The Alameda in San Jose just north of the San Jose Diridon Station (MP 47.35). Project
 27 facilities in this area include the OCS and the TPS2 substation.

28 Option 1 and Option 2 for TPS2 would be located in an area with ground elevation of 67 to 72 feet
 29 above MSL and the TPS structures would have a maximum height above ground of up to 25 feet for

1 the structures and up to 81 feet for the utility take-off pole (thus a top elevation range of 92 to 153
2 feet above MSL) which is less than the ~~that~~ has a maximum structure height restriction of 212 feet
3 above mean sea level (MSL) for this part of the AIA. Option 2 and Option 3 would be located in an
4 areas-with a maximum structure height restriction of 162 feet above MSL. TPS2 Option 3 would be
5 in a location with ground elevation of 79 feet above MSL, and the TPS structures/take-off pole would
6 have a top elevation of 104 to 159 feet above MSL which would be less than the structure height
7 restriction for this location. The maximum height for the TPS structure would be 15 to 18 feet above
8 MSL, which would be within the allowable heights limit.

9 OCS poles near the SJIA would also located within the AIA. Within the portion of the AIA crossed by
10 the OCS, the ground elevations range from 56 to 94 feet above MSL and the OCS poles-and within the
11 Caltrain ROW-would range from 30 to 50 feet in height above ground for a top elevation of 84 to 144
12 feet above MSL which is less than the maximum height for most of the project area which ranges
13 from 162 to 212 feet above MSL. There is a small portion of the OCS alignment adjacent to the
14 CEMOF, where the elevation restrictions are approximately 132 feet to 162 feet. In this area, the
15 ground elevation is approximately 80 feet above MSL and thus OCS poles (which range from 30 to
16 50 feet in height) should be less than the elevation restriction. These would be within the allowable
17 height restrictions for their portion of the AIA and, therefore, would not interfere with any air traffic
18 flight paths or other airport activities. During design, OCS poles for this area will be selected to
19 ensure that the poles comply with all airport safety requirements.

20 San Francisco International Airport

21 TPS1 and its alternatives along with PS3 and OCS poles along the ROW from South San Francisco
22 through northern San Mateo would be within the SFO AIA. would be located less than 2 miles from
23 the San Francisco International Airport, but would not be located within this airport's AIA.
24 According to the compatibility plan for the airport (City/County Association of Governments of San
25 Mateo County 2012), portions of the Caltrain ROW are located within airport safety compatibility
26 zones. Height restrictions in these zones vary from 160 feet are 163 feet above MSL or more in most
27 project areas within the AIA. There are several short areas along the Caltrain ROW where the height
28 restriction is ~~to~~ 100 feet to 150 feet above MSL.

29 The TPS1 Options 1, 2 and 3 would have ground elevations of 12 to 14 feet above MSL and thus
30 structural/utility take-off pole top heights would reach 37 to 94 feet and the AIA height restriction
31 for these sites is 163 feet above MSL. TPS1, Option 4 would have ground elevation of 17 feet and
32 thus structural/utility take-off pile top heights would reach 42 to 97 feet and the AIA height
33 restriction at this site is 200 feet above MSL The PS3, Option1 and 2 sites are at a ground elevation
34 of 14 feet above MSL, structures at the paralleling stations would be up to 20 feet high with a gantry
35 up to 40 feet high, thus top elevations would range from 34 to 54 feet above MSL, and the AIA height
36 restriction at this site is 163 feet above MSL.

37 As mentioned above, the maximum OCS poles would range from 30 to 50 feet in height above
38 ground. The AIA height restriction for most of the ROW between the northern part of South San
39 Francisco (MP 8.2) and the northern part of San Mateo (MP 16.9) is 163 feet above MSL or higher.
40 Near I-380, a very small portion of the Caltrain ROW is within an area with a height restriction of
41 150 feet above MSL. Near the Millbrae Station, a short segment of the Caltrain ROW is within an area
42 with height restrictions of 100 and 150 feet about MSL. OCS poles within the SFO AIA would have
43 ground elevations of 13 to 40 feet above MSL and thus top elevations of 43 to 90 feet above MSL.
44 The OCS near the Millbrae Station would be at a ground elevation of approximately 14 feet above

1 MSL, with a top elevation of 44 to 64 feet above MSL. Thus all poles and would be within the height
 2 restrictions for the SFO AIA. Therefore, OCS poles would not interfere with any air traffic flight paths
 3 or other airport activities.

4 **Conclusion**

5 ~~The proposed Project consists of electrification of diesel powered trains and construction of the~~
 6 ~~electrical infrastructure to support this conversion. Although construction of electrical~~
 7 ~~infrastructure would occur in areas within 2 miles of public airports, project activities would occur~~
 8 ~~outside of the airport areas. The OCS poles within the Caltrain ROW would range from 30 to 50 feet~~
 9 ~~in height, and would not interfere with any air traffic flight paths or other airport activities.~~

10 As discussed above, the project features within the Airport Influence Area of SFO and SJIA would not
 11 exceed the maximum height restrictions for airport operational safety. Additionally, Caltrain will
 12 comply with the notification requirements of appropriate FARs, including FAR Part 77. Therefore,
 13 implementation of the Proposed Project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or
 14 working in the project area.

Impact HAZ-6	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan
Level of Impact	Significant.
Mitigation Measures	TRA-1a: Implement construction road Traffic Control Plan
Level of Impact after Mitigation	Less than significant

15 The proposed Project covers a 51-mile corridor from San Francisco to San Jose and is located within
 16 three counties: San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara County. Section 3.8.1.1, *Regulatory Setting*,
 17 discusses each county and the various entities assigned to oversee emergency response and
 18 evacuation programs. It is expected that implementation of the proposed Project would follow any
 19 and all emergency program requirements set forth by the three counties.

20 **Construction**

21 During project construction, it is expected that traffic control plans would be implemented to
 22 minimize obstruction, which would help to ensure continued emergency access to the various TPF
 23 project sites and nearby properties. The traffic plans would include construction truck marshaling to
 24 prevent construction traffic congestion to and from the project sites. Construction activities at grade
 25 crossings could potentially interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency
 26 evacuation plan by increasing traffic congestion and vehicle wait time. In such cases,
 27 implementation of a Traffic Control Plan (Mitigation Measure TRA-1a) discussed in Section 3.14,
 28 *Transportation and Traffic*, would reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level. Impacts during
 29 construction would be less than significant.

30 **Operations**

31 As mentioned in Chapter 2, *Project Description*, OCS overhead wire heights would vary from
 32 approximately 16 feet (in constrained areas) to 23 feet (in unconstrained areas) depending upon
 33 clearance requirements of the areas in which wires would be located. According to San Francisco
 34 Fire Department vehicle specifications, typical fire engines and fire trucks measure at 11 and 12 feet

1 in height, respectively. Therefore, OCS overhead wires are not anticipated to interfere with
 2 emergency vehicle access across the Caltrain ROW.

3 As discussed in Section 3.14, *Transportation and Traffic*, the Proposed Project would result in
 4 significant increases in traffic delays at a number of at-grade crossings along the Peninsula corridor
 5 due to increased gate-down time during peak hours, as well as impacts on traffic near some of the
 6 Caltrain stations. ~~At these locations, the Proposed Project would implement the Traffic Control Plan~~
 7 ~~(Mitigation Measure TRA-1a). This mitigation measure would reduce traffic impacts at many~~
 8 ~~locations and would include requirements for coordination with local emergency providers to~~
 9 ~~minimize increase in response times as feasible, but the mitigation measure would not reduce all~~
 10 ~~traffic delays to a less than significant level.~~ Emergency response times are a function of the
 11 conditions between the responder base location and the incident location overall, not only a
 12 function of conditions at any one point along the response path. As discussed in Section 3.14,
 13 *Transportation and Traffic*, the Proposed Project would substantially reduce overall vehicle miles
 14 traveled in the Peninsula corridor by approximately 235,000 miles per day in 2020 and 619,000
 15 miles per day in 2040 (compared with No Project Conditions), which would substantially improve
 16 congestion on a broad general basis. Most of the vehicle miles traveled reductions would be during
 17 peak hours, which is especially important in reducing congestion. This broad-based congestion
 18 improvement is expected to more than offset the localized effects on at-grade crossings and near
 19 Caltrain stations and result in a net improvement (compared with No Project Conditions) in the
 20 emergency response times and in the ability to evacuate constrained areas by vehicle. Thus the
 21 Proposed Project would have a less-than-significant impact overall on emergency response times.

22 The Project operation would not affect fire department access through the access doors located in
 23 the wall between the BART and Caltrain tracks, between San Bruno and Millbrae. Access for the fire
 24 department would be maintained as is currently.

25 Regarding transit station emergency evacuation, as discussed in Section 3.14, *Transportation and*
 26 *Traffic*, the Proposed Project is not expected to substantially increase the ridership of other transit
 27 systems on the Peninsula. In specific, relative to No Project conditions, the Proposed Project is
 28 projected to result in a slight decrease in BART ridership, a slight increase in Muni Metro (rail)
 29 ridership in 2020 but a slight decline in 2040, and a slight increase in VTA light rail ridership.
 30 Station evacuation would be primarily a concern for controlled access BART stations and
 31 underground Muni Metro stations. There is less concern for evacuation from at-grade Muni Metro
 32 and VTA light rail stations and all bus stations and stops given the open architecture of such
 33 facilities. While some BART and underground Muni Metro stations may reach capacity due to
 34 cumulative transit ridership, the Proposed Project would not result in a significant impact related to
 35 evacuation capacity at these locations because the Proposed Project’s long-term effect on these
 36 systems (e.g., in 2040) would be a slight reduction in ridership.

Impact HAZ-7 Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death
 involving wildland fires

Level of Impact Less than significant

37 **Construction and Operations**

38 According to figures “Fire Hazard Severity Zones in SRA” and “Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones
 39 in LRA” for San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties of the Fire and Resource Assessment
 40 Program, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, the proposed project is not located

1 within a High Fire Risk Area (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 2012) as it runs
2 through highly developed areas of San Francisco, Santa Clara and San Mateo counties. An electrical
3 safety zone by for line clearance, including a minimum of 10 feet of vegetation clearance around
4 electrical conductors, would be implemented. Therefore, construction of the Proposed Project would
5 not be located within a high fire risk area and would not expose people or structures to a significant
6 risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires. Consequently, construction and operations
7 related impacts related to wildland fires would not occur.